Dynamics of Non-Gaussianity in Heavy Ion Collisions

Masakiyo Kitazawa

(Osaka U.)

MK, Asakawa, PRC85,021901C(2012); PRC86, 024904(2012)
MK, Asakawa, Ono, arXiv:1307.2978

NFQCD, YITP, Kyoto, 27/Nov./2013

Beam-Energy Scan



Beam-Energy Scan

STAR 2012



Fluctuations

- Fluctuations reflect properties of matter.
  - Enhancement near the critical point

    Stephanov,Rajagopal,Shuryak('98); Hatta,Stephanov('02); Stephanov('09);…
  - Ratios between cumulants of conserved charges

    Asakawa,Heinz,Muller('00); Jeon, Koch('00); Ejiri,Karsch,Redlich('06)
  - Signs of higher order cumulants

    Asakawa,Ejiri,MK('09); Friman,et al.('11); Stephanov('11)







Conserved Charges : Theoretical Advantage

- Definite definition for operators
  - as a Noether current
  - calculable on any theory

ex: on the lattice



Conserved Charges : Theoretical Advantage

- **Definite definition for operators**
  - as a Noether current
  - calculable on any theory

  ex: on the lattice



- **Simple thermodynamic relations**

$$\langle \delta N_c^n \rangle = \frac{1}{VT^{n-1}} \frac{\partial^n \Omega}{\partial \mu_c^n}$$

  - Intuitive interpretation for the behaviors of cumulants

ex: $\langle \delta N_B^3 \rangle = \frac{1}{VT^2} \frac{\partial \langle \delta N_B^2 \rangle}{\partial \mu_B}$

Asakawa, Ejiri, MK, 2009

Conserved Charge Fluctuations

$$\frac{\langle \delta N_B^4 \rangle_c}{\langle \delta N_B^2 \rangle}$$



Cumulants of N_B and N_Q are **suppressed** at high T.

Asakawa, Heinz, Muller, 2000; Jeon, Koch, 2000;
Ejiri, Karsch, Redlich, 2006; Asakawa, Ejiri, MK, 2009;
Friman, et al., 2011; Stephanov, 2011

Proton # Cumulants @ STAR-BES

STAR,1309.5681



$$\frac{C_4}{C_2}$$

$$\frac{C_3}{C_1} = \frac{C_3/C_2}{\text{Poissonian}}$$

Something interesting??

CAUTION!

proton number $\neq$ baryon number

MK, Asakawa, 2011;2012

Charge Fluctuation @ LHC



ALICE, PRL110,152301(2013)

D-measure

$$D = 4\frac{\langle \delta N_Q^2 \rangle}{\langle N_Q^+ + N_Q^- \rangle}$$

- D ~ 3-4 Hadronic
- D ~ 1-1.5 Quark

significant suppression from hadronic value at LHC energy!

$\langle \delta N_Q^2 \rangle$ is not equilibrated at freeze-out at LHC energy!

Δη Dependence @ ALICE

ALICE
PRL 2013



rapidity window



Time Evolution of Fluctuations





Variation of a conserved charge is achieved only through diffusion. ⇨ The larger Δη, the slower diffusion

Δη Dependence @ ALICE

ALICE
PRL 2013





Δη dependences of fluctuation observables encode history of the hot medium!

Cumulants : HIC@RHIC vs Lattice



parameter window
constrained by lattice

HotQCD,
LATTICE2013

fluctuations
"exp + lattice"

μ/T

discrepancy

particle abundance
(chem. freezeout *T*)

$\langle \delta N_B^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \delta N_p^2 \rangle$ @ LHC ?

$\langle \delta N_Q^2 \rangle, \langle \delta N_B^2 \rangle, \langle \delta N_p^2 \rangle$
should have different $\Delta\eta$ dependence.



Baryon # cumulants are experimentally observable! MK, Asakawa, 2011;2012

$<\delta N_B{}^2>$ and $< \delta N_p{}^2 >$ @ LHC ?

$\langle \delta N_Q^2 \rangle, \langle \delta N_B^2 \rangle, \langle \delta N_p^2 \rangle$
should have different $\Delta\eta$ dependence.



Baryon # cumulants are experimentally observable! MK, Asakawa, 2011;2012

$<\delta N_Q{}^4>$ @ LHC ?

How does $\langle \delta N_Q^4 \rangle_c$ behave as a function of $\Delta\eta$?

suppression or enhancement



Baryon vs Proton Number Fluctuations

MK, Asakawa, PRC85,021901C(2012); PRC86, 024904(2012)

- $$\frac{\langle \delta N_B^n \rangle_c}{\langle \delta N_B^m \rangle_c} \neq \frac{\langle \delta N_p^n \rangle_c}{\langle \delta N_p^m \rangle_c}$$
- $\langle \delta N_B^n \rangle_c$ are experimentally observable

Nucleon Isospin as Two Sides of a Coin



Nucleons have
two isospin states.

MK, Asakawa,2012

Nucleon Isospin as Two Sides of a Coin



Nucleons have
two isospin states.

Coins have two sides.

MK, Asakawa,2012

Slot Machine Analogy





Extreme Examples

Fixed # of coins

Constant probabilities



Reconstructing Total Coin Number

$$P(N) = \sum P(N)B_{1/2}(N;N)$$

$$B_p(k;N) = p^k(1-p)^{N-k}\ {}_kC_N$$:binomial distr. func.

Nucleons in Hadronic Phase



- $p, \bar{p}$
- $n, \bar{n}$
- $\Delta(1232)$
- mesons
- baryons

$$m_\pi \simeq T \ll m_N - \mu_N$$

$n_N \ll 1$

- rare NN collisions
- no quantum corr.

$n_N \ll n_\pi$

- many pions

Nucleon Isospin in Hadronic Medium

- Isospin of baryons can vary <u>after chemical freezeout</u> via charge exchange reactions mediated by Δ(1232):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} p, n & \searrow & & \nearrow & p, n \\ & & \Delta(1232) & & \\ \pi & \nearrow & & \searrow & \pi \end{array}$$

$$I = 3/2$$

$$\Gamma \simeq 1.8\ [\mathrm{fm}]$$



Δ(1232)


cross sections of p
$p+\pi^+$
$p+\pi^0$
$n+\pi^+$
$p+\pi^-$
$n+\pi^0$
$n+\pi^-$
3
1
2
Δ^{++}
Δ^+
Δ^0
Δ^-
2:1
1:2
$p+\pi^+$
$p+\pi^0$
$n+\pi^+$
$p+\pi^-$
$n+\pi^0$
$n+\pi^-$
decay rates of Δ

Δ(1232)



cross sections of p
$p+\pi^+ \rightarrow \Delta^{++} \rightarrow p+\pi^+$
3
$p+\pi^0$
$n+\pi^+$
1
Δ^+
2:1
$p+\pi^0$
$n+\pi^+$
$p+\pi^-$
$n+\pi^0$
2
Δ^0
1:2
$p+\pi^-$
$n+\pi^0$
$n+\pi^- \rightarrow \Delta^- \rightarrow n+\pi^-$
decay rates of Δ
$p+\pi \rightarrow \Delta^{+,0}$
$\rightarrow p:n$
$=5:4$

Δ(1232)



$$p+\pi \rightarrow \Delta^{+,0}$$
$$\rightarrow p:n$$
$$=5:4$$

Lifetime to create Δ^+ or Δ^0

$$\tau^{-1} = \int \frac{d^3k_\pi}{(2\pi)^3}\sigma(E_{\rm cm})v_\pi n(E_\pi)$$

$$(\text{freezeout time}) \simeq 20[\text{fm}]$$



Difference btw Baryon and Proton Numbers

(1) $N_B^{(\mathrm{net})} = N_B - N_{\bar{B}}$ deviates from the equilibrium value.

(2) Boltzmann (Poisson) distribution for $N_B, N_{\bar{B}}$.

$$
\begin{cases}
2\langle(\delta N_p^{(\mathrm{net})})^2\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^2\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^2\rangle_{\mathrm{free}} \\
2\langle(\delta N_p^{(\mathrm{net})})^3\rangle = \frac{1}{4}\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^3\rangle + \frac{3}{4}\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^3\rangle_{\mathrm{free}} \\
2\langle(\delta N_p^{(\mathrm{net})})^4\rangle_c = \frac{1}{8}\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^4\rangle_c + \cdots
\end{cases}
$$

genuine info. Poissonian noise

Difference from Poisson (thermal) distribution is suppressed in proton number fluctuations.

Difference btw Baryon and Proton Numbers

(1) $N_B^{(\mathrm{net})} = N_B - N_{\bar{B}}$ deviates from the equilibrium value.

(2) Boltzmann (Poisson) distribution for $N_B, N_{\bar{B}}$.

$$2\langle(\delta N_p^{(\mathrm{net})})^2\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^2\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^2\rangle_{\mathrm{free}}$$

$$2\langle(\delta N_p^{(\mathrm{net})})^3\rangle = \frac{1}{4}\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^3\rangle + \frac{3}{4}\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^3\rangle_{\mathrm{free}}$$

$$\langle(\delta N_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{net})})^4\rangle_c + \cdots$$

enuine info. Poissonian noise



from Poisson (thermal) distribution

ssed in proton number fluctuations.

Time Evolution of Higher Order Cumulants

MK, Asakawa, Ono, arXiv:1307.2978

$<\delta N_Q{}^4>$ @ LHC ?

How does $\langle \delta N_Q^4 \rangle_c$ behave as a function of $\Delta\eta$?

suppression or enhancement



Hydrodynamic Fluctuations

Landau, Lifshitz, Statistical Mechaniqs II
Kapusta, Muller, Stephanov, 2012
Stephanov, Shuryak, 2001

Stochastic diffusion equation

$$\boxed{\partial_\tau n = D\partial_\eta^2 n + \partial_\eta \xi(\eta, \tau)}$$

Markov (white noise)
+
continuity

⬇

Gaussian noise

cf) Gardiner, "Stochastic Methods"

⬇

Fluctuation of *n* is
Gaussian in equilibrium

How to Introduce Non-Gaussianity?

Stochastic diffusion equation

$$\partial_\tau n = D\partial_\eta^2 n + \partial_\eta \xi(\eta,\tau)$$

- Choices to introduce non-Gaussianity in equil.:
  - *n* dependence of diffusion constant *D*(*n*)
  - colored noise
  - discretization of *n*

How to Introduce Non-Gaussianity?

Stochastic diffusion equation

$$\partial_\tau n = D\partial_\eta^2 n + \partial_\eta \xi(\eta,\tau)$$

- Choices to introduce non-Gaussianity in equil.:
  - *n* dependence of diffusion constant *D*(*n*)
  - colored noise
  - discretization of *n* **our choice**

REMARK: Fluctuations measured in HIC are almost Poissonian.

Diffusion Master Equation

Divide spatial coordinate into discrete cells



Diffusion Master Equation

Divide spatial coordinate into discrete cells



probability

$P(\mathbf{n})$

Master Equation for *P*(*n*)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}P(\mathbf{n}) = \gamma\sum_x\left[(n_x+1)\left\{P(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_x-\mathbf{e}_{x+1})+P(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_x-\mathbf{e}_{x-1})\right\}\right.$$
$$\left.-\,2n_xP(\mathbf{n})\right]$$

Solve the DME **exactly**, and take *a*→0 limit

No approx., ex. van Kampen's system size expansion

Baryons in Hadronic Phase



Baryons behave like
Brownian pollens in water

Net Charge Number

Prepare 2 species of (non-interacting) particles



$$\bar{Q}(\tau) = \int_0^{\Delta\eta} d\eta \, (n_1(\eta,\tau) - n_2(\eta,\tau))$$

Let us investigate

$\langle \bar{Q}^2 \rangle_c \quad \langle \bar{Q}^4 \rangle_c$ at freezeout time t

Solution of DME in a→0 Limit

1st order (deterministic) $\langle n \rangle$

- consistent with diffusion equation with $D=\gamma a^2$

  ⇒ Continuum limit with fixed $D=\gamma a^2$

2nd order $\langle \delta n^2 \rangle$

- consistent with stochastic diffusion eq.
  (for sufficiently smooth initial conditions)

Shuryak, Stephanov, 2001

Nontrivial results for non-Gaussian fluctuations

Time Evolution in Hadronic Phase

Hadronization (initial condition)



- Boost invariance / infinitely long system
- Local equilibration / local correlation

$\langle \bar{Q}^2 \rangle_c \quad \langle \bar{Q}^4 \rangle_c$ $\qquad \langle \bar{Q}^2 Q_{(\mathrm{tot})} \rangle_c$ $\qquad \langle Q^2_{(\mathrm{tot})} \rangle_c$

suppression owing to local charge conservation

strongly dependent on hadronization mechanism

Time Evolution in Hadronic Phase

Hadronization (initial condition)



Time evolution via DME

- Boost invariance / infinitely long system
- Local equilibration / local correlation

$\langle \bar{Q}^2 \rangle_c \quad \langle \bar{Q}^4 \rangle_c$ $\quad \langle \bar{Q}^2 Q_{(\mathrm{tot})} \rangle_c$ $\quad \langle Q_{(\mathrm{tot})}^2 \rangle_c$

suppression owing to local charge conservation

strongly dependent on hadronization mechanism

Freezeout



Total Charge Number

In recombination model,



$$N_B^{(\mathrm{net})} = 0 \qquad N_B^{(\mathrm{tot})} = 4$$

$$N_B^{(\mathrm{net})} = 0 \qquad N_B^{(\mathrm{tot})} = 0$$

- $N_B^{(\mathrm{tot})}$ can fluctuate, while $N_B^{(\mathrm{net})}$ does not.

$\Delta\eta$ Dependence at Freezeout

Initial fluctuations:

$$\langle \bar{Q}^2 \rangle_c = \langle \bar{Q}^4 \rangle_c = \langle \bar{Q}^2 Q_{(\mathrm{tot})} \rangle_c = 0$$





$$c = \frac{\langle Q_{(\mathrm{tot})}^2 \rangle_c}{\langle Q_{(\mathrm{tot})} \rangle}$$

parameter sensitive to hadronization

$\Delta\eta$ Dependence at Freezeout

Initial fluctuations:

$$\langle \bar{Q}^2 \rangle_c = \langle \bar{Q}^4 \rangle_c = \langle \bar{Q}^2 Q_{(\mathrm{tot})} \rangle_c = 0.5 \langle Q_{(\mathrm{tot})} \rangle$$



$$c = \frac{\langle Q_{(\mathrm{tot})}^2 \rangle_c}{\langle Q_{(\mathrm{tot})} \rangle}$$

parameter sensitive to hadronization

$<\delta N_Q{}^4>$ @ LHC

Assumptions
- boost invariant system
- small fluctuations of CC at hadronization
- short correlation in hadronic stage



4^{th}-order cumulant will be suppressed at LHC energy!

Δη dependences encode various information on the dynamics of HIC!

Δη Dependence at STAR

STAR, QM2012

$$\frac{\langle \delta N_p^4 \rangle_c}{\langle \delta N_p^2 \rangle}$$



$\frac{\langle \delta N_p^4 \rangle_c}{\langle \delta N_p^2 \rangle}$ decreases as Δη becomes larger at RHIC energy.

$\Delta\eta$ Dependence at STAR

STAR, QM2012

$$\frac{\langle \delta N_p^4 \rangle_c}{\langle \delta N_p^2 \rangle}$$



OUR SUGGESTIONS:

- Plot $<\delta N^2>$ and $<\delta N^4>$ separately
- Plot baryon number cumulants

Global Charge Conservation

Sakaida,
poster session (3rd week)

Solve SDE or DME in a finite volume

Effect of a boundary appears only in the range of diffusion length





- Effect of GCC can be read off from Δη dependence.
- No GCC effect in ALICE experiments!

Summary

Fluctuations in HIC are nonthermal!

Plenty of physics in $\Delta\eta$ dependences of various cumulants

$$\langle N_Q^2 \rangle_c,\ \langle N_B^2 \rangle_c,\ \langle N_Q^4 \rangle_c,\ \langle N_B^4 \rangle_c,\ \langle N_{ch}^2 \rangle_c, \cdots$$

Physical meanings of fluctuation obs. in experiments.

Diagnosing dynamics of HIC

- history of hot medium
- mechanism of hadronization
- diffusion constant

LATTICE inputs

Summary

Fluctuations in HIC are nonthermal!

Plenty of physics in $\Delta\eta$ dependences of various cumulants

$$\langle N_Q^2 \rangle_c, \ \langle N_B^2 \rangle_c, \ \langle N_Q^4 \rangle_c, \ \langle N_B^4 \rangle_c, \ \langle N_{ch}^2 \rangle_c, \cdots$$

Physical meanings of fluctuation obs. in experiments.

Diagnosing dynamics of HIC

- history of hot medium
- mechanism of hadronization
- diffusion constant

LATTICE inputs

Search of QCD Phase Structure in HIC

Open Questions & Future Work

- Why the primordial fluctuations are observed only at LHC, and not RHIC ?
- Extract more information on each stage of fireballs using fluctuations

- Model refinement
  - Including the effects of
    nonzero correlation length / relaxation time
    global charge conservation

  - Non Poissonian system ← interaction of particles

Chemical Reaction 1

$$X \underset{k_2}{\overset{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons}} A$$

x: # of X
a: # of A (**fixed**)

Master eq.:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}P(x,t) = k_2 a P(x-1,t) + k_1(x+1)P(x+1,t) - (k_1 x + k_2 a)P(x,t)$$

Cumulants with fixed initial condition $P(x,0) = \delta_{x,N_0}$

$$\langle x(t)\rangle = N_0 e^{-k_1 t} + N_{eq}(1-e^{-k_1 t})$$

$$\langle \delta x(t)^2\rangle = N_0(e^{-k_1 t} - e^{-2k_1 t}) + N_{eq}(1-e^{-k_1 t})$$

$$\langle \delta x(t)^3\rangle = N_0(e^{-k_1 t} - 3e^{-2k_1 t} + 2e^{-3k_1 t}) + N_{eq}(1-e^{-k_1 t})$$

initial equilibrium

Chemical Reaction 2

$$X \underset{k_2}{\overset{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons}} A$$

$$N_0 = N_{eq} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \langle x(t) \rangle = N_{eq} \\ \langle \delta x(t)^2 \rangle = N_{eq}(1 - e^{-2k_1 t}) \\ \langle \delta x(t)^3 \rangle = N_{eq}(1 - 3e^{-2k_1 t} + 2e^{-3k_1 t}) \end{cases}$$



Higher-order cumulants grow slower.

Time Evolution in HIC



Hydrodynamic Fluctuations

Landau, Lifshitz, Statistical Mechaniqs II
Kapusta, Muller, Stephanov, 2012

Diffusion equation

$$\partial_\tau n = D\partial_\eta^2 n$$

Stochastic diffusion equation

$$\partial_\tau n = D\partial_\eta^2 n + \partial_\eta \xi(\eta, \tau)$$

Stochastic Force

determined by fluctuation-dissipation relation

$\Delta\eta$ Dependence

Shuryak, Stephanov, 2001

- Initial condition: $\langle \delta n(\eta_1, 0)\delta n(\eta_2, 0)\rangle = \sigma_2 \delta(\eta_1 - \eta_2)$
- Translational invariance

$$Q(\tau) = \int_0^{\Delta\eta} d\eta n(\eta, \tau)$$

$$\langle \delta Q(\tau)^2 \rangle = \underbrace{\sigma_2 F_2(X)}_{\text{initial}} + \underbrace{\chi_2(1 - F_2(X))}_{\text{equilibrium}}$$





Non-Gaussianity in Fluctuating Hydro?

It is **impossible** to directly extend the theory of hydro fluctuations to treat higher orders.

- No a priori extension of FD relations to higher orders
- **Theorem**

  Markov process + continuous variable

  ➔ Gaussian random force

cf) Gardiner, "Stochastic Methods"

Event-by-Event Analysis @ HIC

Fluctuations can be measured by e-by-e analysis in HIC.



STAR, PRL**105** (2010)



$$\langle \delta N^2 \rangle, \langle \delta N^3 \rangle, \langle \delta N^4 \rangle_c, \cdots$$

Non-Gaussianity

fluctuations (correlations)

$$\langle \delta n_1 \delta n_2 \rangle, \langle \delta n_1 \delta n_2 \delta n_3 \rangle, \langle \delta n_1 \delta n_2 \delta n_3 \delta n_4 \rangle_c, \cdots$$

Non-Gaussianity



PLANCK : statistics insufficient to see non-Gaussianity…(2013)