Topological Entanglement Negativity in Chern-Simons theories





Po-Yao Chang, 05/31/2016, YITP Center of Materials Theory, Rutgers University In collaboration with Xueda Wen and Shinsei Ryu



Outline

- Introduction: What is the entanglement negativity?
- Methods: How to compute the entanglement negativity in Chern-Simons theories? (Surgery method)
- Results: Understanding 3-manifolds!

Entanglement (Renyi) entropy: bipartite systems



A and B are always adjacent to each other.

Beyond bipartite systems: entanglement between A1 and A2 tripartite



• A1 and A2 can be either adjacent or disjointed.

Trace norm of a *partially transposed* reduced density matrix [Vidal-Werner 02]



1. $\rho_{A_1 \cup A_2} = \operatorname{tr}_B \rho$ is a mixed state

Trace norm of a *partially transposed* reduced density matrix [Vidal-Werner 02]



1. $\rho_{A_1 \cup A_2} = \operatorname{tr}_B \rho$ is a mixed state 2. $\rho_{A_1 \cup A_2}^{T_2}$ partial transpose w.r.t. A2 $\langle e_i^{(1)} e_j^{(2)} | \rho^{T_2} | e_k^{(1)} e_l^{(2)} \rangle = \langle e_i^{(1)} e_l^{(2)} | \rho | e_k^{(1)} e_j^{(2)} \rangle$ $|e_i^{(1)} \rangle$ and $|e_i^{(2)} \rangle$ are bases of \mathcal{H}_{A_1} and \mathcal{H}_{A_2}

Trace norm of a *partially transposed* reduced density matrix [Vidal-Werner 02]



It measures 'how much' the eigenvalues of ρ^{T_2} are negative.

Logarithmic negativity: $\mathcal{E} \equiv \ln ||\rho^{T_2}|| = \ln \operatorname{Tr}|\rho^{T_2}|$

В

It is not easy to compute the entanglement negativity for a many body state!!!

• A replica trick + QFT (can be CFT or CS)

- Monte Carlo simulations [Chung, Alba, Bonnes, Chen, Lauchli, 13]
- Tensor network (MPS) [Calabrese, Tagliacozzo, Tonni, 13]
- An overlap matrix method (free fermions)
 [Chang, Wen, 16]
- Representation theory (Valance bond solids) [Santos, Korepin, 16]

[[]Calabrese, Cardy, Tonni, 12,13]

Some interesting results

1. Universal behavior for critical systems (CFT approaches)



$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{4} \ln[\tan(\pi z)] + \text{const.}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{[Calabrese, Cardy, Tonni, 12]} \\ \text{Al} (\pi z) = 1 + \text{const.} \\ \text{Al} (\pi z) = 1$$

Some interesting results

1. Universal behavior for critical systems (CFT approaches)



2. Light cone behaviors for quench studies

[Coser, Tonni, Calabrese,14] [Wen, Chang, Ryu,15]



Some interesting results

1. Universal behavior for critical systems (CFT approaches)



$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{4} \ln[\tan(\pi z)] + \text{const.}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{[Calabrese, Cardy, Tonni, 12]} \\ \text{Al} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Al} & \text{A2} & \text{B} \\ 0 & l & 2l & L \end{array} \right) \\ z = l/L \\ \end{array}$$

2. Light cone behaviors for quench studies

[Coser, Tonni, Calabrese,14] [Wen, Chang, Ryu,15]



3. Estimate Kondo screening length [Bayat, Sodano, Bose, 10]



Chern-Simons theory—a surgery method approach

A path integral representation of a partially transposed reduced density matrix + a replica trick= computing a partition function on a 3-manifold



2. Partially transposed density matrix

$$\rho^{T_{B}} \Big[\{\varphi_{0}(\vec{x})\}, \{\varphi_{\beta}(\vec{x})\} \Big] = \int \prod_{\vec{x},\tau} [d\phi(\vec{x},\tau)] e^{-S_{E}} \prod_{\vec{x}\notin B} \delta[\phi(\vec{x},0) - \varphi_{0}(\vec{x})] \delta[\phi(\vec{x},\beta) - \varphi_{\beta}(\vec{x})] \\\prod_{\vec{x}\in B} \delta[\phi(\vec{x},0) - \varphi_{\beta}(\vec{x})] \delta[\phi(\vec{x},\beta) - \varphi_{0}(\vec{x})].$$

$$\rho^{T_{B}} = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{0}^{\int} \int_{\phi(\vec{x},\beta)} \int_{\phi(\vec{x},\beta)} \int_{\phi(\vec{x},\beta)} \int_{\theta} \phi(\vec{x},\beta) \int_{\theta} \phi($$

4. Partially transposed reduced density matrix

$$\rho_{A_1\cup A_2}^{T_{A_2}} \left[\{\varphi_0(\vec{x})\}, \{\varphi_\beta(\vec{x})\} \middle| \vec{x} \in A_1 \cup A_2 \right]$$
$$= \int \left(\prod_{\vec{x} \in B} [d\varphi_0(\vec{x}) d\varphi_\beta(\vec{x})] \delta[\varphi_0(\vec{x}) - \varphi_\beta(\vec{x})] \right) \rho^{T_{A_2}} \left[\{\varphi_0(\vec{x})\}, \{\varphi_\beta(\vec{x})\} \right].$$

Not easy to compute

$$\rho_A^{T_{A_2}} = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & & & \\ & &$$

5. Replica trick (n copies)

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{A_{1}\cup A_{2}}^{T_{A_{2}}}\right)^{n} = \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \prod_{\vec{x}} \left[d\varphi_{0}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) d\varphi_{\beta}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) \right] \prod_{\vec{x}\in B} \delta \left[\varphi_{0}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) - \varphi_{\beta}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) \right] \right. \\ \left. \prod_{\vec{x}\in A_{1}} \delta \left[\varphi_{0}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) - \varphi_{\beta}^{(k+1)}(\vec{x}) \right] \prod_{\vec{x}\in A_{2}} \delta \left[\varphi_{\beta}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) - \varphi_{0}^{(k+1)}(\vec{x}) \right] \rho \left[\left\{ \varphi_{0}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) \right\}, \left\{ \varphi_{\beta}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) \right\} \right] \right\}.$$

e.g.
$$tr(\rho_{A_1\cup A_2}^{T_{A_2}})^3$$



[Calabrese, Cardy, Tonni, 12]

A trick of computing the entanglement negativity

1. Trace norm

$$\operatorname{tr}|\rho_{A_1\cup A_2}^{T_{A_2}}| = \sum_i |\lambda_i| = \sum_{\lambda_i>0} |\lambda_i| + \sum_{\lambda_i<0} |\lambda_i|$$

2. Momenta of the partially transposed reduced density matrix

$$\operatorname{tr}(\rho_{A_1\cup A_2}^{T_{A_2}})^n = \sum_i \lambda_i^n = \sum_{\lambda_i>0} |\lambda_i|^{n_e} + \sum_{\lambda_i<0} |\lambda_i|^{n_e}$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda_i>0} |\lambda_i|^{n_o} - \sum_{\lambda_i<0} |\lambda_i|^{n_o}$$

3. Entanglement negativity can be obtained by taking $n_e \rightarrow 1$

$$\mathcal{E}_{A_1A_2} = \lim_{n_e \to 1} \ln \operatorname{tr} \left(\rho_{A_1 \cup A_2}^{T_2} \right)^{n_e}$$

Chern-Simons Theory

coupling constant (quantized)

1. CS theory
$$S_{\rm CS} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \operatorname{tr} \left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right)$$

Manifold connection of a gauge group

2. Partition function
$$Z(M) = \int [\mathcal{D}A] e^{iS_{CS}(A)}$$

3. Wilson lines (links and knots) $W_R^{\mathcal{C}}(A) = \operatorname{tr}_R P \exp \int_{\mathcal{C}} A.$

4. Correlators (partition function with links and knots)

$$Z(M, \hat{R}_1, \cdots, \hat{R}_N) = \langle W_{\hat{R}_1}^{\mathcal{C}_1} \cdots W_{\hat{R}_N}^{\mathcal{C}_N} \rangle = \int [\mathcal{D}A] \left(\prod_{i=1}^N W_{\hat{R}_i}^{\mathcal{C}_i} \right) e^{iS_{\rm CS}}$$

Witten told us how to compute the partition function of CS theories on any 3-manifold by using a surgery theory!

1. The partition function can be computed from the canonical quantization of a CS theory on a 3-manifold with boundary.



$$Z(M) = \langle \Psi_{M_2} | U_f | \Psi_{M_1} \rangle$$



Witten told us how to compute the partition function of CS theories on any 3-manifold by using a surgery theory!

1. The partition function can be computed from the canonical quantization of a CS theory on a 3-manifold with boundary.



Gluing two slide toruses—> $S^2 \times S^1$

$$Z(S^2 \times S^1) = \langle \hat{0} | \hat{0} \rangle = 1.$$

Gluing two slide toruses with modular transformation—> S^3 $Z(S^3) = \langle \hat{0}|S|\hat{0} \rangle = S_{00}$

In the presence of Wilson lines $Z(S^2 \times S^1, \hat{R}_i, \hat{R}_j) = \langle \hat{R}_i | \hat{R}_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}.$ $Z(S^3, \hat{R}_i, \hat{R}_j) = \langle \hat{R}_i | S | \hat{R}_j \rangle = \mathcal{S}_{ij}.$









$$\left(\mathrm{tr}\rho^{T_B}\right)^{n_e} = \mathrm{In}\,\mathcal{O}_{0a} = \mathrm{In}\,a_a$$









 $\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{A_{1}\cup A_{2}}^{T_{A_{2}}}\right)^{n}}{\left(\operatorname{tr}\rho_{A_{1}\cup A_{2}}^{T_{A_{2}}}\right)^{n}} = \frac{1}{Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{n}} \cdot \frac{Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{2}}{Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{n}} = Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{2-2n} = (\mathcal{S}_{0a})^{2-2n}$



$$\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{A_{1}\cup A_{2}}^{T_{A_{2}}}\right)^{n}}{\left(\operatorname{tr}\rho_{A_{1}\cup A_{2}}^{T_{A_{2}}}\right)^{n}} = \frac{1}{Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{n}} \cdot \frac{Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{2}}{Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{n}} = Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{2-2n} = (\mathcal{S}_{0a})^{2-2n}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{A_1A_2} = \lim_{n_e \to 1} \ln \frac{\operatorname{tr} \left(\rho^{T_B}\right)^{n_e}}{\left(\operatorname{tr} \rho^{T_B}\right)^{n_e}} = \ln \left(\mathcal{S}_{0a}\right)^0 = 0.$$



$$\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{A_{1}\cup A_{2}}^{T_{A_{2}}}\right)^{n}}{\left(\operatorname{tr}\rho_{A_{1}\cup A_{2}}^{T_{A_{2}}}\right)^{n}} = \frac{1}{Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{n}} \cdot \frac{Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{2}}{Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{n}} = Z(S^{3},\hat{R}_{a})^{2-2n} = (\mathcal{S}_{0a})^{2-2n}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{A_1A_2} = \lim_{n_e \to 1} \ln \frac{\operatorname{tr} \left(\rho^{T_B}\right)^{n_e}}{\left(\operatorname{tr} \rho^{T_B}\right)^{n_e}} = \ln \left(\mathcal{S}_{0a}\right)^0 = 0.$$

No entanglement if A1 and A2 do not have interfaces!







More cases







Conclusion:

- Entanglement negativity is always zero for disjointed intervals.
- Entanglement negativity depends on the number of interfaces between A1 and A2.
- Entanglement negativity depends on the choice of ground state. — can distinguish Abelian and non-Abelian theories.

Questions:

- Generalization for higher dimensions?
- Non-chiral topological field theories?