Non-perturbative beta functions and scaling laws in QCD with many quark flavors

Kyoto RG Workshop @YITP

Aug. 25, 2011

Yuki Kusafuka, Eri Ueno and H. T.

(Nara Women' s Univ. Japan)

- Contents:
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Non-perturbative beta function
- 3. RG flow equations for SU(N) gauge theories
- 4. Aspects of the RG flows
- 5. "Non-perturbative" gauge beta functions
- 6. Anomalous dimensions in many flavor QCD
- 7. Scaling laws in nearly conformal theories
- 8. Summary and discussions

Based on Y.Kusafuka, H.T., arXiv:11043606 Y.Kusafuka, E.Ueno, H.T., in preparation

Conformal window of the many flavor QCD

SU(N_c) gauge theory with N_f massless flavors

2-loop gauge beta function $\alpha_g = g^2/(4\pi)^2$

$$\beta_g^{[2]} \equiv \mu \frac{d\alpha_g}{d\mu} = -2b_0 \alpha_g^2 - 2b_1 \alpha_g^3$$

$$b_0 = \frac{11}{3}N_c - \frac{2}{3}N_f$$

$$b_1 = \frac{34}{3}N_c^2 - N_f \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{N_c} + \frac{10}{3}N_c\right)$$

IR (Banks-Zaks) fixed point

 β_g

W.E.Caswell, PRL 33 (1974)

- goes towards strong coupling region as Nf decreases.
- Spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry for Nf < Ncr
 - Scale invariance is lost there. \Rightarrow Fixed point cannot exist !

Conformal window:
$$N_{fcr} < N_f < \frac{11}{2}N_c$$

Boundary of the conformal window Nf cr

Analysis of the Dyson-Schwinger equations

```
V.A.Miransky, K.Yamawaki, MPL A4 (1989); PRD 55 (1997)
T.Appelguist et.al. PRL 77 (1996); PRD 58 (1998)
```

- DS equations with the fixed point gauge coupling are examined.
- The ladder approximation is used mostly.
- Motivation of study: application to New Technicolor models
- Lattice simulations of the effective gauge coupling

Y.Iwasaki et. al. PRL 69 (1992) T. Appelquist et. al. PRL 100 (2008); PRL1 02 (2009);PRD 79 (2009) A.Hasenfratz, PRD 80 (2009); PRD 82 (2010) T. DeGrand, et.al. PRD 80 (2009); PRD 82 (2010); PRD 83 (2011) L. Del Debbio et.al. PRD 81 (2010); PRD 82 (2010) Z. Fodor et.al.PL B 681 (2009); arXiv:0904.4662 E.Itou et.al arXiv:1011.1964 M.Hyakawa et.al. PRD 83 (2011)



Question: How does the beta function change vs. Nf?

A fixed point is not allowed at the strong coupling region. Therefore the perturbative beta functions cannot transform into the asymptotically free one smoothly, then what happens?

Decoupling of fermions?

- Chiral symmetry breaking reduces the flavor number effectively. So the beta function may be modified at the strong coupling region.
- But a UV fixed point seems to appear in the strong coupling region for $Nf \ge Ncr$, which contradicts with chiral symmetry breaking.



⇒ We need some non-perturbative analyses of the beta functions in the conformal window.

Use of the Wilson (Exact) Renormalization Group

Scale invariance:

Dyson-Schwinger equations treating the chiral order parameters are useless in the conformal window. Also it would be difficult to study almost scale invariant theories by the Lattice MC simulation.

Non-perturbative calculation:

In the Wilson RG, renormalized theories can be defined by the renormalized trajectories (RTs), which are given as the continuum limit of the Wilson RG flows. J.Polchinski, N.P. B231 (1984)

Beta function:

Then **non-perturbative beta functions** can be given by scale transformation on the RTs.

 \Rightarrow So the ERG is a quite suitable framework.

Non-perturbative beta function

Wilson RG

Wilsonian effective action

Integrating out higher momentum modes

$$\begin{split} Z &= \int_{|p| < \Lambda_0} \mathcal{D}\phi(p) \exp(-S_0[\phi;\Lambda_0]) \\ &= \int_{|p| < \Lambda} \mathcal{D}\phi(p) \exp(-S_{\text{eff}}[\phi;\Lambda]) \\ S_{\text{eff}}[\phi;\Lambda] &= \int d^D x \sum_i \frac{g_i}{\Lambda^{d_i}} \mathcal{O}_i[\phi] \end{split}$$

K.G.Wilson, I.G.Kogut (1974)



: Wilsonian effective action contains infinitely many operators

• Wilson RG $\Lambda \frac{dS_{\text{eff}}}{d\Lambda} = \mathcal{F}[S_{\text{eff}}], \quad \text{Or} \quad \Lambda \frac{dg_i}{d\Lambda} = \beta_i(\{g\})$

Legendre flow equation (Wetterich eq.) for the cutoff effective action

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma_{\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{p} \operatorname{tr} \left[\frac{\partial R}{\partial \Lambda} \cdot \left(R + \frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma_{\Lambda}}{\delta \phi_{p} \delta \phi_{-p}} \right)^{-1} \right]$$

Non-perturbative beta function

Scalar field theory as a toy model

- RG flows in (λ_4,λ_6) space
 - Operator truncation

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda_4}{2!} \left(\frac{\phi^2}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{\lambda_6}{3!\Lambda^2} \left(\frac{\phi^2}{2}\right)^3$$

Wetterich eqn (sharp cutoff limit)

$$\Lambda \frac{d\lambda_4}{d\Lambda} = a\lambda_4^2 - b\lambda_6$$
$$\Lambda \frac{d\lambda_6}{d\Lambda} = 2\lambda_6 - c\lambda_4^3 + 2d\lambda_4\lambda_6$$

$$a = 9A, \quad b = 10A$$

 $c = 27A, \quad d = (45/2)A$
 $(A = 2/(4\pi)^2)$

■ Renormalized trajectory ⇔ renormalized theory



J.Polchinski, N.P. B231 (1984)

Non-perturbative beta function

Renormalized trajectory

Perturbative analysis

$$\lambda_6^* = \frac{c}{2}\lambda_4^3 + \frac{c}{4}(3a - 2d)\lambda_4^4 - \frac{c}{8}(-12a^2 + 3bc + 14ad - 4d^2)\lambda_4^5 + \cdots$$

Non-perturbative beta function

Renormalized trajectory
We can find the RT numerically
without perturbative expansion.
\$\lambda_6 = \lambda_6^*(\lambda_4)\$
"Non-perturbative" beta function
The beta function of a renormalized
parameter is given by the scale
transformation on the RT.

$$\beta_4(\lambda_4) = a\lambda_4^2 - b\lambda_6^*(\lambda_4)$$

$$= a\lambda_4^2 - \frac{bc}{2}\lambda_4^3 - \frac{bc}{4}(3a - 2d)\lambda_4^4 + \cdots$$



Wilsonian effective action

- Four-fermi operators
 - Important to describe the chiral symmetry breaking
 - Symmetries
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet \text{ Gauge symmetry :} & SU(N_c) & \psi_L^{ai} = L^{ai}, \psi_R^{ai} = R^{ai} \\ \bullet \text{ Chiral flavor symmetry :} & SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R & (a = 1, \cdots, N_c) \\ \bullet \text{ Parity} & \psi_L \leftrightarrow \psi_R & (i = 1, \cdots, N_f) \end{array}$
 - 4 invariant four-fermi operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{4f} = \frac{G_S}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_S + \frac{G_V}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_V + \frac{G_{V1}}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_{V1} + \frac{G_{V2}}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_{V2}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_S = 2\bar{L}_i R^j \bar{R}_j L^i = \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\psi}_i \psi^j \bar{\psi}_j \psi^i - \bar{\psi}_i \gamma_5 \psi^j \bar{\psi}_j \gamma_5 \psi^i \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_V = \bar{L}_i \gamma^\mu L^j \bar{L}_j \gamma_\mu L^i + (L \leftrightarrow R)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \psi^j \bar{\psi}_j \gamma_\mu \psi^i + \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi^j \bar{\psi}_j \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi^i \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{V1} = 2\bar{L}_i \gamma^\mu L^i \bar{R}_j \gamma_\mu R^j = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \psi^i)^2 - (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi^i)^2 \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{V2} = (\bar{L}_i \gamma^\mu L^i)^2 + (L \leftrightarrow R) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \psi^i)^2 + (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi^i)^2 \right]$$

Invariant four-fermi operators

 $\psi_L^{ai} = L^{ai}, \psi_R^{ai} = R^{ai} \quad (a = 1, \cdots, N_c)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, N_f)$ Apparent invariants $2\bar{L}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}L^{ai}\bar{R}_{bj}\gamma_{\mu}R^{bj} = \frac{1}{2}\left[(\bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{ai})^{2} - (\bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi^{ai})^{2}\right]$ $2\bar{L}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}L^{bi}\bar{R}_{bj}\gamma_{\mu}R^{aj} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{bi}\bar{\psi}_{bj}\gamma_{\mu}\psi^{aj} - \bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi^{bi}\bar{\psi}_{bj}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi^{aj}\right]$ $\bar{L}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}L^{ai}\bar{L}_{bj}\gamma_{\mu}L^{bj} + (L\leftrightarrow R) = \frac{1}{2}\left[(\bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{ai})^2 + (\bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\psi^{ai})^2\right]$ $\bar{L}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}L^{bi}\bar{L}_{bi}\gamma_{\mu}L^{aj} + (L \leftrightarrow R)$ $=\frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{bi}\bar{\psi}_{bj}\gamma_{\mu}\psi^{aj}+\bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi^{bi}\bar{\psi}_{bj}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi^{aj}\right]$ $\bar{L}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}L^{aj}\bar{L}_{bi}\gamma_{\mu}L^{bi} + (L\leftrightarrow R)$ $=\frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{aj}\bar{\psi}_{bj}\gamma_{\mu}\psi^{ai}+\bar{\psi}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi^{aj}\bar{\psi}_{bj}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi^{bi}\right]$ $\bar{L}_{ai}\gamma^{\mu}L^{bj}\bar{L}_{bi}\gamma_{\mu}L^{ai} + (L\leftrightarrow R)$

Fiertz identities

$$\begin{split} \bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{2} \ \bar{\psi}_{3}\gamma_{\mu}\psi_{4} + \bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{2} \ \bar{\psi}_{3}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{4} \\ &= \bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{4} \ \bar{\psi}_{3}\gamma_{\mu}\psi_{2} + \bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{4} \ \bar{\psi}_{3}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{2} \\ &= \bar{\psi}_{1}\psi_{2} \ \bar{\psi}_{3}\psi_{4} - \bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma_{5}\psi_{2} \ \bar{\psi}_{3}\gamma_{5}\psi_{4} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{4} \ \bar{\psi}_{3}\gamma_{\mu}\psi_{2} - \bar{\psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{4} \ \bar{\psi}_{3}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{2} \right] \end{split}$$

• Current-current interactions $2\sum_{A=1}^{\dim G} (T^A)^a_d \ (T^A)^c_b = \delta^a_b \ \delta^c_d - \frac{1}{N_c} \delta^a_d \ \delta^c_b$

$$2\sum_{A} \bar{L}_{i} T^{A} \gamma^{\mu} L^{i} \bar{R}_{j} T^{A} \gamma_{\mu} R^{j} = -\mathcal{O}_{S} - \frac{1}{2N_{c}} \mathcal{O}_{V1}$$

$$\sum_{A} \bar{L}_{i} T^{A} \gamma^{\mu} L^{i} \bar{L}_{j} T^{A} \gamma_{\mu} L^{j} + (L \leftrightarrow R) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O}_{V} - \frac{1}{2N_{c}} \mathcal{O}_{V2}$$

$$\sum_{A} \bar{L}_{i} T^{A} \gamma^{\mu} L^{j} \bar{L}_{j} T^{A} \gamma_{\mu} L^{i} + (L \leftrightarrow R) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O}_{V2} - \frac{1}{2N_{c}} \mathcal{O}_{V}$$

Spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry

K.-I.Aoki, K.Morikawa, W.Souma, J.-I.Sumi, H.T., M.Tomoyose,

PTP97 (1997), PTP102 (1999), PRD61 (2000)

 $\blacksquare G_S o \infty$: Chiral symmetry breaking

 $\langle \bar{\psi}_i \psi^j \rangle = M^3 \delta_i^j \quad \Rightarrow \quad SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \to SU(N_f)_V$

Approximation scheme

Operator truncation

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{4g^2} F^A_{\mu\nu} F^{A\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}_f i \not\!\!\!D \,\psi^f + \mathcal{L}_{4\text{f}}$$

We discard all gauge non-invariant corrections. Note: Cutoff breaks gauge invariance. Gauge non-invariant corrections may be controlled by the modified WT identities.

RG flow equations (sharp cutoff limit)

• Four-fermi couplings $(g_i = G_i/4\pi^2, \ \alpha_g = g^2/(4\pi)^2)$ H.Gies, J.Jackel, C.Wetterich, PRD 69 (2004)

H.Gies, J.Jackel, EPJC 46 (2006)

$$\begin{split} \Lambda \frac{dg_S}{d\Lambda} &= 2g_S - 2N_c g_S^2 + 2N_f g_S g_V + 6g_S g_{V1} + 2g_S g_{V2} \\ &- 12C_2(F)g_S \alpha_g + 12g_{V1} \alpha_g - \frac{3}{2} \left(3N_c - \frac{4}{N_c} - \frac{1}{N_c^2}\right) \alpha_g^2 \\ \Lambda \frac{dg_V}{d\Lambda} &= 2g_V + (N_f/4)g_S^2 + (N_c + N_f)g_V^2 - 6g_V g_{V2} \\ &- \frac{6}{N_c}(g_V + g_{V2})\alpha_g - \frac{3}{4} \left(N_c - \frac{8}{N_c} + \frac{3}{N_c^2}\right) \alpha_g^2 \\ \Lambda \frac{dg_{V1}}{d\Lambda} &= 2g_{V1} - (1/4)g_S^2 - g_S g_V - 3g_{V1}^2 - N_f g_S g_{V2} + 2(N_c + N_f)g_V g_{V1} \\ &+ 2(N_c N_f + 1)g_{V1}g_{V2} + \frac{6}{N_c}g_{V1}\alpha_g + \frac{3}{4} \left(1 + \frac{3}{N_c^2}\right) \alpha_g^2 \\ \Lambda \frac{dg_{V2}}{d\Lambda} &= 2g_{V2} - 3g_V^2 - N_c N_f g_{V1}^2 + (N_c N_f - 2)g_{V2}^2 - N_f g_S g_{V1} \\ &+ 2(N_c N_f + 1)g_V g_{V2} + 6(g_V + g_{V2})\alpha_g - \frac{3}{4} \left(3 + \frac{1}{N_c^2}\right) \alpha_g^2 \end{split}$$

Loop corrections for the four-fermi operators

• Large N_c, N_f limit $(r = N_f/N_c : \text{fixed})$

rescale as $N_c g_{S(V)} \rightarrow g_{S(V)}, N_c^2 g_{V1(V2)} \rightarrow g_{V1(V2)},$ $N_c \alpha_g \rightarrow \alpha_g$

$$\Lambda \frac{dg_S}{d\Lambda} = 2g_S - 2g_S^2 + 2rg_S g_V - 6g_S \alpha_g - \frac{9}{2}\alpha_g^2$$
$$\Lambda \frac{dg_V}{d\Lambda} = 2g_V + \frac{r}{4}g_S^2 + (1+r)g_V^2 - \frac{3}{4}\alpha_g^2$$

Note: Four-fermi couplings gv1, gv2 do not involve in the large Nc and Nf limit.

Note: The large Nc corrections contain only the ladder diagrams. But the non-ladder ones come through the large Nf part.

15

RG flow equations for SU(N) gauge theories

Gauge coupling

- We use the perturbative beta functions in the large N_c, N_f limit and add a part of higher order corrections via the four-fermi effective couplings.
- Vertex correction : H.Gies, J.Jackel, C.Wetterich, PRD 69 (2004)
 We discard all vertex corrections with the four-fermi couplings, since the gauge symmetry should forbid them.
- 2. Vacuum polarization :

The higher order corrections via four-fermi effective operators should be incorporated into the vacuum polarization.

$$\beta_g^{[2]} = -\frac{2}{3}(11 - 2r)\alpha_g^2 - \frac{2}{3}(34 - 13r)\alpha_g^3$$

$$\Lambda \frac{d\alpha_g}{d\Lambda} = \beta_g^{[2]} + 2rg_V \alpha_g^2$$





Aspect of RG flows

Numerical analysis of the flow equations

- RG flows in large Nc and Nf
 - RG flows are given in 3 dimensional coupling space of (α_g, g_V, g_S) .

Fixed points in the conformal window

- A UV fixed point exists as well as the IR fixed point.
- The UV fixed point and the IR fixed point merge with each other at r = 4.05.
- RG flows in (gs, gv) space
 - One linear combination of gs and gv gives the relevant operator, which induces the chiral phase transition.



Aspect of RG flows

RG flows in the 3D space

- There is the phase boundary of chiral symmetry and the UV fixed point lies on the boundary.
- Flows in the unbroken phase approach towards the IR fixed point.
- The phase boundary disappears for r < 4.05 and the entire region becomes the broken phase.



"Non-perturbative" gauge beta functions

RT in the conformal window

Perturbative RT

We may extract the RT by solving the truncated RG flow equations

in perturbative expansion.

$$g_{S}^{*} = \frac{9}{4}\alpha_{g}^{2} - \frac{9}{4}(-3 + 2b_{0})\alpha_{g}^{3} + \frac{9}{32}(90 - 120b_{0} + 48b_{0}^{2} - 16b_{1} - 3r)\alpha_{g}^{4} + \cdots,$$

$$g_{V}^{*} = \frac{3}{8}\alpha_{g}^{2} - \frac{3}{4}b_{0}\alpha_{g}^{3} + \frac{3}{128}(-3 + 96b_{0}^{2} - 32b_{1} - 30r)\alpha_{g}^{4} + \cdots.$$

Note: These equations give continuum limit of the truncated ERG equation, not the full QCD.

- This "RT" does not seem to give a continuum limit.
- However this "RT" seems to survive for $N_f > (11/2)N_c$.

Note: How about the RT of QED?



18

"Non-perturbative" gauge beta functions

RTs near boundary of the conformal window

- The perturbative continuum limit lines approaches towards the non-perturbative RT as the flavor number is lowered.
- Out of the window the fixed points disappears. However the nonperturbative RT survives as the RT of the asymptotically free QCD.
- The perturbative continuum limit seems to converge towards the RT.





"Non-perturbative" gauge beta functions

Non-perturbative gauge beta functions

- We define the non-perturbative gauge beta function by scale transformation of the gauge coupling on the RTs.
- A UV fixed point appears in the gauge beta function due to higher order corrections generated through the four-fermi operators.
- This behavior is not due to chiral symmetry breaking.
- The UV fixed point does not appear in the strong coupling region.

"Conformality lost"

The IR fixed point merges with the UV fixed point at the edge of conformal window.



Anomalous dimensions in many flavor QCD

Critical flavor number

Analysis for the many flavor QCD for comparison with the lattice MC.

Solve the RG equations with a finite N_{f} , ($N_c=3$).

Use 2-, 3,- and 4-loop perturbative beta functions

Non-perturbative gauge beta functions



Critical flavor numbers

 $N_{fcr} \simeq 12.78$ (2-loop) $N_{fcr} \simeq 11.24$ (3-loop) $N_{fcr} \simeq 11.58$ (4-loop) Note: Lattice analyses indicate that QCD with 12 flavors is conformal. *e.g.* E.Itou et.al. arXiv:10110516

Anomalous dimensions in many flavor QCD

Anomalous dimensions of fermion mass

ullet Anomalous dimension of $\,ar\psi\psi$ in the ERG approach

$$\gamma_{\bar{\psi}\psi} = \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e} = -6C_2(F)\alpha_g - 2N_c g_S + 4g_{V1}$$
$$\equiv -\gamma_m$$

RG scheme and gauge independent at the fixed points

Results by the RG equations Note: the anomalous dimension is fairly suppressed compared with the conventional value in the large N and ladder approx. Lattice MC results

T.Appelquist et.al. (2011) $\gamma_{m*}\simeq 0.386\pm 0.010~~(N_f=12)$



The 3- and 4-loop results are close to the Lattice estimations.

Scaling of the dynamical scale in the broken phase

"Conformality lost" and the Miransky scaling

V.A.Miransky, K.Yamawaki MPL A4 (1989); PRD 55 (1997) D.B.Kaplan, J-W.Lee, D.T.Son, M.A.Stephanov, PRD 80 (2009)

Suppose that a UV fixed point and an IR fixed point merge.

The beta function with an external parameter c is given as

$$\beta(g;c) = \Lambda \frac{dg}{d\Lambda} = (c - c_{\rm cr}) - (g - g_*)^2$$

Then the fixed point couplings are $g_{\pm} = g_* \pm \sqrt{c - c_{\rm cr}}$

BKT type phase transition for
$$c = c_{cr} - \epsilon$$

 $\frac{\Lambda_{IR}}{\Lambda_{UV}} = \exp\left(\int_{g_{UV}}^{g_{IR}} \frac{dg}{\beta(g;c)}\right)$
 $\simeq \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{c_{cr} - c}}\right)$
Fermion mass generation $N_f \leq N_{cr}$
Miransky scaling $m_f \sim Me^{-\frac{C}{\sqrt{N_{cr} - N_f}}}$

Miransky scaling



Approximation by a parabolic function

- We may approximate the RT as a parabolic function as follows;
- 1. Expand the RG flow equations around the critical fixed point.

 $x^i = x^i_* + \tilde{x}^i$: effective couplings near a fixed point x^i_*

$$\Lambda \frac{d\tilde{x}^k}{d\Lambda} = M[x_*]_i^k \tilde{x}^i + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \beta^k}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} [x_*] \tilde{x}^i \tilde{x}^j + \cdots \qquad M[x_*]_i^k = \frac{\partial \beta^k}{\partial x^i} [x_*]$$

Note: An exactly marginal operator appears at fixed point merger.

The RT passes along the exactly marginal direction

$$M[x_{cr}]_{i}^{k}u^{i} = 0$$
2. Extract the beta function along
the exactly marginal direction.

$$\tilde{x}^{i} = \tilde{\alpha}_{g}u^{i} \Rightarrow \Lambda \frac{d\alpha_{g}}{d\Lambda} = -A(\alpha_{g} - \alpha_{gcr})^{2} + \cdots$$
3. Find the (imaginary) fixed points $\alpha_{g*1,2}$
for a off-critical flavor number Nf.
 $\beta_{g} = -A(\alpha_{g} - \alpha_{g*1})(\alpha_{g} - \alpha_{g*2}) + \cdots$

 $-\alpha_g$

Dynamical scale of the chiral symmetry breaking

Running effect must be taken into account in the broken phase.

```
J.Braun, C.S.Fischer, H.Gies arXiv: 10124279
```

The four-fermi coupling diverges at the dynamical scale Λ_{SB}.
Note: Breakdown of the description in terms of the local fermi fields indicates the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking.
K-I.Aoki et al.PTP 97 (1997); PTP 102 (1999); PRD 61(2000)

– Take difference with $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ obtained by the 1-loop beta function.

- Approximation for the scaling law
 Large deviation from the Miransky scaling.
 - Fit with perturbative beta functions
 - + the parabolic function is good.



Scaling of the explicit fermion mass

Recent lattice analyses

MC simulations of mass deformed QCD (adding a bare fermion mass)

L.Del Debbio, R.Zwicky, PRD82 014502 (2010); arXiv:1009.2894

Z.Foder et. al. arXiv:1104.3124

T.Applequist et.al. arXiv:1106.2148

Scaling law in the conformal window

The RG eqn for a fermion mass and IR enhancement

$$\Lambda \frac{dm}{d\Lambda} = -\gamma_{m*}m \qquad \qquad m(\Lambda) = \left(\frac{\Lambda_0}{\Lambda}\right)^{\gamma_{m*}} m_0$$

Decoupling at the scale of the fermion mass:

$$m(\Lambda = m_f) = m_f$$

The scaling law of the dimensionless mass parameter $\, ilde{m}_f = m_f / \Lambda_0 \,$

$$\tilde{m}_f = \tilde{m}_0^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma_{m*}}}$$

Scaling of the explicit fermion mass

- Scaling laws in the slightly broken case
 - We may solve the RG equations for the effective couplings including the fermion mass m on the RT numerically.
 - It seems to be difficult to distinguish whether the theory is conformal or chirally broken.
 - It is necessary to see dynamical mass generation to future work.



Scaling of the chiral condensate

- Hyperscaling relation in the conformal window
 - We can also deduce the hyper scaling relation by the RG flow equations

as

$$\frac{\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle}{\Lambda_0^3} = \frac{N_c N_f}{4\pi^2 (1-\gamma_{m*})} \left(\tilde{m}_0 - \frac{2}{1+\gamma_{m*}} \tilde{m}_0^{\eta_*}\right) \qquad (\tilde{m}_0 = m_0/\Lambda_0)$$
$$\eta_* = \frac{3-\gamma_{m*}}{1+\gamma_{m*}}$$

- The linear term (contact term) is dominant for $\gamma_{m*} < 1$.
 - \Rightarrow Therefore, it seems to be difficult to see the hyperscaling relation.

Summary and discussions

- We extended the RG flow equations for the gauge couplings so as to include the "non-perturbative" corrections through the effective four-fermi operators.
- We gave the non-perturbative gauge beta functions by scale transformation on the RT, which shows merge of the UV and the IR fixed points. manifestation of the "Conformality Lost" picture.
- The anomalous dimension of the fermion mass and the critical flavor number were evaluated for QCD by using the 3-, 4-loop beta functions.
- Scaling of the dynamical scale was evaluated by using the beta functions.
- The scaling relations of the fermion mass for the mass deformed QCD were also examined near the boundary of the conformal window.

Future issues

- Derivation of the RG flow equation for the gauge coupling including the four-fermi couplings by the ERG formalism.
- Evaluation of the chiral order parameters near the conformal boundary.

Many Thanks