

# KPZ equation, its renormalization and invariant measures

Tadahisa Funaki

University of Tokyo

August 22, 2014 <sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Interface fluctuations and KPZ universality class, YITP, Kyoto Univ.  
jointly with Jeremy Quastel, Ref: [arXiv:1407.7310](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7310)

# Plan of the talk

- 1 KPZ equation (Ill-posedness, Renormalization)
- 2 Cole-Hopf solution, linear stochastic heat equation (SHE)
- 3 KPZ approximating equations
  - (1) simple approximation
  - (2) approximation adapted to finding invariant measures
- 4 Invariant measures of Cole-Hopf solution and SHE
- 5 Multi-component KPZ equation

# 1. KPZ equation

- The KPZ (**Kardar-Parisi-Zhang**, 1986) equation describes the motion of growing interface with random fluctuation.
- It has the form for **height function**  $h(t, x)$ :

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x h)^2 + \dot{W}(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ (or } \mathbb{S}). \quad (1)$$

- We consider in 1D on a whole line  $\mathbb{R}$  or on a finite interval  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  under periodic boundary condition.
- The coefficients  $\frac{1}{2}$  are not important, since we can change them under space-time scaling.
- $\dot{W}(t, x)$  is a **space-time Gaussian white noise** with mean 0 and correlation function:

$$E[\dot{W}(t, x) \dot{W}(s, y)] = \delta(t - s) \delta(x - y). \quad (2)$$

### Ill-posedness of the KPZ eq (1):

- The nonlinearity and roughness of the noise do not match.
- The linear SPDE:

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \dot{W}(t, x),$$

obtained by dropping the nonlinear term has a solution  $h \in C^{\frac{1}{4}-, \frac{1}{2}-}([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$  a.s. Therefore, **no way** to define the nonlinear term  $(\partial_x h)^2$  in (1) in a usual sense.

- Actually, the following Renormalized KPZ eq with compensator  $\delta_x(x)$  ( $= +\infty$ ) has the meaning:

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} \{(\partial_x h)^2 - \delta_x(x)\} + \dot{W}(t, x),$$

as we will see later.

## 2. Cole-Hopf solution to the KPZ equation

- Viscous stochastic Burgers equation:  $u := \partial_x h$  satisfies

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \dot{W}(t, x). \quad (3)$$

- Motivated by this, consider the linear stochastic heat equation (SHE) for  $Z = Z(t, x)$ :

$$\partial_t Z = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + Z \dot{W}(t, x), \quad (4)$$

with a multiplicative noise. This is a **well-posed** eq.

- The solution  $Z(t)$  of (4) is defined in a **generalized functions' sense** or in a **mild form** due to Duhamel's principle using heat kernel  $p(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-(y-x)^2/(2t)}$ .
- These two notions are equivalent, and  $\exists$  unique solution s.t.  $Z \in C([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$  and  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-r|x|} |Z(t, x)| < \infty$  for  $\forall r > 0$  a.s.
- (**Strong comparison**)  $Z(0, x) \geq 0$  for  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $Z(0, x) > 0$  for  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}$   
 $\implies Z(t, x) > 0$  for  $\forall t > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$  a.s.
- Therefore, we can define the **Cole-Hopf transformation**:

$$h(t, x) := \log Z(t, x). \quad (5)$$

Heuristic derivation of the KPZ eq (with renormalization factor  $\delta_x(x)$ ) from SHE (4) under the Cole-Hopf transformation (5):

- Apply Itô's formula for  $h = \log z$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t h &= Z^{-1} \partial_t Z - \frac{1}{2} Z^{-2} (\partial_t Z)^2 \\ &= Z^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + Z \dot{W} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \delta_x(x) \\ &\quad \text{by SHE (4) and } (dZ(t, x))^2 = (Z dW(t, x))^2 \\ &\quad \quad \quad dW(t, x) dW(t, y) = \delta(x - y) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \partial_x^2 h + (\partial_x h)^2 \} + \dot{W} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_x(x)\end{aligned}$$

- This leads to the **Renormalized KPZ eq**:

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} \{(\partial_x h)^2 - \delta_x(x)\} + \dot{W}(t, x). \quad (6)$$

- The function  $h(t, x)$  defined by (5) is meaningful and called the **Cole-Hopf solution** of the KPZ eq, although the equation (1) does not make sense.
- **Goal**: To introduce approximations for (6), in particular, well adapted to finding invariant measures.
- Hairer (2013, 2014) gave a meaning to (6) without bypassing SHE.



### 3. KPZ approximating equation-1: Simple

- **Symmetric convolution kernel** Let  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $\eta(x) \geq 0$ ,  $\eta(x) = \eta(-x)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(x) dx = 1$  be given, and set  $\eta^\varepsilon(x) := \eta(x/\varepsilon)/\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- **Smeared noise** The smeared noise is defined by

$$W^\varepsilon(t, x) = \langle W(t), \eta^\varepsilon(x - \cdot) \rangle (= W(t) * \eta^\varepsilon(x)).$$

- Approximating Eq-1:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t h &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_x h)^2 - \xi^\varepsilon) + \dot{W}^\varepsilon(t, x) \\ \partial_t Z &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + Z \dot{W}^\varepsilon(t, x),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi^\varepsilon = \eta_2^\varepsilon(0)$  ( $:= \eta^\varepsilon * \eta^\varepsilon(0)$ ).

- It is easy to show that  $Z = Z^\varepsilon$  converges to the sol  $Z$  of (SHE), and therefore  $h = h^\varepsilon$  converges to the Cole-Hopf solution of the KPZ eq.

## KPZ approximating equation-2: Suit for inv meas

**Goal:** We want to introduce an approximation which is suitable to study the invariant measures.

**General principle.** Consider the SPDE

$$\partial_t h = F(h) + \dot{W},$$

and let  $A$  be a certain operator. Then, the structure of the invariant measures essentially does not change for

$$\partial_t h = A^2 F(h) + A \dot{W}.$$

This may not be true in non-reversible situation.

- KPZ approximating equation-2

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_x h)^2 - \xi^\varepsilon) * \eta_2^\varepsilon + \dot{W}^\varepsilon(t, x), \quad (7)$$

where  $\eta_2(x) = \eta * \eta(x)$ ,  $\eta_2^\varepsilon(x) = \eta_2(x/\varepsilon)/\varepsilon$  and  $\xi^\varepsilon = \eta_2^\varepsilon(0)$ .

- Note that the solution  $h$  of (7) is smooth in  $x$ , so that we can consider the associated **tilt process**  $\partial_x h$ .

Let  $\nu^\varepsilon$  be the distribution of  $\partial_x(B * \eta^\varepsilon(x))$ , where  $B$  is the two-sided Brownian motion.  $\nu^\varepsilon$  is independent of choice of  $B(0)$ .

### Theorem 1

*$\nu^\varepsilon$  is invariant for the tilt process  $\partial_x h$  determined by SPDE (7).*

- DaPrato-Debussche-Tubaro (2007) studied a similar SPDE to (7) on  $\mathbb{S}$ .

## Sketch of the proof:

- Step 1: Consider on a discrete torus  $\mathbb{T}_N = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . The discretization of  $(\partial_x h)^2$  should be carefully chosen (cf. Myllys' talk, Krug-Spohn):

$$\frac{1}{3} \left\{ (h_{i+1} - h_i)^2 + (h_i - h_{i-1})^2 + (h_{i+1} - h_i)(h_i - h_{i-1}) \right\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{T}_N$$

Discrete version of  $\nu^\varepsilon$  defined on  $\mathbb{T}_N$  is invariant.

- Step 2: Continuum limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  leads to the result on  $\mathbb{S}$ . This can be easily extended to a torus  $\mathbb{S}_M = \mathbb{R}/M\mathbb{Z}$  of size  $M$ .
- Step 3: Take an infinite-volume limit as  $M \rightarrow \infty$  by usual tightness and martingale problem approach.

**Remark:** Infinitesimal invariance can be directly shown based on [Wiener-Itô expansion](#) of tame functions  $\Phi$ :

$$\int \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon \Phi(h) \nu^\varepsilon(dh) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$  is (pre) generator of the SPDE (7).

$$\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}_0^\varepsilon + \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0^\varepsilon \Phi(h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} D^2 \Phi(x_1, x_2; h) \eta_2^\varepsilon(x_1 - x_2) dx_1 dx_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^2 h(x) D\Phi(x; h) dx,$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon \Phi(h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\partial_x h)^2 - \xi^\varepsilon) * \eta_2^\varepsilon(x) D\Phi(x; h) dx.$$

Combined with the well-posedness of  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$ -martingale problem, which can be shown at least on  $\mathbb{S}$ , it is expected that the infinitesimal invariance implies Thm 1. But this is not clear in infinite-dimensional setting; cf. Echeverria (1982), Bhatt-Karandikar (1993).

## Cole-Hopf transform for SPDE (7)

- The **goal** is to pass to the limit  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  in the KPZ approximating equation (7):

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_x h)^2 - \xi^\varepsilon) * \eta_2^\varepsilon + \dot{W}^\varepsilon(t, x).$$

- We consider its Cole-Hopf transform:  $Z (\equiv Z^\varepsilon) := e^h$ . Then, by Itô's formula,  $Z$  satisfies the SPDE:

$$\partial_t Z = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + A^\varepsilon(x, Z) + Z \dot{W}^\varepsilon(t, x), \quad (9)$$

where

$$A^\varepsilon(x, Z) = \frac{1}{2} Z(x) \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial_x Z}{Z} \right)^2 * \eta_2^\varepsilon(x) - \left( \frac{\partial_x Z}{Z} \right)^2(x) \right\}.$$

- The complex term  $A^\varepsilon(x, Z)$  looks vanishing as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ .

- But this is not true. Indeed, under the average in time  $t$ ,  $A^\varepsilon(x, Z)$  can be replaced by a linear function  $\frac{1}{24}Z$ .
- The limit as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  (under stationarity of tilt),

$$\partial_t Z = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + \frac{1}{24} Z + Z \dot{W}(t, x).$$

- Or, heuristically at KPZ level,

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} \{(\partial_x h)^2 - \delta_x(x)\} + \frac{1}{24} + \dot{W}(t, x).$$



## Taking the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ (Similar to Boltzmann-Gibbs principle)

- Asymptotic replacement of  $A^\varepsilon(x, Z^\varepsilon(s))$  by  $\frac{1}{24}Z^\varepsilon(s, x)$ .
- To avoid the complexity arising from the infiniteness of invariant measures, we view  $h^\varepsilon(t, \rho) = \int h^\varepsilon(t, x)\rho(x)dx$  (height averaged by  $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \geq 0, \int \rho(x)dx = 1$ ) in modulo 1 (called wrapped process).

### Theorem 2

For every  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $\text{supp } \varphi \cap \text{supp } \rho = \emptyset$ , we have that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E^{\pi \otimes \nu^\varepsilon} \left[ \left\{ \int_0^t \tilde{A}^\varepsilon(\varphi, Z^\varepsilon(s)) ds \right\}^2 \right] = 0,$$

where  $\pi$  is the uniform measure for  $h^\varepsilon(0, \rho) \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$\tilde{A}^\varepsilon(\varphi, Z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{A}^\varepsilon(x, Z)\varphi(x)dx$$

$$\tilde{A}^\varepsilon(x, Z) = A^\varepsilon(x, Z) - \frac{1}{24}Z(x).$$

## Proof of Theorem 2

(1) Reduction of equilibrium dynamic problem to static one:

- The expectation is bounded by

$$\leq 20t \sup_{\Phi \in L^2(\pi \otimes \nu^\varepsilon)} \left\{ 2E^{\pi \otimes \nu^\varepsilon} \left[ \tilde{A}^\varepsilon(\varphi, Z)\Phi \right] - \langle \Phi, (-\mathcal{L}_0^\varepsilon)\Phi \rangle_{\pi \otimes \nu^\varepsilon} \right\},$$
$$(= 20t \|A^\varepsilon(\varphi, Z)\|_{-1, \varepsilon}^2)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_0^\varepsilon$  is the symmetric part of  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$ . This is a generic bound in a stationary situation.

- Here,

$$2E^{\pi \otimes \nu^\varepsilon} \left[ \tilde{A}^\varepsilon(\varphi, Z)\Phi \right] = E^\pi \left[ Z_\rho E^{\nu^\varepsilon} \left[ B^\varepsilon(\varphi, Z)\Phi(h(\rho), \nabla h) \right] \right],$$

where  $Z_\rho = \exp\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log Z(x) \rho(x) dx \right\}$ ,  $B^\varepsilon(x, Z) = \frac{2A^\varepsilon(x, Z)}{Z_\rho}$  and  $B^\varepsilon(\varphi, Z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} B^\varepsilon(x, Z) \varphi(x) dx$ .

(2) The key is the following static bound:

### Proposition 3

For  $\Phi = \Phi(\nabla h) \in L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \nu)$  such that  $\|\Phi\|_{1,\varepsilon}^2 = \langle \Phi, (-\mathcal{L}_0^\varepsilon)\Phi \rangle_{\pi \otimes \nu^\varepsilon} < \infty$ , and  $\varphi$  satisfying the condition of Theorem 2, we have that

$$|E^{\nu^\varepsilon} [B^\varepsilon(\varphi, Z)\Phi]| \leq C(\varphi)\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|\Phi\|_{1,\varepsilon}, \quad (10)$$

with some positive constant  $C(\varphi)$ , which depends only on  $\varphi$ , for all  $\varepsilon: 0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \wedge \frac{1}{6}$ .

Once this proposition is shown, the proof of Theorem 2 is concluded, since the **sup** in the last slide is bounded by

$$\leq 20t \sup\{2eC(\varphi)\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|\Phi\|_{1,\varepsilon} - \|\Phi\|_{1,\varepsilon}^2\} = \text{const}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

## Point of the proof of Proposition 3

- First note that

$$\begin{aligned} & E^{\nu^\varepsilon} [B^\varepsilon(\varphi, Z)\Phi] \\ &= E^{\nu^\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{Z(x)}{Z_\rho} \left( \{\Psi^\varepsilon * \eta_2^\varepsilon(x) - \Psi^\varepsilon(x)\} - \frac{1}{12} \right) \Phi \right] \end{aligned}$$

- To compute this expectation, since  $\{\Psi^\varepsilon * \eta_2^\varepsilon(x) - \Psi^\varepsilon(x)\}$  is 2nd order Wiener functional, we need to pick up the **2nd order and 0th order terms** of the products of two Wiener functionals  $\frac{Z(x)}{Z_\rho} \times \Phi$ . We apply the **diagram formula** to compute the Winer chaos expansion of products of two functions.

- Note that, under  $\nu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Z(x)}{Z_\rho} &= e^{B(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} B(y)\rho(y)dy} \\ &= e^{a(x)} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_x^{\otimes n}(u_1, \dots, u_n) dB(u_1) \cdots dB(u_n) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_x(u) &= 1_{(-\infty, x]}(u) - \int_u^\infty \rho(y)dy, \\ a(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_x(u)^2 du.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the kernel  $\phi_x$  has **jump**.

- $\frac{1}{24}$  is the speed of growing interface, and already appears in some previous talks and in many KPZ related papers.
- For general convolution kernel  $\eta$ , this constant is given by  $J/2$ , where

$$J = P(R_1 + R_3 > 0, R_2 + R_3 > 0) - P(R_1 > 0, R_2 > 0),$$

and  $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^3$  are i.i.d. r.v.s distributed under  $\eta_2(x)dx$

- If  $\eta$  is symmetric,

$$\begin{aligned} P(R_1 + R_3 > 0, R_2 + R_3 > 0) &= P(R_1 - R_3 > 0, R_2 - R_3 > 0) \\ &= P(R_3 = \min R_i) = \frac{1}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $J = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{12}$ .

- If the support of  $\eta \subset [0, \infty)$  (or  $\subset (-\infty, 0]$ ), then  $J = 0$ .

- Wrapping can be removed by showing **uniform estimate**:

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} E \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} h^\varepsilon(t, \rho)^2 \right] < \infty.$$

Namely, height cannot move very fast. This is shown only on a torus (since we need Poincaré inequality).

- Under the stationary situation of the tilt processes, in the limit, we obtain the SHE:

$$\partial_t Z = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + \frac{1}{24} Z + Z \dot{W}(t, x). \quad (11)$$

- This looks different from the original SHE (4), but the solution  $Z_t$  of (11) gives the solution  $\tilde{Z}_t$  of (4) under the simple transformation  $\tilde{Z}_t := e^{-\frac{t}{24}} Z_t$ .
- This implies the invariance of the distribution of the geometric Brownian motion for the **tilt process** determined by the SHE (4), and therefore that of BM for Cole-Hopf solution.

## 4. Invariant measures of Cole-Hopf sol and SHE

As a byproduct, one can give a class of invariant measures for the stochastic heat equation (4) and for the Cole-Hopf solution of the KPZ equation.

- Let  $\mu^c, c \in \mathbb{R}$  be the distribution of  $e^{B(x)+cx}, x \in \mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathcal{C}_+$ , where  $B(x)$  is the two-sided Brownian motion such that  $\mu^c(B(0) \in dx) = dx$ .
- Let  $\nu^c$  be the distribution of  $B(x) + cx$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- Note that these are **not** probability measures.



## Theorem 4

$\{\mu^c\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$  are invariant under SHE (4), i.e.,  
 $Z(0) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mu^c \Rightarrow Z(t) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mu^c$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Corollary 5

$\{\nu^c\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$  are invariant under the Cole-Hopf solution of the KPZ equation.

- $c$  means the average tilt of the interface.
- We have different invariant measures for different average tilts.
- Reversibility does not hold, but a kind of **Yaglom reversibility** holds.

- (Scale invariance) If  $Z(t, x)$  is a solution of (4), then

$$Z^c(t, x) := e^{cx + \frac{1}{2}c^2t} Z(t, x + ct)$$

is also a solution (with a new white noise). Therefore, once the invariance of  $\mu^0$  is shown,  $\mu^c$  is also invariant for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- One expects  $\mu^c$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  to be all the extremal invariant measures (except constant multipliers), but this remains open; cf. F-Spohn for  $\nabla\varphi$ -interface model.

- The argument at the end of the last Section combined with Theorem 1 at approximating level shows the invariance of  $\mu$  for tilt processes.
- To extend this to the **height processes**  $Z_t$ , we introduce the transformation  $h^\varepsilon(x, Z) := \log(Z * \eta^\varepsilon(x))$ . Then, the evolution of  $h^\varepsilon(x, Z_t)$  is governed only by the tilt variables and the initial data  $h^\varepsilon(x, Z_0)$ .

## 5. Multi-component KPZ equation

- Ferrari-Sasamoto-Spohn (2013) studied  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued KPZ equation for  $h(t, x) = (h^\alpha(t, x))_{\alpha=1}^d$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\partial_t h^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \partial_x h^\beta \partial_x h^\gamma + \dot{W}^\alpha(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\dot{W}(t, x) = (\dot{W}^\alpha(t, x))_{\alpha=1}^d$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued space-time Gaussian white noise. The constants  $(\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq d}$  satisfy the condition:

$$\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \Gamma_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha = \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma. \quad (13)$$

- Similar SPDE appears to discuss motion of loops on a manifold, cf. Funaki (1992), Hairer (2013, preprint).

- We introduce the smeared noise:

$$W^\varepsilon(t, x) \equiv (\dot{W}^{\varepsilon, \alpha}(t, x))_{\alpha=1}^d = \langle W(t), \eta^\varepsilon(x - \cdot) \rangle,$$

and consider  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued KPZ approximating equation for  $h = h^\varepsilon(t, x) \equiv (h^{\varepsilon, \alpha}(t, x))_{\alpha=1}^d$ :

$$\partial_t h^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha (\partial_x h^\beta \partial_x h^\gamma - \xi^\varepsilon \delta^{\beta\gamma}) * \eta_2^\varepsilon + \dot{W}^{\varepsilon, \alpha}(t, x), \quad (14)$$

where  $\delta^{\beta\gamma}$  denotes Kronecker's  $\delta$ .

- Let  $\nu^\varepsilon$  be the distribution of  $\partial_x(B * \eta^\varepsilon(x))$  on  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $B$  is the  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued two-sided Brownian motion satisfying  $B(0) = 0$ .

## Theorem 6

*The probability measure  $\nu^\varepsilon$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  is infinitesimally invariant for the tilt process  $\partial_x h$  of the SPDE (14).*

## Summary of the talk.

- 1 KPZ equation:

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x h)^2 + \dot{W}(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- 2 KPZ approximating equation with  $W^\varepsilon(t, x) = \langle W(t), \eta^\varepsilon(x - \cdot) \rangle$ :

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 h + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_x h)^2 - \xi^\varepsilon) * \eta_2^\varepsilon + \dot{W}^\varepsilon(t, x)$$

has invariant measure  $\nu^\varepsilon$  (=distribution of  $B * \eta^\varepsilon$ ).

- 3 Cole-Hopf transform  $Z := e^h$  leads to the SPDE:

$$\partial_t Z = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + \frac{1}{2} Z \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial_x Z}{Z} \right)^2 * \eta_2^\varepsilon - \left( \frac{\partial_x Z}{Z} \right)^2 \right\} + Z \dot{W}^\varepsilon(t, x)$$

- 4 As  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ , one can replace the middle term by  $\frac{1}{24} Z$  under time average and get the SPDE in the limit:

$$\partial_t Z = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 Z + \frac{1}{24} Z + Z \dot{W}(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- 5 Multi-component KPZ approximating equation.

Thank you for your attention!