



Two (too) Big Problems

Jan. 5, 2011

at: YITP Lunch meeting

Taichiro Kugo



Two Big Problems (too)

- Tiny cosmological constant
- Why 3 generations ?

Cosmological constant Λ , or vacuum energy

■ Present value

$$\Lambda_0 \approx 10^{-29} \text{gr/cm}^3 \approx (2.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV})^4$$

$$\approx 10^{-56} \times \langle \text{Higgs} \rangle^4$$

$$\approx 10^{-120} \times (M_{\text{Planck}})^4$$

$$\text{Reduced Planck scale } M_{\text{Planck}} = 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$$

Particle Physicists: static view

- Why is it so tiny?

↔ huge zero-point vacuum energies of fields

- Global SUSY guarantees $\Lambda = 0$

But it is (spontaneously) broken at

$M_{\text{SUSY}} \approx 1 \text{ TeV}$ so that we expect

$$\Lambda = (M_{\text{SUSY}})^4 \approx 10^{60} \times \Lambda_0$$

- Moreover,

SUGRA (local SUSY) does not guarantees $\Lambda = 0$,
but requires fine tuning of 10^{-120} order

Particle cosmologists: historical view

- Why is it so tiny **at present**?
- We know that several spontaneous symmetry breakings occurred in the past, which must have been accompanied by vacuum condensation.

(in collaboration with

Makoto Kobayashi, Naoshi Sugiyama and his student)

Particle cosmologists: historical view

■ In the order going back history,

1. QCD chiral sym breaking: $-\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \approx -(200 \text{ MeV})^4$

2. Electroweak symmetry breaking:

$$-\Lambda_{\text{EW}} \approx -(200 \text{ GeV})^4$$

3. GUT symmetry breaking:

$$-\Lambda_{\text{GUT}} \approx -(10^{-2} M_{\text{Planck}})^4$$

So that the initial cosmological constant should be

$$\Lambda_{\text{initial}} = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} + \Lambda_{\text{EW}} + \Lambda_{\text{GUT}} + ?$$

where $\Lambda_0 \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \ll \Lambda_{\text{EW}} \ll \Lambda_{\text{GUT}}$

Particle cosmologists: historical view

- There must be huge cosmological constant at the beginning of our universe:

$$\Lambda_{\text{initial}} = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} + \Lambda_{\text{EW}} + \Lambda_{\text{GUT}} + ?$$

nearly 10^{120-8} times larger than Λ_0

- Explain this initial value
- Possibility of observation of this history

Why 3 generations ?

- CY compactification of String

Explains

$$3 = \text{big \#} - \text{big \#},$$

big# : Hodge numbers of CY mfd

- SU(1,1) symmetry model

$$3 = \infty - \infty$$

Quasi-NG-fermions

- If spontaneous breaking of a global symmetry:
 $G \rightarrow H$, then
Nambu-Goldstone bosons appear for
broken generators G/H at around M_{Planck}
- If \exists SUSY, NG bosons are accompanied by
massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions, called **Quasi-NG-fermions**
(Buchmüller-Peccei-Yanagida'87, Ong'83)
- Kugo-Yanagida'84
three generations from $E_7/SU(5) \times SU(3) \times U(1)$

Exceptional Groups: E_n

E_8 248



E_7 133



E_6 78



$E_5 = SO(10)$ 45



$E_4 = SU(5)$ 24 \rightarrow $E_3 = SU(3) \times SU(2)$

Exceptional Groups: E_n

E_8 248 Maximal: a priori *Raison d'être*
↓
superstring, ...

E_7 133 N=8 SUGRA $E_{7(7)}$

↓

E_6 78

↓

$E_5 = SO(10)$ 45

↓

$E_4 = SU(5)$ 24 → $E_3 = SU(3) \times SU(2)$

Suppose $\exists E_8$ or $E_7 \rightarrow E_4 \times U(1)^n$

$$E_8 \rightarrow E_4 \times U(1)^4$$

$$\frac{E_8}{E_4 \times U(1)^4} = \frac{E_8}{E_7 \times U(1)} \times \frac{E_7}{E_4 \times U(1)^3}$$

$$E_7 \rightarrow E_4 \times U(1)^3$$

$$\frac{E_7}{E_4 \times U(1)^3} = \frac{E_7}{E_6 \times U(1)} \times \frac{E_6}{E_5 \times U(1)} \times \frac{E_5}{E_4 \times U(1)}$$

$$E_5 = \text{SO}(10), E_4 = \text{SU}(5)$$

Exceptional Groups: E_n

$$E_8 \quad 248 \quad \supset E_7$$

$$\downarrow \quad 248 - (133 + 1) = 56 + 1 + \text{h.c.}$$

→ NG chiral multiplet 56 of E_7

but any reprs of E_7 are **real**

$$56 = 27 + 1 + 27^* + 1^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ generations}$$

$$E_7 \quad 133$$

$$\downarrow \quad 133 - (78 + 1) = 27 + \text{h.c.} \rightarrow$$

Sequential Symmetry Breaking: E_n

$$E_7 \quad 133 \quad \supset E_6$$

$$\downarrow \quad 133 - (78 + 1) = 27 + \text{h.c.} \quad \rightarrow \quad E_6 \quad 27$$

$$E_6 \quad 78 \quad \supset E_5$$

$$\downarrow \quad 78 - (45 + 1) = 16 + \text{h.c.} \quad \rightarrow \quad E_5 \quad 16$$

$$E_5 = \text{SO}(10) \quad 45 \quad \supset E_4$$

$$\downarrow \quad 45 - (24 + 1) = 10 + \text{h.c.} \quad \rightarrow \quad E_4 \quad 10$$

$$E_4 = \text{SU}(5) \quad 24$$

Appearing NG chiral multiplets

$$\begin{aligned} E_7 & \supset E_5 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_6 \text{ 27} & = 16 + 10 + 1 \\ & = (10+5^*+1)+(5+5^*)+1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_6 & \supset E_4 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_5 \text{ 16} & = 10+5^*+1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_5 = SO(10) & \supset E_4 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_4 \text{ 10} & = 10 \end{aligned}$$

$$E_4 = SU(5)$$

Appearing NG chiral multiplets

$$\begin{aligned} E_7 & \supset E_5 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_6 \text{ 27} & = 16 + 10 + 1 \\ & = (10 + 5^* + 1) + (5 + 5^*) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_6 & \supset E_4 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_5 \text{ 16} & = 10 + 5^* + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_5 = SO(10) & \supset E_4 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_4 \text{ 10} & = 10 \end{aligned}$$

$$E_4 = SU(5)$$

Appearing NG chiral multiplets

$$\begin{aligned} E_7 & \supset E_5 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_6 \text{ 27} & = 16 + 10 + 1 \\ & = (10 + 5^* + 1) + (5 + 5^*) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_6 & \supset E_4 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_5 \text{ 16} & = 10 + 5^* + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_5 = SO(10) & \supset E_4 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_4 \text{ 10} & = 10 \end{aligned}$$

$$E_4 = SU(5)$$

Appearing NG chiral multiplets

$$\begin{aligned} E_7 & \supset E_5 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_6 \quad 27 &= 16 + 10 + 1 \\ &= (10+5^*+1)+(5+5^*)+1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_6 & \supset E_4 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_5 \quad 16 &= 10+5^*+1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_5 = SO(10) & \supset E_4 \\ \downarrow \rightarrow E_4 \quad 10 &= 10 \end{aligned}$$

$$E_4 = SU(5)$$

Three generations $3 \times (10+5^*) + 5 + \text{singlets} !$

To do

- Introduce Higgs field as a matter field
- Introduce superpotential terms for the NG superfields as explicit breaking of G ,
to produce Higgs-matter Yukawa interaction terms

Sequential breaking pattern might explain the mass hierarchy and CKM and MNS mixing pattern. → This is now under investigation
(with Yanagida)