## Dispersion Relation of Charmonia above T<sub>c</sub>

Masakiyo Kitazawa (Osaka U.)

Ikeda, Asakawa, MK, PR**D95** (2017) 014504



LATTICE2017, Granada, Spain, June 20, 2017

## Charm Quarks in HIC

#### Impurity of QGP = unique experimental probe

- production only in first stagesmall abundance
- J/p suppression
   transport property
   heavy-quark potential





## Charmonia above Tc

Property of charmonia at rest



Melting temperatureMass shift?



## Charmonia above Tc

- Property of charmonia at rest
  - Melting temperatureMass shift?



#### Property of moving charmonia

- Dispersion relation
- residue
- decay rate

In heavy-ion collisions, charmonia are typically moving!



## Charmonia above Tc

Property of charmonia at rest		
Melting temperature		
Mass shift?	Let's study	
Property of moving charmonia	on the lattice	
<ul><li>Dispersion relation</li><li>residue</li></ul>	$ \  \   \bigwedge^{\omega}  \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}^2} $	
decay rate		
In heavy-ion collisions, charmonia are typically moving!	p	

1-1

## Nonzero-p Spectral Func.

In vacuum :Lorentz symmetry

- **Tensor structure (V)**  $\rho_{\mu\nu}(\omega, \vec{p}) = \left(\frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2} g_{\mu\nu}\right)\rho_V(p)$
- **D** Bound-state pole  $\sim Z\delta(\omega^2 E(\vec{p})^2) = \frac{Z}{2E(\vec{p})}\delta(\omega 2E(\vec{p}))$
- **Dispersion relation**  $E(\vec{p}) = \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}^2}$





### Nonzero-p Spectral Func.

#### In vacuum :Lorentz symmetry

- **Tensor structure (V)**  $\rho_{\mu\nu}(\omega, \vec{p}) = \left(\frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2} g_{\mu\nu}\right)\rho_V(p)$
- **D** Bound-state pole  $\sim Z\delta(\omega^2 E(\vec{p})^2) = \frac{Z}{2E(\vec{p})}\delta(\omega 2E(\vec{p}))$
- **Dispersion relation**  $E(\vec{p}) = \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}^2}$

#### In medium

■ Transverse and longitudinal splitting  $\rho_{\mu\nu}(\omega, \vec{p}) = \rho_{T}(\omega, \vec{p})\Lambda_{T} + \rho_{L}(\omega, \vec{p})\Lambda_{L}$ ■ Dispersion relation can be modified ■ Z no longer be a constant



#### Maximum Entropy Method



#### Maximum Entropy Method





#### Maximum Entropy Method



#### Error in MEM

#### MEM error = variance in $P[\rho(\omega), \alpha]$ space

$$W = \int d\omega f(\omega) \rho(\omega)$$

**]** exp. val.: 
$$\langle W \rangle_P = \int d\omega f(\omega) \langle \rho(\omega) \rangle_P$$
  
**]** error:  $\Delta W = \sqrt{(W - \langle W \rangle_P)^2}$ 





#### Error in MEM

MEM error = variance in  $P[\rho(\omega), \alpha]$  space

$$\square \text{ exp. val.: } \langle W \rangle_P = \int d\omega f(\omega) \langle \rho(\omega) \rangle_P$$
$$\square \text{ error: } \Delta W = \sqrt{(W - \langle W \rangle_P)^2}$$

#### Error in MEM

MEM error = variance in  $P[\rho(\omega), \alpha]$  space

$$\square \text{ exp. val.: } \langle W \rangle_P = \int d\omega f(\omega) \langle \rho(\omega) \rangle_P$$
$$\square \text{ error: } \Delta W = \sqrt{(W - \langle W \rangle_P)^2}$$

# NOTE SPC obtained by MEM is just an image. No robust meaning. MEM error is more conservative than statistical one.

## **Defining Peak Position**



Represent peak position for a sufficiently sharp peak
 Error analysis in MEM is possible!
 [ω<sub>min</sub>, ω<sub>max</sub>] dependence has to be checked



## **Defining Peak Position**



Represent peak position for a sufficiently sharp peak
 Error analysis in MEM is possible!
 [ω<sub>min</sub>, ω<sub>max</sub>] dependence has to be checked

**e**2017 **Constant** Residue: 
$$\bar{Z} = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} d\omega 2\omega \rho(\omega)$$

#### Lattice Setup

quenched simulation
 Wilson fermion / gauge
 anisotropic lattice (a<sub>σ</sub>/a<sub>τ</sub>=4)

$$eta=7.0, \ \gamma_F=3.476, \ \kappa_\sigma=0.8282$$
  
 $a_\sigma=0.00975 [{
m fm}], \ a_\sigma/a_ au=4$ Asakawa, Hatsuda, 2004

T/T <sub>c</sub>	Nσ	L <sub>σ</sub> [fm]	N <sub>conf</sub>
1.86	64	2.5	500x8
1.62	64	2.5	500x8
1.49	64	2.5	500x8
0.78	64	2.5	500x8
	T/Tc         1.86         1.62         1.49         0.78	T/Tc       N₀         1.86       64         1.62       64         1.49       64         0.78       64	T/Tc       N₀       L₀[fm]         1.86       644       2.5         1.62       644       2.5         1.49       644       2.5         0.78       644       2.5

BlueGene/Q@KEK fermion part: Iroiro++ 8 measurements on each conf.

□ Large spatial volume → high momentum resolution
□ Large Nt / high statistics → high MEM precision

#### Spectral Func. @ T=0.78T



ρ<sub>T</sub> and ρ<sub>L</sub> channels degenerate
 although correlators are different



 $\vec{p} = (p, 0, 0)$  $G_{\rm L} = \frac{\omega^2 - p^2}{\omega^2} G_{11}$  $G_{\rm T} = G_{22} = G_{33}$ 

#### Spectral Func. @ T=0.78T



ρ<sub>T</sub> and ρ<sub>L</sub> channels degenerate
 although correlators are different

Lattice 2017

 $\vec{p} = (p, 0, 0)$  $G_{\rm L} = \frac{\omega^2 - p^2}{\omega^2} G_{11}$ 

 $G_{\rm T} = G_{22} = G_{33}$ 

## Spectral Function @ p=0

**Hice**2017



Bound state peaks seem to exist at T=1.62Tc.

## Spectral Func. @ T=1.62T



ρ<sub>T</sub> and ρ<sub>L</sub> channels seem to degenerate.
 Peak exists for all momentum.



#### **Dispersion Relation**

Energy interval [ $\omega_{min}$ ,  $\omega_{max}$ ] for disp. rel.





#### 

#### **Dispersion Relation**



Clear mass enhancement in medium.

**tice**2017

Dispersion relation is consistent with the Lorentz covariant form even at T=1.62Tc. mass E(p=0)

$T/T_{c}$	0.78	1.49	1.62
$J/\psi$	3.24(6)	4.30(16)	4.47(16)
$\eta_c$	3.19(5)	4.24(31)	4.49(48)
			[GeV]

#### Dependence on $[\omega_{\min} : \omega_{\max}]$



□  $\omega_{max}$  dependence is well suppressed. □ No  $\omega_{min}$  dependence for  $\omega_{min}$ <3GeV.

Lattice 2017

#### Test: N<sub>t</sub> Dependence

#### Special thanks to A. Rothkopf



Peak position does not shift with the change of N<sub>t</sub> Lattice 2017

correlator	G( au,0,T)	$G^{ m rec}( au,0,2T;T)$
$ar{m}$	3.24(6)	3.40(90)

#### Res due



No p dependence of Z even for T=1.62Tc
 No T/L splitting in vector channel



## Summary

We analyzed the peak positions in SPC with MEM error by defining them in terms of the center of weight.

Charmonia have significant mass enhancement.
 Dispersion relations are consistent with Lorentz covariant form even at T=1.62Tc.

#### Future Work

much finer p resolution

- 🗖 m<sub>q</sub> dependence
- comparison with potential models and etc.

