Viscosity divergence and dynamical slowing down at the jamming transition

Atsushi Ikeda, Takeshi Kawasaki, Ludovic Berthier, Takahiro Hatano, Kuniyasu Saitoh

Univ. Tokyo, Nagoya Univ., Montpellier Univ., Tohoku Univ.

Jamming transition

Athermal particles: particles that are large enough to be free from Brownian motions

Large colloidal particles, emulsions, foams, granular materials etc

Jamming transition = Viscosity divergence of athermal particles at the critical densitiy ~ random close packing density.



[Boyer et al. 2011]

Glass vs Jamming

Glass transition = Viscosity divergence of thermal particles

- Small colloidal particles, atoms, molecules etc
- Viscosity increases following Vogel-Fulcher law
- Relaxation time increases as the viscosity increases (Green-Kubo formula)

Jamming transition = Viscosity divergence of athermal particles

- Large colloidal particles, foams, grains etc
- Viscosity diverges following the power-law
- Relaxation time do NOT increase near the transition
 - \rightarrow I will explain these points.

Jamming: Simple model

Athermal soft particles in solvent

Inter-particle interaction

$$v(r) = \epsilon \delta^2 \qquad \qquad \delta = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{r}{\sigma} & (r < \sigma) \\ 0 & (r > \sigma) \end{cases}$$

Overlap length between particles

* Finite range, repulsive contacts between particles are well-defined

Overdamp equation of motion

= shear rate



[Olsson-Teitel 2007, Ikeda et al. 2012 etc.]

Jamming: Phase diagram

Control parameter

- igoplus Packing density arphi (or pressure)
- igodelta Shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ (or shear stress)

Phase diagram at low shear rate limit

- Low density: $\varphi < \varphi_{\mathrm{J}}$
 - Newtonian flow
 - Particles are just touching each other

igoplus High density: $arphi > arphi_{ m J}$

- Yielding of solid
- Particles are overlapping

• Critical density: $\varphi = \varphi_{\rm J}$

Marginally stable solid

Number of contacts per particle becomes isostatic

$$z = 2d \ (= 6)$$



Jamming: Viscosity

Newtonian viscosity (at low shear rate)



Jamming: Dynamics

Mean-square displacement (at low shear rate, Newtonian regime)



Jamming transition do not slow down the dynamics.

Jamming: Dynamics

Mean-square displacement (at low shear rate, Newtonian regime)



 Jamming transition do not slow down the dynamics.
 Jamming even "speeds up" the short-time ballistic dynamics! [Heussinger et al. 2010, Ikeda et al. 2012]

Slowing down (1)

However in several settings, slowing down near the jamming have been observed

Prepare particles configuration by the steepest descent without shear

Apply an infinitesimally small step strain.

Then, the system is relaxed by overdamped dynamics without shear and the relaxation of the stress is studied



Relaxation time diverges at the jamming transition

$$au \propto (\varphi_{\rm J} - \varphi)^{-3.3}$$

[Hatano 2010]

Slowing down (2)

However in several settings, slowing down near the jamming have been observed

Perform overdamped dynamics with shear

Stop the shear. Then, the system is relaxed by overdamped dynamics without shear, and the relaxation of the pressure is studied



Viscosity and relaxation time diverges with the same power-law

$$\eta \propto \tau \propto (\varphi_{\rm J} - \varphi)^{-2.7}$$

[Olsson 2014]

This work

?? Speed up near the jamming in steady-state, while slowing down in some cases ??

This work: Comprehensive study of the "dynamics" of the system near the jamming transition. And connect the "dynamics" to "the viscosity divergence".

Relaxation dynamics near the jamming in the simplest setting

Setting

Put particles randomly in a box.Focus only on the unjammed phase:

 $\varphi < \varphi_{\rm J}$

Inter-particles interaction is

$$v(r) = \begin{cases} \epsilon \left(1 - \frac{r}{\sigma}\right)^2 & (r \le \sigma) \\ 0 & (r > \sigma) \end{cases}$$

Then study the relaxation dynamics (No shear)

$$\xi \frac{\partial \vec{r_i}}{\partial t} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial v(|\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}|)}{\partial \vec{r_i}}$$





Relaxation dymamics

Relaxation dynamics of the potential energy



Power-law*exponential: $E(t) \sim t^{-1} \exp(-t/\tau)$ Relaxation time τ diverges at $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi_J$

Contact number

igoplus Relaxation dynamics of contact number \mathcal{Z}

Contact number = average number of overlapping particles per particle



Power-law region: z decreases (contacts are broken)
 Exponential region: z converges into a constant

Relaxation without changing the contact network of particles

What's going on?





What's going on?





Eigenvalues

 \blacklozenge For each φ , many final configurations are obtained from many initial configurations

Obtain the Hessian of each final configuration

$$\mathbf{M} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \vec{r_i} \partial \vec{r_j}} \qquad \quad V = \sum_{i,j} v(|\vec{r_i} - \vec{r_j}|)$$

• Diagonalize the Hessian, obtain the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{\alpha}\}$, calculate the vibrational density of states:

$$D(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha} \delta(\omega - \omega_{\alpha}) \qquad \omega_{\alpha} \equiv \sqrt{\lambda_{\alpha}}$$

We ignore the 3N*(6-z) zero modes, because we consider the relaxation from finite energy configurations

• Average $D(\omega)$ over obtained final configurations

Eigenvalues



 $\omega > \omega_*$: Flat density of states

ω = ω_{min} : There is one anomalously soft mode
 ♦ This mode is one isolated mode for one configuration

Relaxation time vs $\,\omega_{ m min}$

igoplus Plot the relaxation time against ω_{\min} for each configuration



One configuration has only one extremely soft mode. Exponential relaxation is the relaxation along this mode.

Critical behavior

The terminal contact number vs density



The terminal contact number linearly approaches the isostatic value, 6.

 $\Delta z \propto (\varphi_{\rm J} - \varphi)$

Critical behavior

 \bullet The terminal contact number vs ω_{\min}



$$igoplus$$
 Lowest frequency: $\omega_{
m min} \propto \Delta z^{1.4} \propto (arphi_{
m J} - arphi)^{1.4}$

• Relaxation time: $au \sim (\varphi_{\rm J} - \varphi)^{-2.8}$

Summary

The terminal relaxation is exponential, and takes place without changing the contact network.

Each unjammed configuration has one anomalously soft mode. The terminal relaxation is the relaxation along this mode.

The relaxation time (= inverse of the eigenvalue of the anomalously soft mode) diverges as

$$\tau \sim (\varphi_{\rm J} - \varphi)^{-2.8}$$



