Perspectives on nuclear reaction theory and superheavy elements

Kouichi Hagino (Sendai  $\rightarrow$ ) Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan



- 1. Nuclear Reactions: overview
- 2. Coupled-channels approach with a beyond-mean-field method
- 3. Time-dependent GCM for many-body tunneling
- 4. Fusion for superheavy elements and TDHF
- 5. Summary

Mini-Workshop on nuclear physics and nuclear astrophysics, 2020.1.15, Soongsil University

# Introduction: low-energy nuclear physics

■ behaviors of atomic nuclei as a quantum many-body systems

understanding based on strong interaction

- static properties: nuclear structure
  - ✓ ground state properties (mass, size, shape,....)
  - $\checkmark$  excitations
  - ✓ nuclear matter
  - ✓ decays



> dynamics: nuclear reactions

an interplay between nuclear structure and nuclear reaction





# Fusion reactions: compound nucleus formation



cf. Bohr '36



NASA, Skylab space station December 19. 1973, solar flare reaching 583 000 km off solar surfa

energy production in stars (Bethe '39)

## nucleosynthesis

Proton Neutron Y Gamma Ray



## superheavy elements

Fusion and fission: large amplitude motions of quantum many-body systems with strong interaction

microscopic understanding: an ultimate goal of nuclear physics

Discovery of large sub-barrier enhancement of  $\sigma_{fus}$  (~80's)

potential model: inert nuclei (no structure)





K. H. and N. Takigawa, Prog. Theo. Phys.128 ('12)1061.



Coupled-channels method: a quantal scattering theory with excitations

many-body problem



#### still very challenging

two-body problem, but with excitations (coupled-channels approach)



scattering theory with excitations

Coupled-channels method: a quantal scattering theory with excitations



Inputs for C.C. calculations

i) Inter-nuclear potential

 $\checkmark$  a fit to experimental data at above barrier energies

- ii) Intrinsic degrees of freedom
  - ✓ types of collective motions (rotation / vibration) a/o transfer
  - $\checkmark$  coupling strengths and excitation energies
  - $\checkmark$  how many states



# Semi-microscopic modeling of sub-barrier fusion

K.H. and J.M. Yao, PRC91('15) 064606

#### Beyond-mean-field method

$$|JM\rangle = \int d\beta f_J(\beta) \hat{P}^J_{M0} |\Phi(\beta)\rangle$$

- MF + ang. mom. projection
  + particle number projection
  + generator coordinate method (GCM)
- M. Bender, P.H. Heenen, P.-G. Reinhard, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75 ('03) 121 J.M. Yao et al., PRC89 ('14) 054306







58Ni+58Ni

anharmonicity of  $2^+$  phonon  $\rightarrow$  only a minor improvement

Next, more non-trivial case with 2<sup>+</sup> - 3<sup>-</sup> coupling: anharmonicity of oct. vib. in <sup>208</sup>Pb

K.H. and J.M. Yao, PRC91 ('15) 064606



**From phenomenological approach to microscopic approach** 

Macroscopic (phenomenological)



Microscopic

TDHF = Time Dependent Hartree-Fock



S. Ebata, T. Nakatsukasa, JPC Conf. Proc. 6 ('15)

ab initio, but no tunneling

# Time-dependent GCM for many-body tunneling

N. Hasegawa, K.H., and Y. Tanimura, in preparation







 $\alpha + \alpha$  in 1D









 $\Psi(t) = \sum f_k(t) \Phi_{\mathsf{SD},k}(t)$ k

time-dep. variational principle

$$\delta \int dt \frac{\langle \Psi(t) | i\hbar \partial_t - H | \Psi(t) \rangle}{\langle \Psi(t) | \Psi(t) \rangle} = 0$$



# Fusion for superheavy elements



1966)

# Fusion for SHE: fusion hindrance



strong Coulomb repulsion  $\rightarrow$  re-separation



neutron-rich beams: indispensable  $\rightarrow$  reaction dynamics?

#### Towards the island of stability: Fusion of unstable nuclei

K.-S. Choi, K. Hagino et al.,

Phys. Lett. B780 ('18) 455



good understandings of the structure of neutron-rich nuclei is also important





development of microscopic nuclear reaction theory  $\blacktriangleright$  nuclear ractions in neutron stars

 $^{24}O + ^{24}O$ ,  $^{28}Ne + ^{28}Ne$  etc.



**Physics of SHE with n-rich nuclei as important ingredient** 



hot fusion reactions with <sup>48</sup>Ca:

$${}^{48}_{20}\text{Ca} + {}_{99}\text{Es} \rightarrow 119$$
  
 ${}^{48}_{20}\text{Ca} + {}_{100}\text{Fm} \rightarrow 120$ 

short lived →not available with sufficient amounts

 $^{48}\text{Ca} \rightarrow {}^{50}_{22}\text{Ti}, {}^{51}_{23}\text{V}, {}^{54}_{24}\text{Cr projectiles}$ 

how much will

cross sections be affected?

closed shell  $\rightarrow$  open shells

TDHF + Langevin approach



<u>New model for fusion for SHE: TDHF + Langevin approach</u>

K. Sekizawa and K.H., PRC99 (2019) 051602(R)



how special is <sup>48</sup>Ca ?

|                       |                    |                         |                          | $\square$  |                                      |   |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| System                | CN                 | E*<br>(MeV)             | R <sub>min</sub><br>(fm) | $\begin{array}{c} P_{\rm CN} \\ (\times 10^4) \end{array}$ | $W_{\rm sur}$<br>(×10 <sup>9</sup> ) | $\frac{P_{\rm CN} W_{\rm sur}}{(\times 10^{13})}$ |
| $^{48}Ca + ^{254}Fm$  | <sup>302</sup> 120 | 29.0                    | 12.93                    | 1.72   | 176                                  | 302   |
| $^{54}Cr + ^{248}Cm$  | <sup>302</sup> 120 | 33.2                    | 13.09                    | 1.89   | 1.31                                 | 2.47  |
| $^{51}V + {}^{249}Bk$ | <sup>300</sup> 120 | 37.0                    | 12.94                    | 3.95   | 0.117                                | 0.461   |
| $^{48}Ca + ^{257}Fm$  | <sup>305</sup> 120 | 30.5                    | 12.94                    | 2.49   | 0.729                                | 1.82  |
|                       |                    |                         |                          | $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} f_{i}(i) = f_{i}(i)$          |                                      |   |
|                       |                    | similar P <sub>CN</sub> |                          |  |                                      |   |

no special role of <sup>48</sup>Ca in the entrance channel



**From phenomenological to microscopic nuclear reaction theories** 

Macroscopic (phenomenological)



Microscopic

# 감사합니다











a picture from Sep., 2018

# FUSION20

November 15-20, 2020 Shizuoka, Japan

Kouichi Hagino (co-chair) Kyoto University Katsuhisa Nishio (co-chair) JAEA