YIPQS long-term workshop

Supernovae and Gamma-Ray Bursts in Kyoto, 2013

Oct.14-Nov.15, 2013





# Probing Core Collapse with Binaries





#### Vicky Kalogera Northwestern U.



Е CENTER FOR INTERDISCIPLINARY EXPLORATION AND RESEARCH IN ASTROPHYSICS

# Key Questions

Can We Constrain the SN mechanism ?
 What is the Origin of SN kicks ?
 Do Black Holes Receive Kicks ?





# Key Questions

Can We Constrain the SN mechanism ?
 What is the Origin of SN kicks ?
 Do Black Holes Receive Kicks ?

Progenitor Mass at SN?
SN Kick Magnitude?







## Double Neutron Stars







NORTHWESTERN

UNIVERSITY

System

# Double Neutron Stars

95 % confidence

(1/1)

#### Wong et al 2010



NA (NA )

60 % confidence

 $(l_{1})$ 

Tauris & van den Heuvel 2004

NS-NS Formation Channel





С

Ε

Tauris & van den Heuvel 2004

NS-NS Formation Channel





С

Е









## Observational Constraints:

NS Masses, current A and e, PSR age
 Spin-Orbit Tilt Angle (for B193, B1534, J0737 only)
 Sky Location, Distance, Proper Motion













NS2 formed in an Fe core collapse supernova























































e=0.14







High mass X-ray Binary (Wind-fed)

Low mass X-ray Binary (Roche lobe overflow)







High mass X-ray Binary (Wind-fed)

Low mass X-ray Binary (Roche lobe overflow)

- Step 1:
- Step 2:
- Step 3:
- Step 4:







High mass X-ray Binary (Wind-fed)

Low mass X-ray Binary (Roche lobe overflow)

## Observational Constraints:

- BH companion L, Teff, and Mass
- BH Mass, Orbital Period
- Proper Motion, Radial Velocity
- Sky Location, Distance











## **BH XRB Results**

(Willems et al. 2005)

UNIVERSITY





## **BH XRB Results**

System	Observed Current BH mass (M⊙)	Post-SN BH mass (M⊙)	Immediate Progenitor mass (M⊙)	Natal Kick (km/s)	
GRO J1655-40 (early-type, P>1d)	6.3 ± 0.5 (Greene et al. 2001) 5.4 ± 0.3 (Beer & Podsiadlowski 2002)	5.5 - 6.3 3.5 - 5.4 (Willems et al. 2005)	5.5 – 11.0 3.5 – 9.0 (Willems et al. 2005)	30 − 160 ≤ 210 (Willems et al. 2005)	
<b>XTE J1118+480</b> (late-type, P<1d)	8.0 ± 2.0 (McClintock et al. 2001, Wagner et al. 2001, Gelino et al. 2006)	<b>6.0 – 10.0</b> (Fragos et al. 2009)	<b>6.5 – 20.0</b> (Fragos et al. 2009)	<b>80 – 310</b> (Fragos et al. 2009)	
M33 X-7 (wind-fed, H-rich)	<b>13.5 – 20.0</b> (Orosz et al. 2007, Valsecchi et al.2010)	<b>13.5 – 14.5</b> (Valsecchi et al.2010)	<b>15.0 – 16.1</b> (Valsecchi et al.2010)	<b>10 – 850</b> (Valsecchi et al.2010)	
<b>Cygnus X-1</b> (wind-fed, H-rich)	<b>14.81 ± 0.98</b> (Orosz et al. 2011)	13.8 – 15.8 (Wong et al. 2012)	<b>15.0 – 20.0</b> (Wong et al. 2012)	≤ 77 (Wong et al. 2012)	
IC 10 X-1 (wind-fed, He-rich)	<b>25 — 39</b> (Wong et al. 2013)	<b>25 — 39</b> (Wong et al. 2013)	<b>32 - 60</b> (Wong et al. 2013)	≤ 130 (Wong et al. 2013)	



Some BHs must have received a kick at formation

СІ

Ε

A

## Probing the Kick Mechanism?

#### What do BH Kick Magnitudes Correlate with?

- BH mass?
- He-star mass?
- mass loss at core collapse? (absolute/fractional?)

#### What is the underlying shape of the global correlation?

Can we probe the kick mechanism?





## Probing the Kick Mechanism?

### What do BH Kick Magnitudes Correlate with?

#### BH mass?

He-star mass?

mass loss at core collapse? (absolute/fractional?)



#### best f(M<sub>He</sub>):

# Maxwellian kick distribution with:

![](_page_27_Figure_8.jpeg)

CIERA

## Probing the Kick Mechanism?

## What do BH Kick Magnitudes Correlate with?

#### BH mass?

He-star mass?

mass loss at core collapse? (absolute/fractional?)

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### If kick imparts only linear momentum: PSRs A and B should be closely aligned

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Spin-Orbit Tilts Measured:

PSR A: < 14 deg (Ferdman et al. 2008) PSR B: 130 +- 1.3 deg (Lyutikov & Thompson 2005; Breton et al. 2008)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Spin-spin misalignment:

requires AM production during SN collapse
 if spin & kick linked physically,
 an off-center `bulk' kick is required too (~2-5km)

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

## All Thanks to:

1- 12

Will Farr

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Tassos Fragos

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

Francesca Valsecchi

Kyle Kremer

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Bart Willems**

![](_page_33_Picture_10.jpeg)

Tsing-Wai Wong

#### SIMONS FOUNDATION

![](_page_33_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_15.jpeg)

System	$lpha^{ m a}$	$\delta^{\mathrm{b}}$	D <sup>c</sup>	$\mu_{lpha}{}^{ m d}$	$\mu_{\delta}{}^{e}$	$\tau_c{}^{\mathrm{f}}$	$M_1^{g}$	$M_2^{h}$	$A_{\rm cur}{}^{\rm i}$	$e_{\rm cur}{}^{\rm j}$	$\theta_t^{k}$
PSR B1534+12 <sup>r1</sup>	15 37 09.96	11 55 55.55	1.02	1.34(1)	-25.05(2)	250	1.3332(10)	1.3452(10)	3.28	0.274	$25(155) \pm 3.8$
PSR B1913+16 <sup>r2</sup>	19 15 28.00	16 06 27.40	8.3(1.4)	-3.27(35)	-1.04(42)	110	1.4408(3)	1.3873(3)	2.80	0.617	$18(162) \pm 6$
PSR J0737–3039 <sup>r3</sup>	07 37 51.25	-30 39 40.71	1.15	-3.82(62)	2.13(23)	210	1.337(5)	1.250(5)	1.26	0.0878	<15 <sup>1</sup>
PSR J1518+4904 <sup>r4</sup>	15 18 16.80	49 04 34.25	0.625	-0.67(4)	-8.53(4)	20000	$0.72^{+0.51}_{-0.58}$	$2.00^{+0.58}_{-0.51}$	24.7	0.249	• • •
PSR J1756–2251 <sup>r5</sup>	17 56 46.63	-22 51 59.40	2.5	-0.7(2)		443	1.312(17)	$1.258_{-0.017}^{+0.018}$	2.70	0.181	
PSR J1811–1736 <sup>r6</sup>	18 11 55.03	-17 36 37.70	6.0	•••	•••	1830	$1.62^{+0.22}_{-0.55}$	$1.11_{-0.15}^{+0.53}$	40.7	0.828	
PSR J1829+2456 <sup>r7</sup>	18 29 34.60	24 56 19.00	1.2			12400	$1.14_{-0.48}^{+0.28}$	$1.36^{+0.50}_{-0.17}$	6.36	0.139	•••
PSR J1906+0746 <sup>r8</sup>	19 06 48.67	07 46 28.60	5.4			0.112	1.365(18)	1.248(18)	1.75	0.0853	

Table 1Parameters of the Eight Known DNS in Our Galaxy

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **Step 2: Orbital Dynamics at Core Collapse**

- random magnitude and direction of natal kick
- map post-SN binary parameters to pre-SN parameters using conservation of E
- constraints:
  - a) survival of the binary
  - b) mass ratio between NS1 and immediate progenitor of NS2
  - c) spin-orbit misalignment angle (for

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)