

Cauchy matrix approach and Sato Grassmannian

Kanehisa Takasaki
OCAMI, Osaka Metropolitan University

Contents

1. Cauchy matrix approach
2. ASDYM equations and higher flows
3. ASDYM hierarchy in Sato Grassmannian
4. Cauchy matrix approach and Sato Grassmannian

1. Cauchy matrix approach

Sylvester equation

Given the matrices A, B, C , find a matrix X such that

$$AX - XB = C. \text{ (Sylvester equation)}$$

Existence and uniqueness of a solution is ensured under some conditions on A and B .

Example If

$$A = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N), \quad B = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N), \quad \alpha_i \neq \beta_j$$

and $C = (C_{ij})$, then

$$X = \left(\frac{C_{ij}}{\alpha_i - \beta_j} \right). \text{ (Cauchy matrix)}$$

1. Cauchy matrix approach

Cauchy matrix approach

- proposed as a direct method for constructing special solutions of discrete integrable systems (Nijhoff-Atkinson-Hietarinta, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009), 404005).
- may be thought of as an alternative to the degenerate case of the Riemann-Hilbert problem that was developed by Zakharov and Shabat in 1970's. Matrices of the form $\left(\frac{C_{ij}}{\alpha_i - \beta_j}\right)$ play a central role therein.
- mostly used for discrete and continuous integrable systems in $1 + 1D$ and $2 + 1D$.
- recently applied to the $2 + 2D$ ASDYM (anti-self-dual Yang-Mills) equations (Li-Qu-Yi-Zhang arXiv:2112.06408, Li-Yi-Zhang arXiv:2211.08574).

1. Cauchy matrix approach

Goal of this talk

The output of the Cauchy matrix approach is a set of auxiliary (scalar or matrix-valued) functions $S^{(i,j)}$, $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ obtained from the Sylvester equation of a particular form. $S^{(i,j)}$'s satisfy algebraic and differential equations with **quadratic nonlinearity**. I will show that **$S^{(i,j)}$ can be identified with the affine coordinates of an infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold in Sato's approach to soliton equations** (Sato 1981, Sato-Sato 1982). For illustration, I will focus on the case of the ASDYM equations and its higher flows.

2. ASDYM equations and higher flows

ASDYM equations

Let $x = (y, z, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$ be (complexified) space-time coordinates, $A_y, A_z, A_{\tilde{y}}, A_{\tilde{z}}$ the $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued gauge fields, and $\nabla_y = \partial_y + A_y$, etc. the covariant derivatives. The ASDYM equations read

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_y, \nabla_z] &= 0, \quad [\nabla_{\tilde{y}}, \nabla_{\tilde{z}}] = 0, \\ [\nabla_y, \nabla_{\tilde{y}}] - [\nabla_z, \nabla_{\tilde{z}}] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$[\nabla_{\tilde{y}} - \zeta \nabla_z, \nabla_{\tilde{z}} - \zeta \nabla_y] = 0$$

with the spectral parameter ζ . This zero-curvature equation gives a Lax form of the ASDYM equation.

2. ASDYM equations and higher flows

Yang and Chalmers-Siegel potentials

Viewing $[\nabla_y, \nabla_z] = 0$ as a partial flatness condition, we can eliminate A_y and A_z by gauge transformations. The zero-curvature equation reduces to

$$[\partial_{\tilde{y}} - \zeta \partial_z + A_{\tilde{y}}, \partial_{\tilde{z}} - \zeta \partial_y + A_{\tilde{z}}] = 0.$$

$A_{\tilde{y}}$ and $A_{\tilde{z}}$ can be expressed as

$$A_{\tilde{y}} = -\partial_{\tilde{y}} J \cdot J^{-1} = \partial_z K, \quad A_{\tilde{z}} = -\partial_{\tilde{z}} J \cdot J^{-1} = \partial_y K$$

with the matrix-valued potentials J, K (not unique!), which in turn satisfy the Yang and Chalmers-Siegel equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y(\partial_{\tilde{y}} J \cdot J^{-1}) + \partial_z(\partial_{\tilde{z}} J \cdot J^{-1}) &= 0, \quad (\text{Yang eqn}) \\ (\partial_y \partial_{\tilde{y}} - \partial_z \partial_{\tilde{z}}) K &= [\partial_y K, \partial_z K]. \quad (\text{Chalmers-Siegel eqn}) \end{aligned}$$

2. ASDYM equations and higher flows

ASDYM hierarchy

The hierarchy comprises the zero-curvature equations

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_{y_j} - \zeta \partial_{y_{j-1}} + A_j, \partial_{y_k} - \zeta \partial_{y_{k-1}} + A_k] &= 0, \\ [\partial_{z_j} - \zeta \partial_{z_{j-1}} + B_j, \partial_{z_k} - \zeta \partial_{z_{k-1}} + B_k] &= 0, \\ [\partial_{y_j} - \zeta \partial_{y_{j-1}} + A_j, \partial_{z_k} - \zeta \partial_{z_{k-1}} + B_k] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

with the independent variables $y_k, z_k, \dots, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

(Nakamura 1988; KT 1990; Ablowitz-Chakravarty-Takhtajan 1993). This is an extension of the 2 + 2D equations with $y_0 = y$, $z_0 = z$, $y_1 = \tilde{z}$, $z_1 = \tilde{y}$. The potentials J, K are also extended as

$$A_k = -\partial_{y_k} J \cdot J^{-1} = \partial_{y_{k-1}} K, \quad B_k = -\partial_{z_k} J \cdot J^{-1} = \partial_{z_{k-1}} K$$

and satisfy a system of the Yang and Chalmers-Siegel types.

2. ASDYM equations and higher flows

Auxiliary linear equations

$$(\partial_{y_k} - \zeta \partial_{y_{k-1}} + A_k)\Psi = 0, \quad (\partial_{z_k} - \zeta \partial_{z_{k-1}} + B_k)\Psi = 0.$$

- If Ψ is defined in a neighborhood of $\zeta = 0$, its value $\Psi(\zeta = 0)$ at $\zeta = 0$ gives J .
- If Ψ can be expanded into a Laurent series of the form

$$W = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j \zeta^{-j}$$

in a neighborhood of $\zeta = \infty$, then w_1 gives K , hence

$A_k = \partial_{y_{k-1}} w_1$, $B_k = \partial_{z_{k-1}} w_1$. The auxiliary linear equations thereby turn into an infinite system of differential equations for w_j 's.

3. ASDYM hierarchy in Sato Grassmannian

- KT, Comm. Math. Phys. **94** (1984), 35–59.
- KT, Saitama Math. J. **3** (1985), 11–40.

Dependent variables with two indices

Introduce the dependent variables w_{ij} , $i, j = 0, 1, \dots$, from $W(\zeta) = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j \zeta^{-j}$ by the generating function

$$\frac{W(\eta)^{-1} W(\zeta) - I}{\zeta - \eta} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} w_{ij} \zeta^{-i-1} \eta^{-j-1}.$$

Remark This generating function shows up in many places, e.g., the Schlesinger transformations of isomonodromic systems (M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Physica 4D (1981), 26–46). It is also related to a 2D free fermion system.

3. ASDYM hierarchy in Sato Grassmannian

Properties of w_{ij} 's

- $W(\zeta)$ and $W(\zeta)^{-1}$ can be recovered from w_{ij} 's as

$$W(\zeta) = I - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_{0j} \zeta^{-j-1}, \quad W(\zeta)^{-1} = I + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_{i0} \zeta^{-i-1}.$$

- w_{ij} 's satisfy (and are characterized by) the equations

$$\begin{aligned} w_{i+1,j} &= w_{i,j+1} + w_{i0} w_{0j}, \\ \frac{\partial w_{ij}}{\partial y_k} &= \frac{\partial w_{i+1,j}}{\partial y_{k-1}} - w_{i0} \frac{\partial w_{0j}}{\partial y_{k-1}}, \\ \frac{\partial w_{ij}}{\partial z_k} &= \frac{\partial w_{i+1,j}}{\partial z_{k-1}} - w_{i0} \frac{\partial w_{0j}}{\partial z_{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

with **quadratic nonlinearity**.

3. ASDYM hierarchy in Sato Grassmannian

Frame matrix

The $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ matrix

$$\xi = \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_{ij} \mathbf{I} \\ w_{i,-j-1} \end{array} \right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j < 0} = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{I} \\ \cdots & w_{01} & w_{00} \\ \cdots & w_{11} & w_{10} \\ \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

represents a point $[\xi]$ of the top cell of the infinite-dimensional Sato Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(\infty, \infty)$. ξ and ξh , $h \in \text{GL}(\infty)$, represent the same point $[\xi] = [\xi h]$. w_{ij} 's are **affine coordinates** of the top cell.

3. ASDYM hierarchy in Sato Grassmannian

Equations for frame matrix

The equations for w_{ij} 's can be translated to equations for ξ :

$$\Lambda \xi = \xi \mathcal{C}, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y_k} = \Lambda \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y_{k-1}} - \xi \mathcal{A}_k, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial z_k} = \Lambda \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial z_{k-1}} - \xi \mathcal{B}_k,$$

where Λ denotes the block-wise shift matrix $(\delta_{i,j-1} \mathbf{I})$ and

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{i,j-1} \mathbf{I} \\ w_{0,-j-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial w_{0,-j-1}}{\partial y_{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\partial w_{0,-j-1}}{\partial z_{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remarks 1) The equations for the AKNS hierarchy ($n = 2$) read

$$\Lambda \xi = \xi \mathcal{C}, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t_k} = a \Lambda^k \xi - \xi \mathcal{C}_k, \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

3. ASDYM hierarchy in Sato Grassmannian

2) The algebraic equation $\Lambda\xi = \xi\mathcal{C}$ ensures the correspondence with a Laurent series of the form $W(\zeta) = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j \zeta^{-j}$.

3) The differential equations for the ASDYM hierarchy can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y_k} &= \Lambda^k \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y_0} - \xi(\mathcal{C}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{C}^{k-2} \mathcal{A}_2 + \cdots + \mathcal{A}_k), \\ \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial z_k} &= \Lambda^k \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial z_0} - \xi(\mathcal{C}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{C}^{k-2} \mathcal{B}_2 + \cdots + \mathcal{B}_k).\end{aligned}$$

They can be compared with the differential equations

$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t_k} = a \Lambda^k \xi - \xi \mathcal{C}_k$$

for the AKNS hierarchy. Thus the role of $a\Lambda^k$'s are played by $\Lambda^k \partial_{y_0}$ and $\Lambda^k \partial_{z_0}$.

3. ASDYM hierarchy in Sato Grassmannian

Toroidal extension of AKNS hierarchy

- 2-Torodial (Bogomolny) extension (Ikeda-Kakei-KT 2002)

$$\Lambda \xi = \xi \mathcal{C}, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t_k} = a \Lambda^k \xi - \xi \mathcal{C}_k,$$
$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y_k} = \Lambda \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y_{k-1}} - \xi \mathcal{A}_k.$$

- 3-Torodial (AKNS+ASDYM) extension (Kakei unpublished)

$$\Lambda \xi = \xi \mathcal{C}, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t_k} = a \Lambda^k \xi - \xi \mathcal{C}_k,$$
$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y_k} = \Lambda \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y_{k-1}} - \xi \mathcal{A}_k, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial z_k} = \Lambda \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial z_{k-1}} - \xi \mathcal{B}_k.$$

4. Cauchy matrix approach and Sato Grassmannian

Cauchy matrix for ASDYM hierarchy

Let us consider the Sylvester equation

$$MK - LM = s^{\dagger}r$$

for the $N \times N$ matrix M . K and L are $N \times N$ constant matrices, and $r = r(x)$ and $s = s(x)$ are $N \times n$ matrices that depend on $x = (y_k, z_k, k \geq 0)$ and satisfy the following differential equations (Li-Hamanaka-Huang-Zhang arXiv:2501.08250):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^{\dagger}r}{\partial y_k} &= \frac{\partial^{\dagger}r}{\partial y_{k-1}}K, & \frac{\partial s}{\partial y_k} &= L\frac{\partial s}{\partial y_{k-1}}, \\ \frac{\partial^{\dagger}r}{\partial z_k} &= \frac{\partial^{\dagger}r}{\partial z_{k-1}}K, & \frac{\partial s}{\partial z_k} &= L\frac{\partial s}{\partial z_{k-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

4. Cauchy matrix approach and Sato Grassmannian

Auxiliary functions $S^{(i,j)}$

For simplicity, we assume that K and L are invertible, diagonalizable and have no common eigenvalue. Define the $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued functions $S^{(i,j)}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, as

$$S^{(i,j)} = {}^t_r K^i M^{-1} L^j s.$$

Remarks

- 1) We have slightly modified the construction in the literature, exchanging $i \leftrightarrow j$, $K \leftrightarrow L$, $r \leftrightarrow s$ (and flipping a sign somewhere).
- 2) If there are common eigenvalues of K and L , we can choose a non-zero constant matrix C with $CK = LC$ and consider

$$S^{(i,j)} = {}^t_r K^i (C + M)^{-1} L^j s$$

(cf. Li-Qu-Zhang arXiv:2211.08574).

4. Cauchy matrix approach and Sato Grassmannian

Properties of $S^{(i,j)}$

$S^{(i,j)}$'s satisfy the algebraic and differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(i+1,j)} &= S^{(i,j+1)} + S^{(i,0)} S^{(0,j)}, \\ \frac{\partial S^{(i,j)}}{\partial y_k} &= \frac{\partial S^{(i+1,j)}}{\partial y_{k-1}} - S^{(i,0)} \frac{\partial S^{(0,j)}}{\partial y_{k-1}}, \\ \frac{\partial S^{(i,j)}}{\partial z_k} &= \frac{\partial S^{(i+1,j)}}{\partial z_{k-1}} - S^{(i,0)} \frac{\partial S^{(0,j)}}{\partial z_{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which are identical to the equations for w_{ij} 's. Thus $S^{(i,j)}$ for $i, j = 0, 1, \dots$, can be identified with the affine coordinates in the Grassmannian description of the ASDYM hierarchy.

4. Cauchy matrix approach and Sato Grassmannian

Ψ and J

Identifying $S^{(i,j)} = w_{ij}$, we find the solution $\Psi = W(\zeta)$ of the auxiliary linear equation with the help of the geometric series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} L^j \zeta^{-j-1} = (\zeta I - L)^{-1}$$

as

$$W(\zeta) = I - {}^t r(C + M)^{-1}(\zeta I - L)^{-1}s.$$

Hence we can reach the point $\zeta = 0$ by analytic continuation and obtain the Yang potential

$$J = \Psi(\zeta = 0) = I + {}^t r(C + M)^{-1}L^{-1}s = I + S^{(0,-1)}.$$

This coincides with the known formula (up to an opposite sign).

- The Cauchy matrix approach gives rise to an infinite number of auxiliary functions $S^{(i,j)}$, $i, j = 0, 1, \dots$. They satisfy algebraic and differential equations with quadratic nonlinearity. We have seen, in the case of the ASDYM hierarchy, that they can be identified with the affine coordinates w_{ij} of the top cell of the Sato Grassmannian.
- Apart from $S^{(0,-1)}$ (and $S^{(-1,0)}$), it is not clear what geometric meaning $S^{(i,j)}$'s outside the range $i, j \geq 0$ have.
- Part of the U -matrix in [the direct linearization approach](#) (see, e.g., S. Li and D.-j. Zhang, arXiv:2403.06055) can be identified with w_{ij} 's, because they satisfy equations of the same form. It is not clear what geometric meaning the other part of the U -matrix has.