YIPQS long-term workshop

Supernovae and Gamma-Ray Bursts in Kyoto, 2013

Oct.14-Nov.15, 2013





Probing Core Collapse with Binaries





Vicky Kalogera Northwestern U.



Е CENTER FOR INTERDISCIPLINARY EXPLORATION AND RESEARCH IN ASTROPHYSICS

Key Questions

Can We Constrain the SN mechanism ?
 What is the Origin of SN kicks ?
 Do Black Holes Receive Kicks ?





Key Questions

Can We Constrain the SN mechanism ?
 What is the Origin of SN kicks ?
 Do Black Holes Receive Kicks ?

Progenitor Mass at SN?
SN Kick Magnitude?







Double Neutron Stars







NORTHWESTERN

UNIVERSITY

System

Double Neutron Stars

95 % confidence

(1/1)

Wong et al 2010



NA (NA)

60 % confidence

 (l_{1})

Tauris & van den Heuvel 2004

NS-NS Formation Channel





С

Ε

Tauris & van den Heuvel 2004

NS-NS Formation Channel





С

Е









Observational Constraints:

NS Masses, current A and e, PSR age
 Spin-Orbit Tilt Angle (for B193, B1534, J0737 only)
 Sky Location, Distance, Proper Motion













NS2 formed in an Fe core collapse supernova























































e=0.14







High mass X-ray Binary (Wind-fed)

Low mass X-ray Binary (Roche lobe overflow)







High mass X-ray Binary (Wind-fed)

Low mass X-ray Binary (Roche lobe overflow)

- Step 1:
- Step 2:
- Step 3:
- Step 4:







High mass X-ray Binary (Wind-fed)

Low mass X-ray Binary (Roche lobe overflow)

Observational Constraints:

- BH companion L, Teff, and Mass
- BH Mass, Orbital Period
- Proper Motion, Radial Velocity
- Sky Location, Distance











BH XRB Results

(Willems et al. 2005)

UNIVERSITY





BH XRB Results

System	Observed Current BH mass (M⊙)	Post-SN BH mass (M⊙)	Immediate Progenitor mass (M⊙)	Natal Kick (km/s)	
GRO J1655-40 (early-type, P>1d)	6.3 ± 0.5 (Greene et al. 2001) 5.4 ± 0.3 (Beer & Podsiadlowski 2002)	5.5 - 6.3 3.5 - 5.4 (Willems et al. 2005)	5.5 – 11.0 3.5 – 9.0 (Willems et al. 2005)	30 − 160 ≤ 210 (Willems et al. 2005)	
XTE J1118+480 (late-type, P<1d)	8.0 ± 2.0 (McClintock et al. 2001, Wagner et al. 2001, Gelino et al. 2006)	6.0 – 10.0 (Fragos et al. 2009)	6.5 – 20.0 (Fragos et al. 2009)	80 – 310 (Fragos et al. 2009)	
M33 X-7 (wind-fed, H-rich)	13.5 – 20.0 (Orosz et al. 2007, Valsecchi et al.2010)	13.5 – 14.5 (Valsecchi et al.2010)	15.0 – 16.1 (Valsecchi et al.2010)	10 – 850 (Valsecchi et al.2010)	
Cygnus X-1 (wind-fed, H-rich)	14.81 ± 0.98 (Orosz et al. 2011)	13.8 – 15.8 (Wong et al. 2012)	15.0 – 20.0 (Wong et al. 2012)	≤ 77 (Wong et al. 2012)	
IC 10 X-1 (wind-fed, He-rich)	25 — 39 (Wong et al. 2013)	25 — 39 (Wong et al. 2013)	32 - 60 (Wong et al. 2013)	≤ 130 (Wong et al. 2013)	



Some BHs must have received a kick at formation

СІ

Ε

A

Probing the Kick Mechanism?

What do BH Kick Magnitudes Correlate with?

- BH mass?
- He-star mass?
- mass loss at core collapse? (absolute/fractional?)

What is the underlying shape of the global correlation?

Can we probe the kick mechanism?





Probing the Kick Mechanism?

What do BH Kick Magnitudes Correlate with?

BH mass?

He-star mass?

mass loss at core collapse? (absolute/fractional?)



best f(M_{He}):

Maxwellian kick distribution with:



CIERA

Probing the Kick Mechanism?

What do BH Kick Magnitudes Correlate with?

BH mass?

He-star mass?

mass loss at core collapse? (absolute/fractional?)









If kick imparts only linear momentum: PSRs A and B should be closely aligned







Spin-Orbit Tilts Measured:

PSR A: < 14 deg (Ferdman et al. 2008) PSR B: 130 +- 1.3 deg (Lyutikov & Thompson 2005; Breton et al. 2008)







Spin-spin misalignment:

requires AM production during SN collapse
 if spin & kick linked physically,
 an off-center `bulk' kick is required too (~2-5km)





All Thanks to:

1- 12

Will Farr



Tassos Fragos





Francesca Valsecchi

Kyle Kremer



Bart Willems



Tsing-Wai Wong

SIMONS FOUNDATION







System	$lpha^{ m a}$	δ^{b}	D ^c	$\mu_{lpha}{}^{ m d}$	$\mu_{\delta}{}^{e}$	$\tau_c{}^{\mathrm{f}}$	M_1^{g}	M_2^{h}	$A_{\rm cur}{}^{\rm i}$	$e_{\rm cur}{}^{\rm j}$	θ_t^{k}
PSR B1534+12 ^{r1}	15 37 09.96	11 55 55.55	1.02	1.34(1)	-25.05(2)	250	1.3332(10)	1.3452(10)	3.28	0.274	$25(155) \pm 3.8$
PSR B1913+16 ^{r2}	19 15 28.00	16 06 27.40	8.3(1.4)	-3.27(35)	-1.04(42)	110	1.4408(3)	1.3873(3)	2.80	0.617	$18(162) \pm 6$
PSR J0737–3039 ^{r3}	07 37 51.25	-30 39 40.71	1.15	-3.82(62)	2.13(23)	210	1.337(5)	1.250(5)	1.26	0.0878	<15 ¹
PSR J1518+4904 ^{r4}	15 18 16.80	49 04 34.25	0.625	-0.67(4)	-8.53(4)	20000	$0.72^{+0.51}_{-0.58}$	$2.00^{+0.58}_{-0.51}$	24.7	0.249	• • •
PSR J1756–2251 ^{r5}	17 56 46.63	-22 51 59.40	2.5	-0.7(2)		443	1.312(17)	$1.258_{-0.017}^{+0.018}$	2.70	0.181	
PSR J1811–1736 ^{r6}	18 11 55.03	-17 36 37.70	6.0	•••	•••	1830	$1.62^{+0.22}_{-0.55}$	$1.11_{-0.15}^{+0.53}$	40.7	0.828	
PSR J1829+2456 ^{r7}	18 29 34.60	24 56 19.00	1.2			12400	$1.14_{-0.48}^{+0.28}$	$1.36^{+0.50}_{-0.17}$	6.36	0.139	•••
PSR J1906+0746 ^{r8}	19 06 48.67	07 46 28.60	5.4			0.112	1.365(18)	1.248(18)	1.75	0.0853	

Table 1Parameters of the Eight Known DNS in Our Galaxy





Step 2: Orbital Dynamics at Core Collapse

- random magnitude and direction of natal kick
- map post-SN binary parameters to pre-SN parameters using conservation of E
- constraints:
 - a) survival of the binary
 - b) mass ratio between NS1 and immediate progenitor of NS2
 - c) spin-orbit misalignment angle (for



