

Topological vertex and quantum mirror curves

Kanehisa Takasaki, Kinki University

Rikkyo MathPhys 2016, January 9, 2016

Contents


1. Topological vertex
2. Strip geometry
3. Closed topological vertex

Based on


1. K. T., arXiv:1301.4548 [math-ph]
2. K. T. and T. Nakatsu, arXiv:1507.07053, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 49 (2016), 025201 (28pp)

1. Topological vertex

Web diagrams of non-compact toric Calabi-Yau threefolds



Strip geometry



Closed topological vertex


Topological vertex

“Topological vertex” (Aganagic, Klemm, Mariño and Vafa 2003) is a **diagrammatic method** to construct the partition functions (or amplitudes) of topological string theory on non-compact toric Calabi-Yau threefolds.

Vertex weight

$$C_{\lambda\mu\nu} = q^{\kappa(\mu)/2} s_{\mathfrak{t}\nu}(q^{-\rho}) \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{P}} s_{\mathfrak{t}\lambda/\eta}(q^{-\nu-\rho}) s_{\mu/\eta}(q^{-\mathfrak{t}\nu-\rho})$$

- $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $\mu = (\mu_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $\nu = (\nu_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are **partitions** representing Young diagrams of arbitrary shapes. $\mathfrak{t}\nu$ denotes the conjugate partition of ν .



- $\kappa(\mu)$ is the **second Casimir invariant**

$$\kappa(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\mu_i - 2i + 1) = 2 \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} (j - i)$$

Vertex weight (cont'd)

$$C_{\lambda\mu\nu} = q^{\kappa(\mu)/2} s_{t\nu}(q^{-\rho}) \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{P}} s_{t\lambda/\eta}(q^{-\nu-\rho}) s_{\mu/\eta}(q^{-t\nu-\rho})$$

- $s_{t\nu}(q^{-\rho})$, $s_{t\lambda/\eta}(q^{-\nu-\rho})$ and $s_{\mu/\eta}(q^{-t\nu-\rho})$ are special values of the **Schur/skew Schur functions** $s_{t\nu}(\mathbf{x})$, $s_{t\lambda/\eta}(\mathbf{x})$, $s_{\mu/\eta}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, at

$$q^{-\rho} = (q^{i-1/2})_{i=1}^{\infty}, \quad q^{-\nu-\rho} = (q^{-\nu_i+i-1/2})_{i=1}^{\infty},$$

$$q^{-t\nu-\rho} = (q^{-t\nu_i+i-1/2})_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

- Vertex weights are glued together along the internal lines. **Edge weights** are assigned to those lines.

Examples (local \mathbb{P}^1 geometry)


1. Open string amplitudes of $X = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ (**resolved conifold**):

$$Z_{\beta_1 \beta_2}^{\alpha_0 \alpha_2} = \sum_{\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{P}} C_{\alpha_1 \alpha_0 \beta_1} (-Q)^{|\alpha_1|} C_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

2. Open string amplitudes of $X = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$:

$$Z_{\beta_1 \beta_2}^{\alpha_0 \alpha_2} = \sum_{\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{P}} C_{\alpha_1 \alpha_0 \beta_1} (-Q)^{|\alpha_1|} \times (-1)^{|\alpha_1|} q^{-\kappa(\alpha_1)/2} C_{\alpha_2 \alpha_1 \beta_2}$$

(A **framing factor** is inserted in this case.)



Building blocks of operator formalism

- Charge-zero sector of **fermionic Fock space** spanned by the ground states $\langle 0|$, $|0\rangle$ and the excited states

$$\langle \lambda| = \langle -\infty| \cdots \psi_{\lambda_i - i + 1}^* \cdots \psi_{\lambda_2 - 1}^* \psi_{\lambda_1}^*,$$

$$|\lambda\rangle = \psi_{-\lambda_1} \psi_{-\lambda_2 + 1} \cdots \psi_{-\lambda_i + i - 1} \cdots |-\infty\rangle$$

- **Fermion bilinears**

$$L_0 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n : \psi_{-n} \psi_n^* :, \quad K = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (n - 1/2)^2 : \psi_{-n} \psi_n^* :,$$

$$J_m = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \psi_{m-n} \psi_n^* :, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$V_m^{(k)} = q^{-km/2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{kn} : \psi_{m-n} \psi_n^* :, \quad k, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- Vertex operators

$$\Gamma_{\pm}(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k} J_{\pm k}\right), \quad \Gamma'_{\pm}(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^k}{k} J_{\pm k}\right)$$

and the multi-variable extensions

$$\Gamma_{\pm}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \Gamma_{\pm}(x_i), \quad \Gamma'_{\pm}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \Gamma'_{\pm}(x_i)$$

Fermionic expression of vertex weight


$$\begin{aligned} C_{\lambda\mu\nu} &= s_{\mathfrak{t}\nu}(q^{-\rho}) \langle {}^{\mathfrak{t}}\lambda | \Gamma_{-}(q^{-\nu-\rho}) \Gamma_{+}(q^{-\mathfrak{t}\nu-\rho}) q^{K/2} | \mu \rangle \\ &= s_{\mathfrak{t}\nu}(q^{-\rho}) \langle \lambda | \Gamma'_{-}(q^{-\nu-\rho}) \Gamma'_{+}(q^{-\mathfrak{t}\nu-\rho}) q^{-K/2} | {}^{\mathfrak{t}}\mu \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(Okounkov, Reshetikhin and Vafa 2003)

Cases where amplitudes are computed explicitly

1. Strip geometry


Iqbal and Kashani-Poor 2004 (by topological vertex)



2. Closed topological vertex

Bryan and Karp 2003, Karp, Liu and Mariño 2005 (by algebraic geometry and topological vertex)

Sułkowski 2006 (by crystal model and topological vertex)



Our goal: To derive a q -difference equation that may be interpreted as a quantization of the mirror curve.


2. Strip geometry

General setup

(a) The toric diagram is a triangulation of a **strip** (trapezoid of height 1).

(b) The web diagram has N vertices, $N-1$ internal lines and $N+2$ external lines (or “legs”).

(c) The internal lines are assigned with **Kähler parameters** Q_1, \dots, Q_{N-1} .




Example of strip geometry ($N = 5$)

General setup (cont'd)

(d) The external lines are assigned with partitions $\alpha_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_N, \alpha_N$.

(e) The **sign** (or **type**) σ_n of the n -th vertical leg are defined as

$$\sigma_n = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if the leg points } \uparrow \\ -1 & \text{if the leg points } \downarrow \end{cases} \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_2 &= \sigma_3 = +1, \\ \sigma_1 &= \sigma_4 = \sigma_5 = -1 \end{aligned}$$



Let $Z_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_N}^{\alpha_0 \alpha_N}$ denote the open string amplitude constructed by topological vertex.

Infinite-product formula of amplitude

If $\alpha_0 = \alpha_N = \emptyset$, the amplitude can be computed explicitly with the aid of **the Cauchy identities** for skew Schur functions (Iqbal and Kashani-Poor 2004):

$$Z_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_N}^{\emptyset \emptyset} = s_{\text{t}\beta_1}(q^{-\rho}) \cdots s_{\text{t}\beta_N}(q^{-\rho}) \\ \times \prod_{1 \leq m < n \leq N} \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q_{mn} q^{-\text{t}\beta_i^{(m)} - \beta_j^{(n)} + i + j - 1})^{-\sigma_m \sigma_n}$$

where

$$\beta^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \beta_n & \text{if } \sigma_n = +1, \\ \text{t}\beta_n & \text{if } \sigma_n = -1, \end{cases} \quad Q_{mn} = Q_m Q_{m+1} \cdots Q_{n-1}$$

Fermionic formula of amplitude

The infinite-product formula holds only for $\alpha_0 = \alpha_N = \emptyset$. For **general cases**, the following fermionic expression is available:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_N}^{\alpha_0 \alpha_N} &= q^{(1-\sigma_1)\kappa(\alpha_0)/4} q^{(1+\sigma_N)\kappa(\alpha_N)/4} s_{\text{t}\beta_1}(q^{-\rho}) \cdots s_{\text{t}\beta_N}(q^{-\rho}) \\
&\times \langle \text{t}\alpha_0 | \Gamma_-^{\sigma_1}(q^{-\beta^{(1)}-\rho}) \Gamma_+^{\sigma_1}(q^{-\text{t}\beta^{(1)}-\rho}) (\sigma_1 Q_1 \sigma_2)^{L_0} \dots \\
&\times \Gamma_-^{\sigma_{N-1}}(q^{-\beta^{(N-1)}-\rho}) \Gamma_+^{\sigma_{N-1}}(q^{-\text{t}\beta^{(N-1)}-\rho}) (\sigma_{N-1} Q_{N-1} \sigma_N)^{L_0} \\
&\times \Gamma_-^{\sigma_N}(q^{-\beta^{(N)}-\rho}) \Gamma_+^{\sigma_N}(q^{-\text{t}\beta^{(N)}-\rho}) | \alpha_N \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where Γ_{\pm}^{σ} denote Γ_{\pm} if $\sigma = +1$ and Γ'_{\pm} if $\sigma = -1$ (Eguchi and Kanno 2003, Bryan and Young 2008 for special cases; Nagao 2009 and Sułkowski 2009 for general cases)

Fermionic formula of amplitude (cont'd)

Vertex operators

$$\begin{array}{c} \beta \\ | \\ \text{Y} \end{array} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{-}(q^{\beta+\rho})\Gamma_{+}(q^{\text{t}\beta+\rho})$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Y} \\ | \\ \beta \end{array} \longrightarrow \Gamma'_{-}(q^{\text{t}\beta+\rho})\Gamma'_{+}(q^{\beta+\rho})$$

Propagators

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Y} \text{---} \text{Y} \\ | \quad | \\ \beta \quad \beta' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \beta \quad \beta' \\ | \quad | \\ \text{Y} \text{---} \text{Y} \end{array} \longrightarrow Q^{L_0}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Y} \text{---} \text{Y} \\ | \quad | \\ \beta \quad \beta' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \beta \\ | \\ \text{Y} \text{---} \text{Y} \\ | \\ \beta' \end{array} \longrightarrow (-Q)^{L_0}$$

Wave functions

Wave functions are defined as

$$\Psi_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_{n,(1^k)}}{Z_{n,\emptyset}} x^k, \quad \tilde{\Psi}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_{n,(k)}}{Z_{n,\emptyset}} x^k$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N, N + 1$, where

$$Z_{0,\lambda} = Z_{\emptyset \dots \emptyset}^{\lambda \emptyset}, \quad Z_{n,\lambda} = Z_{\dots \emptyset \lambda \emptyset \dots}^{\emptyset \emptyset} \quad (1 \leq n \leq N), \quad Z_{N+1,\lambda} = Z_{\emptyset \dots \emptyset}^{\emptyset \lambda}$$

Remark: $Z_{n,\lambda}$'s are the coefficients of Schur function expansion of a **KP tau function** τ_n . In this sense, these wave functions are **Baker-Akhiezer functions** (at the initial time $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}$) that correspond to free fermion fields $\psi(-x), \psi^*(x)$.

Ψ_n and $\tilde{\Psi}_n$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$ are q -hypergeometric series

$$\Psi_n(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_n(1)C_n(q) \cdots C_n(q^{k-1})}{B_n(1)B_n(q) \cdots B_n(q^{k-1})(1-q) \cdots (1-q^k)} q^{k/2} x^k$$

where $B_n(y)$ and $C_n(y)$ are Laurent polynomials in y :

$$B_n(y) = \prod_{m < n, \sigma_m \sigma_n > 0} (1 - Q_{mn} y^{\sigma_n}) \times \prod_{m > n, \sigma_m \sigma_n > 0} (1 - Q_{nm} y^{-\sigma_n}),$$

$$C_n(y) = \prod_{m < n, \sigma_m \sigma_n < 0} (1 - Q_{mn} y^{\sigma_n}) \times \prod_{m > n, \sigma_m \sigma_n < 0} (1 - Q_{nm} y^{-\sigma_n})$$

Remark: When $N = 1$, Ψ reduces to a **quantum dilog**:

$$\Psi(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k/2} x^k}{(1-q) \cdots (1-q^k)} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{i-1/2} x)^{-1}$$

Ψ_1, \dots, Ψ_N satisfy q -difference equation

$$\Psi_n(x) - \Psi_n(qx) = q^{1/2} x \frac{C_n(q^{x\partial_x})}{B_n(q^{x\partial_x})} \Psi_n(x)$$

or, equivalently,

$$B_n(q^{-1} q^{x\partial_x})(1 - q^{x\partial_x})\Psi_n(x) = q^{1/2} x C_n(q^{x\partial_x})\Psi_n(x)$$

Remark: 1. For the resolved conifold, these equations are derived by Kashani-Poor 2006, Hyun and Yi 2006, Gukov and Sułkowski 2011 and Zhou 2012.

2. These equations are also studied in the context of **the AGT correspondence** (Kozçaz, Pasquetti and Wyllard 2010, Awata and Kanno 2010, Taki 2010) and **the vortex partition function** (Dimofte, Gukov and Hollands 2010, Bonelli, Tanzini and Zhao 2011).

Classical limit

As $q \rightarrow 1$ ($\hat{y} = q^{x\partial_x} \rightarrow y$), the q -difference equation

$$B_n(q^{-1}q^{x\partial_x})(1 - q^{x\partial_x})\Psi_n(x) = q^{1/2}xC_n(q^{x\partial_x})\Psi_n(x)$$

reduces to the algebraic equation

$$B_n(y)(1 - y) = xC_n(y)$$

of the **mirror curve**. In this sense, the q -difference equation may be thought of as a **quantization** of the mirror curve.

Remark: The Newton polygon of $B_n(y)(1 - y) - xC_n(y)$ can be mapped to the outline of the toric diagram by an $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation.

How the equations for different n 's are related

$$x = (1 - y) \frac{B_n(y)}{C_n(y)} \xrightarrow{(\heartsuit)} \tilde{x} = (1 - \tilde{y}) \frac{B_{n+1}(\tilde{y})}{C_{n+1}(\tilde{y})}$$

$$(\heartsuit) \quad y = Q_n^{\sigma_n} \tilde{y}^{\sigma_n \sigma_{n+1}}, \quad x = g(y, \tilde{y}) \tilde{x}^{\sigma_n \sigma_{n+1}}$$

where

$$g(y, \tilde{y}) = \begin{cases} -\tilde{y}^{-1} & \text{if } \sigma_n = +1, \sigma_{n+1} = +1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma_n = +1, \sigma_{n+1} = -1, \\ y\tilde{y} & \text{if } \sigma_n = -1, \sigma_{n+1} = +1, \\ -y & \text{if } \sigma_n = -1, \sigma_{n+1} = -1 \end{cases}$$

Birational map (\heartsuit) preserves the symplectic structure:

$$d \log x \wedge d \log y = d \log \tilde{x} \wedge d \log \tilde{y}$$

What about Ψ_0 and Ψ_{N+1} ?

They are related to **quantum dilogs**. If $\sigma_1 = +1$, $\Psi_0(x)$ is a product of quantum dilogs and satisfies the equation

$$\Psi_0(qx) = (1 - q^{1/2}x)^{-1} \prod_{n=2}^N (1 - Q_{1,n-1}q^{1/2}x)^{-\sigma_n} \Psi_0(x).$$

If $\sigma_1 = -1$, the factor $q^{\kappa(\alpha_0)/2}$ shows up in the amplitude, and $\Psi_0(x)$ satisfies the equation

$$\Psi_0(qx) = (1 + q^{1/2}xq^{x\partial_x}) \prod_{n=2}^N (1 + Q_{1,n-1}q^{1/2}xq^{x\partial_x})^{-\sigma_n} \Psi_0(x)$$

Similar results hold for $\Psi_{N+1}(x)$ as well.

What about Ψ_0 and Ψ_{N+1} ? (cont'd)

The classical limit of the q -difference equation is the algebraic equation

$$y = (1 - x)^{-1} \prod_{n=2}^N (1 - Q_{1,n-1}x)^{-\sigma_n}$$

for $\sigma = +1$ and

$$y = (1 + xy) \prod_{n=2}^N (1 + Q_{1,n-1}xy)^{-\sigma_n}$$


for $\sigma = -1$. This equation can be transformed to the equation $\tilde{x} = (1 - \tilde{y})B_1(\tilde{y})/C_1(\tilde{y})$ associated with $\Psi_1(x)$ by a birational symplectic map $(x, y) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$:

$$d \log y \wedge d \log x = d \log \tilde{x} \wedge d \log \tilde{y}$$

3. Closed topological vertex

Setup

- (a) Three internal lines are assigned with Kähler parameters Q_1, Q_2, Q_3
- (b) Two vertical external lines are assigned with partitions β_1, β_2 . All other external lines are given \emptyset .




Let $Z_{\beta_1 \beta_2}^{\text{ctv}}$ denote the open string amplitude in this setting.

3. Closed topological vertex

Method of computation of $Z_{\beta_1\beta_2}^{\text{ctv}}$

- Reconstruct the amplitude $Z_{\beta_1\beta_2}^{\text{ctv}}$ by gluing a single vertex $C_{t_\alpha\emptyset\emptyset}$ to the amplitude of a strip geometry.
- Borrow tools from our previous study of **the melting crystal models** (5D $U(1)$ instanton sum and its variants) (Nakatsu and K.T, since 2007) to compute the sum over $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$.



Gluing a vertex (top) to a strip geometry (bottom)

Result of computation of $Z_{\beta_1\beta_2}^{\text{ctv}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\beta_1\beta_2}^{\text{ctv}} &= q^{\kappa(\beta_2)/2} \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{-\beta_1 i - {}^t\beta_2 j + i + j - 1})^{-1} \\
&\times \langle {}^t\beta_1 | \Gamma_-(q^{-\rho}) \Gamma_+(q^{-\rho}) (-Q_1)^{L_0} \Gamma'_-(q^{-\rho}) \Gamma'_+(q^{-\rho}) (-Q_3)^{L_0} \\
&\times \Gamma_-(q^{-\rho}) \Gamma_+(q^{-\rho}) (-Q_2)^{L_0} \Gamma'_-(q^{-\rho}) \Gamma'_+(q^{-\rho}) | {}^t\beta_2 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark: 1. $Y_{\beta_1\beta_2} = \langle {}^t\beta_1 | \cdots | {}^t\beta_2 \rangle$ happens to be the open string amplitude of yet another strip geometry (**triple- \mathbb{P}^1 geometry**). This coincidence is a key to derive a q -difference equation.

2. Letting $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \emptyset$, this expression reduces to the known result of the **closed** string amplitudes (Bryan and Karp 2003, Karp, Liu and Mariño 2005).

Wave functions

Wave functions are defined as

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_{(1^k)\emptyset}^{\text{ctv}}}{Z_{\emptyset\emptyset}^{\text{ctv}}} x^k, \quad \tilde{\Psi}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_{(k)\emptyset}^{\text{ctv}}}{Z_{\emptyset\emptyset}^{\text{ctv}}} x^k$$

along with **the auxiliary wave functions**

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_{(1^k)\emptyset}}{Y_{\emptyset\emptyset}} x^k, \quad \tilde{\Phi}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_{(k)\emptyset}}{Y_{\emptyset\emptyset}} x^k$$

obtained from the main part $Y_{\beta_1\beta_2} = \langle {}^t\beta_1 | \cdots | {}^t\beta_2 \rangle$ of $Z_{\beta_1\beta_2}^{\text{ctv}}$.

Wave functions (cont'd)

- The coefficients of $\Psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ and $\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k$, $a_0 = b_0 = 1$, are related as

$$a_k = b_k \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{i-1})^{-1}$$

- $\Phi(x)$ is build from quantum dilogs, and satisfies the q -difference equation

$$\Phi(qx) = \frac{(1 - q^{1/2}x)(1 - Q_1 Q_3 q^{1/2}x)}{(1 - Q_1 q^{1/2}x)(1 - Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 q^{1/2}x)} \Phi(x).$$

Transforming q -difference equation

The q -difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - Q_1 q^{1/2} x)(1 - Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 q^{1/2} x) \Phi(qx) \\ &= (1 - q^{1/2} x)(1 - Q_1 Q_3 q^{1/2} x) \Phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for $\Phi(x)$ is transformed to the q -difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{-2} q^{x\partial_x} - Q_1 q^{1/2} x)(1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{-1} q^{x\partial_x} - Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 q^{1/2} x) \Psi(qx) \\ &= (1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{-2} q^{x\partial_x} - q^{1/2} x)(1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{-1} q^{x\partial_x} - Q_1 Q_3 q^{1/2} x) \Psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for $\Psi(x)$. Let us rewrite this equation as

$$H(x, q^{x\partial_x}) \Psi(x) = 0$$

and examine the q -difference operator $H(x, q^{x\partial_x})$.

Reducing q -difference equation to final form

The operator $H(x, q^{x\partial_x})$ can be **factorized** as

$$H(x, q^{x\partial_x}) = (1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{-2} q^{x\partial_x}) K(x, q^{x\partial_x})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, q^{x\partial_x}) &= (1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{-1} q^{x\partial_x})(1 - q^{x\partial_x}) - (1 + Q_1 Q_3) q^{1/2} x \\ &\quad + Q_1(1 + Q_2 Q_3) q^{1/2} x q^{x\partial_x} + Q_1 Q_3 q x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the prefactor $1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{-2} q^{x\partial_x}$ is **invertible** on the space of power series of x , the equation $H(x, q^{x\partial_x})\Psi(x) = 0$ reduces to

$$K(x, q^{x\partial_x})\Psi(x) = 0$$

This is the final form of our **quantum mirror curve**.

Classical limit

As $q \rightarrow 1$, the q -difference operator

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, q^{x\partial_x}) &= (1 - Q_1 Q_2 q^{-1} q^{x\partial_x})(1 - q^{x\partial_x}) - (1 + Q_1 Q_3) q^{1/2} x \\ &\quad + Q_1(1 + Q_2 Q_3) q^{1/2} x q^{x\partial_x} + Q_1 Q_3 q x^2 \end{aligned}$$

turns into the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\text{cl}}(x, y) &= (1 - Q_1 Q_2 y)(1 - y) - (1 + Q_1 Q_3) x \\ &\quad + Q_1(1 + Q_2 Q_3) x y + Q_1 Q_3 x^2 \\ &= ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dx + ey + f \end{aligned}$$

of degree two as expected.

What about wave functions obtained from $\beta_2 = (k), (1^k)$?

The q -difference equations become slightly more complicated because of the framing factor $q^{\kappa(\beta_2)/2}$.

What about putting β_1 and β_2 on other legs ?

The open string amplitude can be expressed in a similar form. However $q^{K/2}$'s remain in the operator product, and **they prevent us from doing explicit computation**. A similar difficulty takes place when one attempts to prolong the branches of the closed topological vertex.

Conclusion

We derived a quantization $K(x, q^{x\partial_x})\Psi(x) = 0$ of the mirror curve $K_{\text{cl}}(x, y) = 0$ in the following special cases by explicit computation of $\Psi(x)$.

1. **Strip geometry** $\Psi(x)$ is a q -hypergeometric series or related to quantum dilogarithmic functions:

$$K(x, q^{x\partial_x}) = B_n(q^{-1}q^{x\partial_x})(1 - q^{x\partial_x}) - q^{1/2}xC_n(q^{x\partial_x}),$$

$$K(x, q^{x\partial_x}) = q^{x\partial_x} - A(x), \text{ or } K(x, q^{x\partial_x}) = q^{x\partial_x} - A(xq^{x\partial_x})$$

2. **Closed topological vertex** $\Psi(x)$ is obtained from the dilogarithmic wave function $\Phi(x)$ of a triple- \mathbb{P}^1 geometry by a simple transformation. The final form $K(x, q^{x\partial_x}) = Ax^2 + Bxq^{x\partial_x} + Cq^{2x\partial_x} + Dx + Eq^{x\partial_x} + F$ of K is derived in an intricate way.