

YITP International Molecule-type Workshop "Nonlinear massive gravity theory and its observational test"

# **Tunneling Field in Massive Gravity**

### Ying-li Zhang (YITP, Kyoto University)

Cooperator: Ryo Saito, Misao Sasaki paper to appear

# Outline

- Motivation
- Setup of model
- Equations of motion
- Hawking-Moss solutions
- Colemann-DeLuccia solutions
- Conclusion

## Motivation of our work

Question in GR: why cosmological constant is so small? In context of massive gravity(MG), the effective cosmological constant consists of a contribution from mass of graviton.

A. E. Gumrukcuoglu, C. Lin and S. Mokohyama JCAP 106, 231101(2011);

 $\rightarrow$  it is interesting to study the landscape in the context of  $\mbox{ MG}$ 

#### $\rightarrow$ our work

- setup the model and find the tunneling solutions;
- estimation of Hawking-Moss solution;
- evaluate the Colemann-De Luccia solution.

### I. Setup of model

Action

$$S = I_g + I_m,$$
  

$$I_g \equiv \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{R}{2} + m_g^2 (\mathcal{L}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{L}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathcal{L}_4) \right],$$
  

$$I_m \equiv -\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial \sigma)^2 + V(\sigma) \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( [\mathcal{K}]^{2} - [\mathcal{K}^{2}] \right) ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{L}_{3} = \frac{1}{6} \left( [\mathcal{K}]^{3} - 3 [\mathcal{K}] [\mathcal{K}^{2}] + 2 [\mathcal{K}^{3}] \right) ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{L}_{4} = \frac{1}{24} \left( [\mathcal{K}]^{4} - 6 [\mathcal{K}]^{2} [\mathcal{K}^{2}] + 3 [\mathcal{K}^{2}]^{2} + 8 [\mathcal{K}] [\mathcal{K}^{3}] - 6 [\mathcal{K}^{4}] \right) ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{K}_{\nu}^{\mu} \equiv \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} - \sqrt{g^{\mu\sigma}G_{ab}(\phi)\partial_{\nu}\phi^{a}\partial_{\sigma}\phi^{b}} .$$
  
fiducial metric



• tunneling probability per unit time per unit volume

$$\Gamma/V = Ae^{-B},$$
  

$$B = S_E[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu,B}, \bar{\phi}_B] - S_E[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu,F}, \bar{\phi}_F],$$
  

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$
  
bounce solution 'false vacuum'

usually, bounce solutions are explored by assuming an O(4) symmetry

> spacetime metric: FLRW

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -N(t)^{2}dt^{2} + a(t)^{2}\Omega_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j},$$
$$\Omega_{ij} \equiv \delta_{ij} + \frac{K\delta_{il}\delta_{jm}x^{l}x^{m}}{1 - K\delta_{lm}x^{l}x^{m}}, \quad K > 0$$

fiducial metric: deSitter

$$G_{ab}(\phi)d\phi^{a}d\phi^{b} \equiv -(d\phi^{0})^{2} + b(\phi^{0})^{2}\Omega_{ij}d\phi^{i}d\phi^{j},$$
$$b(\phi^{0}) \equiv F^{-1}\sqrt{K}\cosh(F\phi^{0}).$$

fiducial Hubble parameter

 $\rightarrow$  the O(4)-symmetric solutions are obtained by setting

$$\phi^0 = f(t), \quad \phi^i = x^i.$$

**Euclidean action** 

$$S_E = iS[x^0 \to ix_E^0]$$

Inserting the ansatz, the Euclidean action can be written as

$$I_{gE} = \int d^4 x_E \sqrt{\Omega} \left[ -3KNa - \frac{3\dot{a}^2 a}{N} - m_g^2 \left( L_{2E} + \alpha_3 L_{3E} + \alpha_4 L_{4E} \right) \right],$$
$$I_{mE} = \int d^4 x_E \ a^3 \sqrt{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2N} \dot{\sigma}^2 + NV(\sigma) \right],$$

where 
$$\dot{} \equiv d/dt_E$$
  
 $L_{2E} = 3a(a-b)(2Na+i\dot{f}a-Nb),$   
 $L_{3E} = (a-b)^2(4Na+3i\dot{f}a-Nb),$   
 $L_{4E} = (a-b)^3(N+i\dot{f}).$ 

### II. Equations of motion

Constraint equation

$$(i\dot{a} + Nb_{,f})\left[\left(3 - \frac{2b}{a}\right) + \alpha_3\left(1 - \frac{b}{a}\right)\left(3 - \frac{b}{a}\right) + \alpha_4\left(1 - \frac{b}{a}\right)^2\right] = 0,$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$b_{,f} \equiv \frac{db}{df} = \sqrt{K}\sinh(Ff)$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{Branch I} & Nb_{,f} = -i\dot{a}, \text{ (similar to branch II)} \\ \text{Branch II} & \left(3 - \frac{2b}{a}\right) + \alpha_3 \left(1 - \frac{b}{a}\right) \left(3 - \frac{b}{a}\right) + \alpha_4 \left(1 - \frac{b}{a}\right)^2 = 0. \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \qquad b = X_{\pm}a, \qquad X_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1+2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \pm \sqrt{1+\alpha_3 + \alpha_3^2 - \alpha_4}}{\alpha_3 + \alpha_4}.$$

### Friedmann equation & EOM for tunneling field

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{a^2} \left(\frac{da}{d\tau}\right)^2 - \frac{3K}{a^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau}\right)^2 - V(\sigma) - \Lambda_{\pm}, \\ \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\tau^2} + 3\mathcal{H}\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} - V_{,\sigma}(\sigma) = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $d\tau \equiv N dt$ ,

$$\Lambda_{\pm} \equiv -\frac{m_g^2}{\left(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4\right)^2} \left[ (1 + \alpha_3) \left(2 + \alpha_3 + 2 \alpha_3^2 - 3 \alpha_4\right) \pm 2 \left(1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_3^2 - \alpha_4\right)^{3/2} \right],$$

## III. Hawking-Moss(HM) solutions

• HM solutions can be found at the local maximum of the potential

• inserting these solutions into the Euclidean action and evaluate by integrating in the range  $H_{\text{HM}}\tau = -\pi/2 \longrightarrow \pi/2$ , we find when  $|\alpha| > 1$  the action becomes complex which is uninteresting here.

$$\rightarrow \text{Let} \qquad |\alpha| \le 1$$

$$Y_{\pm} \equiv 3(1 - X_{\pm}) + 3\alpha_3(1 - X_{\pm})^2 + \alpha_4(1 - X_{\pm})^3,$$

$$S_E[a_{\text{HM}}, \sigma_{\text{top}}] = -\frac{8\pi^2}{H_{\text{HM}}^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{Y_{\pm}}{6\alpha^2 X_{\pm}} \left(\frac{m_g}{F}\right)^2 \left[ 2 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}(2 + \alpha^2) \right] \right],$$

• inserting these solutions into the Euclidean action, we find when  $|\alpha| > 1$  the action becomes complex which is physically uninteresting here.

• inserting these solutions into the Euclidean action, we find when  $|\alpha| > 1$  the action becomes complex which is physically uninteresting here.

$$\rightarrow \text{ Let } |\alpha| \leq 1 \qquad [2 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}(2 + \alpha^2)] \geq 0$$

$$Y_{\pm} \equiv 3(1 - X_{\pm}) + 3\alpha_3(1 - X_{\pm})^2 + \alpha_4(1 - X_{\pm})^3,$$

$$S_E[a_{\text{HM}}, \sigma_{\text{top}}] = -\frac{8\pi^2}{H_{\text{HM}}^2} \left[1 - \frac{Y_{\pm}}{6\alpha^2 X_{\pm}} \left(\frac{m_g}{F}\right)^2 \left[2 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}(2 + \alpha^2)\right]\right],$$

$$\text{stadard}_{HW}$$
solution 
$$\text{Correction}_{\text{due to the}}$$
mass of graviton

• inserting these solutions into the Euclidean action, we find when  $|\alpha| > 1$  the action becomes complex which is physically uninteresting here.



**Case 1.**  $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$ 

$$\Lambda_{\pm} = \begin{cases} -\infty, & \text{for } \Lambda_{+} \\ \frac{3}{4}m_{g}^{2}, & \text{for } \Lambda_{-} \end{cases}$$

so we just have the minus branch;

$$X_{-} = \frac{3}{2}, \qquad Y_{-} = -\frac{3}{2}, \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \frac{Y_{-}}{X_{-}} = -1 < 0$$

• HM action is smaller than the standard one.

• the corresponding tunneling rate is suppressed.

#### Case 2. $\alpha_3 = 0$

## (a). $X_{+}$ branch $X_{+} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{4}} \left( 1 + \alpha_{4} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{4}} \right), \quad Y_{+} = -\frac{2}{\alpha_{4}^{2}} (1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{4}}) (1 + \alpha_{4} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{4}})$ $\downarrow$ $\frac{Y_{+}}{X_{+}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha_{4}} - 1}$

• When  $\alpha_4 < 0$  HM action is larger than the standard one, so the tunneling rate is enhanced;

• When  $0 < \alpha_4 \le 1$  HM action is smaller than the standard one, so the tunneling rate is suppressed;

•  $\alpha_4 \leq 1$  and  $\alpha_4 = 0$  is singular.

#### (b). $X_{-}$ branch

•  $\alpha_4 \leq 1$  and HM action is smaller than the standard one,

so the tunneling rate is suppressed.

Case 3.  $m_g \longrightarrow 0$ ,  $m_g^2 \alpha_3 = A_3 \neq 0$ ,  $m_g^2 \alpha_4 = A_4 \neq 0$ 

$$X_{\pm} = 1 + \frac{A_3}{A_3 + A_4} \left(1 \pm \text{sgn}(A_3)\right), \quad \text{sgn}(A_3) \equiv |A_3| / A_3$$

\*\* without loss of generality, we assume  $A_3 > 0$  in the analysis. (a).  $X_+$  branch

$$m_g^2 \frac{Y_+}{X_+} = (1 - X_+)^2 A_3 > 0,$$

• HM action is larger than the standard one,

so the tunneling rate is enhanced;

#### (b). $X_{-}$ branch

 $\Lambda_{-} = 0, \qquad X_{-} = 1, \qquad m_g^2 Y_{-} = 0,$ 

reduces to the standard GR case, no contribution

#### \*\* for $A_3 < 0$ , conclusion is inverse, i.e. $X_+$ branch reduces to the GR case; $X_-$ gives rise to a smaller HM action, so the tunneling rate is suppressed.

### IV. Coleman-De Luccia(CDL) solutions

Strategy: Consider the CDL solution near the HM limit

T. Tanaka and M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 88 (3) 1992

Expand the potential  $V(\sigma)$  around  $\sigma = \sigma_{top}$  to the 4<sup>th</sup> order:

$$V(\sigma) = V(\sigma_{\rm top}) - \frac{M^2}{2} (\sigma_{\rm top} - \sigma)^2 + \frac{m}{3} (\sigma_{\rm top} - \sigma)^3 + \frac{\nu}{4} (\sigma_{\rm top} - \sigma)^4,$$
$$M^2 \equiv 4H^2_{\rm HM} (1 + \chi^2), \quad \chi^2 \ll 1$$

Inserting this into EOM and expand the action to  $\mathbf{4^{th}}$  order in  $\chi$ 

Inserting this result into the action and evaluate it on the sphere, we obtain the derivation from HM solution:

$$\delta S_E^{(4)} = -\frac{\pi^2 \epsilon^4}{40} \left( 11H_{\rm HM}^2 + 4\nu' \right) < 0$$

$$\epsilon^2 \equiv 84\chi^2 / (16H_{\rm HM}^2 + 9\nu') > 0$$

which shows a smaller value of action when compared with the HW one.

CDL mode dominates the process of tunneling

# Conclusion

- We set up a massive gravity model with tunneling field;
- corrections of HW solution from mass term is found, which implies suppression or enhancement of tunneling rate, depending on the choices of parameters;
- we evaluate CDL action and found that it is smaller than the HW one.