Universal terms in the Entanglement Entropy of Scale Invariant 2+1 Dimensional Quantum Field Theories

Eduardo Fradkin Department of Physics and Institute for Condensed Matter Theory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Talk at the Workshop "Quantum Information in String Theory and Many-body Systems", at the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics (YITP), Kyoto University, June 20-24, 2016

Collaborators: Xiao Chen, Tianci Zhou, Gil Young Cho, Tom Faulkner



Entanglement





Density Matrix and Entanglement Entropy



- Pure state in A \cup B: $\Psi[\varphi_A, \varphi_B]$
- Density Matrix

 $\langle \varphi_A, \varphi_B | \rho_{A \cup B} | \varphi'_A, \varphi'_B \rangle = \Psi[\varphi_A, \varphi_B] \Psi^*[\varphi'_A, \varphi'_B]$

Observing only A: mixed state with a reduced density matrix ρ_{A}

 $\langle \varphi_A | \rho_{A \cup B} | \varphi'_A \rangle = \operatorname{tr}_B \rho_{A \cup B}$

von Neumann Entanglement Entropy:

$$S_{vN} = -\mathrm{tr}\left(\rho_A \log \rho_A\right)$$

Scaling of Entanglement

- We will consider a partition into two simply-connected regions A and B, such that l_B»l_A»ξ»a; ξ is the correlation length (if finite) and a is the UV cutoff
- In a massive phase in d space dimensions ξ is finite and the entanglement entropy obeys the Area Law

$$S_{vN} = \alpha \left(\frac{\ell_A}{a}\right)^{d-1} + \dots$$

- The prefactor of the Area Law is **not universal** as it depends on the short-distance cutoff a
- In a 1+1 dimensional CFT this law is replaced by the universal form

$$S_{vN} = \frac{c}{3} \ln\left(\frac{\ell}{a}\right) + \dots$$

• In a 2d topological phase it has the scaling $S_{vN}=\alpha$ (*l*/a)- γ +... (Kitaev and Preskill, Levin and Wen); $\gamma = \ln \mathcal{D}$ (\mathcal{D} : effective quantum dimension).

Scaling of Entanglement in General Dimension

- For general *odd* space dimension d the entanglement entropy has an expansion of the form $S_{vN} = \alpha (l/a_0)^{d-1} + \alpha' (l/a_0)^{d-3} + ... + s \ln (l/a_0) + ...$
- This expression is verified both in free field theories (Casini and Huerta, 2008) and in the AdS/CFT correspondence using the Ryu-Takayanagi ansatz (2006)
- The first term is the Area Law. The coefficients α , α ', etc. are non-universal
- The coefficient **s** of the logarithmic term is universal
- In 3+1 dimensional CFTs, the coefficient s is expressed in terms of integrals of the entangling surface and of the central charges a and c

Scaling of Entanglement in 2+1 Dimensions

- Much less is known about the universal terms in the scaling of entanglement in odd space-time dimensions at quantum criticality
- For simply-connected regions with the shape of a disk in d=2 dimensions the entanglement entropy has the form of the *F* theorem: S_{vN}=α (*l*/a)-*F*+..., where the constant term *F* is finite and universal (Klebanov, Pufu, Safdi (2011); Casini, Huerta and Myers (2011))
- Here we will discuss the current evidence for universal finite terms in several d=2 quantum critical systems of interest
- We will focus on the case in which the d=2 surface is a 2-torus and the observed regions are cylindrical sections of the torus.

Quantum Dimer Models

- Quantum dimer models are simple models of 2d frustrated (or large N) antiferromagnets (Kivelson and Rokhsar, 1988)
- The space of states |C > are the dimer coverings of the lattice, each dimer being regarded as a spin singlet (valence bond) of a pair of nearby spins
- The ground states have the RVB form

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_{\{\mathcal{C}\}} e^{-\beta E[\mathcal{C}]} |\mathcal{C}\rangle$$

- For small enough β, on bipartite lattices (square, honeycomb, etc) these states represent quantum critical points
- On non-bipartite lattices (also for small enough β) they represent Z₂ topological states (deconfined Z₂ gauge theories or Kitaev's Toric Code states)
- For large β they represent ordered (VBS) states



The Quantum Lifshitz Model

- The Quantum Lifshitz Model is the effective continuum theory of the critical Quantum Dimer Model (Ardonne, Fendley, Fradkin, 2004)
- Free compactified boson field theory ($\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi R$) representing the coarsegrained configurations of dimers on a bipartite 2D lattice
- It has dynamic critical exponent z=2
- It describes a quantum critical point between an uniform phase and a spatially modulated phase
- In the uniform phase it becomes a relativistic compactified scalar field, a NG mode.

• Hamiltonian:
$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\Pi^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \left(\nabla^2 \phi\right)^2$$
, $[\phi(\mathbf{x}), \Pi(\mathbf{y})] = i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$

• Ground State Wave Functional: $\Psi_0[\phi(\mathbf{x})] = \text{const} \times e^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}} \int d^2x \left(\nabla \phi(\mathbf{x})\right)^2$

• The ground state wave functional is conformally invariant

Entanglement Scaling in the QLM

- On simply connected region A with a smooth boundary the entanglement entropy obeys the scaling $S_{vN}=\alpha$ (I/a)+ γ +..., where γ is universal (Fradkin and Moore, 2006)
- If the boundary has corners (cusps), or ends at the edge of the system, S_{vN} has **universal logarithmic terms** (Zaletel, Bardarson and Moore, 2011)
- The Rényi entanglement entropy S_n is computed in terms of ratios of 2D classical partition functions.
- Partition function on an a "book" with 2n sheets.

$$\mathrm{tr}\rho_A^n = \frac{Z_n}{Z^n}$$

- Z_n: partition function of n copies glued at the boundary or the observed region A.
- Z: partition function (norm of the wave function) for one copy.

 S_{vN} is expressed in terms of 2D classical free energies (two with Dirichlet BCs at ∂A and ∂B , and one free) and of the contribution of the winding modes, W(n)

$$S_{vN}[A] = F_D[A] + F_D[B] - F[A \cup B] - \lim_{n \to 1} \partial_n W(n)$$

Entanglement on a Torus



- 2 torus: entanglement entropy of a cylindrical region has a universal finite term (Hsu at al; Hsu and Fradkin; Oshikawa; Stéphan, et al).
- Finite term due to the winding modes of the boson: long range entanglement.

Thin "slice" limit: $S_{vN} = 2\alpha \frac{L_y}{a} - \frac{\pi}{24} \frac{L_y}{L_A}$ Thin torus limit: $S_{vN} = 2\alpha \frac{L_y}{a} + 2\left(\ln\left(\sqrt{8\pi\kappa R}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\right)$

EE in other geometries: Disk and Sphere

• If A is a disk of radius r in the plane with Dirichlet BCs (and for a spherical cap of angle 2θ)

$$\gamma = \ln\left(\sqrt{8\pi\kappa}R\right) - \frac{1}{2}$$

- This result should be compared with the -In (rg²) dependence found for a compactified relativistic scalar field (a Goldstone boson with dimensionful coupling constant g) by Agon et al.
- Annular region of radii r₁<r₂ in the infinite plane (and for two spherical caps after stereographic projection)

Thick annulus :
$$\gamma = 2 \left[\ln \left(\sqrt{8\pi\kappa}R \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right]$$

Thin annulus : $\gamma = -\frac{\pi}{24} \left(\frac{2\pi r_1}{r_2 - r_1} \right) + \ln \left(\sqrt{8\pi\kappa}R \right) - \frac{1}{2}$

Mutual Information

 Mutual information of region A, a disk of radius r₁, with B, the complement of a disk of radius r₂>r₁, in the infinite plane

$$I(A,B) = S(A) + S(B) - S(C) = 2\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^{1/4\pi\kappa R^2} + \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^2$$

• Mutual information of two disks of radii R_A and R_B separated by a large distance r (here $\zeta = R_A R_B/r^2$)

$$I(A, B) = S(A) + S(B) - S(A \cup B) = 2\zeta^{2\Delta_1} + \zeta^{2\Delta_2}$$

 $\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi\kappa R^2} \text{ and } \Delta_2 = 1 \quad \text{scaling dimensions of the vertex operator} \\ \exp(i\phi) \text{ and } \partial_x \phi \text{ of the QLM}$

Torus with general aspect ratio

2 torus with aspect ratio L_y/L_x and a cylindrical region A with aspect ratio $u = L_A/L_x$, the entanglement entropy is $S_{vN}=2\alpha (L_y/a)+\beta J(u)$ (Stéphan et al)

$$J(u) = \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{\theta_3(\lambda\tau)\theta_3(\tau/\lambda)} \frac{\theta_3(\lambda u\tau)\theta_3(\lambda(1-u)\tau)}{\eta(2u\tau)\eta(2(1-u)\tau)}\right)$$

 $\theta_3(z)$: Jacobi theta-function $\eta(z)$: Dedekind eta-function

For the quantum dimer model at the RK point, λ =2

$$\tau = i \frac{L_y}{L_x}$$

Scaling of Entanglement in the 2+1 dimensional Ising Model in a Transverse Field

- Inglis and Melko (2013) studied numerically the second Rényi entropy S₂ at the quantum critical point of the 2D TFIM on a 2 torus
- This system is in the universality class of the φ⁴ Wilson-Fisher fixed point in 2+1 dimensions
- Two different scaling functions:
- S₂=2 α L_y+ β log(sin (π L_x/L))
- $S_2=2\alpha L_y+\beta J(u)+const$

The QLM scaling works surprisingly well even though is not relativistic!



Figure 7. The entanglement entropy of the L = 28, 32, 36 systems using two cylinders (figure 1), along with fits to (orange) equation (27) and (teal) equation (28). Notice the lack of any even-odd effect in the entanglement as a function of cut length.

Holographic Entanglement on the 2 torus

Chen, Cho, Faulkner and Fradkin (2015) used the **Ryu-Takayanagi ansatz** to obtain the finite term in the **von Neumann entanglement entropy for a cylindrical section of a 2 torus**

 $\mathcal{A}: \text{ area of the minimal AdS surface homologous}$ to the observed region where the QFT lives on the boundary of AdS Taraidal gradient principal surface falls on the



Toroidal geometries: minimal surface falls on the "AdS₄ soliton" geometry (Horowitz and Myers)

- The EE is sensitive to which cycle of the torus contracts since the cut is along y
- In the thin torus limit, $L_y {\mbox{\scriptsize \sc k}}$, the EE saturates
- This is natural for fermions with anti-periodic boundary conditions
- In the opposite, thin slice, limit we find universal scaling
- The von Neumann EE has the scaling form $S_{vN}=\alpha L_y + \beta j(u)$, where j(u) has two different forms depending on whether the aspect ratio of the torus is $L_y/L_x>1$ or $L_y/L_x<1$

Parametric form of j(u) for $L_y/L_x>1$

$$u(\chi) = \frac{3\chi^{1/3}(1-\chi)^{1/2}}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{d\zeta\zeta^2}{(1-\chi\zeta^3)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\chi,\zeta)}} \qquad j(\chi) = \chi^{-1/3} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\chi,\zeta)}} - 1\right) - 1\right)$$

$$P(\chi,\zeta) = 1 - \chi\zeta^3 - (1 - \chi)\zeta^4$$

Parametric form for j(u) for $L_y/L_{x<1}$

$$j(\chi) = \chi^{-1/3} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - \chi\zeta^3}}{\sqrt{P(\chi,\zeta)}} - 1 \right) - 1 \right)$$
$$\frac{L_x}{L_y} u = \frac{3}{2\pi} \chi^{1/3} (1 - \chi)^{1/2} \int_0^1 \frac{d\zeta\zeta^2}{\sqrt{1 - \chi\zeta^3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\chi,\zeta)}}$$



FIG. 2. The subleading term for the minimal surface for various values of L_x/L_y . The solid curves are for j(u) when $L_x \leq L_y$ and the dashed curves are for $\tilde{j}(u)$ when $L_x > L_y$.

Tests of scaling in 2d free field fermionic models

- We computed the entanglement entropy for cylindrical regions of a 2 torus for free massless Dirac fermions in 2+1 dimensions and also for free massless "Lifshitz" (QBT) fermions, in both cases with anti-periodic boundary conditions
- Dirac fermions in 2+1 dimensions are a stable phase and hence an UV fixed point
- "Lifshitz" fermions in 2+1 dimensions have z=2 and are are at the marginal dimension (asymptotically free)
- We used a lattice version of these models and used standard results for the reduced density matrix and the entanglement entropy (Peschel, 2001)
- In the Dirac case we accounted for fermionic doublers

Scaling of entanglement for free massless Dirac fermions in 2+1 dimensions



Conclusions

- In 2+1 dimensions we expect to find universal finite corrections to the entanglement entropy
- These finite terms are determined by the operator content (compactification radius, scaling dimensions, etc) and have topological origin
- We presented results for von Neumann EE of the QLM on different geometries and for the mutual information
- On cylindrical regions the universal finite terms are given in terms of scaling functions of the aspect ratios of the cylinders and of the 2 torus
- We presented expressions for the entanglement entropy derived from holography on toroidal geometries which fit remarkably well even free massless Dirac fermions (on anti-periodic boundary conditions)
- The finite term derived by Stéphan et al for the QLM fits remarkably well even for Dirac fermions which is a relativistic theory (although the holographic results is better)
- How well does the holographic result fit the 2D Ising model in a transverse field?

Scaling of entanglement for free massless "Lifshitz" fermions in 2+1 dimensions



Figure 8. S_{vN} for the QBT model as a function of u. The bipartition geometry is the same as the Dirac model. The inset is the absolute deviation for the fitting function $S_{vN} = \alpha L + \beta J(u)$ with numerical data. The deviation is less than 1% for the whole region of u.