LSS Probes of Cosmic Acceleration a phenomenological implementation of screening



based on works with Zvonimir Vlah

Phys.Rev. D94 (2016) no.6, 063516 Phys.Lett. B773 (2017) 236-241

Yukawa Institute, Kyoto University, Feb. 22nd 2018

Motivations

Old: "understand why the vacuum energy is so small" ^{Weinberg} New: "why it is comparable to the present mass density"

 $\begin{array}{c} Accept \ \Lambda \\ within string theory landscape program \end{array}$

c.c. problem

Dark Energy/Modified Gravity

Etc

Your favorite idea!

Self-tuning Nitti + Kiritsis' talks

Dark Energy/Modified Gravity



Massive Gravity

Tests of dark energy & modified gravity



Beyond ACDM

A dynamical mechanism driving acceleration usually* comes with additional degree(s) of freedom

* not necessarily, e.g. Lorentz-violating massive gravity

Screening Mechanisms



$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} Z^{\mu\nu}(\phi, \partial\phi, \partial^2\phi, ..) \partial_{\mu}\phi \partial_{\nu}\phi - V(\phi) + g(\phi)T$$

Screening where GR extremely well-tested, e.g. Solar system



Vainshtein



N-body



Linear scales

Onset Vainshtein Screening

Perturbation Theory



full perturbative control

bulk of the information on growth

A lot going on to conquer the quasi-linear scales

RPT (Crocce, Scoccimarro) TRG (Matarrese, Pietroni) TSPT(Blas, Garny, Ivanov, Sibiryakov) Closure (Taruya Hiramatsu)

Lagrangian approach (Matsubara; Porto et al; Vlah et al)

EFT of LSS

Why also Perturbation theory?

correspondence with EFT of DE/MG

Symmetries (consistency relations)

easy to include Additional Layers of physics

Layers of physics



Clustering Quintessence

Creminelli et al (2009); Sefusatti, Vernizzi (2011); Anselmi et al (2011); D'Amico, Sefusatti (2011); Lewandowski et al (2016)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \delta_m}{\partial \tau} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left[(1 + \delta_m) \vec{v} \right] = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \delta_Q}{\partial \tau} - 3\omega \mathcal{H} \delta_Q + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left[(1 + \omega + \delta_Q) \vec{v} \right] = 0 \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} + \mathcal{H} \vec{v} + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v} = -\nabla \Phi \end{cases}$$
 clustering quintessence, cs=0

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{H}^2 \Omega_m \left(\delta_m + \delta_Q \frac{\Omega_Q}{\Omega_m} \right)$$

$$\delta_T$$

known exactly up to quadratic order

MF, Vlah (2016)

All orders Solution



MF, Vlah, 2016

All the way to Biased tracers

$$\delta_{h}(\vec{x},t) \simeq \int^{t} H(t') \left[c_{\delta_{T}}(t') \frac{\delta_{T}(\vec{x}_{\mathrm{fl}},t')}{H(t')^{2}} + c_{\delta_{\mathrm{d.e.}}}(t') \,\delta_{\mathrm{d.e.}}(\vec{x}_{\mathrm{fl}}) + c_{\partial v_{c}}(t') \frac{\partial_{i}v_{c}^{i}(\vec{x}_{\mathrm{fl}},t')}{H(t')} + c_{\partial v_{\mathrm{d.e.}}}(t') \frac{\partial_{i}v_{\mathrm{d.e.}}^{i}(\vec{x}_{\mathrm{fl}},t')}{H(t')} + c_{\epsilon_{c}}(t')\epsilon_{c}(\vec{x}_{\mathrm{fl}},t') + c_{\epsilon_{\mathrm{d.e.}}}(t') \epsilon_{\mathrm{d.e.}}(\vec{x}_{\mathrm{fl}},t') + c_{\partial^{2}\delta_{T}}(t') \frac{\partial_{x_{\mathrm{fl}}}^{2}}{k_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}} \frac{\delta_{T}(\vec{x}_{\mathrm{fl}},t')}{H(t')^{2}} + \dots \right]$$

Screened system: DM + Galileon-like dof

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \delta_m}{\partial \tau} + \partial_i [(1+\delta_m) v_m^i] = 0 ,\\ \frac{\partial v_m^i}{\partial \tau} + \mathcal{H} v_m^i + v_m^j \partial_j v_m^i = -\nabla^i \Phi ,\\ \nabla^2 \Phi = \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{H}^2 \Omega_m \delta_m + F(\bar{\phi}) \nabla^2 \delta \phi \\ \nabla^2 \delta \phi + \text{non linearities} = \frac{\beta}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \delta_m \end{cases}$$

Lue et al (2004); Koyama and Silva (2007); de Rham et al (2012); Barreira et al (2013);

> à la EFT: Cusin et al (2017) Bose et al (2018)

.....

Two scales ==> 2 expansions parameters

 $k/k_{\rm NL}$ vs $k/k_{\rm V}$

Hierarchy

$\int k_{\rm V} \sim H_0$	ACDM
$H_0 \ll k_{\rm V} < k_{\rm NL}$	==> scre
$k_V \gtrsim k_{\rm NL}$	weak sci

creening can happen on quasi-linear (for DM) scales

,

screening

Origin of kv

$$\nabla^2 \phi + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} \left[(\nabla^2 \phi)^2 - (\nabla_{ij} \phi)^2 \right] = \frac{\beta}{M_{\rm Pl}} \rho$$

kv very reminiscent of



 $r \gg r_{\rm V}$

$$r \ll r_{\rm V}$$

$$F_{5th} = -\frac{2c_3 a^2 \dot{\bar{\phi}}^2}{3\Lambda^3 M_{\rm Pl} \beta_2} \frac{GM(R)}{r^2}$$

$$F_{5\rm th} \sim -\frac{2c_3 a^2 \dot{\phi}^2}{3\Lambda^3 M_{\rm Pl} \beta_2} \frac{GM(R)}{r^2} \times \left(\frac{r}{r_{\rm V}}\right)^{3/2}$$

 $r_{\rm V} \leftrightarrow k_{\rm V}$

suppression!

As k nears kv, non-linearities in the ϕ sector become very important, perturbation theory not enough ==> <u>need to resum</u>

Solve each model exactly

Phenomenological approach: screening "filter"

$$P_{\rm res}\big|_N(k,\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^N P_{\rm res}^{(n)}(k,\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^N \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} \ \mathcal{K}_n^N(k',k,\tau) P^{(n)}(k,\tau),$$

formally similar to recent BAO resummation scheme Senatore et al; Vlah et al; Blas et al; Pietroni&Peloso +..

Quest for the right kernels \mathcal{K}_n^N

Guiding principles:

Asymptotic behaviour Symmetries no double-counting

$$P_{\text{pert}} = P_{\Lambda \text{CDM}} + \underbrace{\left(P_{\text{pert}} - P_{\Lambda \text{CDM}}\right)}_{\equiv \Delta P}$$



Two Candidates

 $K_{\rm G}(k,\tau) = \exp\left(-\sum_m \alpha_m (k/k_{\rm V})^{2m}\right)$, $K_{\rm L}(k,\tau) = 1/\left(1+\sum_m \alpha_m (k/k_{\rm V})^{2m}\right)$

Asymptotic behaviour \checkmark Symmetries \checkmark no double-counting ?

MF, Vlah, 2017

Perturbative + Resummed contributions balance

$$K_{n}^{N}(k,\tau) = K(k,\tau) [K]^{-1} \Big|_{N-n} (k,\tau)$$



$$P_{\text{res}}|_{N}(k,\tau) = P_{\Lambda\text{CDM}}|_{N}(k,\tau) + K(k,\tau) [K]^{-1}|_{N}(k,\tau) \Delta P^{(0)}(k,\tau) + K(k,\tau) \sum_{n=2}^{N} \beta_{n}^{0} [\tilde{K}]^{-1}|_{N-n}(k,\tau) + K(k,\tau) \Delta P^{(1)}(k,\tau) + k^{2} \Delta P^{(0)}(k,\tau) K(k,\tau) \sum_{n=2}^{N} \beta_{n}^{0} [\tilde{K}]^{-1}|_{N-n}(k,\tau) + k^{2} \Delta P^{(0)}(k,\tau) \sum_{n=2}^{N} \beta_{n}^{0} [\tilde{K}]^{-1}|_{N-n}(k,\tau) + k^{2} \Delta P^{(0)}(k,\tau) \sum_{n=2}^{N} \beta_{n}^{0} [\tilde{K}]^{-1}|_{N-n}(k,\tau) + k^{2} \Delta P^{(0)}(k,\tau) + k^{2} \Delta P^{(0)}(k,\tau) \sum_{n=2}^{N} \beta_{n}^{0} [\tilde{K}]^{-1}|_{N-n}(k,\tau) + k^{2} \Delta P^{(0)}(k,\tau) + k^{2} \Delta P^{(0)}(k,\tau) \sum_{n=2}^{N} \beta_{n}^{0} [\tilde{K}]^{-1}|_{N-n}(k,\tau) + k^{2} \Delta P^{(0)}(k,\tau) +$$

What's next?



test framework against

exactly-solvable model

simulations

Thank you!

All orders, integral & differential solutions

 $\delta_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^s(\mathbf{q}_1..\mathbf{q}_n,\eta) D_+^n(\eta) \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1}^{\mathrm{in}}..\delta_{\mathbf{q}_n}^{\mathrm{in}}$

 $\Theta_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n^s(\mathbf{q}_1..\mathbf{q}_n,\eta) D_+^n(\eta) \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1}^{\mathrm{in}}..\delta_{\mathbf{q}_n}^{\mathrm{in}}$

$$F_n(\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \frac{d\tilde{\eta}}{C(\tilde{\eta})} \left\{ e^{(n-1)(\tilde{\eta}-\eta)} \frac{\tilde{f}_+}{\tilde{f}_+ - \tilde{f}_-} \left[\left(\tilde{h}_{\beta}^{(n)} - \frac{\tilde{f}_-}{\tilde{f}_+} \tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{(n)} \right) + e^{\tilde{\eta}-\eta} \frac{D_-(\eta)}{\tilde{D}_-(\eta)} \left(\tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{(n)} - \tilde{h}_{\beta}^{(n)} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$G_{n}(\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \frac{d\tilde{\eta}}{C(\tilde{\eta})} \left\{ e^{(n-1)(\tilde{\eta}-\eta)} \frac{\tilde{f}_{+}}{\tilde{f}_{+} - \tilde{f}_{-}} \left[\left(\tilde{h}_{\beta}^{(n)} - \frac{\tilde{f}_{-}}{\tilde{f}_{+}} \tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{(n)} \right) + e^{\tilde{\eta}-\eta} \frac{f_{-}}{f_{+}} \frac{D_{-}(\eta)}{\tilde{D}_{-}(\eta)} \left(\tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{(n)} - \tilde{h}_{\beta}^{(n)} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$C = 1 + (1+\omega) \frac{\Omega_{Q}(\eta)}{\Omega_{m}(\eta)}$$

iteratively derived, first recursion are usual $\alpha(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2), \beta(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2)$

related to

 \propto linear growth rate

Observables

MF, Vlah (2016)

 $P_{1-\text{loop}}(k,a) = P_{L}(k,a) + P_{22}(k,a) + 2P_{13}(k,a) + P_{c.t.}(k,a)$



 $C(\eta) = 1$

test with ACDM



$$F_3 = (1 - \epsilon^{(2)})\mathcal{F}_3^{\epsilon} + \nu_3\mathcal{F}_3^{\nu_3} + (1 - \epsilon^{(1)})\nu_2\mathcal{F}_3^{\nu_2} + \lambda_1\mathcal{F}_3^{\lambda_1} + \lambda_2\mathcal{F}_3^{\lambda_2}$$

 $G_3 = (1 - \epsilon^{(2)})\mathcal{G}_3^{\epsilon} + \mu_3 \mathcal{G}_3^{\mu_3} + (1 - \epsilon^{(1)})\mu_2 \mathcal{G}_3^{\mu_2} + \kappa_1 \mathcal{G}_3^{\kappa_1} + \kappa_2 \mathcal{G}_3^{\kappa_2}$



similarly for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \kappa_1, \kappa_2(z)$ while $\mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{F}_3(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3)$