Nishinomiya-Yukawa Symposium, Kyoto December 6th 2012



Interacting vacuum energy

David Wands

Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation, University of Portsmouth



DW, Josue De-Santiago & Yuting Wang, arXiv:1203.6776 Josue De De-Santiago, DW & Yuting Wang, arXiv:1209.0563

How I first met Sasaki-san...

Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement

The Supplement is published for the purpose of surveying the developments in various fields of fundamental physics, laying emphasis on contributions by physicists who have been permanently or temporarily staying in Japan. Ordinarily each issue is devoted to a specified subject. Some of the issues contain reprints of papers by Japanese physicists which were published in various journals and also brief reports of symposia which were held in the annual program of the Research Institute for Fundamental Physics.

Advisory Council

Kōdi Husimi Minoru Kobayasi Masao Kotani Ryogo Kubo Takeo Nagamiya Mituo Taketani

Editorial Committee

Takeo Inami **Tatuo Kawasaki** Taichiro Kugo Yoshiki Kuramoto Ziro Maki (Chairman) Toshihide Maskawa Yosuke Nagaoka Humitaka Sato Toshio Suzuki Masatoshi Yamamura

Manuscripts for publication should be submitted to the Editorial Committee of the Progress of Theoretical Physics, c/o Yukawa Hall, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan. For instructions on preparation of copy, see Instructions to Contributors on the inside of the back cover.

Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement is published approximately quarterly and they are included in the year subscription for the Progress of Theoretical Physics. Any particular number of the Supplement will be distributed on request at the rate (postage included):

Extra Number (1965), Nos. 37/38, Extra Number (1967),
Extra Number (1968), Nos. 39~41, 45~47, 49~62, 65; ¥4,000 each;
Nos. 63, 65: ¥4,500 each; No. 64: ¥6,800; Nos. 67, 71: ¥ 5,000 each;
Nos. 68, 77: ¥ 6,500 each; No. 69: ¥8,000; No. 70: ¥6,000;
Nos. 72, 76: ¥ 5,600 each; No. 73: ¥ 5,300; Nos. 74 & 75: ¥7,400;
No. 78: ¥ 5,400; other issues: ¥ 2,500 each

Applications for membership, subscriptions and orders for back numbers should be sent, through book-dealers or directly, to:

> Publication Office Progress of Theoretical Physics c/o Yukawa Hall, Kyoto University Kyoto 606, Japan © Publication Office, Progress of Theoretical Physics 1985

226853

UNIVERSITY

OF SUSSEX

IBRARY

Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement No. 78, 1984

Cosmological Perturbation Theory

Hideo KODAMA and Misao SASAKI*

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113 *Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606

(Received September 5, 1984)

The linear perturbation theory of spatially homogeneous and isotropic universes is reviewed and reformulated extensively. In the first half of the article, a gauge-invariant formulation of the theory is carried out with special attention paid to the geometrical meaning of the perturbation. In the second half of the article, the application of the theory to some important cosmological models is discussed.

Contents

Chapter I Introduction

- § I-1. Brief historical survey
- § I-2. Plan of the paper
- § I-3. Basic notation

PART ONE FORMULATION

Chapter II Gauge-Invariant Formalism

- § II-1. Classification and harmonic expansion of perturbations
- § II-2. Perturbations of metric and energy-momentum tensor
- § II-3. Gauge-invariant variables
- § II-4. The Einstein equations for gauge-invariant variables
- §II-5. Extension to a multi-component system
- Chapter III Relation to Gauge-Dependent Methods
 - § III-1. Typical gauge conditions
 - § III-2. Temporal behavior of density perturbations in simple cases
 - §III-3. Resolution of typical gauge-dependent ambiguities

PART TWO APPLICATION

Chapter IV General Analysis of Density Perturbations

- § IV-1. Basic equation for density perturbations in a universe with weak transient phenomena
- § IV-2. The effect of isotropic stress perturbations
- § IV-3. The effect of anisotropic stress perturbations
- § IV-4. Behavior of density perturbations in the radiation-dust universe
- § IV-5. Generation of density perturbations in the radiation-dust universe
- Chapter V Perturbations in the Barvon-Photon System
 - § V-1. Basic equations
 - § V-2. Perturbations on super-horizon scales
 - § V-3. Perturbations on sub-horizon scales
 - § V-4. Summary and implications

summary:

vacuum energy is simplest model for acceleration
 no new degrees of freedom

inhomogeneous (space-time dependent) vacuum implies energy transfer

> any dark energy fluid can be decomposed into interacting vacuum+fluid (like scalar field quintessence)

inhomogeneous (space dependent) perturbations require physical model for interactions

- > distinguish by observational data
- > worked example: decomposed Chaplygin gas

Dark energy models

> quintessence

 \circ self-interacting scalar fields, $V(\varphi)_2$

barotropic fluid

• exotic equation of state, $P(\rho)$ • unified dark matter + energy

interacting dark energy, Γ(t) coupled quintessence

motivated by astronomical observations, but lacking persuasive physical model



Simplest model

> vacuum energy

- o energy of empty space
 o undiluted by expansion
- \circ no new degrees of freedom

$$\check{T}^{\mu}_{\nu} = -Vg^{\mu}_{\nu}$$



perfect fluid $T^{\mu}_{\nu} = Pg^{\mu}_{\nu} + (\rho + P)u^{\mu}u_{\nu}$ with $\check{\rho} = -\check{P} = V$

but no particle flow, hence 4-velocity, u, undefined

Homogeneous vacuum

 $> 8\pi G V = \Lambda = constant$

empirical value is cosmological constant problem

Inhomogeneous vacuum

interacting vacuum:

$$\nabla_{\mu} \check{T}^{\mu}_{\nu} = \nabla_{\mu} \left(-V g^{\mu}_{\nu} \right)$$
$$= -\nabla_{\nu} V$$

 $\equiv Q_{\nu}$ = energy flow

> conservation of total (matter + vacuum) energy:

 $\nabla_{\mu}G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_N \nabla_{\mu} \left(T^{\mu}_{\nu} + \check{T}^{\mu}_{\nu} \right) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = -Q_{\nu}$





4-velocity

perfect fluid

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu} = Pg^{\mu}_{\nu} + (\rho + P)u^{\mu}u_{\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad T^{\mu}_{\nu}u^{\nu} = -\rho u^{\mu}$$



➤ vacuum

$$\begin{split} \check{T}^{\mu}_{\nu} &= -Vg^{\mu}_{\nu} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \check{T}^{\mu}_{\nu}u^{\nu} &= -Vu^{\mu} \quad \forall \ u^{\mu} \end{split}$$

 all observers see same vacuum energy so 4-velocity undefined

o but energy flow defines irrotational potential flow

$$Q_{\mu} = -\nabla_{\mu} V$$

FLRW vacuum cosmology:

homogeneous 3D space $\Rightarrow V = V(t)$

Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G_{N}}{3} \left(\rho + V\right) - \frac{K}{a^{2}}$$

> Continuity equations for matter + vacuum $\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + P) = -Q,$ $\dot{V} = Q.$

≻ e.g.,

Freese et al (1987); Berman (1991); Pavon (1991); Chen & Wu (1992); Carvalho et al (1992); Al-Rawaf & Taha (1996); Shapiro & Sola (2002); Sola (2011); ...

Freedom to choose any V(t)

> more a description than an explanation? like $V(\varphi)$?

Linear perturbations

inhomogeneous 3D space

 $ds^{2} = -(1+2\phi)dt^{2} + 2a\partial_{i}Bdtdx^{i} + a^{2}\left[(1-2\psi)\delta_{ij} + 2\partial_{i}\partial_{j}E\right]dx^{i}dx^{j}$ > Matter:

 $\rho \to \rho(t) + \delta \rho(t, x^i), \quad P \to P(t) + \delta P(t, x^i), \quad u_\mu \to u_\mu = [-1 - \phi, \partial_i \theta]$

► Vacuum: $V \rightarrow V(t) + \delta V(t, x^i)$

matter 3-momentum

Interaction: (see Kodama & Sasaki 1984)

$$Q_{\nu} \to (Q + \delta Q)u_{\nu} + f_{\nu} = \left[-Q(1 + \phi) - \delta Q, \partial_i(f + Q\theta)\right]$$

vacuum-matter momentum transfer

> need physical (covariant) interaction to determine energy-momentum transfer 4-vector Q_{v}

same FRW cosmologies may have different perturbations

perturbed equations of motion

inhomogeneous 3D space $\Rightarrow V(t,x^i) = V(t) + \delta V(t,x^i)$

matter+vacuum energy conservation:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\delta\rho} + 3H(\delta\rho + \delta P) - 3(\rho + P)\dot{\psi} + (\rho + P)\frac{\nabla^2}{a^2}\left(\theta + a^2\dot{E} - aB\right) &= -\delta Q - Q\phi, \\ \delta\dot{V} &= \delta Q + Q\phi. \end{split}$$

matter+vacuum momentum conservation:

$$\begin{split} (\rho+P)\dot{\theta} &- 3c_s^2 H(\rho+P)\theta + (\rho+P)\phi + \delta P = -f + c_s^2 Q\theta \,, \\ -\delta V &= f + Q\theta \,. \end{split}$$

vanishing vacuum momentum requires vacuum pressure gradient balanced by force on vacuum

$$\nabla_i \left(-V \right) = \nabla_i \left(f + Q\theta \right)$$

Gauge-invariant perturbations

> vacuum perturbation on hypersurfaces orthogonal to energy transfer vanishes identically:

$$\Delta \check{\rho}_{\rm com} = \delta V + \left(f + \dot{V} \theta \right) = 0$$

> *I* hypersurfaces on which vacuum is homogeneous

- > comoving matter density: $\delta \rho_{\rm com} = \delta \rho + \dot{\rho} \theta$
- > comoving vacuum density may be non-zero $\delta\check{\rho}_{\rm com}=\delta V+\dot{V}\theta=-f$
- ➢ e.g., Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G_N \left(\delta \rho_{\rm com} + \delta \check{\rho}_{\rm com}\right)$$







FRW cosmology + linear perturbations

comoving-orthogonal coordinates (t,x)



FRW cosmology



FRW cosmology + linear perturbations

comoving-orthogonal coordinates (t,x)

vacuum energy uniform on spaces orthogonal to energy flow

Gauge-invariant perturbations (II)

> curvature perturbation on uniform-matter hypersurfaces:

$$\zeta = -\psi - \frac{\pi}{\dot{\rho}}\delta\rho$$

> curvature perturbation on uniform-vacuum hypersurfaces: $\check{\zeta} = -\psi - \frac{H}{\dot{V}} \delta V$

> relative (entropy) vacuum perturbation:

$$\check{S} = 3\left(\check{\zeta} - \zeta\right)$$

> e.g., non-adiabatic vacuum pressure perturbation:

$$\delta \check{P}_{\rm nad} = \frac{(1 + c_s^2)Q[Q + 3H(\rho + P)]}{9H^2(\rho + P)}\check{S}$$

Dark energy cosmology

> any dark energy cosmology (with $\rho_{de} + P_{de} \ge 0$) can be decomposed into interacting vacuum + fluid

for example:

> interacting vacuum + scalar field (quintessence):

$$\rho_{de} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^{2} + V, \quad P_{de} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^{2} - V, \quad Q = V'(\varphi)\dot{\varphi}$$
$$V(\varphi) \implies Q_{\mu} = V'(\varphi)\nabla_{\mu}\varphi$$

interacting vacuum + matter: Wands, De-Santiago & Wang (2012)

$$\rho_{de} = \rho_m + V, \quad P_{de} = -V, \quad Q = V$$

identical at background level distinguish by evolution of perturbations need physical (covariant) model for interaction

Generalised Chaplygin gas

Kamenshchik, Moschella and Pasquier (2001); Bento Bertolami & Sen (2002)

 \succ exotic dark energy with barotropic equation of state:

$$P_{\rm gCg} = -A\rho_{\rm gCg}^{-\alpha}$$

 \circ two constants (dimensionless, α , and A)

unified dark matter + dark energy model

$$\rho_{gCg} = \left(A + Ba^{-3(1+\alpha)}\right)^{1/(1+\alpha)}$$
$$\to B^{1/(1+\alpha)}a^{-3} \quad \text{as } a \to 0$$
$$\to A^{1/(1+\alpha)} \quad \text{as } a \to +\infty$$

o can be related to generalised higher-dimensional DBI scalar field

Decomposed Chaplygin gas

Bento, Bertolami and Sen (2004)

$$u_m^{\mu} = u^{\mu}$$
, $\rho_m = \rho_{\rm de} + P_{\rm de}$, $V = -P_{\rm de}$

FRW interaction can be written as

$$Q = 3\alpha H \left(\frac{\rho_m V}{\rho_m + V}\right)$$

 \succ model has one dimensionless parameter, α

A appears as an integration constant

 $A = (\rho_m + V)^{\alpha} V$

> decomposed model allows two independent perturbations

matter perturbations:

$$\zeta_m = -\psi - \frac{H}{\dot{\rho}_m} \delta \rho_m$$

> vacuum perturbations:

$$\check{\zeta} = -\psi - \frac{H}{\dot{V}}\delta V$$

Two different perturbed models

> Barotropic (adiabatic) model, $V = V(\rho_m)$:

$$\begin{split} \check{\zeta} &= \zeta_m \implies \check{S} = 0 \\ \triangleright \text{ adiabatic sound speed} \qquad c_s^2 = \frac{\dot{P}_{\rm gCg}}{\dot{\rho}_{\rm gCg}} = \frac{\alpha V}{\rho_m + V} \\ \triangleright \text{ comoving vacuum perturbation} \qquad f = -\delta\check{\rho}_{\rm com} = -\frac{\dot{V}}{\dot{\rho}_m}\delta\rho_{\rm com} \end{split}$$

> Non-adiabatic: e.g., energy transfer along matter 4-velocity, $Q_v = Q u_v$

$$\check{\zeta} \neq \zeta_m \quad \Rightarrow \quad \check{S} \neq 0$$

> zero momentum transfer: $f = -\delta \check{\rho}_{com} = 0$ > zero sound speed: $\delta \check{P}_{com} = 0 \Rightarrow c_s^2 = \left(\frac{\delta P}{\delta \rho}\right)_{com} = 0$

Barotropic GCG model (adiabatic perturbations):

• Oscillations (or blow-up) of GCG power spectrum if DE and DM combined in a single barotropic fluid with adiabatic sound speed $c^2 = -\alpha w$

• Allowed GCG model extremely close to the LCDM model ($\alpha \rightarrow 0$)



Effect on density power spectrum:



FIG. 1. UDM solution for perturbations as function of wavenumber, k. From top to bottom, the curves are GCG models with $\alpha = -10^{-4}$, -10^{-5} , 0 (Λ CDM), 10^{-5} and 10^{-4} , respectively. The data points are the power spectrum of the 2df galaxy redshift survey.

Park, Hwang, Park & Noh, arXiv:0910.4202

——baryon power spectra behave relatively smoothly, compared with the GCG power spectra which shows large oscillations



Issues for unified dark matter models:

Galaxy power spectrum: $\delta_g = b \delta_m$

identify galaxies with collapsed halos in linear density field



should we include inhomogeneous dark energy in threshold for collapse?

what about unified dark matter models?

or interacting matter + vacuum?

$$\delta_{m} = \frac{\delta \rho_{c} + \delta \rho_{b}}{\rho_{c} + \rho_{b}}?$$

$$\delta_{m} = \frac{\delta \rho_{c} + \delta \rho_{b} + \delta V}{\rho_{c} + \rho_{b} + V}??$$

Geodesic (non-adiabatic) model:

Energy flow is along dark matter velocity, $Q_v = Q u_v$

> No momentum exchange in the dark matter rest frame

 \Rightarrow matter follows geodesics $f=-\delta \check{
ho}_{
m com}=0$

 \Rightarrow zero sound speed $\delta \check{P}_{
m com} = 0$

 \Rightarrow note: matter velocity *irrotational* (like a scalar field)

$$u_{\mu} \propto \nabla_{\mu} V$$

See also Dust of dark energy, Lim, Sawicki & Vikman, arXiv:1003.5751 + Creminelli, d'Amico, Norena, Senatore & Vernizzi, arXiv:0911.2701

Results : Matter power spectrum, P(k)



Constraints on geodesic model



 Allowed region for α parameter becomes much larger than for barotropic GCG model:

 barotropic model: α < 0.000014
 geodesic model: -0.15 < α < 0.26

Dark energy sound speed

any dark energy cosmology can be decomposed into interacting vacuum + barotropic fluid (ρ+P>0)

> fluid sound speed determines dark energy sound speed if energy-flow follows fluid flow, $Q_{\mu} \propto u_{\mu}$ (since vacuum then homogenous on comoving-orthogonal hypersurfaces)

 \geq e.g., quintessence is interacting vacuum+stiff fluid (c=1)

interacting vacuum + fluid provides an effective model for dark energy with *arbitrary sound speed*

summary:

vacuum energy is simplest model for acceleration
 no new degrees of freedom

inhomogeneous (space-time dependent) vacuum implies energy transfer

> any dark energy fluid can be decomposed into interacting vacuum+fluid (like scalar field quintessence)

inhomogeneous (space dependent) perturbations require physical model for interactions

- > distinguish by observational data
- > worked example: decomposed Chaplygin gas

Happy 60th Birthday