CD phase diagram and lattice QCD, Online (YITP, Kyoto), 2021/Oct./28

ress-Energy-Momentum Tensor on the Lattice

Masakiyo Kitazawa (Osaka U.)

FlowQCD/WHOT-QCD Collaborations



5.0

Energy-Momentum Tensor



All components are important physical observables!

Applications

Thermodynamics
Fluctuations
Viscosity
Hadron Structure
...

Pressure distribution inside proton



Burkert+, Nature 557, 396 (2018)

$\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} : \text{nontrivial observable} \\ \text{on the lattice}$

Definition of the operator is nontrivial because of the explicit breaking of translational invariance



ex:
$$T_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\rho}F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\mu\nu}FF$$

 $F_{\mu\nu} =$

2 Its measurement is extremely noisy due to high dimensionality and etc.

Contents



2.5

 $N_t = 16$

 $N_t = 12$

 $T/T_c = 1.12$

c = 1.68 $T/T_c = 2.10$

 $T/T_c = 1.12$

1. Constructing EMT through gradient flow 2.0

2. Thermodynamics

FlowQCD, PRD90,011501 (2014); PRD94, 114512 (2016) WHOT-QCD, PRD96, 014509 (2017); PRD102, 014510 (2020 Iritani+, PTEP 2019, 023B02 (2019

3. Casimir Effect & Pressure Anisotro

MK, Mogliacci, Horowitz, Kolbe, PRD99, 09450,

0.5

FlowQCD

4. EMT Correlation Functions

FlowQCD, PRD96, 111502 (2017)

free bosor

(a) SU(3) Yang-Mi

5. Flux Tube

 $T/T_{c} = 1.40$ FlowQCD, PLB789, 210 (2019); Yanagihara, MK, PTEP2019, 093B02 $T/T_{c} = 1.68$ $T/T_c = 2.10$

6. Single-Quark System at $T \neq 0$

Yang-Mills Gradient Flow



diffusion equation in 4-dim space
diffusion distance d ~ \sqrt{8t}
"continuous" cooling/smearing
No UV divergence at t > 0



Small Flow-Time Expansion

Luescher, Weisz, 2011 Suzuki, 2013

 $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(t,x) \xrightarrow[t \to 0]{} \sum_{i \to 0} \sum_{i} c_i(t) \mathcal{O}_i^R(x)$

an operator at t > 0

~t

 $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(t,x)$

 $t \rightarrow 0$ limit

remormalized operators of original theory

original 4-dim theory

Constructing EMT 1 Suzuki, 2013 $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(t,x) \xrightarrow[t \to 0]{} \sum_{i \to 0} \sum_{i} c_i(t) \mathcal{O}_i^R(x)$ $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(t,x)$ Gauge-invariant dimension 4 operators $\int U_{\mu\nu}(t,x) = G_{\mu\rho}(t,x)G_{\nu\rho}(t,x) - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\mu\nu}G_{\rho\sigma}(t,x)G_{\rho\sigma}(t,x)$ $E(t,x) = \frac{1}{4}G_{\rho\sigma}(t,x)G_{\rho\sigma}(t,x)$

Constructing EMT

Suzuki, 2013

$$U_{\mu\nu}(t,x) = \alpha_U(t) \left[T^R_{\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu\nu} T^R_{\rho\rho}(x) \right] + \mathcal{O}(t)$$
$$E(t,x) = \langle E(t,x) \rangle + \alpha_E(t) T^R_{\rho\rho}(x) + \mathcal{O}(t)$$
vacuum subtr.



Remormalized EMT

$$T^{R}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} \left[c_1(t) U_{\mu\nu}(t, x) + \delta_{\mu\nu} c_2(t) E(t, x)_{\text{subt.}} \right]$$

`SF*t*X method" (Small Flow *t*ime eXpansion)

Perturbative Coefficients



\Box Choice of the scale of g^2

 $c_1(t) = c_1\left(g^2(\mu(t))\right)$

Previous: $\mu_d(t) = 1/\sqrt{8t}$ Improved: $\mu_0(t) = 1/\sqrt{2e^{\gamma_E}t}$

Harlander+ (2018)

Gradient Flow for Fermions

$$\partial_t \psi(t, x) = D_\mu D_\mu \psi(t, x)$$
$$\partial_t \bar{\psi}(t, x) = \psi(t, x) \overleftarrow{D}_\mu \overleftarrow{D}_\mu$$
$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + A_\mu(t, x)$$

Luscher, 2013 Makino, Suzuki, 2014 Taniguchi+ (WHOT) 2016~

Not "gradient" flow but a "diffusion"-type equation.

Energy-momentum tensor from SFtX Makino, Suzuki, 2014

EMT in QCD

Makino, Suzuki (2014) Harlander+ (2018)

$$T_{\mu\nu}(t,x) = c_1(t)U_{\mu\nu}(t,x) + c_2(t)\delta_{\mu\nu} \left(E(t,x) - \langle E \rangle_0 \right) + c_3(t) \left(O_{3\mu\nu}(t,x) - 2O_{4\mu\nu}(t,x) - \text{VEV} \right) + c_4(t) \left(O_{4\mu\nu}(t,x) - \text{VEV} \right) + c_5(t) \left(O_{5\mu\nu}(t,x) - \text{VEV} \right)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} T_{\mu\mu}(t, x)$$

Contents



1. Constructing EMT through gradient flow

2. Thermodynamics

FlowQCD, PR**D90**,011501 (2014); PR**D94**, 114512 (2016) WHOT-QCD, PR**D96**, 014509 (2017); PR**D102**, 014510 (2020) Iritani+, PTEP **2019**, 023B02 (2019)

3. Casimir Effect & Pressure Anisotropy

MK, Mogliacci, Horowitz, Kolbe, PRD99, 094507 (2019)

0.5

FlowQCD

4. EMT Correlation Functions

FlowOCD, PRD96, 111502 (2017)

 $N_t = 16$

 $N_t = 12$

 $\Gamma/T_{c} = 1.12$

 $T/T_c = 1.68$ $T/T_c = 2.10$

 $T/T_{c} = 1.12$

5. Flux Tube

FlowQCD, PL**B789**, 210 (2019); Yanagihara, MK, PTEP**2019**, 093B02 (2019) $\frac{T/T_c = 1.40}{T/T_c = 1.68}$

6. Single-Quark System at $T \neq 0$

t Dependence





Iritani, MK, Suzuki, Takaura, PTEP 2019

Existence of "linear window" at intermediate t

14

Double Extrapolation $t \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 0$

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle_{\text{latt}} = \langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle_{\text{phys}} + \begin{bmatrix} C_{\mu\nu}t \\ D_{\mu\nu}(t) \frac{a^2}{t} \end{bmatrix}$$

O(t) terms in SFTE lattice discretization



Continuum extrapolation $\langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle_{\text{cont}} = \langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle_{\text{lat}} + C(t)a^2$

Small t extrapolation $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle + C't$



Iritani, MK, Suzuki, Takaura, PTEP 2019

■ Existence of "linear window" at intermediate t ■ Stable t→0 extrapolation ■ Systematic errors: fit range, uncertaintyof Λ (±3%), ...

T Dependence: Comparison



Systematic error: μ_0 or μ_d , Λ , t $\rightarrow 0$ function, fit range

Good agreement with other methods!
 Smaller statistics thanks to smearing by the flow

Alternative Extrapolation Method A: $a \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow 0$



Method B: $t \to 0, a \to 0$

t

□ Consistency check □ latent heat & pressure gap



B M

. Method A

Consistent result for two methods

2+1 QCD EoS from SFtX Method

WHOT-QCD, PR**D96** (2017); PR**D102** (2020)



Agreement with integral method
 Substantial suppression of statistical errors

m_{PS}/m_V ≈0.63

Physical mass: Kanaya+ (WHOT-QCD), 1910.13036

Contents

1. Constructing EMT through gradient flow 2.0

2. Thermodynamics

FlowQCD, PRD90,011501 (2014); PRD94, 114512 (2016) WHOT-QCD, PRD96, 014509 (2017); PRD102, 014510 (2020

\$ 6.0

LI(d

ω 5.0

Iritani+, PTEP 2019, 023B02 (2019)

'(a) SU(3) Yang-M

2.5

 $N_t = 16$

 $N_t = 12$

 $\Gamma/T_{c} = 1.12$

= 1.68 $T/T_c = 2.10$

 $T/T_c = 1.12$

3. Casimir Effect & Pressure Anisotro

MK, Mogliacci, Horowitz, Kolbe, PRD99, 094507

0.5

FlowQCD

4. EMT Correlation Functions

FlowQCD, PRD96, 111502 (2017)

free bosor

5. Flux Tube

 $T/T_c = 1.40$ FlowQCD, PLB789, 210 (2019); Yanagihara, MK, PTEP2019, 093B02 $T/T_c = 1.68$ $T/T_c = 2.10$

6. Single-Quark System at $T \neq 0$





attractive force between two conductive plates



Brown, Maclay 1969





Brown, Maclay 1969



Brown, Maclay 1969



Pressure Anisotropy @ T≠o



Pressure Anisotropy @ T≠o



MK, Mogliacci, Kolbe, Horowitz, PRD (2019)

Free scalar field $\Box L_2 = L_3 = \infty$ \Box Periodic BC Mogliacci+, 1807.07871

Lattice result

Periodic BC
 Only t→0 limit
 Error: stat.+sys.

Medium near T_c is remarkably insensitive to finite size!

Pressure Anisotropy @ T≠o



MK, Mogliacci, Kolbe, Horowitz, PRD (2019)

Free scalar field $\Box L_2 = L_3 = \infty$ \Box Periodic BC Mogliacci+, 1807.07871

Lattice result

Periodic BC
 Only t→0 limit
 Error: stat.+sys.

Medium near T_c is remarkably insensitive to finite size!

Energy densty / transverse P

Energy Density

Transverse Pressure P_z





Thermodynamics on the Lattice

Various Methods

□ Integral, differential, moving frame, non-equilibrium, ... □ rely on thermodynamic relations valid in V→∞ $P = \frac{T}{V} \ln Z$ $sT = \varepsilon + P$ Not applicable to anisotropic systems

 $\Box We employ SFtX Method$ $\varepsilon = \langle T_{00} \rangle \quad P = \langle T_{11} \rangle$

Components of EMT are directly accessible!

HigherT

High-T limit: massless free gluons How does the anisotropy approach this limit?

Difficulties

□ Vacuum subtraction requires large-volume simulations. □ Lattice spacing not available $\rightarrow c_1(t)$, $c_2(t)$ are not determined.

Ratio of Traceless Parts

$$\frac{P_x + \delta}{P_z + \delta} \qquad \delta = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu} T^{\rm E}_{\mu\mu}$$

Neither vacuum subtr. nor Suzuki coeffs. necessary!

 $\frac{P_x + \delta}{P_z + \delta}$



 $T/T_c \cong 8.1 (\beta = 8.0) / T/T_c \cong 25 (\beta = 9.0)$

Ratio slowly approaches the asymptotic value. But, large deviation still exists even at $T/T_c \sim 25$.

Contents

\$ 6.0 LI(d ω 5.0 1. Constructing EMT through gradient flow 2.0 2.5

'(a) SU(3) Yang-M

2. Thermodynamics

FlowQCD, PRD90,011501 (2014); PRD94, 114512 (2016) WHOT-QCD, PRD96, 014509 (2017); PRD102, 014510 (2020 Iritani+, PTEP 2019, 023B02 (2019

3. Casimir Effect & Pressure Anisotro

MK, Mogliacci, Horowitz, Kolbe, PRD99, 094507

0.5

FlowQCD

4. EMT Correlation Functions

FlowQCD, PRD96, 111502 (2017)

free bosor

 $N_t = 16$

 $N_t = 12$

 $T/T_c = 1.12$

c = 1.68 $T/T_c = 2.10$

 $T/T_c = 1.12$

5. Flux Tube

 $T/T_c = 1.40$ FlowQCD, PLB789, 210 (2019); Yanagihara, MK, PTEP2019, 093B02 (2019) $T/T_c = 1.68$ $T/T_c = 2.10$

6. Single-Quark System at $T \neq 0$

EMT Correlator: Motivation

Viscosity

Kubo formula \rightarrow viscosity $\eta = \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^{1/T} d\tau \int d^3x \langle T_{12}(x, -i\tau)T_{12}(0, t) \rangle$ Karsch, Wyld, 1987 Nakamura, Sakai, 2005 Meyer, 2007; 2008

Borsanyi+, 2018 Astrakhantsev+, 2018

00

Energy/Momentum Conservation $\langle \bar{T}_{0\mu}(\tau) \bar{T}_{\rho\sigma}(0) \rangle$: τ-independent constant

■ Fluctuation-Response Relations

 $c_V = \frac{\langle \delta E^2 \rangle}{VT^2}$

$$\varepsilon + p = \frac{\langle \bar{T}_{01}^2 \rangle}{VT} = \frac{\langle \bar{T}_{11}\bar{T}_{11}}{VT}$$



Suppression of errors at large τ τ -independent plateau ← energy conservation small τ region: not reliable due to smearing $\tau > 2\sqrt{2t}$



τ-independent plateau in all channels → conservation law
 Confirmation of fluctuation-response relations
 New method to measure c_v

Similar result for (41;41) channel: Borsanyi+, 2018
 Perturbative analysis: Eller, Moore, 2018






Confirmation of FRR $\varepsilon + p = \frac{\langle \bar{T}_{01}^2 \rangle}{VT} = \frac{\langle \bar{T}_{11} \bar{T}_{00} \rangle}{VT}$

2+1 QCD: WHOT-QCD, 1711.02262

Fluctuation-Response Relations

$\langle T_{44}(\tau)T_{44}(0)\rangle$ $T/T_c = 2.24$ 30 continuum Range-1 25 Range-2 $C_{44;\ 44}(1/2T)$ Range-3 $N_t = 24$ 20 $N_t = 16$ $N_t = 12$ **6** 15 × . 10 0.005 0.000 0.010 0.015 tT^2

fluctuation of energy ~ specific heat $c_V = \frac{\langle \delta E^2 \rangle}{VT^2}$

New measurement of c_v

[11] Borsanyi+ (2012) [19] Gavai+ (2005) T/T_c $C_{44;44}$ 1.68 17.7(8)(2.24 17.5(0.8)

c_V/T^3								
$T/T_{\rm c}$	$C_{44;44}(\tau_m)$	Ref.[19]	Ref.[11]	ideal gas				
1.68	$17.7(8)(^{+2.1}_{-0.4})$	$22.8(7)^*$	17.7	21.06				
2.24	$17.5(0.8)(^{+0}_{-0.1})$	$17.9(7)^{**}$	18.2	21.06				

Contents

- 1. Constructing EMT through gradient flow 7/7 20 2.5
- 2. Thermodynamics

FlowQCD, PR**D90**,011501 (2014); PR**D94**, 114512 (2016) WHOT-QCD, PR**D96**, 014509 (2017); PR**D102**, 014510 (2020) Iritani+, PTEP **2019**, 023B02 (2019)

\$ 6.0

LI(d

ω

3. Casimir Effect & Pressure Anisotropy

MK, Mogliacci, Horowitz, Kolbe, PRD99, 094507 (2019)

0.5

FlowQCD

4. EMT Correlation Functions

FlowQCD, PRD96, 111502 (2017)

=1.12

 $/I_c' = 2.10$

 $T/T_c = 1.12$

 $T/T_c = 1.68$ $T/T_c = 2.10$

 $V_{t} = 12$

'(a) SU(3) Yang-M

5. Flux Tube

FlowQCD, PL**B789**, 210 (2019); Yanagihara, MK, PTEP**2019**, 093B02 (2019) $T/T_c = 1.40$

6. Single-Quark System at $T \neq 0$

Force



Local interaction



Faraday 1839



Maxwell Stress

(in Maxwell Theory)

$$\sigma_{ij} = \varepsilon_0 E_i E_j + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_i B_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \left(\varepsilon_0 E^2 + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B^2 \right)$$

$$\vec{E} = (E, 0, 0)$$
$$T_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -E^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Parallel to field: Pulling
 Vertical to field: Pushing



(in Maxwell Theory)



Definite physical meaning

Distortion of field, line of the field

Propagation of the force as local interaction

Quark-Anti-quark System

Formation of the flux tube \rightarrow confinement



Previous Studies on Flux Tube

Potential
Action density
Color-electric field

so many studies...





Cardoso+ (2013)

Stress Tensor in $Q\overline{Q}$ System



FlowQCD, PLB (2019)

Lattice simulation SU(3) Yang-Mills a=0.029 fm R=0.69 fm t/a²=2.0

pulling

pushing

Definite physical meaning
Distortion of field, line of the field
Propagation of the force as local interaction
Manifestly gauge invariant

SU(3) YM vs Maxwell

SU(3) Yang-Mills (quantum)

Maxwell (classical)



Propagation of the force is clearly different in YM and Maxwell theories!

Stress Distribution on Mid-Plane

From rotational symm. & parity

EMT is diagonalized in Cylindrical Coordinates

$$T_{cc'}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} T_{rr} \\ T_{\theta\theta} \\ T_{zz} \\ T_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $T_{rr} = \vec{e}_r^T T \vec{e}_r$ $T_{\theta\theta} = \vec{e}_{\theta}^T T \vec{e}_{\theta}$

Degeneracy in Maxwell theory

 $\vec{e_r}$

 $T_{rr} = T_{\theta\theta} = -T_{zz} = -T_{44}$

Mid-Plane



Degeneracy: T₄₄ ~ T_{zz}, T_{rr} ~ T_{\thetaθ}
 Separation: T_{zz} ≠ T_{rr}
 Nonzero trace anomaly $\sum T_{cc} \neq 0$

Mid-Plane



Degeneracy: T₄₄ ~ T_{zz}, T_{rr} ~ T_{\thetaθ}
 Separation: T_{zz} ≠ T_{rr}
 Nonzero trace anomaly $\sum T_{cc} \neq 0$

Momentum Conservation

Yanagihara, MK, PTEP2019

In cylindrical coordinats,

$$\partial_i T_{ij} = 0 \longrightarrow \partial_r (rT_{rr}) = T_{\theta\theta} - r\partial_z T_{rz}$$

For infinitely-long flux tube

 $\partial_r(rT_{rr}) = T_{\theta\theta}$

 \Box T_{rr} and T_{$\theta\theta$} must separate!

Effect of boundaries is not negligible at R=0.92fm









Force from Stress

 $F_{\rm stress} = \int_{\rm mid.} d^2 x T_{zz}(x)$



Newton 1687 51



Faraday 1839



Dual Superconductor Picture

Nambu, 1970 Nielsen, Olesen, 1973 t 'Hooft, 1981



Abelian-Higgs Model

Yanagihara, MK, 2019

Abelian-Higgs Model

 $\mathcal{L}_{AH} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2 + |(\partial_{\mu} + igA_{\mu})\phi|^2 - \lambda(\phi^2 - v^2)^2$

GL parameter: $\kappa = \sqrt{\lambda}/g$ **U** type-I: $\kappa < 1/\sqrt{2}$ **U** type-II: $\kappa > 1/\sqrt{2}$ **D** Bogomol'nyi bound: $\kappa = 1/\sqrt{2}$

Infinitely long tube degeneracy $T_{zz}(r) = T_{44}(r)$ Luscher, 1981 momentum conservation $\frac{d}{dr}(rT_{rr}) = T_{\theta\theta}$

Stress Tensor in AH Model infinitely-long flux tube



No degeneracy bw T_{rr} & T_{θθ}
T_{θθ} changes sign

Inconsistent with lattice result $T_{rr} \simeq T_{\theta\theta}$

Flux Tube with Finite Length

Yanagihara, MK (2019)



 AH model can reproduce lattice results qualitatively by tuning parameters.
 But, quantitatively all parameters are rejected.

EMT Distr. in Simple Systems Talk by H. Ito soon later ϕ^4 Theory in 1+1d \Box Soliton (kink)

Quantum effect on EMT at 1-loop order

 $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\phi^2 - \frac{m^2}{\lambda} \right)^2 \qquad \phi(x) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \tanh \frac{mx}{\sqrt{2}}$



Confirmation of EMT conservation $\partial_x T_{11}(x) = 0$

Contents

- 1. Constructing EMT through gradient flow 7/7 2.0 2.5
- 2. Thermodynamics

FlowQCD, PR**D90**,011501 (2014); PR**D94**, 114512 (2016) WHOT-QCD, PR**D96**, 014509 (2017); PR**D102**, 014510 (2020) Iritani+, PTEP **2019**, 023B02 (2019)

6.0

LI(d

ω

3. Casimir Effect & Pressure Anisotropy

MK, Mogliacci, Horowitz, Kolbe, PRD99, 094507 (2019)

FlowQCD, PRD102,

0.5

4. EMT Correlation Functions

FlowQCD, PRD96, 111502 (2017)

 $N_t = 16$

 $T/T_{c} = 1.12$

= 2.10

1.12

 $T_c = 1.40$ $T_c = 1.68$ $T_c = 2.10$

'(a) SU(3) Yang-M

5. Flux Tube

FlowQCD, PL**B789**, 210 (2019); Yanagihara, MK, PTEP**2019**, 093B02 (2019) $T/T_c = 1.40$

6. Single-Quark System at $T \neq 0$

Stress Tensor around A Quark in a deconfined phase





Pressure inside Hadrons EMT distribution inside hadrons now accessible??

Pressure @ proton

EMT distribution @ pion





Kumano, Song, Teryaev (2018)

Nature, 557, 396 (2018) Shanahan, Detmold (2019)

EMT Around a Static Q

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} = \frac{\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x) \operatorname{Tr}\Omega(0) \rangle}{\langle \operatorname{Tr}\Omega(0) \rangle} - \langle T_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle$$

EMT-Polyakov loop correlation
 Gauge invariant
 Z₃ symmetry has to be broken

EMT by SF*t*X method

Ω: Polyakov loop



Lattice Setup

Ω: Polyakov loop

SU(3) Yang-Mills (Quenched)
 Wilson gauge action
 Clover operator

Analysis above Tc
 Simulation on a Z₃ minimum
 EMT around a Polyakov loop

 $\langle O(x) \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} = \frac{\langle \delta O(x) \delta \Omega(0) \rangle}{\langle \Omega(0) \rangle}$

continuum extrapolation

T/T_c	N_s	N_{τ}	β	$a [\mathrm{fm}]$	$N_{\rm conf}$
1.20	40	10	6.336	0.0551	500
	48	12	6.467	0.0460	650
	56	14	6.581	0.0394	840
	64	16	6.682	0.0344	$1,\!000$
	72	18	6.771	0.0306	$1,\!000$
1.44	40	10	6.465	0.0461	500
	48	12	6.600	0.0384	650
	56	14	6.716	0.0329	840
	64	16	6.819	0.0288	$1,\!000$
	72	18	6.910	0.0256	$1,\!000$
2.00	40	10	6.712	0.0331	500
	48	12	6.853	0.0275	650
	56	14	6.973	0.0236	840
	64	16	7.079	0.0207	$1,\!000$
	72	18	7.173	0.0184	$1,\!000$
2.60	40	10	6.914	0.0255	500
	48	12	7.058	0.0212	650
	56	14	7.182	0.0182	840
	64	16	7.290	0.0159	$1,\!000$
	72	18	7.387	0.0141	$1,\!000$

Spherical Coordinates

EMT is diagonalized in Spherical Coordinates





$\square Maxwell theory$ $T_{44} = T_{rr} = -T_{\theta\theta} = -\frac{|\mathbf{E}|^2}{2} = -\frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \frac{1}{r^4}$

Stress Tensor Around a Quark



 $T=1.44T_{c}$



Suppression at large distance
 Separation of different channels
 |T₄₄| > |T_{rr}| ~ |T_{θθ}|



Stress Tensor Around a Quark



Perturbative Analysis

M. Berwein, private comm.

Perturbation

Lattice



Perturbation: Combination of NLO pert. + NLO EQCD □ |T₄₄| > |T_{rr}| is reproduced by perturbation.
 □ Hierarchy of T_{rr}, T_{θθ} does not match?

r Dependence



Increase at short r / suppression at larger r
 T dependence is suppressed at r < 1/T
 Too noisy at large r for extracting screening mass m_D

 $r \, [\mathrm{fm}]$

Running Coupling

D Estimate of α_s

$$\left|\frac{\langle T_{\mu\mu}\rangle}{\langle \mathcal{T}_{44,rr,\theta\theta}(r)\rangle}\right| = \frac{11}{2\pi}\alpha_s + \mathcal{O}(g^3),$$

 at the leading-order perturbation theory
 channel dependent



 All results are approximately consistent with the estimate from QQ potential

Kaczmarek, Karsch, Zantow, 2004



Summary

Successful analysis of energy-momentum tensor on the lattice is now available, and various studies are ongoing!
 SFtX (gradient flow) method
 significant error suppression



So many future studies
 Flux tube at nonzero temperature
 EMT distribution inside hadrons
 viscosity / other operators / topology / QCD





EMT on the Lattice: Conventional

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Lattice EMT Operator}_{\text{Caracciolo+, 1990}} \\ & T_{\mu\nu} = Z_6 T_{\mu\nu}^{[6]} + Z_3 T_{\mu\nu}^{[3]} + Z_1 \left(T_{\mu\nu}^{[1]} - \left\langle T_{\mu\nu}^{[1]} \right\rangle \right) \\ & T_{\mu\nu}^{[6]} = (1 - \delta_{\mu\nu}) F_{\mu\rho}^a F_{\nu\rho}^a, \ T_{\mu\nu}^{[3]} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \left(F_{\mu\rho}^a F_{\nu\rho}^a - \frac{1}{4} F_{\rho\sigma}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^a \right), \ T_{\mu\nu}^{[1]} = \delta_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^a \end{aligned}$

Determination of Zs

Fit to thermodynamics: Z₃, Z₁
 Shifted-boundary method: Z₆, Z₃
 Full QCD with fermions
 Brida, Giusti, Pepe, 2014~; Borsanyi+, 2018

SFtX Method


Two Special Cases with PBC $1/T \ll L_x = L_y = L_z$ $1/T = L_x, \ L_y = L_z$ $\frac{1}{T}$ L_y, L_z $\overline{L_y}, \ \overline{L_z}$ L_x $T_{11} = T_{22} = T_{33}$ $T_{44} = T_{11}, \ T_{22} = T_{33}$ In conformal ($\Sigma_{u}T_{uu}=0$) $\underline{p_1}$ 1 p_2 p_2

Fermion Propagator $S(t, x; s, y) = \langle \chi(t, x) \overline{\chi}(s, y) \rangle$ $= \sum_{v, w} K(t, x; 0, v) S(v, w) K(s, y; 0, w)^{\dagger}$

$$\left(\partial_t - D_\mu D_\mu\right) K(t, x) = 0$$

- propagator of flow equation
- Inverse propagator is needed





N_f=2+1 QCD Thermodynamics

Taniguchi+ (WHOT-QCD), PR**D96**, 014509 (2017)

- N_f=2+1 QCD, Iwasaki gauge + NP-clover
- m_{PS}/m_V ≈0.63 / almost physical s quark mass
- T=0: CP-PACS+JLQCD (ß=2.05, 28³x56, a≈0.07fm)
- T>0: 32³xN_t, N_t = 4, 6, ..., 14, 16):
- T≈174-697MeV
- $t \rightarrow o$ extrapolation only (No continuum limit)



Numerical Setup

SU(3)YM theoryWilson gauge action

 $N_t = 16, 12$ $N_z/N_t = 6$ $2000 \sim 4000$ confs.
Even N_x

No Continuum extrap.

Same Spatial volume

- 12X72²X12 ~ 16X96²X16
- 18x72²x12 ~ 24x96²x16

T/T_c	β	N_z	$N_{ au}$	N_x	$N_{\rm vac}$
1.12	6.418	72	12	12, 14, 16, 18	64
	6.631	96	16	16, 18, 20, 22, 24	- 96
1.40	6.582	72	12	12, 14, 16, 18	64
	6.800	96	16	16, 18, 20, 22, 24	128
1.68	6.719	72	12	12, 14, 16, 18, 24	64
	6.719	96	12	14, 18	64
	6.941	96	16	16, 18, 20, 22, 24	- 96
2.10	6.891	72	12	12, 14, 16, 18, 24	72
	7.117	96	16	16, 18, 20, 22, 24	128
2.69	7.086	72	12	12, 14, 16, 18	-
$\simeq 8.1$	8.0	72	12	12, 14, 16, 18	-
$\simeq 25$	9.0	72	12	12, 14, 16, 18	-

Simulations on OCTOPUS/Reedbush

Extrapolations $t \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 0$ $\langle T_{\mu\nu}(t)\rangle_{\text{latt}} = \langle T_{\mu\nu}(t)\rangle_{\text{phys}} + C_{\mu\nu}t + \left[D_{\mu\nu}(t)\frac{a^2}{t}\right]$ O(t) terms in SFTE lattice discretization FlowQCD2016 **This Study** 🖉 Small t extrapol. 存 Continuum strong strong discretization discretization effect effect

Small-t Extrapolation $T/T_c = 1.68$



•
$$P_x$$
, • P_z , $L_1T = 3/2$
• P_x , • P_z , $L_1T = 9/8$
• P_x , • P_z , $L_1T = 1$

Filled: N_t=16 / Open: N_t=12

Small-t extrapolation

- Solid: N_t=16, Range-1
- Dotted: N_t=16, Range-2,3
- Dashed: N_t=12, Range-1

Stable small-t extrapolation
No N_t dependence within statistics for L_xT=1, 1.5

Small-t Extrapolation $T/T_c = 1.68$



•
$$P_x$$
, • P_z , $L_1T = 3/2$
• P_x , • P_z , $L_1T = 9/8$
• P_x , • P_z , $L_1T = 1$

Filled: N_t=16 / Open: N_t=12

Small-t extrapolation

- Solid: N_t=16, Range-1
- Dotted: N_t=16, Range-2,3
- Dashed: N_t=12, Range-1

Stable small-t extrapolation
No N_t dependence within statistics for L_xT=1, 1.5

Lattice Setup

FlowQCD, PLB (2019)

SU(3) Yang-Mills (Quenched)
 Wilson gauge action
 Clover operator

EMT around Wilson LoopAPE smearing / multi-hit

fine lattices (a=0.029-0.06 fm)
 continuum extrapolation

□ Simulation: bluegene/Q@KEK $\langle O(x) \rangle_{Q\bar{Q}} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\langle \delta O(x) \delta W(R,T) \rangle}{\langle W(R,T) \rangle}$

β	a [fm]	$N_{ m size}^4$	$N_{\rm conf}$		R/a	
6.304	0.058	48^{4}	140	8	12	16
6.465	0.046	48^{4}	440	10	—	20
6.513	0.043	48^{4}	600	_	16	_
6.600	0.038	48^{4}	1,500	12	18	24
6.819	0.029	64^{4}	$1,\!000$	16	24	32
		R	[fm]	0.46	0.69	0.92



Continuum Extrapolation at mid-point



 \Box a \rightarrow 0 extrapolation with fixed t



t→0 Extrapolation at mid-point



□ a→0 extrapolation with fixed t
□ Then, t→0 with three ranges





Double Extrapolation $t \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 0$

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle_{\text{latt}} = \langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle_{\text{phys}} + \frac{C_{\mu\nu}t}{C_{\mu\nu}t} + \frac{D_{\mu\nu}(t)\frac{a^2}{t}}{t}$$

O(t) terms in SFTE lattice discretization

Continuum extrapolation

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle_{\text{cont}} = \langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle_{\text{lat}} + C(t)a^2$$

Small t extrapolation $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \langle T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle + C't$



Stress = Force per Unit Area

Pressure



 $\vec{P} = P\vec{n}$



Stress = Force per Unit Area

Pressure

Generally, F and n are not parallel



Stress Tensor in AH Model infinitely-long flux tube

Bogomol'nyi bound : $\kappa = 1/\sqrt{2}$



 $T_{rr}=T_{ heta heta}=0$ de Vega, Schaposnik, PR**D14**, 1100 (1976).

86

Stress Tensor in AH Model infinitely-long flux tube



No degeneracy bw T_{rr} & T_{θθ}
T_{θθ} changes sign

conservation law $\frac{d}{dr}(rT_{rr}) = T_{\theta\theta}$