Modeling dark matter

Díary of a dark matter phenomenologíst











Yann Mambríní

http://www.ymambrini.com/My_World/Physics.html







Dark Side of the Universe Conference, 15-20 December 2015

Plan

Dark matter from a phenomenological/historical perspective

Building a dark matter model with a microscopical approach *the effective approach versus portal cases*

Building a dark matter model with an observational approach *FERMI, XMM Newton, ICECUBE*

Building a dark matter model with a fundamental approach: *neutrino mass, unification and anomalies*

The dark matter case, a neutríno « bis repetita »?

Observation history





The dark matter case, a neutríno « bís repetíta »?

Observation history



The dark matter case, a neutríno « bís repetíta »?

Observation history



SO(10)

1

Building a dark matter model from a microscopic approach

The pure effective approach « a la Fermi »

Enríco Fermí

"Tentativo dí una teoría dei raggi β", Ricerca Scientífica, 1933

TENTATIVO DI UNA TEORIA DEI RAGGI ^β

Nota (1) di ENRICO FERMI

Sunto. - Si propone una teoria quantitativa dell'emissione dei ra in cui si ammette l'esistenza del «neutrino» e si tratta l'emissione elettroni e dei neutrini da un nucleo all'atto della disintegrazione un procedimento simile a quello seguito nella teoria dell'irradi per descrivere l'emissione di un quanto di luce da un atomo ec Vengono dedotte delle formule per la vita media e per la forma spettro continuo dei raggi 3, e le si confrontano coi dati sperim

Ipotesi fondamentali della teoria.

§ 1. Nel tentativo di costruire una teoria degli elettroni nucleari e dell'emissione dei raggi β , si incontrano, come è noto, due difficoltà principali. La prima dipende dal fatto che i raggi β primari vengono emessi dai nuclei con una distribuzione continua di velocità. Se non si vuole abbandonare il principio della conservazione dell'energia, si



zione dell'energia che si libera gga alle nostre attuali possibiista di PAULI si può p. es. amicella, il così detto « neutrino », a dell'ordine di grandezza di nette poi che in ogni processo \$ elettrone, che si osserva come all'osservazione portando seco i teoria ei baseremo sopra l'ipo-

ia degli elettroni nucleari, die relativistiche delle particelle unno una soddisfacente spiegaille vengano legate in orbite di

cerca Scientifica», 2, fasc. 12, 1933.



The (Hut-)Lee-Weinberg bound (1977)

χ

 $\langle \sigma v \rangle = G_F^2 m_{\chi}^2 > 10^{-9} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \Rightarrow m_{\chi} > 2 \text{ GeV}$

GF

LIMITS ON MASSES AND NUMBER OF NEUTRAL WEAKLY INTERACTING PARTICLES

P. HUT

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands

Received 25 April 1977

Volume 39

25 JULY 1977

Number 4

Cosmological Lower Bound on Heavy-Neutrino Masses

Benjamin W. Lee^(a)

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory,^(b) Batavia, Illinois 60510

and

Stanford University, Physics Department, Stanford, California 94305 (Received 13 May 1977)

The present cosmic mass density of possible stable neutral heavy leptons is calculated in a standard cosmological model. In order for this density not to exceed the upper limit of 2×10^{-29} g/cm³, the lepton mass would have to be *greater* than a lower bound of the order of 2 GeV.

Developing a microscopical approach

On which principle should we extend the microscopic interaction?

Ockham's razor (*lex parsimoniae*) principle :

« Pluralitas non est ponenda sine necessitate » Among competing hypotheses, the one with the fewest assumptions should be selected (everything should be made as simple as possible..)

Dark matter couple only with the Standard Model (SM) particles : Higgs-portal, Z-portal, sterile neutrino. Consequences on observables are strong:
Invisible width of the Higgs/Z, LHC/LEP production in the case of portal models, instability and production of monochromatic photons in the case of sterile neutrino.



These kind of models already exclude WIMP dark matter (dark matter should be heavier than ~ 200 GeV [1 TeV for XENON1T/LZ 2017-projection] in portal cases or lighter than 10 keV in sterile neutrino cases)

Developing a microscopical approach

Conclusion of the Ockham's razor principle

LHC+WMAP : Invisible Higgs (Z) width $M\chi < 60$ (30) GeV excluded

LUX + WMAP $M\chi < 300 \text{ GeV excluded}$

LHC + LUX + WMAP $M\chi > 300$ GeV allowed



<u>Ockham's razor (*lex parsimoniae*) extended principle</u> : « Everything should be made as simple as possible.. But not simpler » Einstein's razor principle (Oxford 1933)

Dark matter couples not only with the Standard Model particles but there exist a dark sector (can be gauged or dynamical) which plays the rôle of the mediator: Z'-portal, supersymmetry or KK modes. Consequences on observables are less strong: no constraints on invisible branching ratio, light dark matter window is re-opened.





Developing a microscopical approach

Conclusion of the <u>extended</u> Ockham's razor principle

LHC + LUX (No PLANCK!)M $\chi < 10 GeV allowed$ M $\chi > 250 GeV allowed$

Conclusion of the Ockham's razor principle

LHC + LUX + WMAP $M\chi > 300$ GeV allowed

2

Building a dark matter model from observations



We will illustrate our purpose by 3 recent « signals »



The FERMI galactic center excess



The 3.5 keV line observed by XMM Newton and X-Chandra



The PeV neutrino events measured by IceCube



The case of XMM Newton sígnal (2014)







Annihilating DM



Axíon-líke partícle



[E. Dudas et al.; 1404.1927]

[J. Jaeckel et al.; 1402.7335]

Combining 2 signals: 3.5 keV + SIDM

[Y.M., T. Toma 1506.02032]







In 2015, Massey *et al.* [1504.03388] found an offset of 1.67 kpc between the center of the halo and its stars. Interpreting as self interacting DM they obtained:



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_{aa}}{m_a} &= \frac{\lambda^2 m_a}{32\pi m_s^4 \left(1 - 4\frac{m_a^2}{m_s^2}\right)^2} \\ &\simeq \frac{\lambda^2 m_a}{32\pi m_s^4}, \qquad m_s \gg m_a. \end{aligned}$$

Which gives, for ma=3 keV and ms=1 MeV:

$$\sigma_{aa}/m_a \simeq 7\lambda^2 \ \mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{g}$$



One can do the same exercice for GC excess and 511 keV line Gondola et al. (P. Gondolo et al. 1406.4683





2.6σ excess (local)1.2σ excess global



Simplest hypothesis, a (pseudo)scalar Higgslike particle

$$\mathcal{L}_{0^{+}} = \frac{c_{1}}{\Lambda} \phi F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + + \frac{c_{2}}{\Lambda} \phi W^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{3}}{\Lambda} \phi G^{a}_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_{a} + g_{\phi} \phi \bar{\chi} \chi + m_{\psi} \bar{\chi} \chi.$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{0^{-}} = \frac{c_{1}}{\Lambda} \phi F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + + \frac{c_{2}}{\Lambda} \phi W^{\mu\nu} \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{3}}{\Lambda} \phi G^{a}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}_{a} + ig_{\phi} \phi \bar{\chi} \gamma^{5} \chi + m_{\psi} \bar{\chi} \chi.$$



Conclusion: a 750 GeV mediator is not exclude by WMAP and PLANCK (extension of the WIMP (wIMP) principle. But hard to see in direct detection or indirect detection experiment (large spectrum).

Conclusion of the observational model building

In the experimental data approach, evading the classical WIMP bound, some work is needed concerning the primordial Universe physics (reheating, WIMPZILLA, freeze-in..)

3

Building a dark matter model from fundamental principles

Supersymmetry Supergravity String-inspired (KKLT) scenario Extra-dimension.. the dark matter candidate (neutralino, gravitino, sneutrino, singlino..) comes as a « bonus »

Monochromatic signal from anomaly cancelation

[Y.M; 0909.5053]



$$\mathcal{L} = F^{Y\mu\nu}F^{Y}_{\mu\nu} - (\partial_{\mu}a - M_{Z'}Z_{\mu})^2 - i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\Psi$$

[Stuckelberg phase]

$$\mathcal{L}' = B \ a \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{Y}_{\mu\nu} F^{Y}_{\rho\sigma} + C \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} Z'_{\mu} Y_{\nu} F^{Y}_{\rho\sigma}$$
$$\delta \ \mathcal{L}' = -\delta \ \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Z}' & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

[Jackson, Servant, Taoso, et al. 0912.0004]





Gauge coupling unification



First motivation for GUT-like models

Two medications: modifying the **particle content** (SUSY) or the **gauge structure** (GUT), or **both** (SUSY-GUT)

Care should be taken concerning the proton decay in GUT models as electrons and quarks belong to **same multiplets**

Gauge coupling unification



First motivation for GUT-like models

Two medications: modifying the **particle content** (SUSY) or the **gauge structure** (GUT), or **both** (SUSY-GUT)

Care should be taken concerning the proton decay in GUT models as electrons and quarks belong to **same multiplets**

Example of SO(10)

[talk of K. Olive]

« Did anybody read on the front page of Times that matter is decaying? »

Woody Allen, 1980



Example of SO(10)

[talk of K. Olive]

« Did anybody read on the front page of Times that matter is decaying? »

Woody Allen, 1980



Conclusion

Building a dark matter model with a microscopical approach

Mass of dark matter > 200 GeV or < 10 GeV

Building a dark matter model with an observational approach Need of non-thermal scenarios

Building a dark matter model with a fundamental approach Elegant but difficulties to respect all the parallel constraints generated by the construction

Conclusion of the microscopic approach

Introducing a dark force (Z') and relaxing the thermal equilibrium gives rise to models evading at the same time the Lee-Weinberg and unitarity bound. It re-open the low mass widow, excluded by Higgs/Z portal.

BUT, the non-observation at the LHC of any resonance (!!) exclude light messengers

The WIMP scale (1-300 GeV) is disfavored by direct detection experiments in the WIMP framework

The case Abell 3827 : first observation?



ESO 146-5 (ESO 146-IG 005) is the designation given to a group of interacting giant elliptical galaxies in the center of the Abell 3827 cluster. The group is well noted due to their strong gravitational lensing effect.

This group of interacting galaxies was found 1.4 billion light years away in the center of Abell 3827. A huge halo of stars is surrounding their interacting nuclei.

In 2015, Massey *et al.* [1504.03388] found an offset of 1.67 kpc between the center of the halo and its stars. Interpreting as self interacting DM they obtained:

$$\sigma/m \sim (1.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{t_{\text{infall}}}{10^9 \,\text{yrs}}\right)^{-2} \text{cm}^2/\text{g}.$$

Massey *et al.* obtained a *lower limit* because the clusters have interacted

Combining XMM and SIDM [Y.M., T. Toma; 1506.02032]



Summary on the old-school bounds:

Lee-Weinberg bound (1977)

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = G_F^2 m_{\chi}^2 > 10^{-9} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \Rightarrow m_{\chi} > 2 \text{ GeV}$$

BUT non-valid as soon as we suppose an extra mediator (Z' lighter then the Z for instance => G'_F > G_F

Cowsik-McClelland bound (1972)

$$\Omega_{\nu}h^{2} = \frac{\rho_{\nu}}{\rho_{0}^{c}}h^{2} = \frac{n_{\nu} \ m_{\nu}}{10^{-5} \ \text{GeV cm}^{3}} \simeq \frac{m_{\nu}}{92 \ \text{eV}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad m_{\nu} \lesssim 9 \ \text{eV}$$

BUT be careful to the extra degrees of freedom (dark radiation)

Unitarity bound

$$m_{\chi} \lesssim 340 \text{ TeV}$$

BUT thermal production has been imposed (FIMP or WIMPZILLA do not enter in this game)