

Heavy Quark Dynamics in the QGP

Steffen A. Bass Duke University

- Introduction
- Langevin Dynamics
- HQ Thermalization
- Langevin with Radiation
- HQ Correlations

Collaborators:

*Shanshan Cao
*Guang-You Qin
*Scott E. Moreland
*Marlene Nahrgang

- S. Cao & S.A. Bass: Phys. Rev. C84 (2011) 064902
- S. Cao, G. Qin & S.A. Bass: J. Phys. **G40** (2013) 085103
- S. Cao, G. Qin & S.A. Bass: Phys. Rev. C88 (2013) 044907



Introduction: Why Heavy Quarks?



The Heavy Quark Puzzle



- •HQ are produced via hard processes early in the collision
- act as probe for medium that they traverse

Folklore:

 large mass should lead to small medium modifications

Observation:

- strong medium modifications, similar to that for light quarks
- evidence for thermalization?

Exploring HQ dynamics in the QGP:

- •Langevin approaches very successful
- other approaches: PCM, linearized Boltzmann w/ RFD medium, DGLV...





Collisions vs. Radiation: Dead Cone Effect

Theory

partons propagating through a QGP medium loose energy via two mechanisms:







If HQ dynamics is dominated by elastic scattering, then consider Brownian Motion as a model for HQ evolution in medium: Langevin Equation

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -\eta_D(p)\,\vec{p} + \vec{\xi}$$

noise-term is defined via correlation function: (assume no momentum-dependence for simplicity)

for small individual energy transfers, the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem applies, connecting the drag term to the noise term:

the Heavy Quark diffusion coefficient is related to the drag coefficient via:

$$\eta_D(p) = \frac{\kappa}{2TE}$$

$$D = \frac{t}{M\eta_D(0)} = \frac{2T^2}{\kappa}$$

 $\langle \xi^i(t)\,\xi^j(t')\rangle = \kappa\,\delta^{ij}\,\delta(t-t')$

Heavy Quark Thermalization





Thermalization of Heavy Quarks

Previous Studies:

- •Moore & Teaney:
 - •Langevin approach with 2+1D ideal RFD
 - •relaxation time for charm quark thermalization approx. 7 fm/c
- •van Hees & Rapp:
 - •Fokker-Planck equation with resonant q-Q interaction
 - resonant interaction reduces pQCD based relaxation time of approx. 30 fm/c to a few fm/c
- note that neither study explicitly checked for thermalization (focus was on observables for flow & momentum loss)

This Study:

- •explicit focus on thermalization
- follow approach by Teaney & Moore, but with a 3+1D ideal RFD





Thermalization of Heavy Quarks can be verified in their local restframe either via their energy spectrum or the isotropy and thermal shape of the momentum distributions:

• energy-spectrum: $\frac{d^3N}{dp^3} = Ce^{-E/T}$ and $\frac{d^3N}{dp^3} = \frac{d^2N}{p^2 dp d\Omega} = \frac{d^2N}{pE dE d\Omega}$ $\frac{dN}{pE dE} = Ce^{-E/T}$ \bigstar

• momentum spectrum: $f(p_z) = \frac{V}{Z} \int dp_x \, dp_y \, e^{-\beta \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + m^2}}$ $= C \cdot T \left(\sqrt{p_z^2 + m^2} + T \right) \, e^{-\sqrt{p_z^2 + m^2}/T}$

• consider a blue-shift:

$$f(p_z) = C \cdot T\left(\sqrt{(p_z - \tilde{p}_z)^2 + m^2} + T\right) e^{-\sqrt{(p_z - \tilde{p}_z)^2 + m^2}/T} \quad \bigstar$$



Thermalization: Static Medium

•infinite QGP matter at fixed T •initialize HQ at fixed momentum •track evolution towards thermalization •evaluate energy-spectrum T=300 MeV, $D(2\pi T)=6$





Equilibration Criterion:

•Temperature parameters extracted from energy spectra and momentum distributions converge and approach the temperature of the medium

comparison of temperature parameters extracted via energy spectra and momentum distributions:

- for this particular set of parameters: T_{therm} ≈ 25 fm/c
- T_{therm} may depend on initial HQ momentum distribution



Heavy Quarks in a RFD Medium

12

Trainie et Balwa

Modeling of HQs in a QGP Medium

- QGP medium: Relativistic Fluid Dynamics
 - ideal 3+1D (Nagoya/Duke)
 - viscous 2+1D (VISH OSU)
- initial distribution of charm quarks:
 - MC Glauber for positions & pQCD for momenta
- Heavy Quark evolution: Langevin algorithm or Langevin+Radiation
- Hadronization: fragmentation, using PYTHIA 6.4





Thermalization in a Dynamic Medium

- at each time step, choose a temperature range of the QGP medium and select charm quarks which happen to be at those locations in the medium (i.e. fluid cells) within that temperature range
- boost the charm quarks into the local rest frame of the medium, extract their temperature and compare it with that of the medium

Medium temperature: temperature range $T-\Delta T < T < T+\Delta T$







HQ Thermalization in a QGP

run analysis for two different values of the diffusion coefficient:



For "reasonable" values of the diffusion coefficient, heavy quarks remain off-equilibrium during the lifetime of the QGP

[this particular study was done with an ideal 3+1D RFD and PCM initial conditions for heavy quarks]

Langevin+Radiation





Current State-of-the-Art:

•Langevin for HQ + coalescence & fragmentation for hadronization + heavy meson diffusion in a hadron gas



From RHIC to LHC:

•Heavy Quarks now (partially) ultra-relativistic:

- radiative energy-loss
- Fragmentation as dominant hadronization mechanism





modify Langevin Eqn. with force term due to gluon radiation:

The remainder the gluon radiation: $\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -\eta_D(p)\,\vec{p} + \vec{\xi} + \vec{f_g}$ radiation force defined through rate of radiated gluon momenta: $<math display="block">\vec{f_g} = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt}$

• same noise correlator and fluctuation-dissipation relation still hold: $\eta_D(p) = \frac{\kappa}{2TE}$ and $\langle \xi^i(t) \, \xi^j(t') \rangle = \kappa \, \delta^{ij} \, \delta(t-t')$

• gluon radiation calculated in Higher Twist formalism:

$$\frac{dN_g}{dx\,dk_\perp^2\,dt} = \frac{2\alpha_s(k_\perp)}{\pi}\,P(x)\,\frac{\hat{q}}{k_\perp^4}\sin^2\left(\frac{t-t_i}{2\,\tau_f}\right)\,\left(\frac{k_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2+x^2\,M^2}\right)^4$$

Guo & Wang: PRL 85, 3591 Majumder: PRD 85, 014023 Zhang, Wang & Wang: PRL 93, 072301

• relevant transport coefficients are now:

$$D = \frac{t}{M\eta_D(0)} = \frac{2T^2}{\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{q} = 2 \kappa C_A / C_F$$

Erabilito et Balluto

Radiative vs. Collisional Energy Loss

dominant mechanism depends on parton mass and energy:

- collisional energy-loss: heavy quarks at low momenta
- radiative energy loss: light quarks, gluons & heavy quarks at high momenta
- two-particle correlation observables as discriminators?





HQ Evolution in a Static Medium





Evolution of E distribution:

- prior to 2 fm/c, collisional energy loss dominates; after 2 fm/c, radiative dominates;
- collisional energy loss leads to Gaussian distribution, while radiative energy loss generates a long tail in distribution



radiative term in Langevin Equation violates detailed balance:radiation should be suppressed for thermal momentum scale

- introduce low momentum cut-off for gluon radiation: $p_{cut}=\alpha 3T$
- \bullet vary parameter α to ensure proper HQ thermalization

redo thermalization analysis in Langevin+Radiation approach:

- •system shows proper thermalization dynamics for α≈2
- note that T_{therm} may depend on initial HQ momentum distribution
 for this particular set of parameters thermalization time: T_{therm} is reduced from ≈35 fm/c to ≈25 fm/c



HQ Hadronization: Recombination + Fragmentation





basic assumptions:

 at low p_t, the parton spectrum is thermal and HQs recombine with light quarks into hadrons locally "at an instant":

$$\frac{dN_{M}}{d^{3}P} = C_{M} \frac{V}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} w \left(\frac{1}{2}P - q\right) w \left(\frac{1}{2}P + q\right) \left|\hat{\varphi}_{M}(q)\right|^{2}$$

 at high p_t, the parton spectrum is given by a pQCD power law, HQs suffer radiative energy loss and hadrons are formed via fragmentation of HQs:

$$E\frac{dN_{\rm h}}{d^3P} = \int d\Sigma \frac{P \cdot u}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{z^2} \sum_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}(R, \frac{1}{z}P) D_{\alpha \to \rm h}(z)$$

- shape of spectrum determines if reco or fragmentation is more effective:
 - for thermal distribution recombination yield dominates fragmentation yield
 - vice versa for pQCD power law distribution



Comparison to Data



Comparison to Data: RAA



- collisional energy loss dominates at low p_T , radiative at high p_T
- recombination important at low momenta
- combination of recombination and fragmentation provides a good description of data





Comparison to Data: Elliptic Flow



 \bullet choice of vRFD initial condition more sensitive to v_2 than R_{AA}

v_2 significantly underpredicted:

- most data in p_T domain already dominated by fragmentation as hadronization mechanism
- even pure recombination underpredicts data – check for initial conditions and EbE effects





RHIC: D^0 R_{AA} and v_2



HQ Correlations



Angular HQ Correlations





assume back-to-back production of initial Q & Qbar with the same magnitude of momentum

angular correlation of the final state QQbar is sensitive to:

- momentum broadening of heavy quark
- degree of thermalization of heavy quarks
- coupling strength between heavy quarks and the QGP



Correlations: Elastic vs. Radiative Processes



- each energy loss mechanism alone can fit R_{AA} with certain accuracy and choice of diffusion coefficient, yet they display very different behavior in the angular correlation function
- experimental observation may discriminate between the energy loss mechanisms of heavy quarks inside the QGP



Correlations II: D Mesons



- initial HQ production: MCNLO + Herwig
- calculate angular correlation of final state ccbar pairs
- within each event, correlate each D with all Dbar's
- similar shape as direct ccbar correlation, but on top of a large background

viable signal with good sensitivity to HQ energy loss mechanism if experiments could measure D Dbar angular correlation functions!



(e from c,b)-h correlation



(Hard Probes 2013)

PbPb



Theoretical challenge: hadronize QGP medium and HQ simultaneously EbE and calculate respective correlation function – will be possible after extending our transport framework to full EbE vRFD+UrQMD (including D meson rescattering in HG)

Next Steps

告





Choice of transport approach allows for study of HQ-medium interactions:

- Langevin+vRFD: sQGP + strong (non-perturbative) HQ-medium interaction
- linearized Boltzmann+vRFD: sQGP + pQCD driven HQ-medium interaction

(viscous) relativistic fluid dynamics:

• transport of macroscopic degrees of freedom

• based on conservation laws:

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$T_{ik} = \varepsilon u_{i}u_{k} + P\left(\delta_{ik} + u_{i}u_{k}\right)$$

$$- \eta\left(\nabla_{i}u_{k} + \nabla_{k}u_{i} - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ik}\nabla \cdot u\right)$$

$$+ \varsigma \delta_{ik}\nabla \cdot u$$

(plus an additional 9 eqns. for dissipative flows)

hybrid transport models:

- combine microscopic & macroscopic degrees of freedom
- current state of the art for RHIC modeling

diffusive transport models based on the **Langevin Equation**:

- transport of a system of microscopic particles in a thermal medium
- interactions contain a drag term related to the properties of the medium and a noise term representing random collisions

$$\vec{p}(t + \Delta t) = \vec{p}(t) - \frac{\kappa}{2T} \vec{v} \cdot \Delta t + \vec{\xi}(t) \Delta t$$

microscopic transport models based on the **Boltzmann Equation**:

- transport of a system of microscopic particles
- all interactions are based on binary scattering

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\vec{p}}{E} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}}\right] f_1(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t) = \sum_{processes} C(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t)$$



HQ Transport w/ Linearized Boltzmann





verification & validation: energy loss vs. path length in infinite QGP medium







Summary and Outlook

Heavy Quark Dynamics in a QGP:

- HQ's interact strongly, but do not equilibrate with the QGP
- sensitive to most medium properties need consistent modeling of medium and HQ evolution
- Langevin with radiation: extend calculations to LHC domain

Work in Progress:

- correlation observables to quantify radiative vs. collisional energy-loss contributions
- Linearized Boltzmann: vary HQ-medium coupling
- D and B meson interactions in a Hadron Gas
- pre-equilibrium dynamics prior to QGP formation (anomalous transport?)







The PCM is a microscopic transport model based on the Boltzmann Equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\vec{p}}{E} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}} \bigg] f_1(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t) = \sum_{processes} C(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t)$$

• describes the full time-evolution of a system of quarks and gluons at high density & temperature

- ideally suited for describing the interaction of jet with medium as well as the medium response
- classical trajectories in phase space (with relativistic kinematics)
- interaction criterion based on geometric interpretation of cross section:

$$d_{\min} \le \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}}{\pi}} \qquad \sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{p_3, p_4} \int \frac{d\sigma(\sqrt{\hat{s}}; p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)}{d\hat{t}} d\hat{t}$$

- system evolves through a sequence of binary ($2\leftrightarrow 2$) elastic and inelastic
 - scatterings of partons and initial and final state radiations within a leading-logarithmic approximation (2 \rightarrow N)
- guiding scales:
 - initialization scale Q_0
 - IR divergence regularization: \mathbf{p}_{T} cut-off \mathbf{p}_{0} or Debye-mass $\,\mu_{\,\mathrm{D}}$
 - intrinsic ${\bf k}_{\rm T}$
 - virtuality > $\mu_{\rm 0}$









How do HQ observables such as elliptic flow and the nuclear modification factor depend on parameters of the medium and the HQ evolution?

- contributions of medium flow vs. geometry
- •RFD initial conditions
- •C/B ratio when using non-photonic electrons
- •thermalization time of the medium

•...







Both geometric asymmetry and collective flow generate positive v_2 :

- decouple the influence of QGP collective flow on heavy quark motion by solving Langevin equation in the global c.m. frame, instead of the local rest-frame
- ▶ medium geometry dominates the high p_T region, while the collective flow has a significant impact in the low p_T region







Initial Conditions

KLN-CGC model exhibits a larger eccentricity of the medium:
 no apparent difference in R_{AA}, but significant larger v₂ from KLN-CGC initialization





Charm to Bottom Ratio

there still exists an uncertainty in the relative normalization of charm and bottom quark production in pQCD calculations:

 Choose two mixtures with b/c ratio around 1% in our simulation





- non-photonic electron spectrum follows c-decay electron behavior at low p_T , but b-decay at high p_T
- v₂ behavior varies with coupling strength and cannot be resolved by current experimental data







Backup: Bottom Quark Energy Loss



- Collisional energy loss dominates low energy region, while radiative dominates high energy region.
- Crossing point: around 17 GeV, much larger than charm quark because of heavier mass.



B-Meson Prediction



- similar behavior as with D mesons: collisional energy loss dominates for the low $p_{\rm T}$ region, while radiative dominates the high $p_{\rm T}$ region
- crossing point from collisional to radiative is significantly higher due to the much larger mass of bottom vs. charm quark
- \bullet B meson has larger R_{AA} and smaller v_2 than D meson