



## Interaction and drag in nonequilibrium environments: from the study of granular materials

Hisao Hayakawa (YITP, Kyoto Univ., Japan) collaboration with Takahiro Tanabe (Meiji Univ.)

Rheology of disordered particles-suspensions, glassy and granular particles-Talk on June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2018

# Outline

- Introduction: What do we know about drag in granular media?
- Previous study for pure 2D drag in granular media
- Previous studies on the interaction between intruders
- Simulations of two intruders in 2D granular media
  - Two intruders in a steady motion
  - Two intruders under an oscillation
- Phenomenological theory for the drag and the interactions for intruders
- Discussion & conclusions

# Outline

- Introduction: What do we know about drag in granular media?
- Previous study for pure 2D drag in granular media
- Previous studies on the interaction between intruders
- Simulations of two intruders in 2D granular media
  Two intruders in a steady motion
  - Two intruders under an oscillation
- Phenomenological theory for the drag and the interactions for intruders
- Discussion & conclusions

#### Introduction



#### **Interactions of intruders in granular assemblies**



## Purpose of this talk

- This talk is dedicated to the theory for the drag force and the interaction of intruders mainly in granular media
- For this purpose, we review previous works.
- Then, we introduce recent numerical simulations by Tanabe.
- Finally, I will explain some phenomenological theories to understand the results of simulations and experiments.

# Outline

- Introduction: What do we know about drag in granular media?
- Previous study for pure 2D drag in granular media
- Previous studies on the interaction between intruders
- Simulations of two intruders in 2D granular media
   Two intruders in a steady motion
  - Two intruders under an oscillation
- Phenomenological theory for the drag and the interactions for intruders
- Discussion & conclusions

#### Density profile & Streamline



The streamline obtained from MD is well fitted by that of the **perfect fluid**.

#### Comparison with simulation result



Simulation results are well fitted **without any fitting parameters** ! This is consistent with Chicago group for granular jet.

# Outline

- Introduction: What do we know about drag in granular media?
- Previous study for pure 2D drag in granular media
- Previous studies on the interaction between intruders
- Simulations of two intructors in 2D granular media
  Two intructors in a steady motion
  - Two intruders under an oscillation
- Phenomenological theory for the drag and the interactions for intruders
- Discussion & conclusions

## **Depletion force & adiabatic piston**

- \* The problem is related to the depletion force (in a constriction region).
- \* Note that the conventional depletion force is the result of the violation of the pressure balance=> acceleration.
- \* However, we are interested in the adiabatic motion under the pressure balance.=> Casimir effect or adiabatic piston



## The adiabatic piston

- \* The adiabatic piston problem is equivalent to fluctuating 1d depletion force problem.
- \* If the density is lower in the depletion region, the attractive interaction between pistons exist under the pressure balance as a result of non-Gaussian correction.



### Interaction of non-Gaussian systems

We begin with the generalized Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\partial_t P = \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \gamma^{-1} \nabla_k (P \nabla_k U) + \mathcal{L}_k P \}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_k = \lambda \int \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \nabla_k)^n}{n!} \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} = \lambda \int (e^{-\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \nabla_k} - 1) \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}.$$
$$\mathcal{L}_k = \lambda [\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(i \nabla_k) - 1]. \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\boldsymbol{q}) := \int d\boldsymbol{x} e^{-i\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}} \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

If the hopping is nonlocal, we need higher order derivative in Fokker-Planck equation.

## Effective interaction in steady state

## Non-Gaussian noise and AOUP

- Both models have effective attractive interactions.
- Nevertheless, the AOUP exhibits strong phase separation but the non-Gaussian model does not.

AOUP=Active Ornstein Uhrenbeck process Fodor et al., PRL **117**, 038103 (2016).



# Outline

- Introduction: What do we know about drag in granular media?
- Previous study for pure 2D drag in granular media
- Previous studies on the interaction between intruders
- Simulations of two intruders in 2D granular media
  - Two intruders in a steady motion
  - Two intruders under an oscillation
- Phenomenological theory for the drag and the interactions for intruders
- Discussion & conclusions

#### **Simulation for two intruders**

 $m_i \ddot{r}_i = \sum F_c^{i,j} + F_{ex}$  $v_{ex}^{x} = V^* \left( D \sqrt{k_n} / M_S \right)$ 

i : Disk index  $\boldsymbol{r}_i$ : Position  $m_i$ : Mass

1. Repulsive force (only normal force)

$$F_c^{i,j} = k_n \delta^{i,j} n^{i,j} - \gamma_n \boldsymbol{v}_n^{i,j}$$

2. Driving force (follow tray oscillation)

$$F_{ex} = -\mu(\boldsymbol{v}_i - \boldsymbol{v}_{ex})$$

- Fixed flat wall  $L_{\nu} = 60D_S$
- Periodic boundary  $L_{\chi} = 80 D_S$
- Two intruders are pinned in system



 $v_{ex}^{\chi} = V^* \left( D \sqrt{k_n / M_S} \right)$  $\blacktriangleright$  Diameter of disks (density: const.)  $D_{\rm S} = D(1 \pm 0.1r)$ : Small disks  $D_L = 10D$  : Intruder (poly-dispersity: Uniform distr.) > Intruders are fixed on system i : Disk index with distance  $L_0$  for y-direction  $\boldsymbol{r}_i$ : Position  $m_i$ : Mass  $m_i \ddot{r}_i = \sum F_c^{i,j} + F_{ex}$  $\succ$  Control parameter: non-dimensional speed  $V^*$ 

- Fixed flat wall  $L_y = 60D_S$
- Periodic boundary  $L_x = 80D_S$
- Two intruders are pinned or mobile in system

#### **Separation angle: Low V differs from high V.**





#### **Granular flow : Velocity & Separation angle**



Drag and interaction of intruders

#### **Granular temperature (steady flow)**



### Repulsive force between two intruders

- The effective repulsive interaction exists between two.
- => This is contrast to perfect fluidity (Bernoulli).





Both  $F_{drag}(R)$  &  $F_{int}(R)$  can be fit as  $F(R) = a + bR^{-\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \approx 1$ ).

 $F_{drag}$  is suppressed when *R* is small because the flow speed decreases.  $F_{int}$  approaches 0 as increasing *R*.

#### **Oscillatory flow**



- Fixed flat wall  $L_{\gamma} = 60D_S$
- Periodic boundary  $L_x = 80D_S$



## Origin of attractive force

- There are wakes in front and back of intruders.
- Grains in the channel are squeezed out.
- Density in the channel is much lower than that outside.
- Pressure difference exists.



# Outline

- Introduction: What do we know about drag in granular media?
- Previous study for pure 2D drag in granular media
- Previous studies on the interaction between intruders
- Simulations of two intruders in 2D granular media
   Two intruders in a steady motion
   Two intruders under an oscillation
- Phenomenological theory for the drag and the interactions for intruders
- Discussion & conclusions

## Outline of the theory

- We assume that Enskog theory for binary systems can be used.
- Then, the environment is assumed to be Maxwellian.
- Then, we adopt Kramers-Moyal expansion.
- We can determine the drag force if we know the temperature.
- The temperature is determined by a phenomenological simple model.
- The interaction is also guessed from a simple crude theory.

## Enskog theory for mixtures

The distribution function for *i*-species  $f_i(\mathbf{V}, t)$  for the velocity

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \end{pmatrix} f_i(\boldsymbol{V}, t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 J_{ij}^E(\boldsymbol{V}|f_i, f_j),$$
$$J_{ij}^E(\boldsymbol{V}_1|f_i, f_j) = d_{ij}g_{ij} \int d\boldsymbol{V}_2 \int d\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \Theta(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_{12})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_{12}) \\ \times \left[ \frac{1}{e_{ij}^2} f_i(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{V}_i''; t) f_j(\boldsymbol{r} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{V}_2''; t) - f_i(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{V}_i; t) f_j(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{V}_2; t) \right]$$

with the radial distribution at contact  $g_{ij}$  between i and j, the precollisional velocities  $V''_i$ ,  $V_{12} = V_1 - V_2$ restitution coefficient  $e_{ij}$  between i and j

## Model

intruder (mass M and the diameter D) in another species (consisting of N identical disks of the mass m

a small parameter  $\epsilon \equiv \sqrt{m/M}$ the geometric factor

-1

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2}n(d+D)g_{dD}(\varphi)$$
$$g_{dD}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{1-\varphi} + \frac{9\varphi}{16(1-\varphi)^2}z \qquad z = 2dD\langle\sigma\rangle/((d+D)\langle\sigma^2\rangle)$$

## **Boltzmann-Enskog equation**

• Boltzmann-Enskog equations for the intruder and grains are given by

$$\frac{\partial P(\boldsymbol{V},t)}{\partial t} = \int d\boldsymbol{V}' \left[ W_{\rm tr}(\boldsymbol{V}|\boldsymbol{V}')P(\boldsymbol{V}',t) - W_{\rm tr}(\boldsymbol{V}'|\boldsymbol{V})P(\boldsymbol{V},t) \right] \\ + \mathscr{B}_{\rm tr}P(\boldsymbol{V},t)$$

$$\frac{\partial p(\boldsymbol{v},t)}{\partial t} = \int d\boldsymbol{v}' \left[ W_{g}(\boldsymbol{v}|\boldsymbol{v}')p(\boldsymbol{V}',t) - W_{g}(\boldsymbol{v}'|\boldsymbol{v})p(\boldsymbol{v},t) \right] \\ + \mathscr{B}_{g}p(\boldsymbol{v},t) + \chi J_{E}[\boldsymbol{v}|p,p],$$

#### **Transition** rate

• Transition rate is given by  $W_{tr}(\mathbf{V}|\mathbf{V}') = \chi \int d\mathbf{v}' \int d\hat{\sigma} p(\mathbf{v}',t) \Theta(-(\mathbf{V}'-\mathbf{v}') \cdot \hat{\sigma}) (\mathbf{V}'-\mathbf{v}') \cdot \hat{\sigma} \delta\left(\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{V}'+\frac{\epsilon^2}{1+\epsilon^2}(1+\epsilon)[(\mathbf{V}'-\mathbf{v}') \cdot \hat{\sigma}]\hat{\sigma}\right)$ 

and

$$W_{g}(\boldsymbol{v}|\boldsymbol{v}') = \frac{\chi}{N} \int d\boldsymbol{v}' \int d\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} P(\boldsymbol{V}',t) \Theta(-(\boldsymbol{V}'-\boldsymbol{v}')\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})(\boldsymbol{V}'-\boldsymbol{v}')\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\delta\left(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}'+\frac{1}{1+\epsilon^{2}}(1+e)[(\boldsymbol{v}'-\boldsymbol{V}')\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}]\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right),$$
$$\boldsymbol{V}' = \boldsymbol{V} - \frac{(1+e)}{e} \frac{M}{M+m} \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\cdot(\boldsymbol{V}-\boldsymbol{v})] = \boldsymbol{V} + (1+e)\frac{1}{1+\epsilon^{2}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\cdot(\boldsymbol{V}'-\boldsymbol{v}')).$$

 $\hat{\sigma}$  is the unit normal at contact and  $\Theta(x)$  is Heaviside's step function

If we assume  $p(\boldsymbol{v}) = (m/2\pi T)^{d/2} \exp[-mv^2/(2T)]$ , one can rewrite

$$W_{\rm tr}(\boldsymbol{v}_1'|\boldsymbol{v}_1) = \frac{\chi |\Delta v|^{2-d}}{k(\epsilon, e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp[-mv_{2\sigma}^2/2T].$$

If we are interested in the case of d = 2,

$$W_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\chi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\pi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}{2Tk(\epsilon,e)^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\pi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\pi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}'|\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\pi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\pi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}\right], \, \mathbf{W}_{\rm tr}(\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\pi}{k(\epsilon,e)^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m[V_{\sigma}' - V_{\sigma} + k(\epsilon,e)V_{\sigma}]^2}\right],$$

## Kramers-Moyal expansion

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial P(\boldsymbol{V},t)}{\partial t} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{gas}} P(\boldsymbol{V},t) + \mathscr{B}_{\text{tr}} P(\boldsymbol{V},t),\\ \text{Introducing } W(\boldsymbol{V};\boldsymbol{v}) &\equiv W_{\text{tr}}(\boldsymbol{V}'|\boldsymbol{V})\\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{gas}} P(\boldsymbol{V},t) &= -\int d\boldsymbol{v} W(\boldsymbol{V};\boldsymbol{v}) P(\boldsymbol{V},t) + \int d\boldsymbol{v} W(\boldsymbol{V}-\boldsymbol{v};\boldsymbol{v}) P(\boldsymbol{V}-\boldsymbol{v},t)\\ &= -\int d\boldsymbol{v} W(\boldsymbol{V};\boldsymbol{v}) d\boldsymbol{v} P(\boldsymbol{V},t) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{V}}\right)^n \cdot \int d\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^n W(\boldsymbol{V};\boldsymbol{v}) P(\boldsymbol{V},t)\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{V}}\right)^n \cdot \int d\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^n W(\boldsymbol{V};\boldsymbol{v}) P(\boldsymbol{V},t), \end{split}$$

where we have used the formal relation

$$f(\boldsymbol{V}-\boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\boldsymbol{v})^2}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{V}}\right)^n f(\boldsymbol{V}) = \exp[-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{V}}]f(\boldsymbol{V})$$

## Summary of Kramers-Moyal expansion

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gas}}P(\boldsymbol{V},t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \partial^n}{\partial V_{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial V_{\alpha_n}} D^{(n)}_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}(\boldsymbol{V}) P(\boldsymbol{V},t)$$

$$D_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{V}) = \frac{1}{n!} \int d\boldsymbol{V}' (V_{\alpha_1}' - V_{\alpha_1}) \cdots (V_{\alpha_n}' - V_{\alpha_n}) W_{\mathrm{tr}}(\boldsymbol{V}'|\boldsymbol{V}).$$

### Thermal system

• Drag law

 $F_{\text{ex}} = -MD_x^{(1)}(V).$ 

• If we assume that the thermal speed is larger than pulling speed, we obtain

 $D_x^{(1)} \approx -2(1+e)\epsilon^2/(3(1+\epsilon^2))\chi\sqrt{2\pi T/m}V_x$  for  $V_x \ll \sqrt{T/m}$ ,

• Then, we reach

$$F_{\rm ex} \approx \frac{\chi(1+e)}{3(1+\epsilon^2)} \sqrt{2\pi mT} V_x$$

## Granular or athermal system

- We need to determine the temperature for athermal systems.
- For this, we adopt the simple picture:



## Mean flow and the temperature

- The mean flow is the tangential projection of particle velocity.
- The fluctuation is the normal velocity:

 $\delta v_n = \pm v \cos \theta \qquad \qquad T(\theta) \approx m \langle (\Delta v)_n^2 \rangle = m v^2 \cos^2 \theta.$ 



## Drag law for granular systems

Using the average temperature  $T \approx \overline{T(\theta)} \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta T(\theta) = m \frac{V^2}{\pi n_0} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta \cos^2 \theta = m V^2/2$ 

We obtain

$$F_{\rm drag} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi(1+e)\epsilon^2}}{6(1+\epsilon^2)} n(d+D)g_{dD}(\varphi)e^{-1/2}(5I_0(1/2)+3I_1(1/2))V^2,$$

### Interaction between two intruders

• Let us consider a steady flow:

2018/06/21



## A simplified model

 Two-body problem can be reduced to one-body problem:



The perpendicular component to the wall is  $u\sin(\pi/2-\theta_0) = u\cos\theta_0 = (V_0/2)\sin 2\theta_0$ .  $\Delta T \approx m(V^2/4)\sin^2(2\theta_0),$   $\Delta T(\theta) \approx (1/4)mV^2\sin^2(2\theta_0)\exp[-2(\theta - \theta_0)^2]$ 

### Two-body interaction

Induced pressure

 $\Delta P(\theta) \approx ng_{dd}(\varphi)\Delta T(\theta).$ 

• Total induced force is repulsive:

 $F_{\text{tot}} \approx (1/4) n g_{dD}(\varphi) m V^2 \sin^2(2\theta_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\psi e^{-2\psi^2} = (1/4) \sqrt{\pi/2} n g_{dD}(\varphi) m V^2 \sin^2(2\theta_0).$ the separation angle  $\theta_0 \qquad \theta_0 \approx 2\pi/5$  in the simulation.



## Separation angle?

• Although the perfect fluid model cannot



**Application of perfect fluid model to two single bodies** 

$$F_{drag} = \frac{D}{2}\rho V^2 \left[ \left( \left( e + \frac{3}{2} \right) - \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\psi_c \right) \sin\psi_c + \left( e + \frac{5}{6} \right) \right]$$
$$F_{int} = \frac{D}{2}\rho V^2 \left( - \left( e - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{2}{3}\cos^2\psi_c \right) \cos\psi_c$$





Note that pure two body calculation gives attractive interaction even if there exists the separation.

# Outline

- Introduction: What do we know about drag in granular media?
- Previous study for pure 2D drag in granular media
- Previous studies on the interaction between intruders
- Simulations of two intruclers in 2D granular media
  Two intruders in a steady motion
  - Two intruders under an oscillation
- Phenomenological theory for the drag and the interactions for intruders
- Discussion & conclusions

## Attractive interaction in oscillation

- The origin of the attractive interaction in oscillatory systems can be understood qualitatively:
- There are wakes (cavities) in front and back of the intruders.
- Grains in the channel can be squeezed out because the wake regions do not have pressure.
- The density in the channel is much lower than the outside density.
- The pressure also has the same tendency.
- So the intruders approach with each other.

## **Conclusions**

- Perfect fluidity seems to work for the drag force in pure 2D.
- However, the interaction between two intruders cannot be expressed by the perfect fluid model.
- Instead, a simple model can be used for the drag force and the interaction in a steady flow.
- The qualitative picture for the interaction in an oscillatory flow exists, but there is no quantitative description.

### THANK YOU