# Real & Virtual Experiments to Explore Non-Perturbative Aspects of QCD

Masakiyo Kitazawa (from Osaka U., Aug. '22)

YITP Lunch Seminar, 2022/10/19

## QCD

### Fundamental Theory of Strong Interaction



2

Complemental use of experiments, simulations, as well as theories, is indispensable!

## **QCD** Phase Diagram



## OCD Phase Diagram



## Two "Experimental" Tools to explore hot & dense medium

## Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions



## Lattice QCD Numerical Simulations



#### Real Experiment "HIC"

Virtual Experiment "LAT"

Their complementary use is crucial!

#### Topic 1

# Experimental Search for OCD Phase Structure

## **Beam-Energy Scan**



baryon chemical potential

## **Event-by-event Fluctuations**



Cumulants  $\langle \delta N_p^2 \rangle, \langle \delta N_p^3 \rangle, \langle \delta N_p^4 \rangle_c$ 

## Non-Gaussian Cumulants

 $\langle \delta N_B^2 \rangle$ 

0

 $\langle \delta N^3$ 

0.8

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

μ<sub>B</sub> [GeV]

0.6

0.4

0.2

0 150

100



$$\langle N^3 \rangle = T \frac{\partial \langle \partial H \rangle}{\partial \mu}$$

Asakawa, Ejiri, MK, 2009

Steeper divergence for higher-order cumulants Stephanov, 2009

## Proton Number Cumulants in HIC

 $\langle N_p^3 \rangle_c / \langle N_p^2 \rangle_c$ 

 $\langle N_p^4 \rangle_c / \langle N_p^2 \rangle_c$ 



STAR, PRC 2020 [2001.06419]

Nonzero and non-Poissonian cumulants are experimentally established.

## Time Evolution of Cumulants



Proper understanding of the time evolution of fluctuations is indispensable.

## Rapidity Window Dependence in Diffusion Models

#### **Higher order cumulants**

in diffusion master equation MK+, PLB ('14); MK, NPA ('15)

#### **D** Evolution near CP

in stochastic diffusion equation

 $(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ 



Pihan+, 2205.12834



 $\Box$  Non-monotonic  $\Delta y$  dependence can emerge reflecting the dynamical history.

# Measurement of Soft Modes using (virtual) Photon Emission



Nishimura, MK, Kunihiro, PTEP '22; in prep.

2nd-order PT atQCD-CPColor superconductivity

**Formation of soft modes** fluctuation of order parameter

#### **Photon emission?**



$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) =$$



## **Dilepton Production Rates**



Diquark fluctuations give rise to anomalous enhancement in the low energy-momentum region for  $T < 1.5T_c$ .

## Multi-Messenger Observation



#### Topic 2

# QCD Phase Structure at Unphysical Quark Masses



# Varying Quark Masses at $\mu_q=0$

Columbia plot = order of phase tr. at  $\mu = 0$ 



**Phase Diagram** on the  $T - m_q$  plane



u,d (degenerate) quark mass

Various orders of phase transition with variation of  $m_q$  .

# Finite-Size Scaling / Binder Cumulant



Sudden change of B<sub>4</sub> is smeared by the finite-size effect.
 B<sub>4</sub> obtained for various V has crossing at t = 0.
 At the crossing point, B<sub>4</sub> = 1.604 in Z<sub>2</sub> universality class.

# **Binder-Cumulant Analysis**

#### Light-quark region

Kuramashi, Nakamura, Ohno, Takeda, '20



# Cuteri, Philipsen, Schön, Sciarra, '21

Heavy-quark region



Statistically-significant deviation of the crossing point from the 3d-Ising value.
 Large non-singular contribution?



## Numerical Simulation

Kiyohara, MK, Ejiri, Kanaya, PRD, 2021

□ Coarse lattice:  $N_t = 4$ □ But large spatial volume:  $LT = N_s / N_t \le 12$ 

Hopping-param. (~1/m<sub>q</sub>) expansion
 Monte-Calro with LO action
 High statistical analysis



#### Simulation params.

lattice size	$\beta^*$	$\lambda$	$\kappa^{N_{\mathrm{f}}=2}$
$48^3 \times 4$	5.6869	0.004	0.0568
	5.6861	0.005	0.0601
	5.6849	0.006	0.0629
$40^3 \times 4,  36^3 \times 4$	5.6885	0.003	0.0529
	5.6869	0.004	0.0568
	5.6861	0.005	0.0601
	5.6849	0.006	0.0629
	5.6837	0.007	0.0653
$32^3 \times 4$	5.6885	0.003	0.0529
	5.6865	0.004	0.0568
	5.6861	0.005	0.0601
	5.6845	0.006	0.0629
	5.6837	0.007	0.0653
$24^3 \times 4$	5.6870	0.0038	0.0561
	5.6820	0.0077	0.0669
	5.6780	0.0115	0.0740

# Binder-Cumular Seminar in Kyoto-NT group 15:00 Today, Physics bldg. 5F

Kiyohara, MK, Ejiri, Kanaya, PRD, 2021



 $\nu = 0.630$ Z2  $B_4 = 1.604$  $LT \ge 9$   $B_4 = 1.630(24)(2), \nu = 0.614(48)(3)$  $LT \ge 8$   $B_4 = 1.643(15)(2), \nu = 0.614(29)(3)$ 

 $\square$   $B_4$  and  $\nu$  are consistent with  $Z_2$  universality class only when  $LT \ge 9$  data are used for the analysis.

#### Topic 3

# Lattice Simulations with Energy-Momentum Tensor



## **Eneargy-Momentum Tensor**



#### **D**EMT operator on the lattice

Naïve definition is problematic due to violation of translational symmetry on the lattice.
 EMT operator defined through the gradient flow Suzuki, 2013; FlowQCD, 2014~; WHOT-QCD, 2016~

## Thermodynamics: $\varepsilon = \langle T_{00} \rangle$ , $p = \langle T_{11} \rangle$ FlowQCD, 2014; 2016;

#### **Conventional**

from thermodynamic relations  $p = \frac{T}{V} \ln Z$   $T \frac{\partial (p/T^4)}{\partial T} = \frac{\varepsilon - 3p}{T^4}$ 

#### **Our method (SFtX)** expectation value of $T_{\mu\nu}$

 $\varepsilon = \langle T_{00} \rangle$  $p = \langle T_{11} \rangle$ 

Thermodynamics quantities measured by completely different method agrees within 1% level.



Iritani, MK, Suzuki, Takaura, 2019

# **EMT** Distribution in Localized Systems

EMT distribution inside hadrons now accessible??

#### Pressure @ proton



Nature, 557, 396 (2018)

#### EMT distribution @ pion



Kumano, Song, Teryaev (2018)



Measurement will be refined at the EIC.

# Stress Tensor in $Q\overline{Q}$ System



FlowQCD, PLB (2019)

Lattice simulation SU(3) Yang-Mills a=0.029 fm R=0.69 fm t/a<sup>2</sup>=2.0

pulling

pushing

Definite physical meaning
Distortion of field, line of field
Propagation of the force as local interaction
Manifestly gauge invariant

## Maxwell Stress

(in Maxwell Theory)

$$\sigma_{ij} = \varepsilon_0 E_i E_j + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_i B_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \left( \varepsilon_0 E^2 + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B^2 \right)$$

$$\vec{E} = (E, 0, 0)$$
$$T_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -E^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Parallel to field: Pulling
 Vertical to field: Pushing



## SU(3) YM vs Maxwell

#### SU(3) Yang-Mills (quantum)

Maxwell (classical)



Propagation of the force is clearly different in YM and Maxwell theories!

## Mid-Plane



Degeneracy: T<sub>44</sub> ~ T<sub>zz</sub>, T<sub>rr</sub> ~ T<sub>\thetaθ</sub>
 Separation: T<sub>zz</sub> ≠ T<sub>rr</sub>
 Nonzero trace anomaly  $\sum T_{cc} \neq 0$ 

# Flux Tube in Dual SC Picture

Yanagihara, MK (2019)

0.5

0.6



#### **EMT in Abelian-Higgs model**

AH model can reproduce lattice results **qualitatively**. But, all parameters are rejected quantitatively.

## Quantum Effects?

Classical vortex is unstable against quantum fluctuations
 Quantum effects give rise to
 Luscher term in potential Luscher (1981)
 Fattening of the tube Luscher, Munster, Weisz (1981)



#### How do these effects modify EMT distribution?

## EMT Distr. in Simple Systems Ito, MK, in prep.

## 

#### **Quantum effect on EMT at 1-loop order**



Confirmation of EMT conservation  $\partial_x T_{11}(x) = 0$ 

## **Final Comment**

Relativistic HIC and lattice simulations are invaluable tools for revealing non-perturbative aspects of QCD. Active researches are ongoing.

Looking forward to exchanging research ideas with you!







## Maxwell Stress (in Maxwell Theory)



Definite physical meaning Distortion of field, line of the field Propagation of the force as local interaction

## Columbia Plot = order of phase tr. at $\mu = 0$



36