Constructive Gravity

A New Approach to Modified Gravity Theories

Marcus C. Werner, Kyoto University



Gravity and Cosmology 2018 YITP Long Term Workshop, 27 February 2018

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Standard approach to modified gravity:

Effective field theory approach: stipulate a modification of the Einstein-Hilbert action.

 \rightarrow What about the well-posedness of the initial value problem i.e. predictivity?

New approach discussed here:

Fundamental approach: derive gravity action such that the theory is predictive.

How to implement predictivity on general (e.g. non-metric) backgrounds? How to construct dynamics from kinematics? \rightarrow 'Constructive gravity' program

[Cf. Hojman, Kuchař & Teitelboim (1976); Rätzel, Rivera & Schuller (2011); Giesel, Schuller, Witte & Wohlfarth (2012); Düll, Schuller, Stritzelberger & Wolz (2017); Schuller & Werner (2017)]

Consider a smooth manifold M with chart (U, x) and some smooth tensor fields G for geometry and F for matter, of arbitrary order.

Spacetime geometry is probed by test matter, with linear field equations. The most general such test matter field PDE in (U, x) is

$$\left[\sum_{d=1}^{k} D_{B}^{A\mu_{1}...\mu_{d}}[G] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu_{1}}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu_{d}}}\right] F_{A} = 0, \quad (*)$$

with some multi-index A, and highest derivative order k, assumed to be finite.

Principal polynomial

The (reduced) principal polynomial of (*) is $P : T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$P \propto \det \left[D_B^{\mathcal{A}\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}(x) p_{
u_1} \dots p_{\mu_k}
ight] = P^{
u_1 \dots
u_{\deg P}} p_{
u_1} \dots p_{
u_{\deg P}},$$

with totally symmetric principal polynomial tensor $P^{\nu_1...\nu_{\deg P}}$. Note: although (*) was written in a chart, P is indeed tensorial. Then the (generalized) null cone is $\{p \in T_x^*M : P(p) = 0\}$.



We are interested in causal kinematics of the generalized spacetime (M, G, F), which is determined by the Cauchy problem.

Given (*) and initial data, the Cauchy problem is well-posed if

- (*) has a unique solution in U
- which depends continuously on the initial data.

Then necessarily (\Rightarrow), *P* is hyperbolic:

 $\exists \ h \neq 0 \text{ such that } \forall \ p: \ P(p + fh) = 0, \text{ only for } f \text{ real.}$



So far, only covectors (momenta) have been considered. However, for predictivity, we also need time-orientation and hence dual vectors (trajectories). It turns out that:

If P is hyperbolic, then the dual polynomial P^{\sharp} : $TM \to \mathbb{R}$ exists, via the Gauss map $p \mapsto N$ with P(p) = 0, $P^{\sharp}(N) = 0$.

Note: hyperbolicity of P does not imply hyperbolicity of P^{\sharp} .

Now introduce a time-orientation vector field $T \in TM$ over U.

Denoting a null vector field by N, $P^{\sharp}(N) = 0$, then any vector field X can be decomposed as X = N + tT, for some $t : U \to \mathbb{R}$.

Bihyperbolicity

Thus, we obtain

$$orall X: 0 = P^{\sharp}(N) = P^{\sharp}(X - tT), t ext{ real},$$

in other words, a hyperbolicity condition for P^{\sharp} !

Hence, a predictive kinematics for (M, G, F) implies that

- P be hyperbolic for causality; then also P[#] exists;
- P^{\sharp} be hyperbolic as well, for time-orientation.

This is called bihyperbolicity.

Note: this yields

- an energy-distinguishing property for observers, that is, p(T) > 0 or $p(T) < 0 \forall$ hyperbolic T, and a
- unique Legendre map $\mathscr{L}: T^*M \to TM$ ('pulling indices').

Consider a hypersurface Σ embedded in spacetime, $\sigma : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$, with 3 tangent (spacetime) vectors $e_i = \sigma^{\mu}_{\ i} \partial_{\mu}$.

The conormal *n*, satisfying $n(e_i) = 0$, with normalization P(n) = 1 gives rise to a unique hypersurface normal vector field

$$T = \mathscr{L}(n).$$

Thus, one obtains a frame field $\{T, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

Now writing hypersurface deformations with lapse \mathcal{N} and shift $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}^i \partial_i$

$$\dot{\sigma}^{\mu} = \mathcal{N}T^{\mu} + \mathcal{N}^{i}e^{\mu}_{\ i},$$

yields a generalized ADM-split.

Now introducing normal and tangential deformation operators,

$$\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{N}) = \int_{\Sigma} d^{3}x \, \mathcal{N} \underbrace{\mathcal{T}^{\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \sigma^{\mu}}}_{\mathscr{\hat{H}}}, \, \mathscr{D}(\mathcal{N}) = \int_{\Sigma} d^{3}x \, \mathcal{N}^{i} \underbrace{e^{\mu}_{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \sigma^{\mu}}}_{\widehat{\mathscr{D}}_{i}},$$

the change of a tensor field is $\dot{F}[\sigma] = (\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{N}) + \mathscr{D}(\mathcal{N}))F[\sigma].$

The spacetime kinematics is defined by the deformation algebra,

$$egin{aligned} & [\mathscr{D}(\mathcal{N}),\mathscr{D}(\mathcal{N}')] = -\mathscr{D}(\pounds_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{N}') \ & [\mathscr{D}(\mathcal{N}),\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{N})] = -\mathscr{H}(\pounds_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{N}) \ & \mathscr{H}(\mathcal{N}),\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{N}')] = -\mathscr{D}((\deg P-1)P^{ij}(\mathcal{N}'\partial_j\mathcal{N}-\mathcal{N}\partial_j\mathcal{N}')\partial_i), \end{aligned}$$

where P^{ij} is constructed from the principal polynomial tensor.

Hypersurface deformation changes G according to

$$\dot{G}^{A} = \int_{\Sigma} d^{3}x \left(\mathcal{N}\hat{\mathscr{H}} + \mathcal{N}^{i}\hat{\mathscr{D}}_{i} \right) G^{A} = \mathcal{N}K^{A} + \mathcal{N}_{,i}M^{Ai} + \pounds_{\mathcal{N}}G^{A}.$$

Passing to canonical variables (G, π) , the dynamics $\dot{G} = \{G, H\}$, $\dot{\pi} = \{\pi, H\}$ is obtained from an action of the form

$$S[G, \pi, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}^{i}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}t \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{3}x \left(\dot{G}^{A} \pi_{A} - H \right),$$

with $H = \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{3}x \left(\mathcal{N}\hat{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{N}^{i}\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{i} \right),$

 $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is called superhamiltonian, and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is called supermomentum.

Now we stipulate that this dynamical hypersurface evolution coincide with the above hypersurface deformation, that is,

$$\mathscr{H}G = \{G, \hat{\mathcal{H}}\}, \qquad \mathscr{D}_iG = \{G, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_i\}.$$

These are called closure conditions. Hence, the kinematical deformation algebra gives rise to a dynamical evolution algebra,

$$egin{aligned} &\{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N}),\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N}')\}=\mathcal{D}(\pounds_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{N}')\ &\{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N}),\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{N})\}=\mathcal{H}(\pounds_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{N})\ &\{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{N}),\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{N})\}=\mathcal{D}((\deg P-1)P^{ij}(N'\partial_jN-N\partial_jN')\partial_i). \end{aligned}$$

Solving these equations would yield the gravitational dynamics. \rightarrow This is actually possible!

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 回 の Q (O)</p>

The supermomentum obeys a subalgebra and is found explicitly,

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N}) = \int_{\Sigma} \mathsf{d}^3 x \; \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(\pounds_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{G})^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

The non-local superhamiltonian part is $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{non-loc} = -\partial_i (M^{Ai} \pi_A)$, leaving the local part $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{loc}$ such that overall

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}[\mathcal{G},\pi] = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{loc}}[\mathcal{G},\pi) + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{non-loc}}[\mathcal{G},\pi].$$

It defines a canonical velocity of G, $K^A = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{loc}}}{\partial \pi_A}$, and a Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}[G, K) = \pi_A K^A - \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm loc},$$

with $\pi_A = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial K^A}$ as required.

Thus one obtains a functional differential equation for the gravity Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}[G, K)$ from the evolution algebra and the closure conditions.

This can be converted to a set of partial differential equations, called the closure equations, with the ansatz

$$\mathcal{L}[G, \mathcal{K}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C[G]_{A_1...A_k} \mathcal{K}^{A_1} \ldots \mathcal{K}^{A_k}.$$

For general G, the result is an infinite set of linear, homogeneous PDEs whose solution, if it exists, is \mathcal{L} .

Hence, predictive gravitational dynamics can be derived from the underlying spacetime kinematics.

One of those differential construction equations for the $C[G]_{A_1...A_k}$ of the gravity Lagrangian reads thus,

$$0 = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial^3 G^A}{\partial x^i \partial x^j \partial x^k}\right)} + \frac{\partial C_A}{\partial \left(\frac{\partial^2 G^B}{\partial x^{(i} \partial x^{j]}}\right)} M^{B|k)}.$$

Now suppose that G = g, a Lorentzian metric, then $M^{Ai} = 0$ and C can depend on at most second order derivatives of the metric.

The full analysis yields $C = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\sqrt{-g}(R - 2\Lambda)$ with integration constants κ and Λ , i.e. GR! Cf. also Lovelock's theorem.

Applying this formalism to non-metric spacetime kinematics yields gravitational dynamics beyond GR.

First results obtained for area metric geometry.

- Predictive spacetime kinematics can be implemented mathematically with bihyperbolicity in general.
- The constructive gravity approach allows the derivation of gravitational dynamics from bihyperbolic kinematics.
- Application to non-metric kinematics yields dynamics beyond GR: the first derived, predictive modified gravity theories.
- There will be a *Constructive Gravity* parallel session at the upcoming Marcel Grossmann meeting in Rome in July.