### The Uses of Instantons in String Theory

#### Hirotaka Irie

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto Univ.

June 20th 2012 @ YITP Lunch Seminar

Based on collaborations with Chuan-Tsung Chan (THU) and Chi-Hsien Yeh (NTU)

# 7. The uses of instantons (1977), by Sidney Coleman



### This article generally discusses the basic roles of instantons in QM and QFT

it should be also applied to string theory

### The first use: Instantons

$$S[X(t)] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\dot{X})^2 - V(X) \right]$$
Hamiltonian:  

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{X})^2 + V(X)$$

$$H|1\rangle = E_{pert}|1\rangle$$

$$H|2\rangle = E_{pert}|2\rangle$$

$$I1\rangle$$

$$I2\rangle$$

$$X$$

$$I1\rangle$$

$$I2\rangle$$

$$I1\rangle$$

$$I2\rangle$$

$$I1\rangle$$

$$I2\rangle$$

### The first use: Instantons



# The second use: *Bounce* $S[X(t)] = \frac{1}{a^2} \int dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\dot{X})^2 - V(X) \right] \langle$ $H = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{X})^2 + V(X)$ Hamiltonian: $\langle 1|H|1\rangle = E_{pert} + \frac{\pm i}{2}e^{-\mathcal{S}_{bounce}}$ Decay rate instability is caused by $\left|2 ight angle$ $\frac{\langle 2|2\rangle}{\langle 1|1\rangle} \sim e^{\beta(E_2 - E_1)} \to \infty$ $(\beta \to \infty)$ $|1\rangle$

### Free-energy and chemical potentials

Free energy: 
$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \mathcal{Z}, \quad \mathcal{Z} = \sum_{a} e^{-\beta E_{a}}$$

1) Instanton corrections:

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq E_{pert} - e^{-\mathcal{S}_{inst}} \quad (\beta \to \infty)$$

2) Bounce corrections:

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq E_{pert} + \frac{\pm i}{2} e^{-\mathcal{S}_{bounce}} \quad (\beta \to \infty)$$

Therefore, the non-perturbative effects have universal structure:

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}_{pert.} + \sum_{I} \theta_{I} \times e^{\mathcal{F}_{inst.}^{(I)}} + \cdots$$
Use of instantons  $\implies$  To know the Instanton Chemical Potential

However, they are invisible from Perturbation theory!

### What happens in String Theory?



Then how about instanton corrections?

 $\sum \theta_I \times e^{\mathcal{F}_{inst.}^{(I)}} + \cdots$ 



However, we cannot extract any vacuum structure of string theory, unless we know something about the chemical potentials  $\theta_I$ . We cannot know anything about the string theory landscape!

#### Non-perturbative completion program

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}_{pert} + \sum_{I} \theta_{I} \times \exp\left[g^{-a_{I}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g^{n} \mathcal{F}_{n}^{(I)}\right] + \cdots$$

How to know the chemical potentials  $\theta_I$  which define String Theory?

#### (cf. QM and QFT has path-integral!)

 $\sim$ 

Additional Principle for Non-perturbatively Complete String Theory [Chan-Irie-Yeh '10 ~ ]

- 1. There are many ways to non-perturbatively complete the above asymptotic expansions (almost for arbitrary  $\theta_I$ )
- 2. Most of them are *not* "physically acceptable"
- 3. But, what is "physically acceptable?"  $\Leftrightarrow$  Additional Principle

#### Matrix Models

non-perturbative (solvable) formulation of String Theory

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int dM e^{N \mathrm{tr} V(M)} \Leftrightarrow$$
 2D (Non-critical) String Theory

With matrix models, we can know "physical value for  $\theta_I$ " [Hanada-Hayakawa-Ishibashi-Kawai-Kuroki-Matsuo-Tada '04]

We should learn/extract the information from matrix models!

For, example, we succeeded:

- formulation of physical constraints in terms of Stokes phenomena (almost complete in non-critical string theory) [CIY2 '10] [CIY4 '12]
- We found Quantum Integrability (T-systems) in the physical constraint [CIY3 '11

#### What can we say about the string theory landscape?

Most of minimal string theory (tachyonless) is meta-stable [CIY4 '12]

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}_{pert} + \sum_{I} \theta_{I} \times \exp\left[g^{-a_{I}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g^{n} \mathcal{F}_{n}^{(I)}\right] + \cdots$$
1. D-instanton:  $a_{I} = 1, \quad \mathcal{F}_{0}^{(I)} < 0$   
**ghost** D-instanton:  $a_{I} = 1, \quad \mathcal{F}_{0}^{(I)} > 0 \quad \left(|B_{gh}\rangle \equiv -|B\rangle\right)$   
[Okuda-Takayanagi '06]

Exponentially large  $\rightarrow$  Instability / break down of perturb. theory However, one has claimed that we can turn them off by spelling "it contradicts with perturbation theory!"

- Actually, minimal string theory *cannot avoid these branes* due to the physical consistency with matrix models [CIY4 '12]
- 3. Also, most of D-instantons in the worldsheet theory cannot appear!



Also with our constraints, we are now able to calculate

- 1. "which non-critical string theory is (un)stable"
- 2. "decay rate" of string theory [identify bounce solutions]
- 3. "true vacuum" of string theory [universal vacuum]
- 4. "the string-theory landscape" in this string theory

Why our physical constraints are special?  $\rightarrow$  Future investigation!

## Summary

- The **instanton chemical potentials** in string theory are a key information for non-perturbative completion of string theory
- It is like path-integral formulation in QM and QFT. They are responsible for **analytic structure** of the string theory landscape
- With this information, we actually calculate "nonperturbative instability/decay rate/true vacuum" of string theory. They are quite universal.
- More fundamental understanding of *"why the matrix model is special"* is missing and remained for future investigations.