Extended lattice Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy

Kanehisa Takasaki

Ref: arXiv:2203.06621, arXiv:2211.11353

Abstract:

The lattice Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy is a lattice analogue of the Gelfand-Dickey (aka generalized KdV) hierarchy. This integrable hierarchy has an extension by an infinite number of logarithmic flows. These flows are motivated by a possible relation with a kind of Frobenius manifolds and cohomological field theories. The construction of the extended system resembles the extended 1D and bigraded Toda hierarchy, but exhibits several novel features as well. Moreover, this system can be deformed to a generalization of the intermediate long wave hierarchy. This seems to explain an origin of the mysterious logarithmic flows. This talk is based on arXiv:2203.06621 and arXiv:2211.11353.

Gelfand-Dickey (GD) hierarchy

Lattice analogues

· lattice KP hierarchy (aka discrete KP, modified KP, etc)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{k}} = \left[B_{k}, L \right], \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots \qquad \Lambda f(s) = f(s+1)$$

$$L = \Lambda + \mathcal{U}_{1} + \mathcal{U}_{2} \Lambda^{-1} + \cdots , \qquad \Lambda = e^{\partial s} e^{\dagger t \partial s}$$

$$B_{k} = (L^{k})_{>0} = \Lambda^{k} + b_{k2} \Lambda^{k-2} + \cdots + b_{kk}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{non-neg.} \\ \text{powers of } \Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

· lattice GD hierarchy

reduction condition

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{N} = \Lambda^{N} + b_{1}\Lambda^{N-1} + \dots + b_{N} \quad (= B_{N})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_{k}} = [B_{k}, \mathcal{L}], \quad B_{k} = (\mathcal{L}^{k/N})_{\geq 0},$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_{k}} = [B_{k}N, \mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}^{k}, \mathcal{L}] = 0$$
e.g. $N = 2$, $\mathcal{L} = \Lambda^{2} + b_{1}\Lambda + b_{2}$ (-> lattice KdV)

Remarks

•
$$b_N$$
 is constant: $\frac{\partial b_N}{\partial t_k} = 0$ for all k.

• The ordinary KP and GD are hidden behind:

• Frenkel's q-difference GD hierarchy (1996)

$$T = q^{\chi \partial \chi} = e^{(\log q) \chi \partial \chi} \quad (q - shift operator)$$

$$\chi = T^{N} + b_{1}T^{N-1} + \dots + b_{N} \qquad Tf(\chi) = f(q\chi)$$

$$T \iff \Lambda = e^{\hbar \partial s}$$
 with $q = e^{\hbar}$, $\log x = s$

Extension by logarithmic flows

Let X1, X2,... be new time variables and consider Lax equations of the form

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \mathcal{X}_{k}} = \mathbb{I}(L^{kN}\log L)_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{L}], \ k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

But what $(L^{kN} \log L)_{\geq 0}$ means? $L^{k} \log L$ is NOT a genuine difference operator.

Use dressing operator W $L = W \wedge W^{-1}, \quad W = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n \wedge^{-n}.$ $log L = W \cdot \partial_s \cdot W^{-1}$ ($\Lambda = e^{\partial s}$) $= \partial s - W E \partial s, W']$ $= \partial s - \frac{\partial W}{\partial W} W^{-1}$. $\therefore L^{kN} \log L = Z^k \partial_s - Z^k \partial_s W^{-1}.$

Let us interprete its
$$(2_{\geq 0} - part as)$$

 $(L^{kN} log L)_{\geq 0} = Z^k \partial_s - (2^k \frac{\partial W}{\partial s} W^{-1})_{\geq 0}$.

After all, this turns out to be a correct interpretation. Thus we re-define the Laxeqs of the logarithmic flows as :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{X}_{k}} = [\mathcal{L}^{k}\partial s + P^{k}, \mathcal{L}],$$
$$P_{k} = -(\mathcal{L}^{k}\frac{\partial W}{\partial s}W^{l})_{\geq 0}.$$

Remarks

- The eqs of motion for the last term b_N of \mathcal{L} read $\frac{\partial b_N}{\partial t_k} = 0$, $\frac{\partial b_N}{\partial x_k} = b_N^k \frac{\partial b_N}{\partial s}$.
- Buryak and Rossi (arxiv: 1806.09825) proposed the N=2 (lattice KdV) case as an integrable structure of an exotic cohomological field theory

and considered an extension of the lattice KdV hierarchy (without a Lax form of the extended flows). Our logarithmic flows seem to match their proposal. At least the ags of motion of by agree with theirs. · Recent work of Liu-Qu-Wang-Zhang (arxiv: 2402.00373) seems to study a similar issue. 11

• Introducing yet another independent variable y
and replacing
$$\mathbb{Z}^k \partial_S$$
 and $\frac{\partial W}{\partial S}$ by
 $\mathbb{Z}^k \partial_Y$ and $\frac{\partial W}{\partial Y}$, we obtain a $(2+1)D$ or
toroidal - algebraic extension:
 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial t_k} = IB_k, \mathcal{R} J,$
 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_k} = I \mathcal{L}^k \partial_Y + Pk, \mathcal{L} J,$
 $P_k = -(\mathbb{Z}^k \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y} W^T) \ge 0$

Bilinear eqs for τ -function • ext. lattice GD hierarchy: T = T(S, t, D) $\oint z^{mN+(s'-s)} e^{\frac{1}{2}(4'-4,2)} T(s'-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha',2^{N}), 4'-\tau z^{-1}), x+\alpha')$ $X \tau (s - \tilde{s}(\beta, z^{N}), t + \Gamma \tilde{z}^{-1} J, x + \beta) \frac{dz}{\partial T_{1}} = 0$ for m, s'-se Z=0, where $\hat{S}(\#,z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k z^k, \quad [z^1] = (z^1, \underline{z}^2, \dots, \underline{z}^k, \dots)$

14-

•
$$(2+1)$$
 plattice & D hierarchy: $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(s, \mathcal{Y}, \mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{R})$
 $\oint z^{mN+(s'-s)} e^{\frac{3}{5}(\mathfrak{H}'-\mathfrak{T},\mathfrak{F})} \mathcal{T}(s', \mathcal{Y}-\frac{3}{5}(\alpha', 2^{N}), \mathfrak{H}'-\mathfrak{r}^{-1}J, \mathfrak{X}+\alpha')$
 $\times \mathcal{T}(s, \mathcal{Y}-\frac{3}{5}(\beta, 2^{N}), \mathfrak{H}+\mathfrak{r}^{-1}J, \mathfrak{X}+\beta) \frac{dz}{2\pi i} = 0$

•
$$(2+1)D GD$$
 hierarchy $T = T(y, t, x)$

$$\oint z^{mN} e^{\frac{2}{3}(4^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2},\frac{2}{2})} T(y-\frac{2}{3}(\alpha^{\prime},2^{N}), 4^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2^{-1}}, x+\alpha)$$

$$\times T(y-\frac{2}{3}(\beta,2^{N}), 4+\frac{1}{2^{-1}}, x+\beta) \frac{d^{2}}{2\pi i} = 0$$

Generalized ILW hierarchy (ILW = Intermediate Long Wave) is the lattice KP hierarchy under the reduction condition $(\lfloor N - v \log L) / D = 0$ where y is a non-zero parameter.

15

Reduced Lax operator

$$\vec{J} = \Lambda^{N} + b_{1}\Lambda^{N-1} + \dots + b_{N} - V\partial_{S}$$

$$N = 1 : ILW eq & hierarchy$$

$$(Ablowitz - Kodama - Satzuma, Chang - Lee,

 Degasperis - Lebedev - Olshanetsky - Pakultuk,

 Tutiya - Satzuma, Shiraishi - Tutiya)$$

V-> 0 limit

• As $V \rightarrow 0$, the constraint reduces to $\left(\sum_{n} \right)_{< p} = 0$ hence the gen. ILW bierarchy reduces to the Dattice GD hierarchy, Actually, this limit is not so naive.

• The kN-th flows become trivial
(
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial L_{bN}} = E L^{kN}, LJ = O$$
) in the naive limit.
However, they turn into the logarimic flows
in the scaling limit by setting
 $t_k = \begin{cases} T_k & \text{if } k \neq 0 \mod N, \\ X_{k/N}/\nu & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \mod N. \end{cases}$
and letting $\nu \rightarrow O$.

Remarks

• The structure of the gen. ILW hierarchy resembles the equivariant Toda hierarchy. The parameter v plays the role of equivariant parameter of the equivariant cohomology of CP1 (Getzler, Okounkov - Pandharipande).