

Collective Vibrations





Giant Dipole Resonance






Figure 6-18 Total photoabsorption cross section for ¹⁹⁷Au. The experimental data are from S. C. Fultz, R. L. Bramblett, J. T. Caldwell, and N. A. Kerr, *Phys. Rev.* 127, 1273 (1962). The solid curve is of Breit-Wigner shape with the indicated parameters




Particle-Hole excitations

Hartree-Fock state





1 particle-1 hole (1p1h) state



2 particle-2 hole (2p2h) state

$$a_p^\dagger a_{p'}^\dagger a_h a_{h'} |HF\rangle$$





Slide: K. Matsuyanagi

Tamm-Dancoff Approximation

Assume:
$$|\nu\rangle = Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}|HF\rangle = \sum_{ph} X_{ph} a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{h}|HF\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{ph} X_{ph}|ph^{-1}\rangle$$

(superposition of 1p1h states)

$$H|\nu\rangle = E_{\nu}|\nu\rangle$$




$$\sum_{p'h'} H_{ph,p'h'} X_{p'h'} = E_{\nu} X_{ph}$$

residual interaction

$$H_{ph,p'h'} = (\epsilon_p - \epsilon_h)\delta_{ph,p'h'} + \langle ph'|\bar{v}|hp'\rangle$$

Tamm-Dancoff equation



Slide: K. Matsuyanagi

$$V(r) \sim \int d\mathbf{r}' v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}')$$

vibration:
$$ho =
ho_0(r)
ightarrow
ho_0(r) + \delta
ho(r,t)$$

residual interaction

TDA on a schematic model

Separable interaction: $\langle ph'|\bar{v}|hp'\rangle = \lambda D_{ph}D_{p'h'}^*$


(separable interaction)


$$H\psi = E\psi; \qquad \psi = \sum_{i} C_{i}\phi_{i}$$

$$\longrightarrow \sum_{j} H_{ij}C_{j} = EC_{i}; \qquad H_{ij} = \langle \phi_{i}|H|\phi_{j}\rangle$$

suppose $H_{ij} = \epsilon_i \delta_{i,j} + \lambda f_i^* f_j$ (separable form)

$$(\epsilon_i - E)C_i + \lambda f_i^* \sum_j f_j C_j = 0$$





TDA on a schematic model

Separable interaction:
$$\langle ph'|\bar{v}|hp'\rangle = \lambda D_{ph}D_{p'h'}^*$$

Tamm-Dancoff equation:
$$\sum_{p'h'} A_{ph,p'h'} X_{p'h'} = E X_{ph}$$

$$\frac{\overline{p'h'}}{A_{ph,p'h'}} = (\epsilon_p - \epsilon_h)\delta_{ph,p'h'} + \langle ph'|\overline{v}|hp'\rangle$$

$$(\epsilon_{ph} - E)X_{ph} + \lambda D_{ph} \cdot T = 0 \qquad T \equiv \sum_{ph} D_{ph}^* X_{ph}$$

$$X_{ph} = -\lambda \frac{D_{ph}T}{\epsilon_{ph} - E}$$

$$T = \sum_{ph} D_{ph}^* X_{ph} = -\lambda \sum_{ph} \frac{|D_{ph}|^2}{\epsilon_{ph} - E} T$$

or $\frac{1}{\lambda} = \sum_{nh} \frac{|D_{ph}|^2}{E - \epsilon_{ph}}$ (TDA dispersion relation)

Graphical solutions
$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \sum_{ph} \frac{|D_{ph}|^2}{E - \epsilon_{ph}}$$




Figure 8.4. Graphical solution of Eq. (8.18).

(note) in the degenerate limit: $\epsilon_{ph} \sim \epsilon$

$$E = \epsilon_{ph} + \lambda \sum_{ph} |D_{ph}|^2, \quad |\nu\rangle = \sum_{ph} D_{ph} a_p^{\dagger} a_h |HF\rangle$$

coherent superpositon of 1p1h states

Iso-scalar type modes: $E < \epsilon_{ph} \rightarrow \lambda < 0$ (attractive)


Iso-vector type modes: $E > \epsilon_{ph} \to \lambda > 0$ (repulsive)

Experimental systematics:


IV GDR: $E \sim 79A^{-1/3}$ (MeV) $\longleftrightarrow \epsilon_{ph} \sim 41A^{-1/3}$

IS GQR: $E \sim 65A^{-1/3} \; (\text{MeV}) \iff \epsilon_{ph} \sim 82A^{-1/3}$

(note) single particle potential: $\hbar\omega \sim 41A^{-1/3}$ (MeV)



$$\langle ph^{-1}|\overline{v}|p'h'^{-1}\rangle = \langle ph'|\overline{v}|hp'\rangle = \langle ph'|v|hp'\rangle - \langle ph'|v|p'h\rangle$$



$$\langle PP^{-1}|\overline{v}|PP^{-1}\rangle \sim \langle NN^{-1}|\overline{v}|NN^{-1}\rangle = D - E$$

 $\langle PP^{-1}|\overline{v}|NN^{-1}\rangle = D$ (no charge exchange)



$$\langle IS|\bar{v}|IS\rangle = 2D - E \sim D$$

 $\langle IV|\bar{v}|IV\rangle = -E \sim -D$

$$|IS\rangle \propto |NN^{-1}\rangle + |PP^{-1}\rangle$$

 $|IV\rangle \propto |NN^{-1}\rangle - |PP^{-1}\rangle$

Random Phase Approximation

Tamm-Dancoff Approximation:
$$|\nu\rangle = Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}|HF\rangle = \sum_{ph} X_{ph} \, a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{h} |HF\rangle$$
 $HQ_{\nu}^{\dagger}|HF\rangle = E_{\nu}Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}|HF\rangle$ (superposition of 1p1h states)
 $\longleftarrow [H,Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}]|HF\rangle = E_{\nu}Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}|HF\rangle$

Drawbacks:

 \triangleright No influence of v in the ground state

$$E_{coll} = \epsilon + \lambda \sum_{ph} |D_{ph}|^2$$
 Interaction is essential in describing collective excitations

 $\langle \longrightarrow \langle HF|[\delta Q, [H, Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}]]|HF \rangle = E_{\nu}\langle HF|[\delta Q, Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}]|HF \rangle$


- Energy Weighted Sum Rule is violated in TDA
- Admixture of the spurious modes with the physical excitation modes

Restoration of broken symmetries Goldstone mode (spurious motion)


A better approximation: the random phase approximation (RPA)

$$|\nu\rangle = Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \sum_{ph} \left(X_{ph} \, a_p^{\dagger} a_h - Y_{ph} \, a_h^{\dagger} a_p \right) |0\rangle$$

(superposition of 1p1h states)



$$\langle HF|[\delta Q, [H, Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}]]|HF\rangle = E_{\nu}\langle HF|[\delta Q, Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}]|HF\rangle$$



RPA equation:

$$\sum_{p'h'} A_{ph,p'h'} X_{p'h'} + B_{ph,p'h'} Y_{p'h'} = E_{\nu} X_{ph}$$

$$\sum_{p'h'} B_{ph,p'h'}^* X_{p'h'} + A_{ph,p'h'}^* Y_{p'h'} = -E_{\nu} Y_{ph}$$

$$A_{ph,p'h'} = (\epsilon_p - \epsilon_h)\delta_{ph,p'h'} + \langle ph'|\bar{v}|hp'\rangle$$

$$B_{ph,p'h'} = \langle pp'|\bar{v}|hh'\rangle$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B^* & -A^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = E_{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$$


RPA on a schematic model



Separable interaction: $\langle ph'|\bar{v}|hp'\rangle = \lambda D_{ph}D_{p'h'}^*$


$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \sum_{ph} \frac{|D_{ph}|^2}{E - \epsilon_{ph}} - \frac{|D_{ph}|^2}{E + \epsilon_{ph}}$$
(RPA dispersion relation)

Cf. TDA dispersion relation:
$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \sum_{ph} \frac{|D_{ph}|^2}{E - \epsilon_{ph}}$$



Graphical solution of the dispersion relation (8.135).

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \sum_{ph} \frac{|D_{ph}|^2}{E - \epsilon_{ph}} - \frac{|D_{ph}|^2}{E + \epsilon_{ph}}$$
 (RPA dispersion relation)



i) Critical strength for attractive interaction

$$\lambda > \lambda_{crit} \rightarrow E^2 < 0$$
 Instability of the HF state

ii) Symmetric between E and -E

iii) In the degenerate limit
$$E^2 = \epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon\lambda \sum_{ph} |D_{ph}|^2$$

Spurious motion in RPA

Mean-Field Approximation Broken symmetiries

- Center of mass localization (single center)
- Rotational motion

Restoration of broken symmetries



Zero mode (Nambu-Goldstone mode)

$$\langle HF|[\delta Q, [H, Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}]]|HF\rangle = E_{\nu}\langle HF|[\delta Q, Q_{\nu}^{\dagger}]|HF\rangle$$



if $[H, \hat{O}] = 0$

Then \widehat{O} is a solution of RPA with E=0

$$\hat{O} = \sum_{ph} (O_{ph} a_p^{\dagger} a_h + O_{hp} a_h^{\dagger} a_p)$$



The physical solutions are exactly separated out from the spurious modes.

Comparison between Skyrme-(Q)RPA calculation and exp. data




photo-absorption cross section (GDR)


K. Yoshida and T. Nakatsukasa, PRC83('11)021304


<u>low-lying collective states</u>

Low-lying excited states in even-even nuclei are collective excitations, and strongly reflect the pairing correlation and shell strucuture

Single-particle transition (Weisskopf unit)

$$B(E\lambda : I_i \to I_{gs}) = \frac{(1.2A^{1/3})^{2\lambda}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{3}{\lambda+3}\right)^2 \qquad (e^2 \text{fm}^{2\lambda})$$





M. Yamagami and Nguyen Van Giai, PRC69 ('04) 034301