Transition-state dynamics in complex quantum systems

Kouichi Hagino (Kyoto U.) George F. Bertsch (U. of Washingotn)

- 1. Introduction
- 2. 2-GOE model for a decay problem
- 3. Transition-state theory and the insensitive properties
- 4. Fluctuations: a deviation from the PT distribution
- 5. Summary

G.F. Bertsch and K. Hagino, arXiv:2105.12073 [quant-ph] K. Hagino and G.F. Bertsch, arXiv:2106.152501 [quant-ph]

Workshop on Modern Developments in Quantum Chaos, Sep. 20-24, 2021

a complex quantum system





Fyodorov's talk on Wednesday



also: Y.V. Fyodorov, D.V. Savin, and H.-J. Sommers, J. Phys. A38, 10731 (2005)



Fyodorov's talk on Wednesday



also: Y.V. Fyodorov, D.V. Savin, and H.-J. Sommers, J. Phys. A38, 10731 (2005)



other examples: the problem of electron transport

tunnel junction



molecular bridge



Y. Alhassid, Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, 895 (2000)

M.Thoss and F. Evers, JCP148, 030901 (2018)

the transition state theory



N. Bohr and J.A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. 56, 426 (1939)

cf. RKKM theory in chemistry

tunneling probability

cf. D.M. Brink and U. Smilansky, Nucl. Phys. A405 ('83) 301

$$\Gamma_f = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho_{\rm gs}(E^*)} \int_0^{E^* - B_f} \rho_{\rm sd}(E^* - B_f - K) dK \to \frac{1}{2\pi\rho_{\rm gs}(E^*)} \sum_c T_c$$

✓ decay dynamics: entirely determined at the saddle
✓ does not depend on what will happen after the barrier

the transition state theory



$$\Gamma_f = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho_{\rm gs}(E^*)} \sum_c T_c$$

✓ decay dynamics: the saddle only✓ the insenstitivity property

a question which we ask:

Can one derive the properties of the transition state theory based on a *microscopic* many-body Hamiltonian?





 v_k (k = 2,3, 4): random interactions

G.F. Bertsch and K. Hagino, arXiv:2105.12073 [quant-ph]



$$T(E) = T_a(E) + T_b(E) = 1 - |R(E)|^2$$

$$T_a(E) = 1 - |R(E)|^2 - |A(E)|^2 + |B(E)|^2$$

$$T_b(E) = |A(E)|^2 - |B(E)|^2$$



$$T(E) = T_a(E) + T_b(E) = 1 - |R(E)|^2$$

$$T_a(E) = 1 - |R(E)|^2 - |A(E)|^2 + |B(E)|^2$$

$$T_b(E) = |A(E)|^2 - |B(E)|^2$$

branching ratio:

$$Br = \frac{\int dE \, T_b(E)}{\int dE \, T_a(E)}$$

the relative decay probability from b:





the average and the variance with 20 ensembles



Branching ratios: <u>insensitive</u> to $\Gamma_b \leftarrow$ the main assumption of the TST *also with analytical study with Stieltjes transform

G.F. Bertsch and K.H., arXiv:2105.12073

fluctuations of decay rates

a common *belief*: a fluctuation of decay rates follows the Porter-Thomas distribution





PT: reasonably good, but with a significant deviation

fluctuations of decay rates

$$P_{\nu}(x) = \frac{\nu}{2x_0 \Gamma(\nu/2)} \left(\frac{\nu x}{2x_0}\right)^{\nu/2-1} e^{-\nu x/2x_0}$$



K. Hagino and G.F. Bertsch, arXiv:2106.152501 [quant-ph]





2-GOE model for a decay of quantum complex systems



✓ decay rates: insensitive to Γ_b

 \rightarrow the first microscopic realization of the transition-state theory

✓ fluctuations of the decay rates: a transition from the Porter-Thomas to the v=2 distribution

the boundary condition can make the distribution deviate from the PT

G.F. Bertsch and K. Hagino, arXiv:2105.12073, JPSJ in press. K. Hagino and G.F. Bertsch, arXiv:2106.152501 [quant-ph]

ongoing works

Summary

applications to nuclear fission: CI approach