

The Relativistic Quantum Information North (RQIN 2017)


July 4th-7th, 2017

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University



On time and position in quantum theory

07.05.2017



Juan León
QUINFOG

Instituto de Física Fundamental (CSIC)

Causal order is under quantum examination


A problem with time

Quantum time

Measuring in space time local and non local operators

Different states for the same event

Quantum time again



Ψ is ontic and complete

Roger Colbeck and Renato Renner
New J. Phys. 19 (2017) 013016


Roger Colbeck and Renato Renner
Nat. Commun. 2 411 (2011)

etc

$$H \rightarrow H_A \otimes H_B$$

measurements \rightarrow projectors

any experiment takes place in spacetime and therefore has a causal order



A process with indefinite causal order

by almost 7 SDs


$$|w_{\text{SWITCH}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|w^{A \rightarrow B}\rangle|0\rangle^C + |w^{B \rightarrow A}\rangle|1\rangle^C)$$

$$W_{\text{SWITCH}} = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}^{(\text{out})}} (|w_{\text{SWITCH}}\rangle\langle w_{\text{SWITCH}}|)$$


$$\text{Tr}(S W^{n-\text{sep}}) < 0$$

Giulia Rubino et al. SciAdv 2017;3:e1602589

S decomposes in terms of operations made in the laboratory $\rho_z^{(\text{in})} \otimes M_{a,x}^A \otimes M_{b,y}^B \otimes D_d^{(\text{out})}$



the causal map COH is intrinsically quantum in both the common-cause and cause-effect pathways and it exhibits a quantum Berkson effect.



J. W. MacLean et al, Nat. Comm. 8, 15149 (2017)

Causal order:

1. If A happens before B on the same machine, then $A < B$
2. If A the sending of a message and B the reception of the same message, $A < B$
3. If $A < B$ and $B < C$ then $A < C$
4. For all A, $A \not< A$... (or use \leq)



Quantum Mechanics has problems with this



Can there be $|\varphi\rangle_{t_A}$ $|\varphi\rangle_{t_B}$ $|\varphi\rangle_{t_C}$ in \mathcal{H} ?

Red arrows point from each tick mark t_A , t_B , and t_C down to the corresponding state label $|\varphi\rangle_{t_A}$, $|\varphi\rangle_{t_B}$, and $|\varphi\rangle_{t_C}$.

$$|\Psi\rangle \in P(t_A, t_B) \Rightarrow P(t_A, t_B)|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle, \quad U_T|\Psi\rangle \in P(t_A + T, t_B + T)$$

$U_T = e^{itH}$ with $\sigma(H)$ bounded, define $f(t) = \langle U_t \Psi, P U_t \Psi \rangle$

Analitycity: either $f(t) \neq 0$ on a dense open set, or $f(t)=0 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$
Hegerfeldt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 596 (1994)

$$f(t) = \langle U_t \Psi, P(a, b) U_t \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi, P(a + t, b + t) \Psi \rangle, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Consider $|\Psi\rangle \in P(a, b)$ and $t > |b - a|$

$$f(t) = \langle P(a, b) \Psi, P(a + t, b + t) \Psi \rangle = 0 \implies f(t) = 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then, $0 = f(0) = \langle \Psi, P(a, b) \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle \implies |\Psi\rangle = 0$
Halvorson <https://www.princeton.edu/~hhalvors/papers/>

Way outs

- i. $P(a + t, b + t)$ not orthogonal to $P(a, b)$ PVM to POVMs
- ii. $\sigma(H)$ unbounded

Unleashing time

the state of the system **given that** the clock shows t

time is **what is shown** in a clock


$$\mathbb{J}|\Psi\rangle\rangle = 0$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_T \otimes \mathcal{H}_S, \quad \mathbb{J} = \hbar\Omega \otimes \mathbb{I}_S + \mathbb{I}_T \otimes H_S, \quad \sigma(\Omega, T, \mathbb{J}) = \mathbb{R}$$

$$|\Psi\rangle\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt |t\rangle |\psi(t)\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega |\omega\rangle |\tilde{\psi}(\omega)\rangle$$

$$\langle t | \mathbb{J} | \Psi \rangle\rangle = 0 \implies \left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + H_S \right) |\psi(t)\rangle = 0, \quad \langle \omega | \mathbb{J} | \Psi \rangle\rangle = 0 \implies (\hbar\omega + H_S) |\tilde{\psi}(\omega)\rangle = 0$$

Pauli problem: as $\mathbb{J}|\Psi\rangle\rangle = 0$ on physical states $\implies \langle\langle \Phi | [T, \mathbb{J}] | \Psi \rangle\rangle = 0$



Pauli problem $\mathbb{J}|\Psi\rangle\rangle = 0$ on physical states $\Rightarrow \langle\langle\Phi|[T, \mathbb{J}]|\Psi\rangle\rangle = 0$

$$\langle\langle\Phi|[T, \mathbb{J}]|\Psi\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle\Phi|\hbar[T, \Omega] + [T, H]|\Psi\rangle\rangle$$

$$[T, \Omega] = i \Rightarrow \langle\langle\Phi|[T, H]|\Psi\rangle\rangle = -i\hbar,$$

$$\sigma(H) = \sigma(T) = \mathbb{R} \quad \text{on physical states!}$$

NO, $\mathbb{J}|\Psi\rangle\rangle = 0 \not\Rightarrow T\mathbb{J}|\Psi\rangle\rangle = 0$

$$\text{Weyl sequence } |\Psi_n\rangle\rangle = \left(\frac{2}{\pi n}\right)^{1/4} \int dt e^{t^2/n} |t\rangle |\psi(t)\rangle$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(\mathbb{J} - \lambda)|\Psi_n\rangle\rangle|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } \lambda = 0 \text{ (essential eigenvalue)}$$

$$|\mathbb{J}|\Psi_n\rangle\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad |T\mathbb{J}|\Psi_n\rangle\rangle|^2 = \frac{3}{4} \Rightarrow \left| \langle\langle\Psi_n|T\mathbb{J}|\Psi_n\rangle\rangle = \frac{i}{2} \right.$$

$$\text{Then } \left| \langle\langle\Psi_n|[T, \mathbb{J}]|\Psi_n\rangle\rangle = i \right. \text{ and } [T, \Omega] = i \Rightarrow \langle\langle\Phi|[T, H]|\Psi\rangle\rangle = 0$$

No Pauli problem

Beware of promoting T to an operator in \mathcal{H}_S

Simplest mishap: time of arrival operator

$$t \sim \frac{mq}{p} \longrightarrow \hat{T} \sim \frac{m\hat{Q}}{\hat{P}} \text{ (with appropriate ordering)}$$

Measurement of time of arrival in QM

a) Prepare a particle state and detect its arrival (results in $\Delta t \sim 1/E_K$)

Or

b) Measure \hat{T} for the set up ... results of b) do not correspond to those of a)...ETC

Aharonov, Oppenheim, Popescu, Reznik, Unruh PRD (1998)

Measurement and reduction in QFT

System in \mathcal{H} density ρ $\text{tr}\rho = 1$

Measurement of A at t_0 , $\langle A \rangle = \text{tr}\rho A$



covariant state reduction

Hellwig, Krauss PRD 1970

Quantum Mechanics


$$\rho \rightarrow \rho_{P'} = P\rho P + (1 - P)\rho(1 - P)$$

$$\rho \rightarrow \rho_{P''} = P\rho P / \text{tr}\rho P$$



Hellwig, Krauss: in QFT

state reduction in $V_+ \cap V'$



P and Q selective measurements on W

P: $W \rightarrow W_{P''}$ in 3, 4
 $W \rightarrow W$ in 1, 2

Q: $W \rightarrow W$ in 1
 $W_{P''} \rightarrow W_{P''}$ in 3
 $W \rightarrow W_{Q''}$ in 2

$$W_{P''} \rightarrow = \frac{QW_{P''}Q}{\text{tr}(QW_{P''})} = \frac{QPWPQ}{\text{tr}(QPW)} \text{ in 4}$$

P Q spatially separated, R in the future

R measure on state $(W_{P''})_{Q''} = \frac{QW_{P''}Q}{\text{tr}(QW_{P''})} = \frac{QPWPQ}{\text{tr}(QPW)} = \frac{PQWQP}{\text{tr}(PQW)} = (W_{Q''})_{P''}$

Independent of $t_P < t_Q$ or $t_P \geq t_Q$

Proposal grounded on strict locality in QFT

Measurement and reduction in QFT

Warning : Histories may be frame dependent

Prepare a particle in state

$$|\alpha\rangle = |x_1\rangle + |x_2\rangle + |x_3\rangle, \quad t < t_1$$

Measure at $t = t_1$... particle not in x_1

$$|\beta\rangle = |x_2\rangle + |x_3\rangle, \quad t_1 < t < t_2$$

Measure at $t = t_2$... particle not in x_1

$$|x_3\rangle \quad t_2 < t$$

In frame K' where (x'_2, t'_2) precedes (x'_1, t'_1)


$$|\alpha'\rangle \quad t < t_2$$

$$|\gamma'\rangle = |x'_1\rangle + |x'_3\rangle, \quad t'_2 < t' < t'_1$$

$$|x'_3\rangle \quad t'_1 < t'$$

Frame dependent states

Aharonov, Albert PRD 1984



Observer K $|\beta\rangle \quad t_1 < t < t_2$

Observer K' $|\gamma'\rangle \quad t'_2 < t' < t'_1$

A non local system evolves undisturbed from $t = -\infty$ to $t = -\infty$


At $t = -\infty$ prepare a particle in $|\delta\rangle = |x_1\rangle + |x_2\rangle$, $D|\delta\rangle = \delta|\delta\rangle$ const. of motion

Check that particle is in $|\delta\rangle$ at an instant t using $H = H_1 + H_2$, $H_i = g_i(t)q_i\sigma_z^{(x_i)}$

If prepared in $|\delta\rangle$ the procedure gives δ and leaves the state as it was

Now, suppose a local detector at $x_1 = 0$ finds the particle at $x_1 = 0$ at $t = 0$

From then on $t \in (0, \infty)$, the particle remains in the box




measurements at $t = 0$ and $t = -\infty$
do not commute:

measurement of x gives	$x = x_1 = 0$
Measurement of D gives	$D = \delta$

M_∞

$[M_-, M_0] \neq 0$



M_- position $\rightarrow x_1 = 0$ ($t = 0$)


M_- D $\rightarrow D = \delta$ ($t = -\infty$)

History 1. collapse at $t = -\infty$, later at $x_1 = 0$

History 2. at $t = 0$ $|\delta\rangle \rightarrow |x_1\rangle$

frame independent but $t = 0, t' \neq 0$


$M_{-\infty}$



reduction not along V_- (not Hellwig-Kraus)



Instantaneous reduction




A and B using instantaneous reduction in their frames

↘ conflicting accounts

not a single history in space-time

α monitors state history in K \rightarrow reduction at $t = 0$



β K' \rightarrow reduction at $t' = 0$

$\alpha: |\delta\rangle \quad \Psi(P) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

$\beta: |x_1\rangle \quad \Psi(P) = 0$

$\Psi(x, t)$ is not enough

Needed a functional on spacelike hypersurfaces


most basic level: spacelike hypersurfaces characterized by their relative velocities

Clocks evolve with their proper times characterized by their relative velocities

The Hamiltonian constraint serve to fix a time on the physical subspace of $\mathcal{H}_T \otimes \mathcal{H}_S$

Consider a set of clocks α , $\mathcal{H}_T \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{T_\alpha}, \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}_\alpha$ For each α a physical subspace with states given by $\mathbb{J}_\alpha |\Psi_\alpha\rangle\rangle$

clock *system*
 $[\hat{T}, \hat{\Omega}] = i$ $[\hat{Q}, \hat{P}] = i\hbar$



Is something like this what we are looking for?

Thanks for your attention




Figure 1. The different possible roles of the wave function Ψ . A model that uses a variable Λ to determine the outcome of a measurement (i.e., is either Ψ -ontic or Ψ -epistemic, depending on whether or not the wave function Ψ is uniquely determined by Λ , denoted by λ). Conversely, the relevant parts of Λ may be determined by Ψ , in which case Ψ is complete (i.e., is not determined by Λ with respect to an appropriate causal order), [17] rules out the right column, [16] rules out the bottom row, and [14], based on different assumptions) rules out the bottom row.