



# COLA with scale dependent growth: applications to modified gravity and massive neutrinos

### Kazuya Koyama

Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation, University of Portsmouth



Why do we need screening mechanism?

Brans-Dicke gravity

$$S = \int d^4 x \left( \psi R - \frac{\omega_{BD}}{\psi} (\nabla \psi)^2 \right) + \int d^4 x L_{matter}$$

quasi-static approximations (neglecting time derivatives)

$$ds^{2} = -(1+2\Psi)dt^{2} + a(t)^{2}(1-2\Phi)d\bar{x}^{2} \quad \Psi = \Psi_{0} + \varphi$$

$$(3+2\omega_{BD})\nabla^{2}\varphi = -8\pi G\rho$$
$$\nabla^{2}\Psi = 4\pi G\rho - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2}\varphi \qquad \varphi \quad \text{:fifth force}$$
$$\Phi - \Psi = -\varphi$$

### Constraints on BD parameter

Solutions

$$(3+2\omega_{BD})\nabla^{2}\varphi = -8\pi G\rho$$

$$\nabla^{2}\Psi = -4\pi G \left(\frac{4+2\omega_{BD}}{3+2\omega_{BD}}\right)\rho, \quad G_{eff} = \left(\frac{4+2\omega_{BD}}{3+2\omega_{BD}}\right)G$$

$$\Psi = \frac{2+\omega_{BD}}{1+\omega_{BD}}\Phi \equiv \gamma^{-1}\Phi$$
**PPN parameter**

$$\gamma = \frac{1+\omega_{BD}}{2+\omega_{BD}}$$

 $\gamma - 1 = (2.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$   $\omega_{RD} \ge 40,000$ 

This constraint excludes any detectable modifications in cosmology

Body

Gravity as warped spacetin

# Screening mechanism

Require screening mechanism to restore GR

$$S = \int d^4x \left( \psi R - \frac{\omega_{BD}(\psi)}{\psi} (\nabla \psi)^2 + V(\psi) + N(\nabla \psi, \nabla^2 \psi) \right)$$

recovery of GR must be environmental dependent

- make the scalar short-ranged using  $V(\psi)$  (chameleon)
- make the kinetic term large to suppress coupling to matter using  $\omega_{BD}(\psi)$  (dilaton/symmetron) or  $N(\nabla \psi)$  (k-mouflage)  $N(\nabla^2 \psi)$  (Vainshtein)

Break equivalence principle

 $\int d^{4}x \Big( B(\psi) L_{baryon} + L_{CDM} \Big) \qquad \text{remove the fifth force from baryons} \\ (interacting DE models in Einstein frame)$ 

# Classification of screening mechanism

#### Classification Joyce et.al. 1407.0059

These screening mechanisms can be classified whether the suppression of the fifth force is determined by

- Potential (chameleon, dilaton/symmetron)  $\Psi$
- Gradient of potential (*k-mouflage*)  $\nabla_i \Psi$
- Curvature (*Vainshtein*)  $\nabla_i \nabla_j \Psi$

$$F_{\varphi} = 2 \beta^2 F_{Newton} \varepsilon(\Psi, \nabla \Psi, \nabla^2 \Psi)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi &= \frac{GM}{r} \\
\varphi &= 2\beta^2 \varepsilon \frac{GM}{r} \\
2\beta^2 &= \frac{1}{3+2\omega_{BD}}
\end{aligned}$$

# Behaviour of gravity

There regimes of gravity



In most models, the scalar mode obeys non-linear equations describing the transition from the scalar tensor theory on large scales to GR on small scales

$$\rho_{crit} \approx 10^{-29} g / cm^3,$$
  

$$\rho_{galaxy} \approx 10^{-24} g / cm^3,$$
  

$$\rho_{solar} \approx 10g / cm^3$$

Understandings of non-linear clustering require N-body simulations where the non-linear scalar equation needs to be solved







- Modified gravity models
  In the non-linear nature of the scalar field equation implies that the superposition rule does not hold
  - It is required to solve the non-linear scalar equation directly on a mesh a computational challenge!
  - > The breakdown of the superposition rule has interesting consequences

## N-body Simulations for MG

- Multi-level adaptive mesh refinement
- > a scalar field solver using a non-linear Gauss Seidel relaxation

ECOSMOG Li, Zhao, Teyssier, KK JCAP1201 (2012) 051 MG-GADGET Puchwein, Baldi, Springel MNRAS (2013) 436 348 ISIS Llinares, Mota, Winther A&A (2014) 562 A78 DGPM, Schmidt PRD80, 043001

Modified Gravity Simulations comparison project Winther, Shcmidt, Barreira et.al. arXiv: 1506.06384





Example f(R) gravity  $f(R) \simeq -2\kappa^2 \rho_{\Lambda} - f_{R0} \frac{R_0^2}{R}$ 



Three independent codes agree within 1% up to k = 3h / Mpc

Winther et.al. 1506.06384

# Comparisons in LCDM

 Comparisons between three codes RAMSES (AMR) Gadget3 (tree-PM) Pkgrav3 (tree)

Schneider et.al. 1503.05920



# Problems of MG simulations

### Computing time

Solving non-linear scalar filed equation can be very slow (it can take 5-20 times more time than LCDM simulations)

### Various ideas to speed-up simulations

► f(R) (chameleon) Bose et.al. 1611.09375

In some models, the discretised equation can be solved analytically removing the necessity to solve non-linear equation

• nDGP (Vainshtein) Barreira et.al. 1511.08200

For the computation of dark matter statistics, there is no need to use refined meshes for the scalar as the scalar force is suppressed in high density regions **COmoving Lagrangian Acceleration method** 

• COLA Tassev, Zaldarriaga, Eisenstein 1301.0322

The idea is to augment simulations with perturbation theory geodesic equation

 $\partial_t^2 \boldsymbol{x} = -\nabla \Phi_t$ 

Compute the large scale displacement using 2<sup>nd</sup> order Lagrangian perturbations (2LPT) and solve the residual using normal N-body methods such as Particle-Mesh (PM)

$$\partial_t^2 x_{
m res} = -\nabla \Phi - \partial_t^2 x_{
m LPT}$$
, with  $x_{
m res} \equiv x - x_{
m LPT}$ 

It is possible to use large time steps

### 2LPT

D

### Poisson equation

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}[\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}\Phi](\vec{k},a) = \kappa \,\mu(k,a)\delta^{\mathrm{E}}(\vec{k},a) + a^{4}H^{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k_{1}\mathrm{d}^{3}k_{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}}\delta^{(1)}(\vec{k_{1}},a)\delta^{(1)}(\vec{k_{2}},a)\gamma_{2}^{\mathrm{E}}(\vec{k},\vec{k_{1}},\vec{k_{2}},a)$$

Lagrangian space

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{q}}[\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}\Phi](\vec{k},a) = \kappa \,\mu(k,a)\delta(\vec{k},a) + a^{4}H^{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k_{1}\mathrm{d}^{3}k_{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}}\delta^{(1)}(\vec{k_{1}},a)\delta^{(1)}(\vec{k_{2}},a)\gamma_{2}(\vec{k},\vec{k_{1}},\vec{k_{2}},a)$$

**Displacement**  $\vec{\Psi}^{(i)} = \vec{\nabla_{q}} \phi^{(i)}$   $\gamma_{2} = \gamma_{2}^{E} + \frac{3}{2} \Omega_{m}(a) \left[ \mu(k, a) - \mu(k_{1}, a) \right] \frac{\vec{k_{1}} \cdot \vec{k_{2}}}{k_{2}^{2}}$ 

$$\begin{split} \phi^{(1)}(\vec{k},\tau) &= D_1(k,\tau)\phi^{(1)}(\vec{k},\tau_{\rm ini}) \qquad \frac{d^2 D_1}{d\tau^2} - \kappa \mu(k,a) D_1 = 0 \\ \phi^{(2)}(\vec{k},\tau) &= -\frac{1}{2k^2} \int \frac{d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \delta_D(\vec{k}-\vec{k}_{12}) \ \delta^{(1)}(\vec{k_1},\tau_{\rm ini}) \delta^{(1)}(\vec{k_2},\tau_{\rm ini}) D_2(\vec{k},\vec{k_1},\vec{k_2},\tau) \\ & \frac{d^2 D_2}{d\tau^2} - \kappa \mu(k,a) D_2 = -\kappa \mu(k,a) D_1(k_1,\tau) D_1(k_2,\tau) \\ & \times \left(1 - \left(\frac{2\mu(k_1,a) - \mu(k,a)}{\mu(k,a)}\right) \frac{(\vec{k_1} \cdot \vec{k_2})^2}{k_1^2 k_2^2} + \frac{2a^4 H^2}{\kappa \mu(k,a)} \gamma_2(\vec{k},\vec{k_1},\vec{k_2},a)\right) \end{split}$$

# 2LPT and PM

### LCDM approximation

$$\begin{split} \phi^{(2)}(\vec{k},\tau) &= \hat{D}_2(k,\tau)\phi^{(2)}(\vec{k},\tau_{\rm ini}) \\ \phi^{(2)}(\vec{k},\tau_{\rm ini}) &= -\frac{1}{2k^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k_1 \mathrm{d}^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \delta_D(\vec{k}-\vec{k}_{12}) \ \delta^{(1)}(\vec{k_1},\tau_{\rm ini})\delta^{(1)}(\vec{k_2},\tau_{\rm ini}) \left(1 - \frac{(\vec{k_1}\cdot\vec{k_2})^2}{k_1^2 k_2^2}\right) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \hat{D}_2}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} - \kappa \,\mu(k,a) \hat{D}_2 &= -\kappa \,\mu(k,a) D_1^2(k,a) \left(1 + \frac{2a^4 H^2}{\kappa \mu} \gamma_2(k,k/\sqrt{2},k/\sqrt{2},a)\right) \end{split}$$

Particle mesh part

We include screening effects by the following approximation

$$F_{\varphi} = 2 \beta^2 F_{Newton} \varepsilon(\Psi, \nabla \Psi, \nabla^2 \Psi)$$

### Examples

▶ f(R)

l n

$$\begin{split} \mu(k,a) &= 1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{k^2}{k^2 + a^2 m^2(a)} \qquad m^2(a) = \frac{1}{3f_{RR}(a)} = \frac{H_0^2(\Omega_m + 4\Omega_\Lambda)}{2|f_{R0}|} \left(\frac{\Omega_m a^{-3} + 4\Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega_m + 4\Omega_\Lambda}\right)^3 \\ \gamma_2^{\rm E} &= -\frac{9\Omega_m^2}{48a^6|f_{R0}|^2} \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^2 \times \frac{(\Omega_m a^{-3} + 4\Omega_\Lambda)^5}{(\Omega_m + 4\Omega_\Lambda)^4} \frac{1}{\Pi(k,a)\Pi(k_1,a)\Pi(k_2,a)} \\ \Pi(k,a) &= \left(\frac{k}{aH_0}\right)^2 + \frac{(\Omega_m a^{-3} + 4\Omega_\Lambda)^3}{2|f_{R0}|(\Omega_m + 4\Omega_\Lambda)^2} \right] \\ \vec{F}_{\phi} &= \frac{1}{3} \cdot \vec{F}_{\rm Newton} \cdot \epsilon_{\rm screen}(\Phi_N) \qquad \epsilon_{\rm screen}(\Phi_N) = {\rm Min} \left[1, \left|\frac{3f_R(a)}{2\Phi_N}\right|\right] \qquad f_R(a) = f_{R0} \left(\frac{\Omega_m + 4\Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega_m a^{-3} + 4\Omega_\Lambda}\right)^2 \\ \textbf{DGP} \\ \mu(k,a) &= 1 + \frac{1}{3\beta_{\rm DGP}(a)} \qquad \beta_{\rm DGP}(a) = 1 + 2r_c H(a) \left(1 + \frac{\dot{H}}{3H^2}\right) \\ \gamma_2^{\rm E} &= -\left(\frac{H_0}{H}\right)^2 \frac{(r_c H_0)^2 \Omega_m^2}{6\beta_{\rm DGP}^3(a)a^6} \left(1 - \frac{(\vec{k_1} \cdot \vec{k_2})^2}{k_1^2 k_2^2}\right) \end{split}$$

 $\vec{F}_{\phi} = \frac{1}{3\beta_{\rm DGP}(a)} \cdot \vec{F}_{\rm Newton} \cdot \epsilon_{\rm screen}(\rho) \qquad \epsilon_{\rm screen}(\rho) = \frac{2\sqrt{1+x}}{x} \qquad x = \frac{8(r_c H_0)^2 \Omega_m}{9\beta_{\rm DGP}^2(a)} \frac{\rho}{\overline{\rho}}$ 

Comparison with an AMR code (RAMSES)

**MG-PICOLA** 



# Modified gravity examples

### Power spectrum



Halo mass function

### Halo mass function



First order

$$: \overline{\rho}_{\rm cb} \delta_{\rm cb}^{(1)} + \overline{\rho}_{\nu} \delta_{\nu}^{(1)} = \left( \frac{\overline{\rho}_{\rm cb}}{\overline{\rho}_{\rm m}} + \frac{\overline{\rho}_{\nu}}{\overline{\rho}_{\rm m}} \frac{\delta_{\nu}^{(1)}}{\delta_{\rm cb}^{(1)}} \right) \overline{\rho}_{\rm m} \delta_{\rm cb}^{(1)} = \left( f_{\rm cb} + f_{\nu} \frac{D_{1,\nu}(k,\tau)}{D_{1,\rm cb}(k,\tau)} \right) \overline{\rho}_{\rm m} \delta_{\rm cb}^{(1)}$$
$$= \overline{\rho}_{\rm m} \left[ \mu_{m_{\nu}}(k,\tau) \delta_{\rm cb}^{(1)} \right] \cdot \left( d^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} - \kappa \,\mu_{m_\nu}(k,\tau)\right) \phi_{\rm cb}^{(1)}(k,\tau) = 0$$

Massive neutrinos act like modified gravity to CDM/baryons  $\mu_{m_{\nu}}(k,\tau)$  can be computed using the linear Boltzmann code or the Eiseinstein-Hu fitting formula

### Non-linear order

We assume massive neutrinos remain linear while CDM/baryons become fully non-linear





### PM part

### Initial conditions

 Generate massive neutrinos initial conditions using the same phases as CDM

$$\delta_{\nu}(\vec{k},\tau_{\rm ini}) = \delta_{\rm cb}(\vec{k},\tau_{\rm ini}) \frac{T_{\nu}(k,\tau_{\rm ini})}{T_{\rm cb}(k,\tau_{\rm ini})} = \delta_{\rm cb}(\vec{k},\tau_{\rm ini}) \frac{D_{1,\nu}(k,\tau_{\rm ini})}{D_{1,\rm cb}(k,\tau_{\rm ini})}$$

• We evolve this using the linear Boltzmann code

$$\delta_{\nu}(\vec{k},\tau) = \delta_{\nu}(\vec{k},\tau_{\rm ini}) \frac{T_{\nu}(k,\tau)}{T_{\nu}(k,\tau_{\rm ini})} = \delta_{\nu}(\vec{k},\tau_{\rm ini}) \frac{D_{1,\nu}(k,\tau)}{D_{1,\nu}(k,\tau_{\rm ini})}$$

The Newtonian potential

$$-k^2 \Phi(\vec{k},\tau) = \frac{3}{2} \Omega_{\rm m} a \left[ f_{cb} \delta_{\rm cb}(\vec{k},\tau) + f_{\nu} \delta_{\nu}(\vec{k},\tau) \right]$$

Comparisons with N-body

 Comparison with particle based N-body simulations (Gadget) Baldi et.al. 1311.2588



### Effect of massive neutrinos

### LCDM



## Effect of massive neutrinos

Comparison with SPT

Saito, Takada & Taruya 0801.0607, Blas et.al. 1408.2995 Levi and Vlah 1605.0941



# Massive neutrinos in modified gravity

### Modified gravity

Inclusion of modified gravity is computationally cheap (comparison with MG-Gadget Baldi et.al. 1311.2588 )



# Summary

# Screening mechanism GR is recovered on small scales due to the non-linearity of the scalar mode

#### N-body simulations

N-body simulations have been developed to understand non-linear clustering of dark matter in the presence of screening

#### Fast methods

Fast approximated methods are required to create many galaxy mocks

We provided such a method using COLA by augmenting simulations by perturbation theory

Inclusion of massive neutrinos using the linear approximation for the neutrino density is computationally cheap

#### Other applications

Inclusion of general relativistic effects in Newtonian simulations?