Real time confinement in a quantum quench



Pasquale Calabrese

SISSA-Trieste



Kyoto, June 15th 2016

Quantum matter, spacetime and information

Based on arXiv:1604.03571

with Mario Collura, Marton Kormos, Gabor Takacs

Quantum quench dynamics

- A many-body quantum system is prepared in the groundstate of H_0 , *i.e.* $|\Psi_0\rangle$
- At t=0, $H_0 \implies H$, *i.e.* a Hamiltonian parameter is quenched
- For t > 0, it evolves unitarily: $|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\Psi_0\rangle$
- No contact with "external" world
- What are the main features of the dynamics?
- What about a "stationary state"?

The study of quench dynamics has been boosted by cold-atom experiments in the last decade or so

Light-cone spreading of entanglement entropy

- After a global quench, the initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ has an extensive excess of energy
- It acts as a source of quasi-particles at t=0. A particle of momentum p has energy E_p and velocity $v_p=dE_p/dp$
- For t > 0 the particles moves semiclassically with velocity v_p
- particles emitted from regions of size of the initial correlation length are entangled, particles from far points are incoherent
- The point $x \in A$ is entangled with a point $x' \in B$ if a left (right) moving particle arriving at x is entangled with a right (left) moving particle arriving at x'. This can happen only if $x \pm v_p t \sim x' \mp v_p t$



Light-cone spreading of entanglement entropy

PC, J Cardy 2005

- The entanglement entropy of an interval A of length ℓ is proportional to the total number of pairs of particles emitted from arbitrary points such that at time *t*, $x \in A$ and $x' \in B$
- Denoting with f(p) the rate of production of pairs of momenta $\pm p$ and their contribution to the entanglement entropy, this implies

$$S_A(t) \approx \int_{x' \in A} dx' \int_{x'' \in B} dx'' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int f(p) dp \delta(x' - x - v_p t) \delta(x'' - x + v_p t)$$

$$\propto t \int_0^{\infty} dp f(p) 2v_p \theta(\ell - 2v_p t) + \ell \int_0^{\infty} dp f(p) \theta(2v_p t - \ell)$$

• When v_p is bounded (e.g. Lieb-Robinson bounds) $|v_p| < v_{max}$, the second term is vanishing for 2 $v_{max} t < \ell$ and the entanglement entropy grows linearly with time up to a value linear in ℓ

One example



Analytically for t, $\ell \gg 1$ with t/ ℓ constant

$$S(t) = t \int_{2|\epsilon'|t<\ell} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} 2|\epsilon'|H(\cos\Delta_{\varphi}) + \ell \int_{2|\epsilon'|t>\ell} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} H(\cos\Delta_{\varphi})$$

M Fagotti, PC 2008

Light-cone spreading of correlations

The same scenario is valid for correlations:

PC, J Cardy 2006/07

- **Horizon**: points at separation *r* become correlated when left- and rightmoving particles originating from the same point first reach them
- If $|v_p| < v_{\text{max}}$, connected correlations are then frozen for $t < r/2v_{\text{max}}$

Example: Ising model within ferromagnetic phase

PC, F Essler, M Fagotti 2011/12



Light cone in interacting models



Carleo et al, '14 Bose-Hubbard





Bonnes, Essler, Lauchli '14 XXZ spin chain



Light cone in experiment



FIG. 1. Spreading of correlations in a quenched atomic Mott insulator. **a**, A 1D ultracold gas of bosonic atoms (black balls) in an optical lattice is initially prepared deep in the Mott-insulating phase with unity filling. The lattice depth is then abruptly lowered, bringing the system out of equilibrium. **b**, Following the quench, entangled quasiparticle pairs emerge at all sites. Each of these pairs consists of a doublon (red ball) and a holon (blue ball) on top of the unityfilling background, which propagate ballistically in opposite directions. It follows that a correlation in the parity of the site occupancy builds up at time t between any pair of sites separated by a distance d = vt, where v is the relative velocity of the doublons and holons.



Some no light-cone spreadings

MBL, logarithmic growth of entanglement:

Bardason, Pollmann, Moore, '12



Long-range interaction:

Jurcevic et al Nature 511, 202 (2014)



When the range of interaction is long enough there is no light cone

see also: Hauke & Tagliacozzo, '13 Schachenmayer et al '13 Richerme et al '14

Disappearance of the light cone

Starting from the ferromagnetic state (all spins up) and evolving with

$$H = -J\sum_{j=1}^{L} \left[\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + h_z \sigma_j^z + h_x \sigma_j^x\right]$$

with $h_z = 0.25$. Connected longitudinal correlation $\langle \sigma_1^x \sigma_{m+1}^x \rangle_c$



Confinement in the Ising model

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left[\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + h_z \sigma_j^z + h_x \sigma_j^x \right]$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{McCoy \& Wu 78} \\ \text{Bhaseen, Tsvelik '04} \\ \text{+ many more, sorry} \end{array}$$

- For $h_x = 0$, free fermions with dispersion $\varepsilon(k) = 2J\sqrt{1 2h^z \cos k + h^{z^2}}$
- $h_z=1$ separates two massive phases
- For $h_z < 1$ (ferro phase), the massive fermions can be seen as domain walls separating domains of magnetization $\sigma = (1 h_z^2)^{\frac{1}{8}}$
- h_x induces an attractive interaction between DW that can be approximated as a linear potential V(x)= $\chi |x|$, with $\chi = 2Jh_x\sigma$
- DW do not propagate freely and they get confined into mesons





Bound state = meson



$$\mathcal{H} = \varepsilon(\theta_1) + \varepsilon(\theta_2) + \chi |x_2 - x_1| = \omega(\theta; \Theta) + \chi |x|$$

 $\omega(\theta;\Theta) = \varepsilon(\theta + \Theta/2) + \varepsilon(\theta - \Theta/2)$

This can be quantized semiclassically a'la Bohr-Sommerfeld

• The number and the energies of mesons depend on h_x , h_z , $\Theta_{h_x=0.1, h_z=0.25, \Theta=0}$

• When ω has a single minimum one obtains

$$2E_n(\Theta)\theta_a - \int_{-\theta_a}^{\theta_a} \mathrm{d}\theta\,\omega(\theta;\Theta) = 2\pi\chi(n-1/4)\,,\qquad n=1,2,\ldots$$

where θ_a is the solution of $\omega(\theta_a(n; \Theta); \Theta) = E_n(\Theta)$

For two minima

$$E_n(\Theta)(\theta_a - \theta_b) - \int_{-\theta_b}^{\theta_a} \mathrm{d}\theta \,\omega(\theta; \Theta) = \pi \chi(n - 1/2), \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$





A simple approximation for the meson spectrum

 $h_x = 0.1, h_z = 0.25, \Theta = 0$



The four masses are m_1 =3.662 m_2 =4.127 m_3 =4.48 m_4 =4.77

 $E_n(\theta)$ is the dispersion relation of the mesons





• Comparison with exact diagonalization:



Back to quenches

What happens if there are mesons in the spectrum of the postquench Hamiltonian in the quasi-particle picture?

- $|\psi_0\rangle$ acts as a source of quasi-particles at t=0
- pairs of quasi-particles move in opposite directions with velocity v_p
- moving away the quasi-particle feel the attractive interaction
- Interaction will eventually turn the particle and start oscillations



1-pt function $\langle \sigma^x \rangle$

Quenches ferro to ferro







The entanglement entropy does not **seem to grow** indefinitely but oscillates around a finite value The entanglement entropy grows but much slower than in the integrable case

Connected correlation functions



10 20 30 40 50 60

time

-40<u></u>

10 20 30 40 50 60

time

0

-40<u></u>____0

-40^L

10 20 30 40 50 60

time

Connected correlation functions

Let's zoom:



Conclusions: there is a feeble light-cone (a factor 10⁻³) having the **mesons velocity**!

Quench para→ferro

 $h_z^0 = 2, h_x^0 = 0, h_z = 0.25$



Conclusions: The light-cone is visible, but it has the mesons velocity!

Physical interpretation

For $h_x=0$, the initial state can be written in the postquench basis as

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \prod_{k>0} (1 + iK(k)a_k^{\dagger}a_{-k}^{\dagger})|0\rangle$$

- h_x confines the domain walls into mesons.
- When K(k) is small, the state is dominated by the linear terms which only contain (k, -k) pairs that get confined into mesons at rest.
- Quadratic terms (and higher) lead to propagating mesons, but can be seen only when K(k) is large enough.
- Mesons have velocities that are very different from the domain walls,

Quench para \rightarrow para $h_{x} = 0.1$ $h_x = 0.4$ $h_{x} = 0.2$ 40 40 0.005 20 20 0.004 distance distance 0.003 Ω $\left(\right)$ 0.002

12.5

time



12.5

time

-20

-40<u></u>

25

40

20

 $\left(\right)$

-20

-40^L

distance

No dramatic qualitative difference with increasing h_x , reflecting the absence of mesons

12.5

time

0.001

 \mathbf{O}

25

-20

-40<u></u>

25

No transverse (mass) quench



1×10⁻¹

2

ω

3

Δ

neatly due to the absence of transient and drift ⇒ entanglement quench spectroscopy ------

Conclusions

In the Ising chain, mesons freeze the light cone spreading of correlations and entanglement

Questions:

- Is it a general property of the many models displaying confinement? Presumably yes, possible to check numerically
- Can it prevent thermalization? as in Banuls et al 2011?
- What about prethermalization?
- Is it true in higher dimensions? e.g. in QCD?

maybe holographically one can have some hints