## Supersymmetry

九後「ゲージ場の量子論」の convention に従う。
Table I．Wess－Bagger との換算表

| Ours | Wess－Bagger |
| :---: | :---: |
| $p^{\mu}, x^{\mu}, \partial_{\mu}$ | same $p^{\mu}, x^{\mu}, \partial_{\mu}$ |
| $\eta^{\mu \nu}=\eta_{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{diag}(+1,-1,-1,-1)$ | $-\eta^{\mu \nu},-\eta_{\mu \nu}$ |
| $\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \varepsilon^{0123}=+1$ | same $\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}$ |
| $\psi_{\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}$ | same $\psi_{\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}$ |
| $\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta}=\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}=i \sigma_{2}$ | same $\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta}, \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta} \dot{\beta}}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta}=\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}=i \sigma_{2}$ | $-\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta},-\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}$ |
| $\sigma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\mu}$ | same $\sigma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\mu}$ |
| $\sigma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\mu}$ | $-\sigma^{\mu},-\gamma^{\mu}$ |
| $\gamma_{5}$ | $i \gamma_{5}$ |
| $\sigma_{\mu \nu}, \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu}$ | $2 i \sigma_{\mu \nu}, 2 i \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu}$ |
| thus，$\square, \sigma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}, \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}$ | $-\square,-\sigma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu},-\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}$ |
|  |  |

Standard spinor index position：
4－component Majorana $\Psi$

$$
\Psi=\binom{\psi_{\alpha}}{\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}=\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\left(\psi_{\beta}\right)^{*}}, \quad \bar{\Psi} \equiv \Psi^{\dagger} \gamma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\psi^{\alpha} & \left.\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\right)=\Psi^{\mathrm{T}} C . \tag{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

2－component Weyl spinors

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { at right-end: } & \psi_{\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \\
\text { at left-end: } & \psi^{\alpha}, \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi \phi \equiv \psi^{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}, \quad \bar{\psi} \bar{\phi} \equiv \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \\
& \psi \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\phi} \equiv \psi^{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{\mu}\right)_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}}, \\
& \psi \sigma_{\mu \nu} \phi \equiv \psi^{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{\mu \nu}\right)_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi_{\beta}, \quad \bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\right)^{\dot{\alpha} \beta} \equiv \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}{ }_{\beta} \dot{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Formulas：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{\mu}\right)^{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \equiv \varepsilon^{\alpha \gamma} \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta} \dot{\delta}}\left(\sigma_{\mu}\right)_{\gamma \dot{\delta}}=\left(\varepsilon \sigma_{\mu} \varepsilon^{T}\right)^{\alpha \dot{\beta}}=\left(\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\right)^{\dot{\beta} \alpha} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\psi_{\alpha} \phi_{\beta} & \left.=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta}(\psi \phi)+\psi_{(\alpha} \phi_{\beta}\right), & \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta}} & =\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}(\bar{\psi} \bar{\phi})+\bar{\psi}^{(\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}^{\dot{\beta})}, \\
\psi^{\alpha} \phi^{\beta} & =-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta}(\psi \phi)+\psi^{(\alpha} \phi^{\beta)}, & \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}_{\dot{\beta}}=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}(\bar{\psi} \bar{\phi})+\bar{\psi}_{\left(\dot{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{\dot{\beta})} .\right.} . \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

Ordering change

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi \phi=\phi \psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \bar{\phi}=\bar{\phi} \bar{\psi} \\
& \psi \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\phi}=-\bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} \psi \\
& \psi \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\sigma}_{\nu} \phi=\phi \sigma_{\nu} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} \psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} \bar{\phi}=\bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\nu} \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\psi} \\
& \psi \sigma_{\mu \nu} \phi=-\phi \sigma_{\mu \nu} \psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} \bar{\phi}=-\bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} \bar{\psi} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

hermitian conjugation

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\psi \phi)^{\dagger}=\bar{\phi} \bar{\psi}, \\
& \left(\psi \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\phi}\right)^{\dagger}=\phi \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\psi}, \quad\left(\bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} \phi\right)^{\dagger}=\bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} \psi, \\
& \left(\psi \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\sigma}_{\nu} \phi\right)^{\dagger}=\bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\nu} \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\psi}, \\
& \left(\psi \sigma_{\mu \nu} \phi\right)^{\dagger}=\bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} \bar{\psi} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Fierz（4－components）

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \Psi_{2}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \Psi_{4}\right)=-\frac{1}{4}[ & \left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} 1 \Psi_{4}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} 1 \Psi_{2}\right)+\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \gamma_{5} \Psi_{4}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \gamma_{5} \Psi_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \gamma^{\mu} \Psi_{4}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \gamma_{\mu} \Psi_{2}\right)-\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \Psi_{4}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \Psi_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \Psi_{4}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \Psi_{2}\right)\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

This yields 2－component Fierz formulas：

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \mathcal{P}_{R} \Psi_{2}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \mathcal{P}_{R}^{R} \Psi_{4}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}[ & \left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \mathcal{P}_{R} \Psi_{L}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \mathcal{P}_{R}^{R} \Psi_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \mathcal{P}_{L}^{R} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \Psi_{4}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \mathcal{P}_{L} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \Psi_{2}\right)\right] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \mathcal{P}_{L}^{R} \Psi_{2}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \mathcal{P}_{R}^{L} \Psi_{4}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1} \mathcal{P}_{L}^{R} \gamma^{\mu} \Psi_{4}\right)\left(\bar{\Psi}_{3} \mathcal{P}_{R}^{L} \gamma_{\mu} \Psi_{2}\right)\right] . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

e．g．，

$$
(\theta \psi)(\theta \phi)=(\theta \psi)(\phi \theta)=-\frac{1}{2}\left[(\theta \theta)(\phi \psi)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\theta \sigma^{\mu \nu} \theta\right)\left(\phi \sigma_{\mu \nu} \psi\right)\right]=-\frac{1}{2}(\theta \theta)(\phi \psi)
$$

$$
\left(\theta \sigma_{\rho} \bar{\psi}\right)\left(\theta \sigma_{\lambda} \bar{\phi}\right)=-\left(\theta \sigma_{\rho} \bar{\psi}\right)\left(\bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\lambda} \theta\right)=+\frac{1}{2}(\theta \theta)\left(\bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\lambda} \sigma_{\rho} \bar{\psi}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(\theta \theta)\left(\eta_{\lambda \rho} \bar{\phi} \bar{\psi}-i \bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\lambda \rho} \bar{\psi}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\theta \psi)(\bar{\theta} \bar{\phi})=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta}\right)\left(\bar{\phi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} \psi\right)=+\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta}\right)\left(\psi \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\phi}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Vector multiplet field:

$$
\begin{align*}
V= & {\left[C, \chi, M, N, V_{\mu}, \lambda, D\right] } \\
= & C+i \bar{\theta} \gamma_{5} \chi-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}\left(N-i \gamma_{5} M-\gamma_{5} \gamma^{\mu} V_{\mu}\right) \theta \\
& -i(\bar{\theta} \theta) \bar{\theta} \gamma_{5}\left[\lambda-\frac{i}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi\right]+\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\theta} \theta)(\bar{\theta} \theta)\left[D-\frac{1}{2} \square C\right] \\
= & C+i \theta \chi-i \bar{\theta} \bar{\chi}-\frac{1}{2}(\theta \theta) \mathcal{H}-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}) \overline{\mathcal{H}}+\left(\theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta}\right) V_{\mu} \\
& +i(\theta \theta) \bar{\theta}\left[\bar{\lambda}-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi\right]-i(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}) \theta\left[\lambda-\frac{i}{2} \sigma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \bar{\chi}\right]+\frac{1}{2}(\theta \theta)(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta})\left[D-\frac{1}{2} \square C\right], \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H} \equiv N-i M, \quad \overline{\mathcal{H}} \equiv N+i M \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Chiral multiplet field $\phi$ : constrained by $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \phi=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi= & \exp (-i \theta \not \partial \bar{\theta})[\varphi+\theta \sqrt{2} \psi+\theta \theta \mathcal{F}] \\
= & \varphi+\theta \sqrt{2} \psi+\theta \theta \mathcal{F}+\left(\theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta}\right)\left(-i \partial_{\mu} \varphi\right) \\
& +i(\theta \theta) \bar{\theta}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \sqrt{2} \psi\right]+\frac{1}{2}(\theta \theta)(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta})\left[-\frac{1}{2} \square \varphi\right] \\
= & {\left[\varphi,-i \sqrt{2} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{R}} \psi,-i \mathcal{F},-\mathcal{F},-i \partial_{\mu} \varphi, 0,0\right] } \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Anti-chiral multiplet field $\bar{\phi}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}=\left[\varphi^{*}, i \sqrt{2} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{L}} \psi, i \mathcal{F}^{*},-\mathcal{F}^{*}, i \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*}, 0,0\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(real) Linear multiplet field:

$$
\begin{align*}
L: \quad & \text { constrained by } D D L=\bar{D} \bar{D} L=0 \\
= & C+i \theta \chi-i \bar{\theta} \bar{\chi}+\left(\theta \sigma^{\mu} \theta\right) V_{\mu} \\
& +i(\theta \theta) \bar{\theta}\left[\frac{i}{2} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi\right]-i(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}) \theta\left[\frac{i}{2} \sigma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \bar{\chi}\right]+\frac{1}{2}(\theta \theta)(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta})\left[\frac{1}{2} \square \varphi\right] \\
= & {\left[C, \chi, 0,0, V_{\mu}, i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi, \square C\right] } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

with $V_{\mu}$ constrained by $\partial V=0$, which is solved as

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{\mu} & =\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \partial_{\nu} a_{\rho \sigma}, \\
\delta a_{\mu \nu} & =-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_{5} \chi \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

## Supersymmetry transformation law:

Vector multiplet: in 4-component notation

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta C & =i \bar{\alpha} \gamma_{5} \chi \\
\delta \chi & =\left(-i \gamma^{\mu} V_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu} C \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\mu}+M+i \gamma_{5} N\right) \alpha \\
\delta M & =\bar{\alpha} \lambda-i \bar{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi \\
\delta N & =i \bar{\alpha} \gamma_{5} \lambda+\bar{\alpha} \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi \\
\delta V_{\mu} & =i \bar{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \lambda+\bar{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \chi \\
\delta \lambda & =-\frac{i}{2} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \alpha\left(\partial_{\mu} V_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} V_{\mu}\right)+i \gamma_{5} D \alpha \\
\delta D & =\bar{\alpha} \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \lambda \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

This reads, in 2-component notation,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta C & =i \alpha \chi-i \bar{\alpha} \bar{\chi} \\
\delta\binom{\chi}{\bar{\chi}} & =\binom{-i \sigma^{\mu}\left(V_{\mu}-i \partial_{\mu} C\right) \bar{\alpha}+i \mathcal{H} \alpha}{-i \bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\left(V_{\mu}+i \partial_{\mu} C\right) \alpha-i \overline{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\alpha}}, \\
\delta \mathcal{H} & =-2 i \bar{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}-2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \chi, \\
\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}} & =2 i \alpha \lambda+2 \alpha \sigma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \bar{\chi} \\
\delta V_{\mu} & =i\left(\alpha \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\lambda}+\bar{\alpha} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} \lambda\right)+\left(\alpha \partial_{\mu} \chi+\bar{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \bar{\chi}\right), \\
\delta\binom{\lambda}{\bar{\lambda}} & =\binom{-\frac{i}{2} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \alpha\left(\partial_{\mu} V_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} V_{\mu}\right)+i D \alpha}{-\frac{i}{2} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \bar{\alpha}\left(\partial_{\mu} V_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} V_{\mu}\right)-i D \bar{\alpha}}, \\
\delta D & =\alpha \sigma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \bar{\lambda}-\bar{\alpha} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \lambda . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting the embedding formula of chiral and antichiral into vector:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { chiral } & \text { anti-chiral } \\
C=\varphi, & C=\varphi^{*} \\
\chi=-i \sqrt{2} \psi, & \bar{\chi}=+i \sqrt{2} \bar{\psi} \\
\mathcal{H}=-2 \mathcal{F}, & \overline{\mathcal{H}}=-2 \mathcal{F}^{*} \\
V_{\mu}=-i \partial_{\mu} \varphi, & V_{\mu}=+i \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*} \\
\text { other compts. }=0 & \text { other compts. }=0 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

the vector susy transformation law leads to

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \delta \varphi = \sqrt { 2 } \alpha \psi }  \tag{21}\\
{ \delta \psi = - i \sqrt { 2 } \not \partial \varphi \overline { \alpha } + \sqrt { 2 } \mathcal { F } \alpha , } \\
{ \delta \mathcal { F } = - i \sqrt { 2 } \overline { \alpha } \overline { \partial } \psi , }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta \varphi^{*}=\sqrt{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\psi} \\
\delta \bar{\psi}=-i \sqrt{2} \bar{\not} \varphi^{*} \alpha+\sqrt{2} \mathcal{F}^{*} \bar{\alpha}, \\
\delta \mathcal{F}^{*}=-i \sqrt{2} \alpha \not \partial \bar{\psi}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

## Multiplication law:

Function of vector multiplet fields $\Phi\left(V_{i}\right)$
In 4-component notation,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\Phi}= & \Phi\left(C_{i}\right), \\
\chi_{\Phi}= & \chi^{\prime} \Phi\left(C_{i}\right), \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
M_{\Phi} \\
N_{\Phi} \\
V_{\Phi \mu}
\end{array}\right)= & {\left[\left(\begin{array}{c}
M^{\prime} \\
N^{\prime} \\
V_{\mu}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \bar{\chi}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{c}
i \gamma_{5} \\
1 \\
\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5}
\end{array}\right) \chi^{\prime}\right] \Phi\left(C_{i}\right), } \\
\lambda_{\Phi}= & {\left[\lambda^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{\prime}+i \gamma_{5} M^{\prime}-\gamma_{5} \gamma^{\mu} V_{\mu}^{\prime}+i \not \partial C^{\prime}\right) \chi^{\prime}-\frac{1}{4} \chi^{\prime}\left(\bar{\chi}^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}\right)\right] \Phi\left(C_{i}\right) } \\
D_{\Phi}= & {\left[D^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{\prime} N^{\prime}+M^{\prime} M^{\prime}+V^{\prime \mu} V_{\mu}^{\prime}+\partial^{\mu} C^{\prime} \partial_{\mu} C^{\prime}\right)-\bar{\lambda}^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}^{\prime} i \not \partial \chi^{\prime}\right.}  \tag{22}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4} \bar{\chi}^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime}+i \gamma_{5} M^{\prime}-\gamma_{5} \gamma^{\mu} V_{\mu}^{\prime}\right) \chi^{\prime}+\frac{1}{16}\left(\bar{\chi}^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}\right)\left(\bar{\chi}^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}\right)\right] \Phi\left(C_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In 2-component notation, this reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\Phi}=\Phi(C), \\
& \binom{\chi_{\Phi}}{\bar{\chi}_{\Phi}}=\binom{\chi_{i}}{\bar{\chi}_{i}} \Phi_{i}, \\
& \mathcal{H}_{\Phi}=\mathcal{H}_{i} \Phi_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \chi_{i} \chi_{j} \Phi_{i j} \\
& \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi}=\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{i} \Phi_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}_{i} \bar{\chi}_{j} \Phi_{i j} \\
& V_{\Phi \mu}=V_{i \mu} \Phi_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \chi_{i} \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{j} \Phi_{i j} \\
& \binom{\lambda_{\Phi}}{\bar{\lambda}_{\Phi}}=\binom{\lambda_{i}}{\bar{\lambda}_{i}} \Phi_{i}+\frac{1}{2}\binom{\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{i} \chi_{j}-\left(V_{\mu}-i \partial_{\mu} C\right)_{i} \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{j}}{\mathcal{H}_{i} \bar{\chi}_{j}+\left(V_{\mu}+i \partial_{\mu} C\right)_{i} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \chi_{j}} \Phi_{i j} \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\binom{\chi_{i}\left(\bar{\chi}_{j} \bar{\chi}_{k}\right)}{\bar{\chi}_{i}\left(\chi_{j} \chi_{k}\right)} \Phi_{i j k} \\
& D_{\Phi}=D_{i} \Phi_{i}+\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{j}+\left(V^{\mu}-i \partial^{\mu} C\right)_{i}\left(V_{\mu}+i \partial_{\mu} C\right)_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\lambda_{i} \chi_{j}+\bar{\lambda}_{i} \bar{\chi}_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{i} i \not \partial \bar{\chi}_{j}+\bar{\chi}_{i} i \bar{\partial} \chi_{j}\right)\right] \Phi_{i j} \\
& +\left[-\frac{1}{4}\left(\chi_{i} \chi_{j}\right) \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{k}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\bar{\chi}_{i} \bar{\chi}_{j}\right) \mathcal{H}_{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{i} \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{j}\right) V_{k \mu}\right] \Phi_{i j k} \\
& +\frac{1}{8}\left(\chi_{i} \chi_{j}\right)\left(\bar{\chi}_{k} \bar{\chi}_{l}\right) \Phi_{i j k l} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

## Function of chiral multiplet fields $W\left(\phi_{i}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{W}=W\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \\
& \psi_{W}=\psi^{\prime} W\left(\varphi_{i}\right)=\psi_{i} W^{i} \\
& \mathcal{F}_{W}=\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}\right] W\left(\varphi_{i}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{i} W^{i}-\frac{1}{2} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} W^{i j}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

By the help of chiral-to-vector embedding formulas, the general function formula $\Phi\left(V_{i}\right)$ for vector multiplets leads to the following formula for $K\left(\phi_{i}, \bar{\phi}^{j}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{K}= K\left(\varphi, \varphi^{*}\right) \equiv K, \\
& \chi_{K}=-i \sqrt{2} \psi_{i} K^{i}, \quad \bar{\chi}_{K}=+i \sqrt{2} \bar{\psi}^{i} K_{i}, \\
&\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{H}_{K} \\
\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{K} \\
V_{K \mu}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-2 \mathcal{F}_{i} K^{i}+\psi_{i} \psi_{j} K^{i j} \\
-2 \mathcal{F}^{* i} K_{i}+\bar{\psi}^{i} \bar{\psi}^{j} K_{i j} \\
-i \partial_{\mu} \varphi_{i} K^{i}+i \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{* i} K_{i}+\psi_{i} \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\psi}^{j} K_{j}^{i}
\end{array}\right), \\
&\binom{\lambda_{K}}{\bar{\lambda}_{K}}=\binom{i \sqrt{2}\left[\left(\psi_{i} \mathcal{F}^{* j}+i \not \partial \varphi_{i} \bar{\psi}^{j}\right) K_{j}^{i}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\psi}^{j} \bar{\psi}^{k}\right) \psi_{i} K_{j k}^{i}\right]}{-i \sqrt{2}\left[\left(\bar{\psi}^{i} \mathcal{F}_{j}+i \overline{\not \partial} \varphi^{* i} \psi_{j}\right) K_{i}^{j}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{j} \psi_{k}\right) \bar{\psi}^{i} K_{i}^{j k}\right]} \\
& \frac{1}{2} D_{K}=\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi_{i} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{* j}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{i} i \sigma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}^{j}+\bar{\psi}^{j} i \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{i}\right)+\mathcal{F}_{i} \mathcal{F}^{* j}\right) K_{j}^{i} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(i\left(\bar{\psi}^{k} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \psi_{i}\right) \partial_{\mu} \varphi_{j}-\left(\psi_{i} \psi_{j}\right) \mathcal{F}^{* k}\right) K_{k}^{i j} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(i\left(\psi_{k} \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\psi}^{i}\right) \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{* j}-\left(\bar{\psi}^{i} \bar{\psi}^{j}\right) \mathcal{F}_{k}\right) K_{i j}^{k} \\
&+\frac{1}{4}\left(\psi_{i} \psi_{j}\right)\left(\bar{\psi}^{k} \bar{\psi}^{l}\right) K_{k l}^{i j} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

## Super Yang－Mills

gauge transformation：

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi & \rightarrow \phi^{\prime}=e^{i \Lambda} \phi, \quad \bar{\phi} \rightarrow \bar{\phi}^{\prime}=\bar{\phi} e^{-i \Lambda^{\dagger}}, \\
e^{-2 V} & \rightarrow e^{-2 V^{\prime}}=e^{+i \Lambda^{\dagger}} e^{-2 V} e^{-i \Lambda}, \quad e^{2 V} \rightarrow e^{2 V^{\prime}}=e^{+i \Lambda} e^{2 V} e^{-i \Lambda^{\dagger}} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

In Wess－Zumino gauge：

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =\left(\theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta}\right) V_{\mu}+i(\theta \theta) \bar{\theta} \bar{\lambda}-i(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}) \theta \lambda+\frac{1}{2}(\theta \theta)(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}) D .  \tag{27}\\
W_{\alpha} & \equiv \frac{1}{8} \bar{D} \bar{D}\left(e^{2 V} D_{\alpha} e^{-2 V}\right) \\
& =e^{-i \theta} \not \bar{\partial} \bar{\theta}\left[i \lambda_{\alpha}-\theta_{\alpha} D+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^{\mu \nu} \theta\right)_{\alpha} F_{\mu \nu}+(\theta \theta)\left(\sigma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \bar{\lambda}\right)_{\alpha}\right], \\
\left.W^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}\right|_{\theta \theta} & =e^{-i \theta \not \partial \bar{\theta}} 2(\theta \theta)\left[\lambda i \not \overline{\bar{\lambda}}-\frac{1}{4}\left(F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+i F_{\mu \nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}\right)+\frac{1}{2} D^{2}\right] \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\mu} \bar{\lambda} & =\partial_{\mu} \bar{\lambda}-i\left[V_{\mu}, \bar{\lambda}\right] \\
F_{\mu \nu} & =\partial_{\mu} V_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} V_{\mu}-i\left[V_{\mu}, V_{\nu}\right] \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\bar{\phi} e^{-2 V} \phi\right|_{(\theta \theta)(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta})}= & (\theta \theta)(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta})\left[D^{\mu} \varphi^{*} D_{\mu} \varphi+\bar{\psi} i \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi+\mathcal{F}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right. \\
& \left.+\sqrt{2} i\left[(\bar{\psi} \bar{\lambda}) \varphi-\varphi^{*}(\lambda \psi)\right]+\text { tot.der. }\right] \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\mu} \varphi & =\partial_{\mu} \varphi-i V_{\mu} \varphi, \quad D_{\mu} \varphi^{*}=\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*}+i \varphi^{*} V_{\mu} \\
D_{\mu} \psi & =\partial_{\mu} \psi-i V_{\mu} \psi \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta}\right)_{\alpha}\left(\theta \sigma^{\nu} \bar{\theta}\right)=+\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta})\left(\sigma^{\mu} \bar{\sigma}^{\nu} \theta\right)_{\alpha} \\
& \left(\sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta}\right)_{\alpha}(\bar{\theta} \bar{\lambda})=-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta})\left(\sigma^{\mu} \bar{\lambda}\right)_{\alpha} \\
& \theta_{\alpha}(\bar{\theta} \bar{\lambda})\left(\theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta}\right)=+\frac{1}{4}(\theta \theta)(\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta})\left(\sigma^{\mu} \bar{\lambda}\right)_{\alpha} . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Table II．Kugo－Uehara との換算表

| Kugo－Uehara | Ours |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x_{m}=\left(x_{k}, x_{4}\right)$ | $\left(x^{k}, i t\right) \rightarrow$ write $x^{\mu}$ or $-x_{\mu}$ |
| $\partial_{m}=\left(\partial_{k}, \partial_{4}\right)$ | $\left(\partial_{k},-i \partial_{t}\right) \rightarrow$ write $\partial_{\mu}$ or $-\partial^{\mu}$ |
| $\partial_{m} \partial_{m} \equiv \square$ | $-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}=-\square$ |
| $\delta_{m n}$ | $-\eta_{\mu \nu}$ |
| $\gamma_{m}=\left(\gamma_{k}, \gamma_{4}\right)$ | $\left(-i \gamma^{k}, \gamma^{0}\right) \rightarrow$ write $-i \gamma^{\mu}$ or $i \gamma_{\mu}$ |
| $\gamma_{m} \partial_{m} \equiv \not \partial$ | $-i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}=-i \not \partial$ |
| $\gamma_{m} B_{m} \equiv \not B$ | $-i \gamma^{\mu}\left(-V_{\mu}\right)=+i V$ |
| $a_{m n}$ | $i a_{\mu \nu}$ |
| $\gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |
| $\sigma_{m n} \equiv(1 / 4)\left[\gamma_{m}, \gamma_{n}\right]$ | $(1 / 4)\left[-i \gamma^{\mu},-i \gamma^{\nu}\right]=(i / 2) \sigma^{\mu \nu}$ |
| $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B}_{m}, \Lambda, \mathcal{D}$ | $C, \chi, N,-M,-V_{\mu}, \lambda, D$ |
| $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{R}} \chi, \mathcal{F}$ | $\varphi, \sqrt{2} \psi, \mathcal{F}$ |
| $\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{R}}, \bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{L}}\right),\binom{\psi_{\mathrm{R}}}{\psi_{\mathrm{L}}}$ | $(\psi, \bar{\psi}),(\bar{\psi})$ |
| $P_{m}, A, D, Q$ | $P_{\mu} / i, A / i, D / i,(1 / 2) Q / i$ |
| $M_{m n}, K_{m}, S$ | $-M_{\mu \nu} / i,-K_{\mu} / i,-(1 / 2) S / i($ negative signs！$)$ |
| $x_{m} \rightarrow x^{\mu}$ or $-x_{\mu}$ | の置き換えをする space 優先のルールでは |
| $\varepsilon_{m n r s}$ | $-i \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma, ~}-i \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}($ どちらも $-i)$ |
| super 変換 parameter $\varepsilon$ | $2 \alpha$ |

## $\S 1 . \quad$ Superconformal group $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$

4D Conformal Group：$S O(4,2) \cong S U(2,2)$
If the system has only massless particles，the energy momentum tensor $\Theta_{\mu \nu}$ can be can be chosen to be symmetric and traceless：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\nu} \Theta_{\mu \nu}=0, \quad \Theta_{\mu \nu}=\Theta_{\nu \mu}, \quad \Theta_{\mu}^{\mu}=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can have the following conserved currents and charges：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{\mu \nu}: \rightarrow \\
& P_{\mu}=\int d^{3} x \Theta_{\mu 0} \\
& \mathcal{M}_{\mu \nu \rho}=x_{\mu} \Theta_{\nu \rho}-x_{\nu} \Theta_{\mu \rho}: \rightarrow M_{\mu \nu}=\int d^{3} x \mathcal{M}_{\mu \nu 0} \\
& \mathcal{D}_{\mu}=x^{\nu} \Theta_{\nu \mu}: \rightarrow D=\int d^{3} x \mathcal{D}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{\mu \nu}=2 x_{\mu} x^{\rho} \Theta_{\rho \nu}-x^{2} \Theta_{\mu \nu}: \quad \rightarrow \quad K_{\mu}=\int d^{3} x \mathcal{K}_{\mu 0} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that this $K_{\mu}$ has opposite sign to that of van Nieuwenhuizen.) They satisfy the following $4 D$ conformal algebra:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[M_{\mu \nu}, M_{\rho \sigma}\right]=-i\left(\eta_{\mu \rho} M_{\nu \sigma}-\eta_{\nu \rho} M_{\mu \sigma}-\eta_{\mu \sigma} M_{\nu \rho}+\eta_{\nu \sigma} M_{\mu \rho}\right),} \\
& {\left[P_{\rho}, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=i\left(\eta_{\rho \mu} P_{\nu}-\eta_{\rho \nu} P_{\mu}\right),} \\
& {\left[K_{\rho}, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=i\left(\eta_{\rho \mu} K_{\nu}-\eta_{\rho \nu} K_{\mu}\right),} \\
& {\left[D, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=\left[P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}\right]=\left[K_{\mu}, K_{\nu}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[P_{\mu}, D\right]=i P_{\mu}, \quad\left[K_{\mu}, D\right]=-i K_{\mu},} \\
& {\left[P_{\mu}, K_{\nu}\right]=2 i\left(\eta_{\mu \nu} D-M_{\mu \nu}\right) .} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

This 4D conformal group is in fact identical with the extended Lorentz group $S O(4,2)$ in 6 dimensions with metric

$$
\eta_{a b}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\eta_{\mu \nu} & &  \tag{36}\\
& -1 & \\
& & +1
\end{array}\right)
$$

for which the generators $M_{a b}=-M_{b a}(a, b=0,1, \cdots, 5)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M_{a b}, M_{c d}\right]=-i\left(\eta_{a c} M_{b d}-\eta_{b c} M_{a d}-\eta_{a d} M_{b c}+\eta_{b d} M_{a c}\right) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is easily seen if we identify the Lorentz generators in the extra dimensions labeled by 4 and 5 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\mu 4} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(P_{\mu}-K_{\mu}\right), \quad M_{\mu 5} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(P_{\mu}+K_{\mu}\right), \quad M_{54} \equiv D . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By considering the spinor representation, this algebra is also seen to be isomorphic with $S U(2,2)$. The generators $\Gamma^{a}$ of the Clifford algebra for $S O(4,2)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{a} \Gamma^{b}+\Gamma^{b} \Gamma^{a}=2 \eta^{a b}, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be represented, for instance, by the following $8 \times 8$ matrices:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^{\mu}=\gamma^{\mu} \otimes \sigma^{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \gamma^{\mu} \\
\gamma^{\mu} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
\Gamma^{4}=i \gamma_{5} \otimes \sigma^{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \gamma_{5} \\
i \gamma_{5} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
\Gamma^{5}=1_{4} \otimes\left(-\sigma^{2}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i 1_{4} \\
-i 1_{4} & 0
\end{array}\right) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

The "chirality" matrix (analogous to $\gamma_{5}$ in 4 dimension) is given by

$$
\Gamma_{7}=i \Gamma^{0} \Gamma^{1} \Gamma^{2} \Gamma^{3} \Gamma^{4} \Gamma^{5}=1_{4} \otimes \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1_{4} & 0  \tag{41}\\
0 & -1_{4}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The Lorentz generators $M_{a b}$ of $S O(4,2)$ are then represented by

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{a b}=\frac{i}{4}\left[\Gamma_{a}, \Gamma_{b}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{a b} & 0 \\
0 & \bar{\sigma}_{a b}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \sigma_{a=\mu, b=\nu}=\sigma_{\mu \nu}, \quad \sigma_{\mu 4}=\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5}, \quad \sigma_{\mu 5}=\gamma_{\mu}, \quad \sigma_{54}=i \gamma_{5} \\
& \bar{\sigma}_{a=\mu, b=\nu}=\sigma_{\mu \nu}, \quad \bar{\sigma}_{\mu 4}=\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5}, \quad \bar{\sigma}_{\mu 5}=-\gamma_{\mu}, \quad \bar{\sigma}_{54}=-i \gamma_{5} . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

For the 8 component Dirac spinor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=\binom{\psi}{\phi} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

the invariant spinor inner-product is given by $\bar{\Psi} \Phi \equiv \Psi^{\dagger} A \Phi$ with a metric matrix

$$
A=i \Gamma^{0} \Gamma^{5} \Gamma_{7}=\gamma_{0} \otimes 1_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma^{0} & 0  \tag{44}\\
0 & \gamma^{0}
\end{array}\right), \quad A^{\dagger}=A=A^{-1}
$$

Indeed, $\Gamma_{a}$ are hermitian under this metric, $\Gamma_{a}^{\dagger} A=A \Gamma_{a}$, so are the $S O(4,2)$ generators $M_{a b}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-1} M_{a b}^{\dagger} A=M_{a b} \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \gamma^{0} \sigma_{a b}^{\dagger} \gamma^{0}=\sigma_{a b} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly the 4 -component Weyl spinor $\psi$ (or $\phi$ ) gives an irreducible representation of the Lorentz group $S O(4,2)$, for which the Lorentz group element $\Lambda=\exp \left(\frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{a b} M_{a b}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\frac{i}{4} \varepsilon^{a b} \sigma_{a b}\right) . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

These $4 \times 4$ matrices belong to $S U(2,2)$ since $\sigma_{a b}$ are traceless and hermitian under the metric $a \equiv i \gamma^{0} \gamma_{5}$ (which has two +1 and two -1 eigenvalues). Moreover, Since $6 \times 5 / 2=15$ $\sigma_{a b}$ exist and give a complete set for such traceless and hermitian $4 \times 4$ matrices, any $\operatorname{SU}(2,2)$ matrix is expressed in the form Eq. (46) (at least in the neighborhood of the identity) and so we have the isomorphism of the algebra $S O(4,2) \simeq S U(2,2)$.

With this isomorphism $S O(4,2) \simeq S U(2,2)$, we thus find a simple 4 dimensional representation for the 4D conformal group:

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{\mu \nu} & =\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu \nu}, & & \\
P_{\mu} & =\gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{R}}, & & \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right)\right) \\
K_{\mu} & =\gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{L}}, & & \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right)\right) \\
D & =\frac{1}{2} i \gamma_{5} . & & \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

We have seen that the 4 D conformal algebra $S O(4,2) \simeq S U(2,2)$ can be represented by traceless $4 \times 4$ matrices $M_{a b}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{a b}$ acting on a 4 -component spinor $\psi$. Then it is clear that it can be extended to the superconformal algebra $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ acting on a (4+1)component super-spinor $(\psi, \varphi)$ by adding another single component $\varphi$ (which should have
opposite statistics to the original component $\psi) . S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ is defined to be a supergroup consisting of $5 \times 5$ matrices (of unimodular superdeterminant) which leave the innerproduct

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}^{\dagger} \gamma_{0} \psi_{2}+\varphi_{1}^{\dagger} \varphi_{2} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

invariant. Clearly, there are 24 independent generators as a whole, which we can take, for instance,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{a b}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{a b} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & A=-\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1_{4} & 0 \\
0 & 4
\end{array}\right) \\
\Sigma_{\alpha}=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0_{4} & 0 \\
\delta_{\alpha}^{j} & 0
\end{array}\right), & \Sigma^{\alpha}=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0_{4} & \delta_{i}^{\alpha} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{49}
\end{array}
$$

Note that a diagonal (supertraceless) matrix $A$ appears here. This gives the defining representation of $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ algebra. From this we can easily find the following albebra written in 6 dimensional notation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\Sigma, M_{a b}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{a b} \Sigma, \quad\left[\bar{\Sigma}, M_{a b}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Sigma} \sigma^{a b}} \\
& {[\Sigma, A]=+\frac{3}{4} \Sigma, \quad[\bar{\Sigma}, A]=-\frac{3}{4} \bar{\Sigma}, \quad\left[M_{a b}, A\right]=0} \\
& \{\Sigma, \Sigma\}=\{\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{\Sigma}\}=0, \quad\{\Sigma, \bar{\Sigma}\}=\sigma^{a b} M_{a b}-4 A \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

(The $U(1)$ charge $A$ is defined to coincide with van Nieuwenhuizen and $A=\frac{3}{4} R$ for Sohnius's charge $R$.) where, in confirming the last relation $\{\Sigma, \bar{\Sigma}\}=\sigma^{a b} M_{a b}-4 A$, we need the completeness relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^{a b}\right)_{i}^{j}\left(\sigma_{a b}\right)_{k}^{l}+\delta_{i}{ }^{j} \delta_{k}^{l}\right]=\delta_{i}^{l} \delta_{k}^{j} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $\Sigma$ is an $S U(2,2) \simeq S O(4,2)$ spinor generator and $\bar{\Sigma}$ charge is its conjugate, so that they can be decomposed into two 2-component Weyl spinors in 4-dimension as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=\binom{Q_{\alpha}}{\bar{S}^{\dot{\alpha}}}, \quad \bar{\Sigma}=\Sigma^{\dagger} \gamma_{0}=\left(S^{\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, these $15+4+4+1=24$ matrices again span a complete set of $5 \times 5$ (supertraceless) matrices and give the whole generators of $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ superconformal algebra. The $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ group acts on the 5 component super spinor as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp i\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta^{a b} M_{a b}+\theta A+\bar{\varepsilon} \Sigma+\bar{\Sigma} \varepsilon\right)\binom{\psi}{\varphi} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $\psi$ is an $S U(2,2)$ spinor field and $\varphi$ a single component field and they should be fermion and boson (or vice versa), respectively, since the spinor transformation parameter $\varepsilon$ is Grassmann odd) with $\bar{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{\dagger} \gamma^{0}$, which leaves invariant the innerproduct with metric

$$
\alpha \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma^{0} &  \tag{54}\\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Indeed, the generators in the exponent

$$
\bar{\varepsilon} \Sigma+\bar{\Sigma} \varepsilon=2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \varepsilon  \tag{55}\\
\bar{\varepsilon} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

satisfies the hermitisity under this metric $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\bar{\varepsilon} \Sigma+\bar{\Sigma} \varepsilon)^{\dagger} \alpha=\alpha(\bar{\varepsilon} \Sigma+\bar{\Sigma} \varepsilon) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting Eq. (50) into 4 dimensional notation, we find the following algebra in addition to the $S O(4,2) \simeq S U(2,2)$ subalgebra:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\binom{Q}{S}, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\binom{Q}{S}, \quad\left[\binom{Q}{S}, A\right]=\frac{3}{4} \gamma_{5}\binom{Q}{-S}} \\
& {\left[Q, P_{\mu}\right]=0, \quad\left[Q, K_{\mu}\right]=\gamma_{\mu} S, \quad\left[\binom{Q}{S}, D\right]=i \frac{1}{2}\binom{Q}{-S}} \\
& {\left[S, P_{\mu}\right]=\gamma_{\mu} Q, \quad\left[S, K_{\mu}\right]=0, \quad, \quad\left[A, K_{\mu}\right]=[A, D]=0,} \\
& {\left[A, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=\left[A, P_{\mu}\right]=\left[A, \quad\{S, \bar{S}\}=2 \gamma^{\mu} K_{\mu},\right.} \\
& \{Q, \bar{Q}\}=2 \gamma^{\mu} P_{\mu}, \quad\left(\{Q, \bar{S}\}=-2 i D+\sigma^{\mu \nu} M_{\mu \nu}-4 \gamma_{5} A\right) . \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

## §2. Yang-Mills theory of superalgebra

Consider a superalgebra whose generators (devided by $i$ ), $X_{A}=T_{A} / i$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X_{A}, X_{B}\right\}=f_{A B}^{C} X_{C} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For definiteness, we here mean by $X_{A}$ always a certain matrix representation acting a supermultiplet matter field $\Phi$, for which the infinitesimal transformation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\varepsilon) \Phi=\varepsilon \Phi, \quad \varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon^{A} X_{A} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon^{A}$ are the transformation parameters. Introduce the gauge field by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mu}=h_{\mu}^{A} X_{A} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariant derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu} \Phi \equiv\left(\partial_{\mu}-h_{\mu}\right) \Phi \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined by a property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\varepsilon)\left(D_{\mu} \Phi\right)=\varepsilon\left(D_{\mu} \Phi\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\varepsilon) h_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon+\left[\varepsilon, h_{\mu}\right], \quad \rightarrow \quad \delta(\varepsilon) h_{\mu}^{A}=\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon^{A}+\varepsilon^{B} h_{\mu}^{C} f_{B C}^{A} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curvature tensor (field strength) is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{\mu \nu} \equiv\left[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}\right]=\partial_{\nu} h_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu} h_{\nu}-\left[h_{\nu}, h_{\mu}\right] \\
& \quad \rightarrow \quad R_{\mu \nu}^{A}=\partial_{\nu} h_{\mu}^{A}-\partial_{\mu} h_{\nu}^{A}-h_{\nu}^{B} h_{\mu}^{C} f_{B C}^{A} \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

The curvature tensor is covariant as usual:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\varepsilon) R_{\mu \nu}=\left[\varepsilon, R_{\mu \nu}\right], \quad \rightarrow \quad \delta(\varepsilon) R_{\mu \nu}^{A}=\varepsilon^{B} R_{\mu \nu}^{C} f_{B C}^{A} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the present definitions of the matter gauge transformation and the gauge field are opposite in the signs compared to the conventional Yang-Mills one:

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \delta ( \varepsilon ) \Phi = \varepsilon ^ { A } X _ { A } \Phi = - i \varepsilon ^ { A } T _ { A } \Phi }  \tag{66}\\
{ D _ { \mu } \Phi = ( \partial _ { \mu } - h _ { \mu } ^ { A } X _ { A } ) \Phi = ( \partial _ { \mu } + i h _ { \mu } ^ { A } T _ { A } ) \Phi , }
\end{array} \leftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta(\varepsilon) \varphi=+i \varepsilon^{A} T_{A} \varphi \\
D_{\mu} \varphi=\left(\partial_{\mu}-i A_{\mu}^{A} T_{A}\right) \varphi
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

By this reason, the signs of the curvatures $R_{\mu \nu}^{A}$ have been taken to be opposite to the conventional YM case, so that they coincide with the usual field strengths.

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[P_{\rho}, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=i\left(\eta_{\rho \mu} P_{\nu}-\eta_{\rho \nu} P_{\mu}\right)} \\
& {\left[P_{\mu}, D\right]=i P_{\mu}, \quad\{Q, \bar{Q}\}=2 \gamma^{\mu} P_{\mu},} \\
& {\left[M_{\mu \nu}, M_{\rho \sigma}\right]=-i\left(\eta_{\mu \rho} M_{\nu \sigma}-\eta_{\nu \rho} M_{\mu \sigma}-\eta_{\mu \sigma} M_{\nu \rho}+\eta_{\nu \sigma} M_{\mu \rho}\right)} \\
& {\left[P_{\mu}, K_{\nu}\right]=2 i\left(\eta_{\mu \nu} D-M_{\mu \nu}\right)} \\
& \{S, \bar{Q}\}=2 i D+\sigma^{\mu \nu} M_{\mu \nu}+4 \gamma_{5} A, \quad\left(\{Q, \bar{S}\}=-2 i D+\sigma^{\mu \nu} M_{\mu \nu}-4 \gamma_{5} A\right) . \\
& {\left[K_{\rho}, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=i\left(\eta_{\rho \mu} K_{\nu}-\eta_{\rho \nu} K_{\mu}\right),} \\
& {\left[K_{\mu}, D\right]=-i K_{\mu}, \quad\{S, \bar{S}\}=2 \gamma^{\mu} K_{\mu},} \\
& {\left[Q, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu \nu} Q, \quad\left(\left[\bar{Q}, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \bar{Q} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\right),} \\
& {[Q, D]=i \frac{1}{2} Q, \quad[Q, A]=\frac{3}{4} \gamma_{5} Q, \quad\left[S, P_{\mu}\right]=\gamma_{\mu} Q,} \\
& \left([\bar{Q}, D]=i \frac{1}{2} \bar{Q}, \quad[\bar{Q}, A]=\frac{3}{4} \bar{Q} \gamma_{5}, \quad\left[\bar{S}, P_{\mu}\right]=-\bar{Q} \gamma_{\mu}\right) \\
& {\left[S, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu \nu} S, \quad\left(\left[\bar{S}, M_{\mu \nu}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \bar{S} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\right),} \\
& {[S, D]=-i \frac{1}{2} S, \quad[S, A]=-\frac{3}{4} \gamma_{5} S, \quad\left[Q, K_{\mu}\right]=\gamma_{\mu} S,} \\
& \left([\bar{S}, D]=-i \frac{1}{2} \bar{S}, \quad[\bar{S}, A]=-\frac{3}{4} \bar{S} \gamma_{5}, \quad\left[\bar{Q}, K_{\mu}\right]=-\bar{S} \gamma_{\mu}\right) \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[h_{2}, h_{1}\right] \equiv\left[h_{1}^{B} X_{B}, h_{2}^{C} X_{C}\right]=h_{1}^{B} h_{2}^{C} f_{B C}^{A} X_{A} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right]=\left[\varepsilon_{1}^{B} X_{B}, \varepsilon_{2}^{C} X_{C}\right]=\varepsilon_{1}^{B} \varepsilon_{2}^{C}\left[X_{B}, X_{C}\right\}=\varepsilon_{1}^{B} \varepsilon_{2}^{C} f_{B C}^{A} X_{A} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \delta\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right) \Phi=\delta\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \varepsilon_{1} \cdot X \Phi=\varepsilon_{1} \cdot X \delta\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \Phi=\varepsilon_{1} \cdot X \varepsilon_{2} \cdot X \Phi \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{A} & =i^{-1}\left(P_{m}, Q, M_{m n}, D, A, S, K_{m}\right) \\
& \equiv\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{m}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{M}_{m n}, \boldsymbol{D}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{K}_{m}\right) \\
\varepsilon^{A} X_{A} & =\xi^{m} \boldsymbol{P}_{m}+\bar{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{Q}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{m n} \boldsymbol{M}_{m n}+\rho \boldsymbol{D}+\theta \boldsymbol{A}+\bar{\zeta} \boldsymbol{S}+\xi_{K}^{m} \boldsymbol{K}_{m} \\
h_{\mu}^{A} X_{A} & =e^{m}{ }_{\mu} \boldsymbol{P}_{m}+\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \boldsymbol{Q}+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu}^{m n} \boldsymbol{M}_{m n}+b_{\mu} \boldsymbol{D}+A_{\mu} \boldsymbol{A}+\bar{\varphi}_{\mu} \boldsymbol{S}+f_{\mu}^{m} \boldsymbol{K}_{m} . \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[e \cdot \boldsymbol{P}, \frac{1}{2} \omega \cdot \boldsymbol{M}+b \boldsymbol{D}\right]=\left(e_{n} \omega^{n m}+e^{m} b\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{m},} \\
& {\left[\bar{\psi}_{1} \boldsymbol{Q}, \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} \psi_{2}\right]=\left(-2 i \bar{\psi}_{1} \gamma^{m} \psi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{m},} \\
& {\left[\frac{1}{2} \omega_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}, \frac{1}{2} \omega_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{m l} \omega_{2 l}^{n}-\omega_{1}^{n l} \omega_{2 l}^{m}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{m n},} \\
& {[e \cdot \boldsymbol{P}, f \cdot \boldsymbol{K}]=2 e \cdot f \boldsymbol{D}-\frac{1}{2}\left[2\left(e^{m} f^{n}-e^{n} f^{m}\right)\right] \boldsymbol{M}_{m n},} \\
& {[\bar{\psi} \boldsymbol{Q}, \overline{\boldsymbol{S}} \varphi]=-2 \bar{\psi} \varphi \boldsymbol{D}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-2 i \bar{\psi} \sigma^{m n} \varphi\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{m n}+4 i \bar{\psi} \gamma_{5} \varphi \boldsymbol{A},} \\
& \left([\bar{\varphi} \boldsymbol{S}, \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} \psi]=+2 \bar{\varphi} \psi \boldsymbol{D}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-2 i \bar{\varphi} \sigma^{m n} \psi\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{m n}-4 i \bar{\varphi} \gamma_{5} \psi \boldsymbol{A}\right), \\
& {\left[f \cdot \boldsymbol{K}, \frac{1}{2} \omega \cdot \boldsymbol{M}+b \boldsymbol{D}\right]=\left(f_{n} \omega^{n m}-f^{m} b\right) \boldsymbol{K}_{m},} \\
& {\left[\bar{\varphi}_{1} \boldsymbol{S}, \overline{\boldsymbol{S}} \varphi_{2}\right]=\left(-2 i \bar{\varphi}_{1} \gamma^{m} \varphi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{K}_{m},} \\
& {\left[\bar{\psi} \boldsymbol{Q}, \frac{1}{2} \omega \cdot \boldsymbol{M}+b \boldsymbol{D}+A \boldsymbol{A}\right]=\bar{\psi}\left(-\frac{i}{4} \sigma \cdot \omega+\frac{1}{2} b-\frac{3}{4} i \gamma_{5} A\right) \boldsymbol{Q},} \\
& \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \omega \cdot \boldsymbol{M}+b \boldsymbol{D}+A \boldsymbol{A}, \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} \psi\right]=\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}\left(-\frac{i}{4} \sigma \cdot \omega-\frac{1}{2} b+\frac{3}{4} i \gamma_{5} A\right) \psi\right), \\
& {[\bar{\varphi} \boldsymbol{S}, e \cdot \boldsymbol{P}]=-i \bar{\varphi} \gamma_{m} e^{m} \boldsymbol{Q}, \quad\left([e \cdot \boldsymbol{P}, \overline{\boldsymbol{S}} \varphi]=-i \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} \gamma_{m} e^{m} \varphi\right),} \\
& {\left[\bar{\varphi} \boldsymbol{S}, \frac{1}{2} \omega \cdot \boldsymbol{M}+b \boldsymbol{D}+A \boldsymbol{A}\right]=\bar{\varphi}\left(-\frac{i}{4} \sigma \cdot \omega-\frac{1}{2} b+\frac{3}{4} i \gamma_{5} A\right) \boldsymbol{S},} \\
& \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \omega \cdot \boldsymbol{M}+b \boldsymbol{D}+A \boldsymbol{A}, \overline{\boldsymbol{S}} \varphi\right]=\overline{\boldsymbol{S}}\left(-\frac{i}{4} \sigma \cdot \omega+\frac{1}{2} b-\frac{3}{4} i \gamma_{5} A\right) \varphi\right), \\
& {[\bar{\psi} \boldsymbol{Q}, f \cdot \boldsymbol{K}]=-i \bar{\psi} \gamma_{m} f^{m} \boldsymbol{S}, \quad\left([f \cdot \boldsymbol{K}, \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} \psi]=-i \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { S }} \gamma_{m} f^{m} \psi\right),} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Curvatures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\mu \nu}^{m}(P)=2 \partial_{\nu} e_{\mu}^{m}-2 \omega_{\nu}^{m n} e_{n \mu}+2 b_{\nu} e_{\mu}^{m}+2 i \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma^{m} \psi_{\mu} \\
& R_{\mu \nu}^{m n}(M)=2 \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu}^{m n}-2{\omega_{\nu}^{m c} \omega_{\mu c}^{n}+4\left(f_{\nu}^{m} e_{\mu}^{n}-f_{\nu}^{n} e_{\mu}^{m}\right)+4 i \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \sigma^{m n} \varphi_{\mu}}_{R_{\mu \nu}(D)=2 \partial_{\nu} b_{\mu}+4 f_{\nu}^{n} e_{n \mu}+4 \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \varphi_{\mu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{\mu \nu}(A)=2 \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}-8 i \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma_{5} \varphi_{\mu} \\
& R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{m}(K)=2 \partial_{\nu} f_{\mu}^{m}-2 \omega_{\nu}^{m n} f_{n \mu}-2 b_{\nu} f_{\mu}^{m}+2 i \bar{\varphi}_{\nu} \gamma^{m} \varphi_{\mu}, \\
& \bar{R}_{\mu \nu}(Q)=2 D_{\nu}^{\omega} \bar{\psi}_{\mu}+b_{\nu} \bar{\psi}_{\mu}-\frac{3}{2} i A_{\nu} \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{5}+2 i \bar{\varphi}_{\nu} \gamma_{m} e^{m}, \\
& \bar{R}_{\mu \nu}(S)=2 D_{\nu}^{\omega} \bar{\varphi}_{\mu}-b_{\nu} \bar{\varphi}_{\mu}+\frac{3}{2} i A_{\nu} \bar{\varphi}_{\mu} \gamma_{5}+2 i \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma_{m} f_{\mu}^{m}, \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\nu}^{\omega} \bar{\psi}_{\mu} & \equiv \partial_{\nu} \bar{\psi}_{\mu}-\frac{i}{4} \omega_{\nu}^{m n} \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \sigma_{m n}, \\
D_{\nu}^{\omega} \psi_{\mu} & \equiv \partial_{\nu} \psi_{\mu}+\frac{i}{4} \omega_{\nu}^{m n} \sigma_{m n} \psi_{\mu}, \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

（and the same for $\varphi_{\mu}$ ，）where antisymmetrization w．r．t．$\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ like

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\mu \nu}(A) & =\left(2 \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}-8 i \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma_{5} \varphi_{\mu}\right)_{\text {anti-symm }} \\
& =\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-4 i\left(\bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma_{5} \varphi_{\mu}-\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \varphi_{\nu}\right) \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

変換則：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta e^{m}{ }_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} \xi^{m}+\lambda^{m l} e_{l \mu}-\omega_{\mu}^{m n} \xi_{n}-\rho e^{m}{ }_{\mu}+b_{\mu} \xi^{m}-2 i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma^{m} \psi_{\mu}, \\
& \delta \omega_{\mu}^{m n}=\partial_{\mu} \lambda^{m n}+2 \lambda^{m l} \omega_{\mu l}{ }^{n}-2\left(\xi_{K}^{m} e^{n}{ }_{\mu}-\xi_{K}^{n} e^{m}\right)+2\left(f_{\mu}^{m} \xi^{n}-f_{\mu}^{n} \xi^{m}\right) \\
& -2 i \bar{\varepsilon} \sigma^{m n} \varphi_{\mu}-2 i \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \zeta, \\
& \delta b_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} \rho-2 \xi_{K}^{n} e_{n \mu}+2 f_{\mu}^{n} \xi_{n}-2 \bar{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\mu}+2 \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \zeta \\
& \delta A_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} \theta+4 i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_{5} \varphi_{\mu}-4 i \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \zeta \\
& \delta f_{\mu \nu}^{m}=\partial_{\mu} \xi_{K}^{m}+\lambda^{m n} f_{n \mu}-\omega_{\mu}^{m n} \xi_{K n}+\rho f_{\mu}^{m}-b_{\mu} \xi_{K}^{m}-2 i \bar{\zeta} \gamma^{m} \varphi_{\mu}, \\
& \delta \bar{\psi}_{\mu}=D_{\mu}^{\omega} \bar{\varepsilon}+\frac{i}{4} \lambda^{m n} \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \sigma_{m n}-\frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\psi}_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} b_{\mu} \bar{\varepsilon}+\frac{3}{4} i \theta \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{5}-\frac{3}{4} i A_{\mu} \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_{5} \\
& \left.-i \bar{\zeta} \gamma_{m} e^{m}{ }_{\mu}+i \bar{\varphi}_{\mu} \gamma_{m} \xi^{m}\right), \\
& \left(\delta \psi_{\mu}=D_{\mu}^{\omega} \varepsilon-\frac{i}{4} \lambda^{m n} \sigma_{m n} \psi_{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} \rho \psi_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} b_{\mu} \varepsilon+\frac{3}{4} i \theta \gamma_{5} \psi_{\mu}-\frac{3}{4} i A_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon\right. \\
& \left.+i e^{m}{ }_{\mu} \gamma_{m} \zeta-i \xi^{m} \gamma_{m} \varphi_{\mu}\right), \\
& \delta \bar{\varphi}_{\mu}=D_{\mu}^{\omega} \bar{\zeta}+\frac{i}{4} \lambda^{m n} \bar{\varphi}_{\mu} \sigma_{m n}+\frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\varphi}_{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} b_{\mu} \bar{\zeta}-\frac{3}{4} i \theta \bar{\varphi}_{\mu} \gamma_{5}+\frac{3}{4} i A_{\mu} \bar{\zeta} \gamma_{5} \\
& -i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_{m} f_{\mu}^{m}+i \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{m} \xi_{K}^{m}, \\
& \left(\delta \varphi_{\mu}=D_{\mu}^{\omega} \zeta-\frac{i}{4} \lambda^{m n} \sigma_{m n} \varphi_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} \rho \varphi_{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} b_{\mu} \zeta-\frac{3}{4} i \theta \varphi_{\mu} \gamma_{5}+\frac{3}{4} i A_{\mu} \zeta \gamma_{5}\right. \\
& \left.+i f_{\mu}^{m} \gamma_{m} \varepsilon-i \xi_{K}^{m} \gamma_{m} \psi_{\mu}\right), \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

For inverse vierbein，

$$
\delta e_{m}^{\mu}=-e_{n}^{\mu} e_{m}^{\nu}\left(\delta e_{\nu}^{n}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-e_{n}{ }^{\mu} \partial_{m} \xi^{n}-e_{l}{ }^{\mu} \lambda^{l}{ }_{m}+\omega_{m}{ }^{\mu l} \xi_{l}+\rho e_{m}{ }^{\mu}-b_{m} \xi^{\mu}+2 i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{m} . \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Curvature の group 変換則 $\delta R_{\mu \nu}^{A}$ は，上の gauge場の変換則 $\delta h_{\mu}^{A}$ で，$\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon^{A}$ を捨て，全ての $h_{\mu}^{B}$ を $R_{\mu \nu}^{B}$ に置き換えれば良い。
§3．Deformation of the $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ algebra

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi^{\lambda}\right) h_{\mu}^{A} & =\partial_{\mu} \xi^{\lambda} \cdot h_{\lambda}^{A}+\xi^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} h_{\mu}^{A} \\
& =D_{\mu}\left(\xi^{\lambda} \cdot h_{\lambda}^{A}\right)+\xi^{\lambda}\left(\partial_{\lambda} h_{\mu}^{A}-D_{\mu} h_{\lambda}^{A}\right) \\
& =\left[D_{\mu}(\xi \cdot h)\right]^{A}+\xi^{\lambda} R_{\mu \nu}^{A} \\
& =\delta(\xi \cdot h) h_{\mu}^{A}+\xi^{\lambda} R_{\mu \nu}^{A}, \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

The last equality is because

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta(\varepsilon) h_{\mu}^{A} & =\left(D_{\mu} \varepsilon\right)^{A} \\
\delta(\xi \cdot h) h_{\mu}^{A} & =\partial_{\mu}\left(\xi \cdot h^{A}\right)+(\xi \cdot h)^{B} h_{\mu}^{C} f_{B C}{ }^{A} . \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta(\xi \cdot h) & =\delta_{P}\left(\xi^{m}\right)+\sum_{A^{\prime}(\neq P)} \delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\xi \cdot h^{A^{\prime}}\right), \\
\xi^{m} & =\xi^{\lambda} e_{\lambda}^{m}, \\
\xi \cdot h^{A^{\prime}} & =\xi^{\lambda} h_{\lambda}^{A^{\prime}}=\xi^{m} h_{m}^{A^{\prime}} \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore，we have a key relation：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{P}\left(\xi^{m}\right) h_{\mu}^{A}=\underbrace{\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi^{\lambda}\right) h_{\mu}^{A}-\sum_{B^{\prime}} \delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi \cdot h^{B^{\prime}}\right) h_{\mu}^{A}}_{\equiv \delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi^{m}\right)}-\xi^{\lambda} R_{\mu \lambda}^{A} . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now，we deform the $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ algebra by making a replacement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{P}\left(\xi^{m}\right) \rightarrow \delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi^{m}\right)=\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi^{\lambda}\right)-\sum_{B^{\prime}} \delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi \cdot h^{B^{\prime}}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we note that，among the commutators $\left[\delta_{A^{\prime}}, \delta_{B^{\prime}}\right]$ for $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime} \neq P$ ，the only one yielding $\delta_{P}$ in the RHS is $\left[\delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right]=\delta_{P}\left(-2 i \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \gamma^{m} \varepsilon_{2}\right)$ ．So we require first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right]=\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi^{m}\right), \quad \text { with } \quad \xi^{m} \equiv-2 i \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \gamma^{m} \varepsilon_{2} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on any independent gauge fields，and find constraints necessary for that．

## 3.1. $O n e^{m}{ }_{\mu}$

On $e^{m}{ }_{\mu}$, we originary have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right] e_{\mu}^{m} } & =\delta_{P}\left(\xi^{m}\right) e_{\mu}^{m}, \\
& =\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi^{m}\right) e_{\mu}^{m}-\xi^{\lambda} R_{\mu \lambda}{ }^{m}(P) . \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

So it is necessary and sufficient to impose the constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{m}(P)=2 \partial_{\nu} e^{m}-2 \omega_{\nu}^{m n} e_{n \mu}+2 b_{\nu} e^{m}+2 i \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma^{m} \psi_{\mu} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be solved by the $M$ gauge field $\omega_{\mu}{ }^{m n}$ and yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mu}^{m n}=\omega_{\mu}^{m n}(e, \psi, b), \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\omega_{\mu}{ }^{m n}$ is no longer an independent gauge field. However, since the constraint $R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{m}(P)=$ 0 is invariant under $M_{m n}, D, A, S, K_{m}, \omega_{\mu}^{m n}$ still keeps the same transformation law as the original group transformation under $M_{m n}, D, A, S, K_{m}$ transformations. On the other hand, the constraint $R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{m}(P)=0$ is not invariant under $Q$ transformation, the $Q$ transformation of $\omega_{\mu}^{m n}$ becomes different from the original group transformation law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{Q}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\mu}^{m n}(e . \psi, b)=\delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\mu}^{m n}+\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\mu}^{m n} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difference can be easily found by noting that the constraint $R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{m}(P)=0$ is of course an identity and $Q$-invariant if $\omega_{\mu}^{m n}$ there is replaced by $\omega_{\mu}{ }^{m n}(e, \psi, b)$, so that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}(\varepsilon) R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{m}(P)+\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\mu}{ }^{m}-\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\nu}{ }^{m}{ }_{\mu} \\
& =-2 i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma^{m} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)+\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\mu}{ }^{m}{ }_{\nu}-\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\nu}{ }^{m}{ }_{\mu} . \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

(Note that we are anticipating that $e_{\mu}^{m}, \psi_{\mu}, b_{\mu}$ will remain to be independent gauge fields and receive no changes in the $Q$-transformation laws.) Solving this (in a similar way to solve Christoffel symbol in terms of $g_{\mu \nu}$ ), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\mu m n}=i \bar{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)+\gamma_{m} R_{\mu n}(Q)-\gamma_{n} R_{\mu m}(Q)\right) \equiv i \bar{\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_{\mu m n}(Q) \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.2. On $\psi_{\mu}$

Noting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{Q}(\varepsilon) \psi_{\mu}=\left(\partial_{\mu}+\frac{i}{4} \omega_{\mu}^{m n} \sigma_{m n}+\frac{1}{2} b_{\mu}-\frac{3}{4} i \gamma_{5} A_{\mu}\right) \varepsilon \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\omega_{\mu}{ }^{m n}$ now receives an extra $Q$ transformation $\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ in addition to the original group transformation $\delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}(\varepsilon)$, we find that the $\left[\delta_{Q}, \delta_{Q}\right]$ commutator on $\psi_{\mu}$ now reads

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right] \psi_{\mu} } & =\left[\delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right] \psi_{\mu}+\frac{i}{4}\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \omega_{\mu} \cdot \sigma \varepsilon_{1}-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right) \\
& =\delta_{\widetilde{P}}(\xi) \psi_{\mu}-\xi^{m} R_{\mu m}(Q)+\frac{i}{4}\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \omega_{\mu} \cdot \sigma \varepsilon_{1}-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right) \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

So we see that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{4}\left(\left(i \bar{\varepsilon}_{2} \mathcal{R}_{\mu m n}(Q)\right) \sigma^{m n} \varepsilon_{1}-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right)=-2 i\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \gamma^{m} \varepsilon_{2}\right) R_{\mu m}(Q) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

is necessary and sufficient for the $\left[\delta_{Q}, \delta_{Q}\right]$ algebra Eq. (83) hold on $\psi_{\mu}$. Applying Fierz, and noting then that only $\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \sigma^{\rho \sigma} \varepsilon_{2}\right)$ terms appear by the antisymmetry under $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, we find the LHS to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{2}{4 \cdot 4}\left[\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon_{2}\right) \sigma^{m n} \gamma_{\rho} \mathcal{R}_{\mu m n}(Q)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \sigma^{\rho \sigma} \varepsilon_{2}\right) \sigma^{m n} \sigma_{\rho \sigma} \mathcal{R}_{\mu m n}(Q)\right] \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the condition is rewritten into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma^{m n} \gamma_{\rho} \mathcal{R}_{\mu m n}(Q)=16 i R_{\mu \rho}(Q) \\
& \sigma^{m n} \sigma_{\rho \sigma} \mathcal{R}_{\mu m n}(Q)=0 \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\gamma^{\rho}$ and using $\gamma^{\rho} \sigma^{m n} \gamma_{\rho}=0$, we immediately find a constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\rho} R_{\mu \rho}(Q)=0 . \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once this holds, various identities for $R_{\mu \nu}(Q)$ follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon^{m n r s} \gamma_{n} R_{r s}(Q)=0  \tag{96}\\
& \mathcal{R}_{\mu m n}(Q)=2 \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)  \tag{97}\\
& \sigma^{m n} \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)=8 i R_{\mu \rho}(Q)  \tag{98}\\
& \sigma^{m n} \sigma_{\rho \sigma} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)=0 \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof)

1. $\varepsilon^{m n r s} \gamma_{n} \propto \gamma_{5} \gamma^{m r s}=\gamma_{5}\left[\gamma^{m} \gamma^{r s}-\left(\eta^{m r} \gamma^{s}-\eta^{m s} \gamma^{r}\right)\right]$
2. $\quad \mathcal{R}_{\mu m n}(Q)=\gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)+\gamma_{m} R_{\mu n}(Q)-\gamma_{n} R_{\mu m}(Q)$

$$
=2 \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)=2 \gamma_{m} R_{\mu n}(Q) \quad(\text { by identity } 1 .)
$$

3. $\quad \sigma^{m n} \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)=\left(-i \gamma^{n} \gamma^{m}\right) \gamma_{\rho} \cdot \gamma_{m} R_{n \mu}(Q)=-i \gamma^{n}\left(-2 \gamma_{\rho}\right) R_{n \mu}(Q)$

$$
=+2 i \gamma^{n}\left(\gamma_{n}\right) R_{\mu \rho}(Q)=+8 i R_{\mu \rho}(Q)
$$

4. $\quad \sigma_{\rho \sigma} \gamma_{\mu}=$ linear combi. of $\gamma_{\tau}(\tau=\rho, \sigma, \mu)$ and $\gamma_{5} \gamma_{\tau}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { while } \sigma^{m n} \gamma \cdot R_{m n}(Q)=0 \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by the identities 2. - 4., both the conditions in Eq. (94) are seen to be satisfied, so that the constraint $\gamma^{\mu} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)=0$ in Eq. (95) alone is necessary and sufficient condition for
the $\left[\delta_{Q}, \delta_{Q}\right]$ algebra Eq. (83) hold on $\psi_{\mu}$. By the identity 2 ., the extra $Q$ transformation for $\omega_{\mu}{ }^{m n}$ now takes a simple form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\mu m n}=2 i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)\left(=-2 i \bar{R}_{m n}(Q) \gamma_{\mu} \varepsilon\right) . \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constraint (95), $\gamma^{\mu} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)=0$, is solved by the $S$-gauge field $\varphi_{\mu}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \gamma^{\mu} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)=\gamma^{\mu}\left[\left(\partial_{\nu}+\frac{i}{4} \omega_{\nu} \cdot \sigma+\frac{1}{2} b_{\nu}-\frac{3}{4} i \gamma_{5} A_{\nu}\right) \psi_{\mu}-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right]-i \gamma^{\mu}\left(\gamma_{\mu} \varphi_{\nu}-\gamma_{\nu} \varphi_{\mu}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \quad \varphi_{\mu}=\varphi_{\mu}(e, \psi, b, A) . \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

So $\varphi_{\mu}$ now become dependent gauge field. Since the constraint $\gamma^{\mu} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)=0$ is $M_{m n}, D, A, S, K_{r}$ invariant but not invariant under $Q$, the $Q$-transformation of $\varphi_{\mu}$ is modified:

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \delta_{Q}(\varepsilon)\left(\gamma^{\mu} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)\right)=\gamma^{\mu} \delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}(\varepsilon) R_{\mu \nu}(Q)+\left(\delta_{Q}(\varepsilon) e_{m}^{\mu}\right) \gamma^{m} R_{\mu \nu}(Q) \\
& +\frac{i}{4} \gamma^{\mu}\left[\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\nu}^{m n}\right) \sigma_{m n} \psi_{\mu}-(\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)\right]-i\left(4 \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}-\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{\nu}\right) \delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \varphi_{\mu} \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{Q}(\varepsilon) e_{m}^{\mu} & =2 i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{m} \\
\delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}(\varepsilon) R_{\mu \nu}(Q) & =\left(\frac{i}{4} R_{\mu \nu}(M) \cdot \sigma+\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu}(D)-\frac{3}{4} i \gamma_{5} R_{\mu \nu}(A)\right) \varepsilon \tag{104}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Eq. (101), we find $(\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$ term $\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\mu}{ }^{m n}\right) \gamma^{\mu} \sigma_{m n} \psi_{\nu}$ vanishes by the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \forall \eta\left(\forall \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)\right)=0 . \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)\right)\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \psi_{\nu}\right)=-\frac{1}{4}\left[\sum_{A}\left(\bar{\varepsilon} \Gamma^{A} \psi_{\nu}\right)\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \Gamma_{A} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)\right)\right] \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas $\Gamma_{A}=1, \gamma_{5}$ terms vanish by $\gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \gamma_{\mu}=0, \Gamma_{A}=\gamma_{\rho}, \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{5}$ terms vanish by the identity 3. in Eq. (99) since $\gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)=\gamma^{\mu} \cdot 8 i R_{\mu \rho}(Q)=0$, and $\Gamma_{A}=\sigma_{\rho \sigma}$ term vanish by the identity 4 .

By Fierzing similarly, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{4}\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma_{m n} \psi_{\mu}\right)\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\nu}^{m n}\right)=\frac{i}{4}\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma_{m n} \psi_{\mu}\right)\left(i \bar{\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_{\nu m n}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{4}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \gamma^{\mu} \phi\right)\left(\bar{\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_{\nu m n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{16}\left[\sum_{A}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \Gamma_{A} \mathcal{R}_{\nu m n}\right)\left(\bar{\varepsilon} \Gamma^{A} \gamma^{\mu} \phi\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{16}[(\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \underbrace{\sigma^{m n} \gamma_{\rho} \mathcal{R}_{\nu m n}})\left(\bar{\varepsilon} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\mu} \phi\right)+(\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \underbrace{\sigma^{m n} \gamma_{\rho} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{R}_{\nu m n}}_{-16 i \gamma_{5} R_{\nu \rho}(Q)})\left(\bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\mu} \phi\right)] \\
& =i\left[\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mu} R_{\nu \rho}(Q)\right)-\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{5} R_{\nu \rho}(Q)\right)\right] \tag{107}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)\right)\left(\delta_{Q}(\varepsilon) e_{m}^{\mu}\right)=\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)\right)\left(2 i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{m}\right)=-2 i\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{m} \gamma^{\mu} \varepsilon\right) \\
& =-2 i\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left[\sum_{A}\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \Gamma^{A} \varepsilon\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{m} \gamma^{\mu} \Gamma_{A} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)\right)\right] \\
& \begin{array}{c}
=\frac{i}{2}[\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon\right)(\bar{\psi}_{m} \underbrace{\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{\rho}} R_{\mu \nu}(Q))+\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon\right)(\bar{\psi}_{m} \underbrace{\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{\rho}}_{\rightarrow 2 \delta_{\rho}^{\mu}} \gamma_{5} R_{\mu \nu}(Q)) \\
\rightarrow\left[\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma_{\rho}\right]=2 \delta_{\rho}^{\mu}
\end{array} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \sigma^{a b} \varepsilon\right)(\bar{\psi}_{m} \underbrace{\gamma^{\mu} \sigma_{a b}} \quad R_{\mu \nu}(Q))] \\
& {\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \sigma_{a b}\right]=2 i\left(\delta_{a}^{\mu} \gamma_{b}-\delta_{b}^{\mu} \gamma_{a}\right)} \\
& =i\left[\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{m} R_{\rho \nu}(Q)\right)+\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \gamma_{5} \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{m} \gamma_{5} R_{\rho \nu}(Q)\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \sigma^{a b} \varepsilon\right) \bar{\psi}_{m}(\underbrace{\left(\gamma_{b} R_{a \nu}(Q)-\gamma_{a} R_{b \nu}(Q)\right.}_{\gamma_{\nu} R_{a b}(Q)})] \\
& =-i\left[\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{m} R_{\nu \rho}(Q)\right)-\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{m} \gamma_{5} R_{\nu \rho}(Q)\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{m} \sigma^{a b} \varepsilon\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{m} \gamma_{\nu} R_{a b}(Q)\right) \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

The first two terms just cancel the $\frac{i}{4}\left(\bar{\phi} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma_{m n} \psi_{\mu}\right)\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \omega_{\nu}{ }^{m n}\right)$ in Eq. (107), we thus find Eq. (103) leads to

$$
\begin{gather*}
-i\left(4 \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}-\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{\nu}\right) \delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \varphi_{\mu}=\frac{i}{4} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \varepsilon R_{\mu \nu m n}(M)+\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \varepsilon R_{\mu \nu}(D)-\frac{3}{4} i \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon R_{\mu \nu}(A) \\
-\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \varepsilon\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} R_{m n}(Q)\right) \tag{109}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the last term, the factor $\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} R_{m n}(Q)$ can be replaced by $\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} R_{m n}(Q)-\bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)$ owing to the identity Eq. (105), $\gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \forall \eta\left(\forall \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_{\mu} R_{m n}(Q)\right)=0$. Then, defining covariantized $R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{m n}(M)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu \nu}^{\text {cov. } m n}(M) \equiv R_{\mu \nu}^{m n}(M)+2 i\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} R^{m n}(Q)-\bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} R^{m n}(Q)\right) \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last term can be absorbed into the $R_{\mu \nu m n}(M)$ term and we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \varphi_{\mu} & =-\frac{i}{2}\left(\delta_{\mu}^{\nu}-\frac{1}{6} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}\right) \mathcal{R}_{\nu} \varepsilon=-\frac{i}{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mu}-\frac{1}{6} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma \cdot \mathcal{R}\right) \varepsilon \\
\mathcal{R}_{\mu} & \equiv \frac{i}{4} \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{m n} \varepsilon R_{\mu \nu m n}^{\text {cov. }}(M)+\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \varepsilon R_{\mu \nu}(D)-\frac{3}{4} i \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon R_{\mu \nu}(A) . \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

This quantity $\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mu}-\frac{1}{6} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma \cdot \mathcal{R}\right) \varepsilon$ can be much simplified if we use the Bianchi identity.
The Bianchi identity is

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\left[D_{\nu}, R_{\rho \sigma}\right]=\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\left(\partial_{\nu} R_{\rho \sigma}-\left[h_{\mu}, R_{\rho \sigma}\right]\right) . \\
& \rightarrow \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\left(\partial_{\nu} R_{\rho \sigma}^{A}-h_{\mu}^{B} R_{\rho \sigma}^{C} f_{B C}^{A}\right)=0 . \tag{112}
\end{align*}
$$

This reads for $A=P_{m}$

$$
\begin{align*}
0=\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} & \left(\partial_{\nu} R_{\rho \sigma}{ }^{m}(P)-\omega_{\nu}^{m}{ }_{n} R_{\rho \sigma}{ }^{n}(P)+e_{n \nu} R_{\rho \sigma}{ }^{m n}(M)\right. \\
& \left.+b_{\nu} R_{\rho \sigma}{ }^{m}(P)-e^{m}{ }_{\nu} R_{\rho \sigma}(D)+2 i \bar{\psi}_{\nu} \gamma^{m} R_{\rho \sigma}(Q)\right) . \tag{113}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, the last term can be absorbed into the $R_{\rho \sigma}^{\text {cov. } m n}(M)$ term, and using the constraint $R_{\rho \sigma}{ }^{m}(P)=0$, we have an identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\left(R_{\rho \sigma \nu}^{\text {cov. } m}(M)+e_{\nu}^{m} R_{\rho \sigma}(D)\right)=0 \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} R_{\rho \sigma \nu}^{\text {cov. } m}(M)=-2 \widetilde{R}^{\mu \alpha}(D) \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

where tilde is generally defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{R}^{\mu \nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} R_{\rho \sigma}, \quad\left(\text { note that } \quad \tilde{\widetilde{R}}^{\mu \nu}=-R^{\mu \nu}\right) \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing Eq. (114) into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{r s n m}^{\mathrm{cov}}(M)+(\operatorname{cyclic} \text { in } r s n)+R_{r s}(D) \eta_{m n}+(\operatorname{cyclic} \text { in } r s n)=0 \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

and adding the same form term with the indices $s, m$ interchanged, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{[r s, n m]}^{\mathrm{cov},}(M)+R_{[r m, n s]}^{\mathrm{cov}}(M)=-(R(D) \eta)_{r s m n+s n m r+r m s n+m n s r+2 n r m s} \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{[r s, n m]}^{\mathrm{cov},}(M) \equiv R_{r s n m}^{\text {cov. }}(M)-R_{n m r s}^{\text {cov. }}(M) \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then adding to Eq. (118) the same identity with indices $r, s, n$ replaced by $n, r, s$ and subtracting the one replaced by by $s, n, r$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{[r s, m n]}^{\mathrm{cov} .}(M)=\eta_{r m} R_{s n}(D)-\eta_{s m} R_{r n}(D)-\eta_{r n} R_{s m}(D)+\eta_{s n} R_{r m}(D) \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting by $\varepsilon^{\text {arsm }}$ and using the identity Eq. (115), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{m}^{a b c} R_{n a b c}^{\mathrm{cov}}(M)=-2 \widetilde{R}_{m n}(D) \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contraction with $\eta^{r n}$ gives yet another identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R_{\mu \nu}^{\text {cov. }}(M)\right|_{\text {antisymm. part }} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{\mu \nu}^{\text {cov. }}(M)-R_{\nu \mu}^{\text {cov. }}(M)\right)=-R_{\mu \nu}(D), \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu \nu}^{\text {cov. }}(M) \equiv R_{\mu \rho}^{\text {cov. } m n}(M) e_{m}{ }^{\rho} e_{n \nu} \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these identities Eqs. (115), (121) and (122), as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\mu} \sigma_{m n}=i\left(\delta_{m}^{\mu} \gamma_{n}-\delta_{n}^{\mu} \gamma_{m}\right)-\varepsilon_{m n r}^{\mu} \gamma^{r} \gamma_{5} \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can compute ( $\left.\mathcal{R}_{\mu}-\frac{1}{6} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma \cdot \mathcal{R}\right) \varepsilon$ into the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mu}-\frac{1}{6} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma \cdot \mathcal{R}\right) \varepsilon=\gamma^{m} \varepsilon & \left(-\frac{1}{12} e_{m \mu} R^{\text {cov. }}(M)_{\rho}^{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}^{\text {cov. }}(M)+\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(A)\right) \\
& +i \gamma^{m} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}(A) \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the extra $Q$ transformation $\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \varphi_{\mu}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \varphi_{\mu}=- & \frac{i}{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mu}-\frac{1}{6} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma \cdot \mathcal{R}\right) \varepsilon \\
=- & \frac{i}{2} \\
& {\left[\gamma^{m} \varepsilon\left(-\frac{1}{12} e_{m \mu} R^{\text {cov. }}(M)_{\rho}^{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}^{\text {cov. }}(M)+\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(A)\right)\right.}  \tag{126}\\
& \left.+i \gamma^{m} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}(A)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

3.3. On $A_{\mu}$ and $b_{\mu}$

Noting

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{Q}(\varepsilon) A_{\mu} & =4 i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_{5} \varphi_{\mu} \\
\delta_{Q}(\varepsilon) b_{\mu} & =-2 \bar{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\mu} \tag{127}
\end{align*}
$$

and that $\varphi_{\mu}$ now receives an extra $Q$ transformation $\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$ in addition to the original group transformation $\delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}(\varepsilon)$, we find that the $\left[\delta_{Q}, \delta_{Q}\right]$ commutator on $A_{\mu}$ and $b_{\mu}$ now reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right] A_{\mu}=} {\left[\delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right] A_{\mu}+4 i\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \gamma_{5}\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \varphi_{\mu}\right)-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right) } \\
&= \delta_{\widetilde{P}}(\xi) A_{\mu}-\xi^{m} R_{\mu m}(A)+4 i\left(\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right)\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \gamma_{5} i \gamma^{m} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon_{2}\right) \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}(A)-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right) \\
&= \delta_{\widetilde{P}}(\xi) A_{\mu}-\xi^{m} R_{\mu m}(A)+4 i\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) \xi^{m} \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}(A)=\delta_{\widetilde{P}}(\xi) A_{\mu} \quad \text { OK! } \\
& {\left[\delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right] b_{\mu}=} {\left[\delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}^{\text {group }}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right] b_{\mu}-2\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \varphi_{\mu}\right)-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right) } \\
&= \delta_{\widetilde{P}}(\xi) b_{\mu}-\xi^{m} R_{\mu m}(D) \\
& \quad \quad-2\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) \times 2\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \gamma^{m} \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{12} e_{m \mu} R^{\text {cov. }}(M)_{\rho}^{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}^{\text {cov. }}(M)+\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(A)\right) \\
&= \delta_{\widetilde{P}}(\xi) b_{\mu}-\xi^{m} R_{\mu m}(D) \\
& \quad-\xi^{m}\left(-\frac{1}{12} e_{m \mu} R^{\text {cov. }}(M)_{\rho}^{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}^{\text {cov. }}(M)+\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(A)\right) \tag{128}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the $\left[\delta_{Q}, \delta_{Q}\right]$ commutator on $A_{\mu}$ requires no constraint but that on $b_{\mu}$ requires a condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{12} e_{m \mu} R^{\mathrm{cov} .}(M)_{\rho}^{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}^{\mathrm{cov}}(M)+\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(A)=-R_{\mu m}(D) \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads, by separating the symmetric and antisymmetric parts and using Eq. (122), to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.R_{\mu m}^{\text {cov. }}(M)\right|_{\text {symm. part }}=0 \\
& -\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}(D)+\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(A)=-R_{\mu m}(D) \tag{130}
\end{align*}
$$

The latter condition is rewritten into

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu m}(D)=-\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(A) \quad \text { or } \rightarrow \quad \widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(D)=+\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}(A) \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

If Eq. (122) is used, these two conditions can be rewritten into a constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\nu \mu}^{\mathrm{cov} .}(M)+\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{R}_{\mu \nu}(A)=0 \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the necessary and sufficient condition for the $\left[\delta_{Q}, \delta_{Q}\right]$ algebra Eq. (83) to hold on $b_{\mu}$. Then the extra $Q$ transformation Eq. (126) of $\varphi_{\mu}$ is simplified into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \varphi_{\mu}=-\frac{i}{2}\left[\gamma^{m} \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(A)+i \gamma^{m} \gamma_{5} \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu m}(A)\right] \\
& \delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \varphi_{\mu}=-\frac{i}{4} \gamma^{m}\left(\widetilde{R}_{\mu m}(A)+i \gamma_{5} R_{\mu m}(A)\right) \varepsilon \tag{133}
\end{align*}
$$

The constraint Eq. (132) can be solved by the $K_{m}$ gauge field $f_{\mu}^{m}$, which now becomes a dependent field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mu}^{m}=f_{\mu}^{m}(e, \psi, b, A) \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the constraint Eq. (132) is not $Q$-invariant and so $f_{\mu}^{m}$ also receives an extra $Q$ transformation, which can be derived in the same way as above:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{Q}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) f_{\mu}^{m}=-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}\left(\sigma^{m \nu} R_{\mu \nu}^{\text {cov. }}(S)+e^{m \nu} \widetilde{R}_{\mu \nu}^{\text {cov. }}(S)\right) \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4. Resultant modified $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ algebra

Now that the $M_{m n}, S$ and $K_{m}$ gauge fields $\omega_{\mu}^{m n}, \varphi_{\mu}$ and $f_{\mu}^{m}$ have become dependent fields, there no longer remain other independent gauge fields. Thus the desired $\left[\delta_{Q}, \delta_{Q}\right]$ algebra (83)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right]=\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi^{m}\right), \quad \text { with } \quad \xi^{m} \equiv-2 i \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \gamma^{m} \varepsilon_{2} \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

already holds on all the independent gauge fields $e_{\mu}^{m}, \psi_{\mu}, A_{\mu}$ and $b_{\mu}$.
This implies that
Proposition: For all the transformations other than $\widetilde{P}_{m}$ transformation, (which we denote by primed index $X^{\prime}$ henceforth, $X^{\prime} \in\left\{Q, M_{m n}, D, A, S, K_{m}\right\}$ ), the commutators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{Y^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{Y^{\prime}}\right), \delta_{X^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{X^{\prime}}\right)\right]=\sum_{C} \delta_{C}\left(\varepsilon^{X^{\prime}} \varepsilon^{Y^{\prime}} f_{X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}}^{C}\right) \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the same form as the original $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ algebra, still hold. Note that when $P_{m}$ appears in the $C$ sum, it is always understood to stand for $\widetilde{P}_{m}$.

Proof) For the case $X^{\prime}=Q$ and $Y^{\prime}=Q$, we have already seen that $\left[\delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \delta_{Q}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right]=$ $\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi^{m}\right)$ holds and so the Proposition holds. So it is enough to prove it for the other cases in which either $X^{\prime}$ or $Y^{\prime}$ is not equal to $Q$. We assume $X^{\prime} \neq Q$ without loss of generality.

Since the $M_{m n}, D, A, S, K_{m}$ transformations are the same as the original group transforamtions even for the dependent gauge fields, we clearly have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{Y^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{Y^{\prime}}\right), \delta_{X^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{X^{\prime}}\right)\right] h_{\mu}^{G}=\sum_{C} \delta_{C}^{\text {group }}\left(\varepsilon^{X^{\prime}} \varepsilon^{Y^{\prime}} f_{X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}}^{C}\right) h_{\mu}^{G}+\delta_{Y^{\prime}}^{Q} \sum_{Z^{\prime}=M, S, K} \varepsilon^{X^{\prime}} \delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{Y^{\prime}}\right) h_{\mu}^{Z^{\prime}} f_{X^{\prime} Z^{\prime}}^{G} \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

on any independent gauge fields $h_{\mu}^{G}$. The second extra $Q$ transformation terms may exist only when $Y^{\prime}=Q$ and only for the dependent gauge fields $h_{\mu}^{Z^{\prime}}$ with $Z^{\prime} \in\{M, S, K\}$. However we show that this term is in fact absent. Consider the Weyl weights of the generators. The generator $G$ for the independent gauge fields is one of $P, Q, D, A$ carrying weights $1,1 / 2,0,0$, respectively. The sum of the weights of the generators $X^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime}, w\left(X^{\prime}\right)+$ $w\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$, should be $w(G)$ in order for the structure constant $f_{X^{\prime} Z^{\prime}}{ }^{G}$ to be non-zero. But, since $w(G) \geq 0, w\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq 0$ (recall $X^{\prime} \neq Q$ ) and $w\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \leq 0$, the only possibility satisfying this condition is $w(G)=w\left(X^{\prime}\right)=w\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=0$, which corresponds to the cases $G \in\{D, A\}$, $X^{\prime} \in\{M, D, A\}$ and $Z^{\prime}=M$. But, the commutators $\left[X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right]$ for such cases can yield only $M$ since $[\{M, D, A\}, M] \propto M$, so that $f_{X^{\prime} Z^{\prime}}{ }^{G}=0$ for $G \in\{D, A\}$. Therefore the group law holds. q.e.d.

## Proposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi^{m}\right), \delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right)\right]=\sum_{B \text { all }} \delta_{B}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}} \xi^{m} f_{A^{\prime} P_{m}}^{B}\right)+\delta_{A^{\prime}}^{Q} \sum_{B^{\prime}=M, S, K} \delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{m} \delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right) \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof) Straightforward calculation using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi^{m}\right)=\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi^{\lambda}=\xi^{m} e_{m}^{\lambda}\right)-\sum_{B^{\prime}} \delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi \cdot h^{B^{\prime}}\right) \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to the above result. First, using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi^{\lambda}\right) h_{\mu}^{A}=\partial_{\mu} \xi^{\lambda} \cdot h_{\lambda}^{A}+\xi^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} h_{\mu}^{A} \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

we derive, for field-independent $\xi^{\lambda}$ case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi^{\lambda}\right), \delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right)\right]=-\delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} \varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right) \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the field-dependent case, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi^{\lambda}=\xi^{m} e_{m}^{\lambda}\right), \delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right)\right]=-\delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} \varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right)-\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi^{m} \delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right) e_{m}^{\lambda}\right) \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right) e_{m}^{\lambda}=-e_{m}^{\nu} e_{n}^{\mu}\left(\delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right) e_{\nu}^{n}\right)=-\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}} h_{m}^{C} f_{A^{\prime} C}^{P_{n}} e_{n}^{\mu} \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{m} h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right), \delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right)\right]=-\sum_{C} \delta_{C}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}} \xi \cdot h^{B^{\prime}} f_{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}^{C}\right)+\delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi^{m} \delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right) \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}} & =\delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right)\left(e_{m}{ }^{\mu} h_{\mu}^{B^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =-\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}} h_{m}^{C} f_{A^{\prime} C}{ }^{P_{n}} h_{n}^{B^{\prime}}+\delta_{A^{\prime}}^{B^{\prime}} \partial_{m} \varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}+\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}} h_{m}^{C} f_{A^{\prime} C}^{B^{\prime}}+\delta_{A^{\prime}}^{Q} \delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{A^{\prime}}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}} \tag{146}
\end{align*}
$$

Using these we can show the Proposition. q.e.d.

## Proposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right]=\sum_{A} \delta_{A}\left(\xi_{1}^{m} \xi_{2}^{n} R_{m n}^{A}\right)+\sum_{B^{\prime}=M, S, K} \delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1} \cdot \psi\right) \xi_{2} \cdot h^{B^{\prime}}-\delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2} \cdot \psi\right) \xi_{1} \cdot h^{B^{\prime}}\right) \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right]=\sum_{A} \delta_{A}\left(\xi_{1}^{m} \xi_{2}^{n} R_{m n}^{\text {cov. } A}\right) \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof) Straightforward calculation. First show, for field-independent $\xi^{\lambda}$ case,

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi_{2}^{\rho}\right), \delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi_{1}^{\lambda}\right)\right]=\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\left(\xi_{1} \cdot \partial\right) \xi_{2}^{\lambda}-\left(\xi_{2} \cdot \partial\right) \xi_{1}^{\lambda}\right)}  \tag{149}\\
{\left[\delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{2}^{m} h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right), \delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi_{1}^{\lambda}\right)\right]=\delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\left(\xi_{1} \cdot \partial \xi_{2}^{m}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right)} \tag{150}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, for the field-dependent case, we derive

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi_{2}^{n} e_{n}^{\rho}\right), \delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi_{1}^{m} e_{m}^{\lambda}\right)\right]=\delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi_{1}^{m} \xi_{2}^{n}\left(\partial_{n} e_{m}^{\lambda}-\partial_{m} e_{n}^{\lambda}\right)\right)}  \tag{151}\\
{\left[\delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{2}^{m} h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right), \delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi_{1} \cdot e\right)\right]=\delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\left(\xi_{1} \cdot \partial \xi_{2}^{m}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right)-\sum_{C} \delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\left(\xi_{2} \cdot h^{B^{\prime}}\right)\left(\xi_{1} \cdot h^{C}\right) f_{B^{\prime} C}^{P_{n}} e_{n}^{\lambda}\right)} \tag{152}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using these, we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi_{2}\right), \delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\xi_{1} \cdot e\right)\right]=\delta_{\mathrm{GC}} } & \left(\xi_{1}^{m} \xi_{2}^{n}\left(\partial_{n} e_{m}^{\lambda}-\partial_{m} e_{n}^{\lambda}\right)\right)-\sum_{B^{\prime}} \delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\left(\xi_{1} \cdot \partial \xi_{2}^{m}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right) \\
& +\sum_{B^{\prime}, C} \delta_{\mathrm{GC}}\left(\left(\xi_{2} \cdot h^{B^{\prime}}\right)\left(\xi_{1} \cdot h^{C}\right) f_{B^{\prime} C}^{P_{n}} e_{n}^{\lambda}\right) \tag{153}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the previous Proposition, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi_{2}\right), \sum_{B^{\prime}} \delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{1} \cdot h^{B^{\prime}}\right)\right]=\sum_{B^{\prime}, C(a l l)} \delta_{C}\left(\left(\xi_{1} \cdot h^{B^{\prime}}\right) \xi_{2}^{m} f_{B^{\prime} P_{m}}^{C}\right)+\sum_{B^{\prime}=M, S, K} \delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{2}^{m} \delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1} \cdot \psi\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right)} \\
\quad+\sum_{B^{\prime}} \delta_{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{1}^{m} \delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi_{2}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right) \tag{154}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{1}^{m} \delta_{\widetilde{P}}\left(\xi_{2}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}= \xi_{1}^{m}\left(\xi_{2} \cdot \partial\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}-\xi_{1}^{m} \sum_{A^{\prime}} \delta_{A^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{2} \cdot h^{A^{\prime}}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}} \\
&=\xi_{1}^{m}\left(\xi_{2} \cdot \partial\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}+\sum_{A^{\prime}, C}\left\{\left(\xi_{2} \cdot h^{A^{\prime}}\right)\left(\xi_{1} \cdot h^{C}\right) f_{A^{\prime} C}{ }^{P_{n}} h_{n}^{B^{\prime}}-\delta_{A^{\prime}}^{B^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{1} \cdot \partial\right)\left(\xi_{2} \cdot h^{A^{\prime}}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\left(\xi_{2} \cdot h^{A^{\prime}}\right)\left(\xi_{1} \cdot h^{C}\right) f_{A^{\prime} C}{ }^{B^{\prime}}-\delta_{A^{\prime}}^{Q} \delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2} \cdot h^{A^{\prime}}\right) h_{m}^{B^{\prime}}\right\} \cdot(155)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these we can show the Proposition. q.e.d.
Also note

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m n}^{\mathrm{cov} \cdot A}=R_{m n}^{A}-\left(\delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\psi_{n}\right) h_{m}^{A}-\delta_{Q}^{\prime}\left(\psi_{m}\right) h_{n}^{A}\right) \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

## §4. $\quad N=1$ Superconformal Tensor Calculus

### 4.1. Matter multiplets

The general, or so-called vector, (complex, unconstrained) superconformal multiplet $\mathcal{V}$ corresponding to the superfield

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(x, \theta)=\mathcal{C}+i \bar{\theta} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{Z}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}\left(\mathcal{N}-i \gamma_{5} \mathcal{M}-\gamma_{5} \gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{V}_{\mu}\right) \theta \\
& -i(\bar{\theta} \theta) \bar{\theta} \gamma_{5}\left[\Lambda-\frac{i}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{Z}\right]+\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\theta} \theta)(\bar{\theta} \theta)\left[\mathcal{D}-\frac{1}{2} \square \mathcal{C}\right] \tag{157}
\end{align*}
$$

in the rigid supersymmetry case, is now denoted by $\mathcal{V}=\left[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}_{m}, \Lambda, \mathcal{D}\right]$. (Real vector multiplet is denoted as $V=\left[C, Z, N, M, V_{m}, \lambda, D\right]$, by using the corresponding roman letters.) The basic quantum numbers of the superconformal matter multiplet are Weyl weight $w$ and chiral weight $n$, which are defined through the transformation law of the first component $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{D}(\rho)+\delta_{A}(\theta)\right] \mathcal{C}(x)=\left(w \rho+\frac{1}{2} i n \theta\right) \mathcal{C}(x) \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

This vector multiplet $\mathcal{V}$ exists for any Weyl and chiral weights $w, n$ (and even $\mathcal{V}_{A}$ with arbitrary external Lorentz index $A=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} ; \dot{\beta}_{1}, \cdots, \dot{\beta}_{m}\right)$. On the contrary, the constrained type multiplets can exist only for particular values of ( $w, n$ ) (and for particular external Lorentz indices $A$ ). For instance, the chiral multiplets exist only when they carry the same values of Weyl and chiral weights, $w=n$ (and only with purely undotted spinor indices $\left.A=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)\right)$.

Here we do not give the transformation laws for the vector multiplet $\mathcal{V}$, but give those for the chiral multiplet $\phi=[z, \chi, h]$ possessing no external Lorentz index, which is embedded into the vector multiplet as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}(\phi)=\left[z,-i \chi_{\mathrm{R}},-h, i h, i D_{m}^{c} z, 0,0\right] \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

The chiral multiplet transforms under $Q, S, D$ and $A$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{Q S D A} z & \equiv\left(\delta_{Q}(\varepsilon)+\delta_{S}(\zeta)+\delta_{D}(\rho)+\delta_{A}(\theta)\right) z=\frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{R}} \chi_{\mathrm{R}}+\left(w \rho+\frac{1}{2} i w \theta\right) z \\
\delta_{Q S D A} \chi_{\mathrm{R}} & =D^{c} z \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathrm{L}}+h \varepsilon_{\mathrm{R}}+2 w z \zeta_{\mathrm{R}}+\left[\left(w+\frac{1}{2}\right) \rho+i\left(\frac{1}{2} w-\frac{3}{4}\right) \theta\right] \chi_{\mathrm{R}} \\
\delta_{Q S D A} h & =\frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{L}} \not D^{c} \chi_{\mathrm{R}}+(1-w) \bar{\zeta}_{\mathrm{R}} \chi_{\mathrm{R}}+\left[(w+1) \rho+i\left(\frac{1}{2} w-\frac{3}{2}\right) \theta\right] h, \tag{160}
\end{align*}
$$

and inert under $K_{m}$, where $D_{m}^{c}$ denotes conformal covariant derivative:

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{m}^{c} z= & \left(\partial_{m}-w b_{m}-\frac{1}{2} i w A_{m}\right) z-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{R}} \chi_{\mathrm{R}} \\
D_{m}^{c} \chi_{\mathrm{R}}= & \left(D_{m}^{\omega}-\left(w+\frac{1}{2}\right) b_{m}-i\left(\frac{1}{2} w-\frac{3}{4}\right) A_{m}\right) \chi_{\mathrm{R}} \\
& -\left(D^{c} z \cdot \psi_{\mathrm{L} m}+h \psi_{\mathrm{R} m}\right)-2 w z \varphi_{\mathrm{R} m} \tag{161}
\end{align*}
$$

with local Lorentz covariant derivative $D_{m}^{\omega}$.

### 4.2. Invariant action formula

F-term formula: applicable to chiral multiplet with weight $w=n=3, \phi_{w=n=3}$ $=\left[z=\frac{1}{2}(A+i B), \chi_{\mathrm{R}}, h=\frac{1}{2}(F+i G)\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{F} & =\int d^{4} x\left[\phi_{(w=n=3)}\right]_{F}=\int d^{4} x e\left[h+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{L} m} \gamma^{m} \chi_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{L} m} \sigma^{m n} z \psi_{\mathrm{L} n}+\text { h.c. }\right] \\
& =\int d^{4} x e\left[F+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_{m} \gamma^{m} \chi+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_{m} \sigma^{m n}\left(A-i \gamma_{5} B\right) \psi_{n}\right] \tag{162}
\end{align*}
$$

The next action formula can be derived from this. Since the chiral projection (analogue of $\bar{D} \bar{D} V$ ) of real vector multiplet $V$ with Weyl weight $w=2$ gives a chiral multiplet $\Pi V$ with weight $w=n=3$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi V=\left[\frac{1}{2}(H-i K), i \not D^{c} Z_{\mathrm{L}}+\Lambda_{\mathrm{R}},-\frac{1}{2}\left(D+\square^{c} C+i D_{m}^{c} B^{m}\right)\right] \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can apply the above F-term formula to this chiral multiplet $\Pi V$ and obtain
D-term formula: applicable to real vector multiplet $V=\left[C, Z, H, K, B_{m}, \lambda, D\right]$ with weight $w=2 n=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{D}= & \int d^{4} x\left[V_{(w=2, n=0)}\right]_{D}=\int d^{4} x[-\Pi V]_{F} \\
= & \int d^{4} x e\left[D-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_{m} \gamma^{m} i \gamma_{5} \lambda-\bar{\varphi}_{m} \gamma^{m} i \gamma_{5} Z+\frac{1}{3} C\left(R+e^{-1} \bar{\psi}_{\mu} R^{\mu}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{4} i \varepsilon^{m n k l} \bar{\psi}_{m} \gamma_{n} \psi_{k}\left(B_{l}-A_{l} C-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_{l} Z\right)\right] \tag{164}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R_{\mu \nu}^{m n}(M) e_{m}^{\nu} e_{n}^{\mu}, \quad R^{\mu}=\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\nu} D_{\rho}^{\omega} \psi_{\sigma} . \tag{165}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4.3. $N=1$ SUGRA Lagrangian

One may have wondered in the above why we consider such a superconformal framework possessing rather large local symmetry while we want supergravity which has only local Poincaré invariance. We can now answer to this question. All the possible theories of Poincaré supergravity can be obtained from our superconformal framework simply fixing the gauges for the extraneous gauge symmetries, dilatation $D$, chiral $A$, conformal supersymmetry $S$ and special conformal $K_{m}$ symmetries. Then, we need special matter multiplet(s) called compensator, whose component fields are used to fix those extraneous gauges. Choosing different type of multiplet as the compensator yields a different formulation of Poincaré supergravity: namely, chiral multiplet compensator leads to (old) minimal formulation, (real) linear multiplet compensator to new-minimal formulation and complex linear multiplet compensator to Breitenlohner formulation. One of the virtue of the superconformal framework is that all those different formulations of Poincaré supergravity can be dreived in a unified way from this unique framework. There is another and more important advantage in the superconformal tensor calculus actually, which we explain shortly.

We explain only the (old) minimal formulation of Poincaré supergravity. Pure (Poincaré) supergravity Lagrangian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {pure SUGRA }}=[\Sigma \bar{\Sigma}]_{D} \tag{166}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma$ is a chiral multiplet with weight $w=n=1$, the compensator of the (old) minimal formulation. Denoting the components of this compensator as $\Sigma=\left[\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathrm{R}}, \mathcal{F}\right]$, the extraneous $D, A, S, K_{m}$ gauges are fixed by the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
D: \quad \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}=\sqrt{3}, & A: \quad \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}=0 \\
S: \quad \psi_{\mathrm{R}}=0, & K_{m}: \quad b_{\mu}=0 \tag{167}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last $b_{\mu}$ is the Weyl $(D)$ gauge field. Then, writing $\mathcal{F}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(S-i P)$ and $A_{\mu}=$ $-\frac{2}{3} A_{\mu}^{\text {aux }}, \Sigma \bar{\Sigma}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma \bar{\Sigma}=\left[3,0,-2 S, 2 P,-2 A_{m}^{\operatorname{aux}}, 0,-\frac{1}{3}\left(S^{2}+P^{2}-A_{m}^{\operatorname{aux} 2}\right)\right] \tag{168}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this components expression into Eq. (166) and applying the D-term formula, we actually obtain the following action of pure supergravity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {pure SUGRA }}=e\left[R+e^{-1} \bar{\psi}_{\mu} R^{\mu}-\frac{1}{3}\left(S^{2}+P^{2}-A_{m}^{\text {aux } 2}\right)\right] . \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S, P$ and $A_{\mu}^{\text {aux }}$ constitute the well-known minimal set of auxiliarly fields, hence the name of minimal Poincaré supergravity.

If one considers more general matter coupled system, the Lagrangian would take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=[\Sigma \bar{\Sigma} \tilde{\Phi}(\phi, \bar{\phi})]_{D}+\left[\Sigma^{3} W(\phi)\right]_{F} \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

omitting the possible gauge fields. Here $\phi$ denotes a set of matter multiplets $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$. Now we can explain another virtue of our superconformal tensor calculus, as promised above.

First, we note that we can eliminate the superpotential term by redefining the compensator as $W^{1 / 3}(\phi) \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, and rewrite the Lagrangian into the following form using $\Phi \equiv \tilde{\Phi} /|W|^{2 / 3}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=[\Sigma \bar{\Sigma} \Phi(\phi, \bar{\phi})]_{D}+\left[\Sigma^{3}\right]_{F} \tag{171}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this matter coupled system, the multiplet $\Sigma \bar{\Sigma} \Phi(\phi, \bar{\phi}) \equiv V$ in the D-term has the following first two components:

$$
\begin{align*}
C(V) & =|\mathcal{A}|^{2} \Phi\left(z, z^{*}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2} Z(V) & =i|\mathcal{A}|^{2}\left(\Phi_{i} \chi_{\mathrm{L}}^{i}-\Phi^{i} \chi_{\mathrm{R} i}\right)+i \Phi\left(\mathcal{A} \psi_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathcal{A}^{*} \psi_{\mathrm{R}}\right) \tag{172}
\end{align*}
$$

with notation $\Phi^{i} \equiv \partial \Phi\left(z, z^{*}\right) / \partial z_{i}, \Phi_{i} \equiv \partial \Phi\left(z, z^{*}\right) / \partial z^{* i}$. Therefore, to obtain the canonical form of Einstein-Hilbert as well as Rarita-Schwinger action $R+e^{-1} \bar{\psi}_{\mu} R^{\mu}$, it would be best to take the gauge conditions for the extraneous gauges $D, A, S, K_{m}$ as ?

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
D: \quad \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}=\sqrt{3} \Phi^{-1 / 2}, & A: \quad \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}=0 \\
S: \quad \psi_{\mathrm{R}}=-\mathcal{A} \Phi^{-1} \Phi^{i} \chi_{\mathrm{R} i}, & K_{m}: \quad b_{\mu}=0 \tag{173}
\end{array}
$$

Indeed, in this superconformal gauge, we have $C(V)=3$ and $Z(V)=0$, yielding the desired canonical Einstein-Hilbert and Rarita-Schwinger action $R+e^{-1} \bar{\psi}_{\mu} R^{\mu}$ from the beginning, as is seen from the D-term action formula. Note that this is really the power of superconformal tensor calculus. In the Poincaré tensor calculus, there is no freedom of choosing those gauges! From the superconformal viewpoint, the Poincaré tensor calculus is just the tensor calculus obtained from the superconformal one by choosing the Poincaré gauge fixing conditions Eq. (167). It is a good gauge conditions for pure supergravity system, but is ridiculous one for the matter coupled system. There is, however, no other way in the Poincaré tensor calculus, since there are no extraneous gauge freedom. Compare this simplification with the big calculation performed by Cremmer, Ferrara, Girardello and Van Proeyen? using the Poincaré tensor calculus. The first thing the latter authors had to do was 1) Weyl rescaling of the vierbein and other fields, 2) chiral rotations of the fermion fields, and 3) recombination of $\tilde{\Phi}$ and the superpotential $W$ into the Kähler potential $\frac{1}{3} K=\ln \left(\tilde{\Phi} /|W|^{2 / 3}\right)$. The first and second tasks are simply bypassed here by the above $D$ and $A$ gauge conditions and the third was the task performed in one line already in Eq. (171).

