Quest for the Ultimate Theory by Cosmophysics

Hideo Kodama IPNS, KEK

Kyoto University GCOE symposium February 15, 2011

Contents

- String theory as the Ultimate Theory
- Axiverse
- Axionic bose nova ?
- Conclusion

STRING THEORY AS THE ULTIMATE THEORY

Motivations and Candidates of the Ultimate Theory



At present, superstring theory and its extension is the only viable candidate of the ultimate theory.

Does SST actually describe our Universe?



Li T, Maxin JA, Nanopoulos DV, Walker JW: arXiv: 1111.0236

Our Universe not in this landscape?

• On the particle physics side:

"Not a single string based model has yet been found which satisfies all known constraints."

[Heckman JJ: arXiv:1001.0577]

• On the cosmology side:

"A typical analysis collects 'ingredients' that are understood to varying degrees in isolation, and assembles them in a single compactification with suitable cosmological properties ... in which the mutual interactions are neglected."

[Burgess CP, McAllister L: CQG28(2011)204002]

We need more info !!

How is Our Universe related to SST?

Basic features of superstring/M theories

- Consistent only in 10/11-D spacetime.
- Perturbative:
 - Lots of facets: HET-E8xE8, HET-SO(32), I-SO(32), IIA/M, IIB/F
- Only gravity sector in type II/M. Too large gauge group in HET/I.
- A single parameter: almost everything is dynamical !
- Supersymmetric.



How to probe/test it?

• Observing inflation is not so illuminating.

"Although any such a detection would transform our picture of how inflation works—telling us much about the energy scales responsible for inflation and the mechanism responsible for generating primordial perturbations unfortunately, with the present state of the art, we learn very little about string theory itself."

[Burgess CP, McAllister L: CQG28(2011)204002]

Find phenomena characteristic to string theory!!





What Is Axion?

Originally,

a psued-Goldstone boson for the Peccei-Quinn chiral symmetry to resolve the strong CP problem.

Basic features of the invisible QCD axion

CP violating very weak coupling to matter

 $g_{aq} a (\bar{q}\gamma_5 q) : \quad g_{aq} \approx m_q / f_a; \ f_a \stackrel{>}{\sim} 10^9 \text{GeV}$ $g_{a\gamma} a F \wedge F : \quad g_{a\gamma} \approx 1/f_a$

– Small mass by the QCD instanton effect:

 $m_a \approx 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{eV} \left(10^{10} \mathrm{GeV}/f_a\right)$

- Dark matter candidate: $\Omega_a \lesssim 0.01 (f_a/10^{10} \text{GeV})^{1.175}$

General Definition (ALP)

A pseudo scalar with tree-level shift symmetry and P/CP violation





 A plethora of string axions are expected to arise from various form fields with Chern-Simons coupling that are characteristic to higher-dimensional supergravity / superstring theories.

$$\begin{split} C &= \sum_{i} a_{i}(x) \omega_{i}(y) \Rightarrow \quad a_{i}(x) \\ C \wedge \operatorname{ch}(F) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\hat{A}(\operatorname{TB})}}{\sqrt{\hat{A}(\operatorname{NB})}} \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{f_{a}} a \, F \wedge F, \quad \frac{1}{f_{a}} a \, \mathscr{R} \wedge \mathscr{R} \end{split}$$

• The shift symmetry is naturally realised because

$$\omega_i \wedge \operatorname{ch}(F) \cdot \sqrt{\hat{A}(\operatorname{TB})} / \sqrt{\hat{A}(\operatorname{NB})}$$

is a closed form.

 The shift symmetry of axions is protected from perturbative quantum corrections. Therefore, their masses m_a can be produced only nonperturbatively,

 $m_a \approx (M^2/m_{\rm pl}) \times S_E e^{-S_E/2}$

and become very tiny naturally. Further, $log(m_a)$ is expected to be distributed uniformly.

• If we require that stringy instanton effects are less than the QCD instanton effect on the QCD axion, we obtain

 $S_E \approx 200 \Rightarrow f_a \sim S_E / m_{\rm pl} \sim 10^{16} {\rm GeV}, \quad m_a \stackrel{<}{\sim} 10^{-15} {\rm eV}$

Characteristic Mass Scales

Compton wavelength= Horizon size (m=3H)

- Present t=t₀: $m_0=4.5 \times 10^{-33} \text{ eV}$ c/H_o=4.3 Gpc
- CMB last scattering t=t_{ls}: m_{ls} =0.7× 10⁻²⁸ eV c/H_o=300kpc
- Equidensity time t=t_{eq}: $m_{eq} = 0.9 \times 10^{-27} \text{ eV}$ c/H_o= 20kpc

Compton wavelength= BH size $(1/m=M_{pl}^{2}/M)$

- Supermassive BH M=10¹⁰ M_{\odot}: m_{bh,max}=1.3× 10⁻²⁰ eV 1/m=10⁻³ pc
- Solar mass BH M=1 M_{\odot} : $m_{bh,min}$ =1.3× 10⁻¹⁰ eV 1/m=3 km

QCD axion $m \approx \Lambda_{QCD}^2/f_a$

- $f_a = 10^{16} \text{ GeV:} m \sim 10^{-9} \text{ eV}$
- $f_a = 10^{12} \text{ GeV: } m \sim 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$

Cf.
$$m_a = 1 \text{eV} \times \left(\frac{6 \times 10^6 \text{GeV}}{f_a}\right)$$

Probing the Ultimate Theory by Axion Cosmophysics



String theories \Rightarrow superlight axionic fields + QCD axion \Rightarrow String axiverse \Rightarrow new cosmophysical phenomena.

[Arvanitaki A, Dimopoulos S, Dubovsky S, Kaloper N, March-Russell, J: "String Axiverse" Phys.Rev. D81 (2010) 123530[arXiv: 0905.4720]]

AXIONIC BOSENOVA

Superradiance

On the horizon of a rotating black hole

 $\xi = \partial_t$: spacelike

 \Rightarrow The null generator: $k = \xi + \Omega_h \eta$

For an infalling bosonic wave $\Phi \propto \exp(-i \omega t + im\phi)$

$$I_{\mathscr{H}^+} \propto i ar{\Phi} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_k \Phi = 2(\omega - m \Omega_h) |\Phi|^2$$

Flux conservation

$$I_{\mathscr{I}^{-}} = I_{\mathscr{I}^{+}} + I_{\mathscr{H}^{+}}$$

$$\omega \langle |A_{\omega,m}^{-}|^{2} \rangle = \omega \langle |A_{\omega,m}^{+}|^{2} \rangle + (\omega - m\Omega_{h}) \langle |C_{\omega,m}|^{2} \rangle$$

Superradiance condition

 $0 < \omega < m\Omega_h$ \Rightarrow $I_{\mathscr{I}^+} > I_{\mathscr{I}^-}$





Black Hole Bombs

Black hole in a mirror box

[Zel'dovich 1971; Press, Teukolsky 1972; Cardoso, Dias, Lemos, Yoshida 2004]

If a rotating black hole is put inside a box with reflective boundary, superradiance provokes infinite growth of massless bosonic field inside the box.

Cf. No instability for fermions.

Massive bosonic fields around a black hole

[Damour, Deruelle, Ruffini 1976;]

A similar instability occurs for massive bosonic fields!



Superradiance Instability

The growth timescale of the SR instability

$$\frac{\tau}{GM} \approx \begin{cases} 10^7 e^{1.84\alpha_g} & ; \alpha_g \gg 1, \ a/M = 1\\ 24 \left(\frac{a}{M}\right)^{-1} \left(\alpha_g\right)^{-9} & ; \alpha_g \ll 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\alpha_g := GM\mu = \frac{\mu}{1.34 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{eV}} \cdot \frac{M}{M_{\odot}}.$$

It becomes maximum at $\alpha_q \sim$ 1:

 $\tau_{\rm sr} \approx 0.2 \cdot 10^7 GM; \quad \alpha_g \simeq 0.44, \ a/M \simeq 0.999.$

SR instability strip in the M- μ plane



Black Hole Spin



Arvanitaki A, Dubovsky S: arXiv:1004.3558

G-Atom



Arvanitaki A, Dubovsky S: arXiv:1004.3558

Fate of G-Atom?



GW estimation: $2a(2p) \rightarrow G$



Figure 11: The contour plot of constant gravitational wave signal from axion annihilations in the 2p level for a black hole located at 20 Mpc away from the Earth. The projected sensitivity curves assume 10^6 seconds of a coherent integration time for LISA [41], AGIS, Advanced LIGO and Einstein Telescope.

Non-linear Effects

Axion Action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \frac{\mu^2 f_a^2}{2} \sin^2 (\phi/f_a) \right]$$

Non-relativisitc effective action

$$\phi \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu}} \left(e^{-i\mu t} \psi + e^{i\mu t} \psi^* \right)$$
Averaging S over a time scale >> 1/µ
$$S_{\rm NR} = \int d^4 x \left[i\psi^* \partial_t \psi - \frac{1}{2\mu} \partial_i \psi \partial_i \psi^* - \mu \Phi_g \psi^* \psi + \frac{1}{16f_a^2} (\psi^* \psi)^2 + \cdots \right]$$

Attractive interaction



The Nobel Prize in Physics 2001	∇	
Nobel Prize Award Ceremony	$\cdot \psi$	
Eric A. Cornell	$\cdot \psi$	
Wolfgang Ketterle	$^{\circ} \Psi$	
Carl E. Wieman	w.	S
	The Nobel Prize in Physics 2001 Nobel Prize Award Ceremony Eric A. Cornell Wolfgang Ketterle Carl E. Wieman	The Nobel Prize in Physics 2001Nobel Prize Award CeremonyEric A. CornellWolfgang KetterleCarl E. Wieman







Eric A. Cornell

Wolfgang Ketterle

Carl E. Wieman

The Nobel Prize in Physics 2001 was awarded jointly to Eric A. Cornell, Wolfgang Ketterle and Carl E. Wieman "for the achievement of Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases of alkali atoms, and for early fundamental studies of the properties of the condensates".

Bosenova in condensed matter physics

http://spot.colorado.edu/~cwieman/Bosenova.html



BEC state of Rb85 (interaction can be controlled) Switch from repulsive interaction to attractive interaction

Wieman et al., Nature 412 (2001), 295

Numerical Simulation

Simulations	Initial condition	β	Nonlinearlity
(A)	KG bound state, $\phi(A)$ peak(0) = 0.60	0.46	weak
(B)	KG bound state, $\phi(B)$ peak(0) = 0.65	0.52	moderate
(C)	KG bound state, $\phi(C)$ peak(0) = 0.70	0.6	strong

Wavefunction for the SR unstable bound state: I=m=1



Model (B)





Model (C)



Kodama H, Yoshino H: in preparation

Results and Implications

- Bose nova really happens for a G-atom of sine-Gordon axion formed by SR instability around a Kerr BH.
 - The occurrence of this phenomenon is controlled by the parameter $\alpha_g = GM\mu$.
 - Characteristics of that dynamics can be semiquantitatively understood in terms of an effective theory for collective coordinates.
- Bosenova of a G-atom is expected to produce bustlike GW emissions, but details are yet to be studied.
- Interactions of the axionic cloud with magnetic fields of a black hole-accretion disk system are expected to provoke other interesting phenomena.

CONCLUSION

- Superstring theory predicts the existence of a plethora of super-light axionic fields in the present universe.
- Such superlight axions/moduli can produce a variety of new observable phenomena in the universe, and also may explain the fundamental mysteries of the universe such as dark matter, dark energy and inflation.
- Observational discoveries of such cosmophysical phenomena give a very distinctive evidence for the superstring theory to be behind the nature and provide a valuable probe for the structure of string compactification.

Let's explore the hidden sector !!