YITP Workshop on "Avalanches, plasticity, and nonlinear response in nonequilibrium solids", 7th-9th March 2016

Friction and Stick-Slip Motion of Solids and Granular Systems

Hiroshi Matsukawa Aoyama Gakuin University

0.8

0.7

0.5

0.4

0

100

200

W[N]

300

400

500

MM 0.6





Amontons and Coulomb's Law

- •Friction force is independent of apparent contact area.
- •Friction force is proportional to the loading force.
- •Kinetic friction force is less than maximum static friction force and independent of sliding velocity.
 - Friction Force/ Loading Force =Friction Coefficient : constant

Amontons' Law



Why friction force is independent of apparent contact area and proportional to the loading force?



Bowden & Tabor

Why friction force is independent of apparent contact area and proportional to the loading force ?



Apparent contact area ≠ ← surface roughness Actual contact area

Adhesion occurs at actual contact points. -> Friction

Friction force = Actual contact area X Adhesion force per unit area

Actual contact area is independent of apparent contact area and proportional to the loading force.

Friction force is independent of apparent contact area and proportional to the loading force. Bowden & Tabor Max. Static Friction Force > Kinetic Friction Force

- Steady sliding motion becomes unstable.
- → <u>Stick-slip</u> motion appears.



In this talk I discuss the following two problems.

1, Friction law at micro, meso and macro-scopic scales Analytical, numerical and experimental methods.

We try to bridge the gaps between micro, meso and macroscopic scales.

Friction law depends on the scale.

2, Frictional Behavior and the Stick-Slip Dynamics of Sheared Granular Systems Computer simulation of 2D system and real experiment of 3D system.

Slip size distribution and friction law.

Frictional behaviors of each element and the assembly of elements are different.

1, Friction Law in Micro, Meso and Macro-scopic Scales



Drops of friction force are accompanied by the slip about one lattice constant at the sliding plane. Actual contact area and relative angle of crystal axes dependences of max. friction force between hcp - hcp pillars

temperature:300[K]



The max. static friction force is proportional to (the actual contact area)^{1/2}, for the incommensurate case (general case).

Similar behavior is observed for glass asperities.

Amontons' law does not hold for a single nano-junction in general.

Friction Law at Mesoscopic Scale Multi-Junction Systems Extension of Greenwood -Williamson Theory

 $P_{1}(z_{1}), P_{2}(z_{2}) : \text{height distributions}$ $P_{1}(z_{1}), P_{2}(z_{2}) : \text{height distributions}$ of two surfaces, respectively. $z = z_{1} + z_{2} - d : \text{overlap distance}$ $z = z_{1} + z_{2} - d : \text{overlap distance}$ Asperities of z > 0 is in contact.

For contacting asperithis with z > 0Hertz contactactual contact area of a single junction $\delta A_r = C_1 z^{\alpha}$ $\alpha = 1$ load of a single junction $\delta W_r = C_2 z^{\beta}$ $\beta = 3/2$ contribution of a single junction to the $\delta F_r = C_3 z^{\gamma}$

max. static friction force of the whole system

comme. or incomme.

 $\gamma = \alpha \text{ or } \alpha/2$

total actual contact area $A_{\rm r} = N \int_{0}^{\infty} dz P(z) \delta A_{\rm r} = N \int_{0}^{\infty} dz P(z) C_{1} z^{\alpha}$ $W_{\rm r} = N \int_{0}^{\infty} dz P(z) \delta W_{\rm r} = N \int_{0}^{\infty} dz P(z) C_2 z^{\beta}$ total load max. static friction force $F_{\rm r} = N \int_0^\infty dz P(z) \delta F_{\rm r} = N \int_0^\infty dz P(z) C_3 z^{\gamma}$ of the whole system N: number of asperities We assume that $P_1(z_1)$ and $P_2(z_2)$ are Gaussian distributions. $P_{1,2}(z_{1,2}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\ell_{1,2}^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{z_{1,2}^2}{2\ell_{1,2}^2}\right] \implies P(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\ell^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(z+d)^2}{2\ell^2}\right]$ $\ell^2 = \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2$ The main contribution to the above integrals

comes from the region around $z \gtrsim 0$.

So we can expand the function in the exponential function and get,

$$P(z) = C \exp\left[-\lambda z\right]$$
$$C = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\ell^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{d^2}{2\ell^2}\right] \qquad \qquad \lambda = \frac{d}{\ell^2}$$



Persson 1997

Normalized Loading Force

 10^{1}

 10^{2}

2.0

 $1\dot{0}^{0}$

When W_r is changed, we can treat d and λ as constants, and the change of only C is important.

$$C = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\ell^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{d^2}{2\ell^2}\right]$$

Then we get,

$$\frac{F_{\rm r}}{W_{\rm r}} = \frac{C_3 \Gamma(\gamma + 1)}{C_2 \Gamma(\beta + 1)} \lambda^{\beta - \gamma} = \text{const.}$$

Amontons' law holds for multi-junction systems for any values of α, β and γ .

Further extension

Actual contact area of a single junction $\delta A_r = a(z, \eta)$ η : set of parameters which characterize the junction, e.g, curvature, shape, plasticity and so on. Load of a single junction $\delta W_{\mathbf{r}} = w(z, \boldsymbol{\eta})$ Contribution of a single junction $\delta F_{\rm r} = f(z, \eta)$ to the max. static friction force Joint probability density of z and η $P_{\rm I}(z, \boldsymbol{\eta})$ Actual contact area A_r , load W_r , and the max. static friction force F_r are expressed as follows. $A_{\rm r} = N \int_0^\infty dz \int d\eta P_{\rm J}(z,\eta) \delta A_{\rm r} = N \int_0^\infty dz \int d\eta P_{\rm J}(z,\eta) a(z,\eta)$ $W_{\rm r} = N \int_0^\infty dz \int d\eta P_{\rm J}(z,\eta) \delta W_{\rm r} = N \int_0^\infty dz \int d\eta P_{\rm J}(z,\eta) w(z,\eta)$ $F_{\rm r} = N \int_0^\infty dz \int d\eta P_{\rm J}(z,\eta) \delta F_{\rm r} = N \int_0^\infty dz \int d\eta P_{\rm J}(z,\eta) f(z,\eta)$ We can also take into account the effects of non-contact force.

We set
$$P_{\rm J}(z, \eta) = P(z)\tilde{P}(z, \eta), \ P(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\ell^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(z+d)^2}{2\ell^2}\right]$$

and approximate,

$$P(z) = C \exp[-\lambda z]$$
, $C = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\ell^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{d^2}{2\ell^2}\right]$ $\lambda = \frac{d}{\ell^2}$

We assume z-dependences of $a(z, \eta)$, $w(z, \eta)$, $f(z, \eta)$ and $\tilde{P}(z, \eta)$ are weaker than the exp. function. We get,

$$W_{\rm r} = N \int_0^\infty dz \int d\eta P(z) \times \left\{ \tilde{P}(z, \eta) w(z, \eta) \right\} = N C H_w(\lambda)$$

(d/ ℓ) is a log. function of $W_{\rm r}$. $(d/\ell)^2 = -2 \ln \left[\sqrt{2\pi\ell^2} W_{\rm r}/N H_w(\lambda) \right]$

When W_r is changed, we can treat d and λ as constants.

$$F_{\mathbf{r}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dz \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} P(z) \times \left\{ \tilde{P}(z, \boldsymbol{\eta}) f(z, \boldsymbol{\eta}) \right\} = NCH_{f}(\lambda)$$

We get,

$$\frac{F_{\mathrm{r}}}{W_{\mathrm{r}}} = \frac{H_f(\lambda)}{H_w(\lambda)} = \mathrm{const.}$$
 Amontons' law holds.

Taking into account the correlation among asperities $\{z(r)\}$ and $\{\eta(r)\} \leftarrow z(r)$ and $\eta(r)$ in whole interface. Total actual contact area \tilde{a} , load \tilde{w} , and the max. static friction force \tilde{f} are functionals of $\{z(r)\}$ and $\{\eta(r)\}$. $\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}(\{z(r)\}, \{\eta(r)\}), \dots \leftarrow \text{correlation effect}$

Here we consider ensemble averages of \tilde{a} , \tilde{w} , and \tilde{f} and the joint probability density, $P_{J}(\{z(r)\}, \{\eta(r)\})$

$$A_{r} = \left\{ \prod_{\boldsymbol{r}} \int dz(\boldsymbol{r}) \int d\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r}) \right\} \tilde{a}(\{z(\boldsymbol{r})\}, \{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r})\}) P_{J}(\{z(\boldsymbol{r})\}, \{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r})\})$$
$$W_{r} = \left\{ \prod_{\boldsymbol{r}} \int dz(\boldsymbol{r}) \int d\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r}) \right\} \tilde{w}(\{z(\boldsymbol{r})\}, \{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r})\}) P_{J}(\{z(\boldsymbol{r})\}, \{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r})\})$$
$$F_{r} = \left\{ \prod_{\boldsymbol{r}} \int dz(\boldsymbol{r}) \int d\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r}) \right\} \tilde{f}(\{z(\boldsymbol{r})\}, \{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r})\}) P_{J}(\{z(\boldsymbol{r})\}, \{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{r})\})$$

We obtain F_r/W_r =const.

Amontons' law holds for general multi-junction systems, which has correlations among asperities.



M. Otsuki & H. M., Sci. Rep. 3, 1586 (2013)

 μ_s : local static friction coefficient μ_k : local kinetic friction coefficient



Bulk Static Friction Coefficient μ_{M}







Slow slip becomes unstable when ℓ reaches at certain critical length ℓ_c .

The friction coefficient of the block becomes larger for larger ℓ_c . ℓ_c is samller for larger load. —> The friction coefficient of the block becomes smaller for larger load.

1D Effective Model

 $\begin{array}{ccc} vt \rightarrow & & \text{rigid substrate} \\ \text{fixed rigid} \\ \text{substrate} & & & pressure at the bottom \\ & & & pressure at the bo$

We obtain the adiabatic solution of this model.

From the adiabatic solution and the expression of the shear force, $F_t = \int_0^L dx \sigma_{xz}(x)$, we obtain,

 $\mu_{\rm M} = \mu_{\rm K} + (\mu_{\rm S} - \mu_{\rm K})\ell_{\rm c}/L$

Time evolution eq. of the fluctuation around the adiabatic solution, δu . $\ddot{\delta u} \doteq E \partial_{xx} \delta u - (\eta/L) \dot{\delta u} + \alpha P_{\text{ext}} \ell^3 \dot{\delta u}$ traveling length of the local slip front local slip front viscosity velocity weakening frictional force

With adiabatic increases of U, ℓ increases adiabatically at first. At ℓ_c the adiabatic motion becomes unstable.

$$\rightarrow \ell_{\rm c}/L \propto (\eta/P_{\rm ext}L)^{(1/3)} \longrightarrow \mu_{\rm M} = \mu_{\rm k} + CF_{\rm N}^{-1/3}$$

New friction law is derived analytically.



Amontons' law breaks and instead the new friction law holds. This results from the local precursor slip before the onset of bulk sliding. For large or small enough pressure and/or system length, where $\ell_c/L << 1$, or $\ell_c/L \lesssim 1$, Amonton's law recovers.

Experimental study of the load dependence of the friction coefficient.

Y. Katano, K. Nakano, M. Otsuki& H. M., Sci. Rep. 4, 6324 (2014) PMMA

Precursor Slip



 $(KV = 40 [N/s], F_Z = 400[N])$:h=0 0.8 mm 0.6 0.4 0.2 0 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.2 0 F'_X

Load and apparent contact area dependence of max. static fric. coef. $\mu_{\rm M}$



Summary of Friction Law at Micro, Meso and Macro-scopic Scales

We successfully bridged the gap between microscopic and macroscopic frictional phenomena.

At micro scale, friction force does not obey Amontons' law.

At meso scale, friction force obeys Amontons' law.

At macro scale, friction force can break Amontons' law and instead new friction law holds.

Friction law depends on the scale of the system.

2, Frictional Behavior and the Stick-slip Dynamics of Sheared Granular Systems

Largest stick-slip motion on the earth is earthquake.



Fault



Granular Particles

The size of the gauge shows the power law distribution.

Size distribution of earthquakes obeys the power law; the Gutenberg-Richter's (GR) law.



Magnitude, $M \propto Log(Earthquake Size, S)$

b-value decreases before the occurrence of large earthquake.

2011.03.11 Tohoku-oki M9 Earthquake



What determines the *b*-value?



Frictional behaviors do not depend on the type of distribution. Here we concentrate on the power law distribution system.



Probability density of slip size, P(S), depends on the spring constant *k*. P(S) approaches to $S^{-B'}$ with decreasing *k*, where B' = 1.5.

B' = 2/3b + 1

B'=1.5 coincides with the mean field theory by Dahmen et al.



Probability density of slip size, P(S), depends on the load W.

P(S) approaches to S^{-B'} with increasing W, where B'=1.5. Time averaged friction force does not obey Amontons' law, but depends on W linearly.

Driving velocity v dependence. $k=0.05 d_1=0.2\sim2.0 W=4.5$



B' increases with decreasing vAve. friction force increases with v.and approaches to 1.5.But the system shows stick slip motion.

Experimental Study of the Frictional Behavior of 3D Sheared Granular Particles



Load W dependence

Ave. Max.Fric.Force



Driving velocity v (rpm) dependence

Probability density of slip size, P(S)



Summary of Frictional Behavior and the Stick-slip Dynamics of Sheared Granular Systems

Amontons' law does not holds but the friction force depends on the load linearly.

Friction force increases with increasing driving velocity, but the system shows stick-slip motion in 2D simulation.

The slip size distribution obeys the power law in the whole range of slip in the limit of weak driving spring and large load in 2D simulation.
The system does not show self-organized criticality.

The power *B*' increases with decreasing *v* and approaches to 1.5, which is the value obtained by the mean field theory.

Collaborators

Shimane University

M. Otsuki

Yokohama National University

K. Nakano

Aoyama Gakuin University

T. Suzuki, A. Otsuka, K. Nishio, M. Kato and Y. Katano