General relativistic viscous hydrodynamics of differentially rotating neutron stars
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I. INTRODUCTION

The recent discoveries of two-solar mass neutron stars [1] imply that the equation of state of neutron stars has to be stiff enough to support the self-gravity of the neutron stars with mass $\gtrsim 2 M_\odot$. Numerical-relativity simulations with stiff equations of state that provide the maximum neutron-star mass larger than $2 M_\odot$ have shown that massive neutron stars surrounded by a massive torus are likely to be the canonical remnants formed after the merger of binary neutron stars of typical total mass 2.6–2.7 $M_\odot$ (see, e.g., Refs. [2,3]). Because a shear layer is inevitably formed on the contact surface of two neutron stars at the onset of the merger, the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability [4,5] is activated and the resulting vortex motion is likely to quickly amplify the magnetic-field strength toward $\gtrsim 10^{16}$ G. In addition, because the remnant massive neutron stars and a torus surrounding them are in general differentially rotating and magnetized, they are subject to magnetorotational instability (MRI) [6]. As shown by a number of high-resolution magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) simulations for accretion disks (see, e.g., Refs. [7–9]), MHD turbulence is likely to be induced for differentially rotating systems and its effect determine the subsequent evolution of the system (see also Ref. [10] for a possibly significant role of neutrinos for relatively low-magnetic field cases). As a result, (i) angular momentum is likely to be transported outward and thermal energy is generated by dissipating rotational kinetic energy in the massive neutron star and surrounding torus and (ii) a massive hot torus is likely to be further developed around the massive neutron stars.

For exploring MHD processes and the resulting turbulent state for the remnants of binary neutron-star mergers, nonaxisymmetric (extremely) high-resolution simulation is necessary if we rely entirely on a MHD simulation (see, e.g., Ref. [5] for an effort on this). The reasons for this are that the wavelength for the fastest growing modes of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability and MRI is much shorter than the stellar size for the typical magnetic-field strength ($\sim 10^{11}$–$10^{13}$ G), and in addition, the MHD turbulence is preserved only in a nonaxisymmetric environment: Here, note that in axisymmetric systems, the turbulence is not preserved for a long time scale according to the antidynamo theorem [11]. This implies that we would need a huge computational cost for studying realistic evolution of the merger remnants of binary neutron stars (see, e.g., Ref. [5]), and it is practically not an easy task to obtain a comprehensive picture for the evolution of this system by systematically performing a large number of MHD simulations changing neutron-star models and the magnetic-field profiles. To date, this problem has not been solved because the well-resolved MHD simulation has not been done yet. One phenomenological approach for exploring the evolution of differentially rotating systems such as the merger remnants is to employ viscous hydrodynamics in general relativity [12]. The global-scale viscosity is likely to be effectively generated through the development of the turbulent state induced by the local MHD processes, and thus, relying on the viscous hydrodynamics implies that we employ a phenomenological approach, averaging (coarse graining) the local MHD and turbulence processes.
A demerit in this approach is that we have to artificially input the viscous coefficient, which would be naturally determined in the MHD simulations. Thus, we cannot obtain the real answer by one simulation in this approach. We can at best obtain answers for given values of the viscous coefficient, which has to be varied for a wide range to obtain a possible variety of the answers. However, we also have several merits in this approach. First, we may perform an axisymmetric simulation to follow the long-term transport processes. We also would not need extremely high-resolution simulations in this approach, because we do not have to consider short-wavelength MHD instabilities. Thus, we can reduce the computational costs significantly, and hence, with relatively small computational costs, we are able to systematically explore the phenomenological evolution of differentially rotating systems including differentially rotating neutron stars, a torus surrounding them, and black hole-torus systems.

One caveat for employing viscous hydrodynamics in relativity is that it could violate the causality if we choose an inappropriate set of the basic equations. Indeed, in relativistic Navier-Stokes-type equations [12,13] in which basic equations are parabolic type, the causality is violated. On the other hand, if we employ Israel-Stewart-type formulations [14], the resulting equation is not parabolic type but telegraph type, and hence, the causality is preserved [15]. In this paper, we employ a simplified version of the Israel-Stewart formulation to incorporate shear-viscosity effects neglecting the bulk viscosity and other transport processes. It is shown that in this case, the hydrodynamics equations are significantly simplified and they can be numerically solved in a method quite similar to those for pure hydrodynamics, while the major effects of the shear viscosity can be qualitatively captured.

The primary purpose of this paper is to show that our choice of viscous hydrodynamics formalism works well for long-term simulations of differentially rotating systems. We perform simulations for rotating neutron stars surrounded by a torus for a long time scale, focusing in particular on the long-term mass ejection process from the torus. In this paper, we do not take into account detailed microphysics effects such as neutrino transport and we focus only on the purely viscous hydrodynamics. We plan to present the results of more detailed studies incorporating microphysics effects in a future publication.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we describe our formulation for simplified shear-viscous hydrodynamics. In Sec. III, we apply our formulation to an axisymmetric general-relativistic simulation for a differentially rotating neutron star, and show that with a plausible shear viscosity, an outflow may be driven from a massive neutron star and a torus surrounding it that are the typical outcomes of binary neutron star mergers. Section IV is devoted to a summary. Throughout this paper, we employ the units of $c = 1 = G$ where $c$ and $G$ are the speed of light and gravitational constant, respectively.

A. Viscous hydrodynamics for the general case

We write the stress-energy tensor of viscous fluid as

$$T_{ab} = \rho u^a u_b + P g_{ab} - \rho \nu \epsilon^0_{ab},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where $\rho$ is the rest-mass density, $h$ is the specific enthalpy, $u^a$ is the four velocity, $P$ is the pressure, $g_{ab}$ is the spacetime metric, $\nu$ is the viscous coefficient for the shear stress, and $\epsilon^0_{ab}$ is the viscous tensor. In terms of the specific energy $e$ and pressure $P$, $h$ is written as $h = 1 + e + P/\rho$. $\epsilon^0_{ab}$ is a symmetric tensor and satisfies $\epsilon^0_{ab} u^a = 0$. We suppose that $\nu$ is a function of $\rho$, $e$, and $P$ and give the relation below.

Taking into account the prescription of Ref. [14], we assume that $\epsilon^0_{ab}$ obeys the following evolution equation:

$$\mathcal{L}_u \epsilon^0_{ab} = -\zeta (\epsilon^0_{ab} - \sigma_{ab}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

where $\mathcal{L}_u$ denotes the Lie derivative with respect to $u^a$, and we set $\sigma_{ab}$ as

$$\sigma_{ab} := h_a c h^d_a (\nabla_c u_d + \nabla_d u_c) = \mathcal{L}_u h_{ab},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

with $h_{ab} = g_{ab} + u_a u_b$ and $\nabla_a$ being the covariant derivative associated with $g_{ab}$. By introducing Eq. (2.2), the viscous hydrodynamics equation becomes a telegraph-type equation [14,15]. Here, $\zeta$ is a nonzero constant of (time)$^{-1}$ dimension and it has to be chosen in an appropriate manner so as for $\epsilon^0_{ab}$ to approach $\sigma_{ab}$ in a short time scale because it is reasonable to suppose that $\epsilon^0_{ab}$ should approach $\sigma_{ab}$ in a microphysical time scale. Thus, we typically choose it so that $\zeta^{-1}$ is shorter than the dynamical time scale of given systems (but it should be much longer than the time-step interval of numerical simulations, $\Delta t$, in the practical computation).

Equation (2.2) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_u \tau_{ab} = -\zeta \epsilon^0_{ab},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

where $\tau_{ab} := \epsilon^0_{ab} - \zeta h_{ab}$. We employ this equation for $\tau_{ab}$ as one of the basic equations of viscous hydrodynamics, and hence, the stress-energy tensor is rewritten as follows:

$$T_{ab} = \rho h (1 - \nu \zeta) u_a u_b + (P - \rho h \nu \zeta) g_{ab} - \rho \nu \tau_{ab}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

Using the timelike unit vector field normal to spatial hypersurfaces, $n^a$, and the induced metric on the spatial hypersurfaces $g_{ab} := g_{ab} + n_a n_b$, we define

$$\rho_h := T_{ab} n^a n^b,$$

$$J_i := -T_{ab} n^a \Gamma^b_i,$$

\hspace{1cm} (2.6)

\hspace{1cm} (2.7)
The time and spatial components of $n^a$ are written as $n^a = \left(\alpha^{-1}, -\alpha^{-1} \beta^i\right)$ where $\alpha$ and $\beta^i$ are the lapse function and the shift vector, respectively. The explicit forms of $\rho_h$ and $J_i$ are

$$\rho_h = \rho hw^2 (1 - \nu \zeta) - (P - \rho h u \zeta) - \rho hw^{-2} \tau_{ij} u^i u^j,$$  
$$J_i = \rho hw u_i (1 - \nu \zeta) - \rho hw^{-1} \hat{x}_i u_j,$$

where $w = -n^a u_a = au_i$, $\bar{u}^i = \nu^i u_j$, and $\hat{x}_i = \tau_{kj} u^j$ with the bars denoting spatial components. Note that we used $\tau_{ab} u^a = 0$ and $\tau_{ab} n^b = \tau_{a}^i u_i w^{-1}$. We also note that $u_j$ is equal to $\gamma_{ju} u^a$.

Then, a general-relativistic Navier-Stokes-type equation, derived from $\gamma^a_k \nabla_a T^b_{ab} = 0$, is written in a form as

$$\partial_i (\sqrt{\gamma} J_i) + \partial_j [\sqrt{\gamma} (\alpha S^i_{jk} - \beta^i J_k)] = \sqrt{\gamma} \left(-\rho_h \partial_k \alpha + J_i \partial_k \beta^j - \frac{\alpha}{2} S_{ij} \partial_k \nu^j\right),$$

and the energy equation, derived from $n^a \nabla_a T^b_{ab} = 0$, is

$$\partial_i (\sqrt{\gamma} \rho_h) + \partial_j [\sqrt{\gamma} (\alpha J^i - \beta^i \rho_h)] = \sqrt{\gamma} (\alpha S^i_{jk} K^{ij} - J_i D^i(a)).$$

Next, we describe how to determine $h$ and $w$. These quantities are determined from Eqs. (2.16) and $\rho w$. Multiplying $\rho \sqrt{\gamma}$ and using the continuity equation (2.15) and $\rho w$ we obtain

$$\partial_i (\rho w \sqrt{\gamma} \tau_{ij}) + \partial_k (\rho w \sqrt{\gamma} \tau_{ij} v^k) + \rho w \sqrt{\gamma} (\tau_{ik} \partial_j v^k + \tau_{jk} \partial_i v^k) = -\rho \alpha \sqrt{\gamma} \tau_{ij}^0.$$  

Since $\rho w \sqrt{\gamma}$ is determined by solving the continuity equation, $\tau_{ij}$ is obtained by solving this equation.

Next, we describe how to determine $h$ and $w$. These quantities are determined from Eqs. (2.16) and $\rho w$. Multiplying $\rho \sqrt{\gamma}$ we obtain

$$\partial_i (\rho \sqrt{\gamma} w) + \partial_j (\rho \sqrt{\gamma} w v^j) = 0,$$  

where $v^j := u^j / u^0$. In viscous hydrodynamics simulations, $\rho_h$, $J_i$, and $\rho w$ are evolved (here $\sqrt{\gamma}$ is supposed to be obtained by solving Einstein’s evolution equations). This implies that it is straightforward to obtain the following quantities:

$$q_i := h A_{ik} u_k,$$  

where $A^k_{ik}$ is a matrix and a function only of $w^2$ because $\tau_{ij}^0$ is obtained by solving the evolution equation of $\tau_{ij}$. This implies that by inverting Eq. (2.21), $u_k$ is written as

$$u_k = h^{-1} (A^{-1})^k_{i} q_i = h^{-1} Q_k,$$

and hence, for a given set of $q_i$ and $\tau_{ij}$, $u_k$ can be considered as a function of $h^{-1}$ and $w^2$. Substituting Eq. (2.22) into (2.18), we obtain a relation between $h$ and $w$ as

$$w^2 = h^{-2} \tau_{ij}^0 Q_i Q_j + 1,$$

where $Q_k$ can be considered as a function of $w^2$. Equation (2.16) can be also considered as the other relation between $h$ and $w$ for a given equation of state, $P = P(\rho, v)$ or $P = P(\rho, h)$. Thus, by solving simultaneous equations composed of Eqs. (2.16) and (2.23), we can determine $h$ and $w$. 
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B. Setting the viscous parameter

In the so-called $\alpha$-viscous model, we have the relation (see, e.g., Ref. [16])

$$\rho \nu \Omega \approx \alpha_s P,$$  

(2.24)

where $\Omega$ denotes the local value of the angular velocity and $\alpha_s$ is the so-called $\alpha$-viscous parameter, which is a dimensionless constant. In the $\alpha$-viscous model, we assume that the fluid is in a turbulent state and $\nu$ is written effectively as $\nu_{\text{turb}} l_{\text{turb}}$, where $\nu_{\text{turb}}$ is the size of the largest turbulent cells and $l_{\text{turb}}$ is the velocity of the turbulent motion relative to the mean gas motion. Since $l_{\text{turb}} < R$ and $v_{\text{turb}}$ is the velocity of the turbulent motion relative to the mean gas motion. Since $l_{\text{turb}} < R$ and $v_{\text{turb}} < c_s$, where $R$ is the maximum size of the object concerned (i.e., here the equatorial stellar radius) and $c_s$ is the sound velocity, $\nu$ may be written as $\nu = \alpha_s R c_s$, where $\alpha_s < 1$. For rapidly rotating systems, $R \Omega \sim c_s$. With the definition of the sound velocity, $\nu \approx \rho c_s^2$, Eq. (2.24) is obtained. We suppose that $\alpha_s$ should be of the order $10^{-2}$

Thus, in the $\alpha$-viscous model, $\nu$ is written as

$$\nu = \alpha_s c_s^2 \Omega^{-1}.$$  

(2.25)

In this paper, we consider viscous hydrodynamics evolution of a differentially rotating neutron star. In practice, it is not easy to appropriately determine $\Omega$ from the local angular velocity of neutron stars in a dynamical state, and hence, in this work, we simply set

$$\nu = \alpha_s c_s^2 \Omega_e^{-1},$$  

(2.26)

where $\Omega_e$ is the angular velocity at the equatorial stellar surface of the initial state of neutron stars (see, e.g., a filled circle in the left panel of Fig. 2). The relation, $\Omega \lesssim \Omega_e$, is satisfied for rapidly rotating neutron stars and tori (or disks) located in the vicinity of the neutron stars. Thus, Eq. (2.26) agrees approximately with Eq. (2.25) for the outer region and the inner envelope of the neutron stars, to which we pay special attention in this paper. On the other hand, $\nu$ is underestimated for a region far from the rotation axis, to which we do not pay strong attention.

C. Axisymmetric equations

We solve viscous hydrodynamics equations in axisymmetric dynamical spacetime in the following manner. Einstein’s equation in axial symmetry is solved by a cartoon method [17,18], and hence, the basic field equations are solved in the $y = 0$ plane of Cartesian coordinates. Thus, here, we describe viscous hydrodynamics equations in axial symmetry using Cartesian coordinates with $y = 0$. To do so, the basic equations are first written in cylindrical coordinates $(\sigma, \varphi, z)$, and then the coordinate transformation, $x = \sigma \cos \varphi$ and $y = \sigma \sin \varphi$, should be carried out.

The resulting equations are as follows: The continuity equation is written as

$$\partial_t \rho_s + \frac{1}{x} \partial_x (\rho_s x v^x) + \partial_z (\rho_s v^z) = 0,$$  

(2.27)

where $\rho_s := \rho \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma / \sigma^2$. $\sigma^2$ is the determinant of the flat-space metric in the cylindrical coordinates. Each component of the viscous hydrodynamics equation is written in the forms

$$\partial_t S_x + \partial_x [S_x v^x + \alpha \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} P_{\text{tot}} - \rho_s \nu \hat{v}_x]$$

$$\partial_z [S_x v^z - \rho_s \nu \hat{v}_z],$$

$$F_x := \frac{1}{x} [S_x v^y - S_y v^x] - \frac{\rho_s h v}{x} [\hat{v}_y - \hat{v}_x],$$  

(2.28)

$$\partial_t S_z + \partial_z [S_z v^z - \rho_s \nu \hat{v}_z]$$

$$+ \partial_x [S_z v^x + \alpha \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} P_{\text{tot}} - \rho_s \nu \hat{v}_x],$$

$$F_z := -\frac{1}{x} [S_z v^x - \rho_s \nu \hat{v}_y]$$

$$\partial_t S_y + \frac{1}{x} \partial_x [x^2 (S_y v^x - \rho_s \nu v_y)$$

$$+ \partial_z (S_y v^z - \rho_s h \nu \hat{v}_y) = 0,$$  

(2.30)

where $S_i := \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} J_i$, and

$$\hat{v}_i := \frac{\tilde{v}_i}{w} - \frac{\beta_i + v^i}{w^2} \tilde{v}_j u_k,$$

$$F_p := -S_0 \partial_p \alpha + S_j \partial_p \beta^j - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} S_{ij} \partial_p v^{ij}.$$  

(2.31)

Here, the index $p$ denotes $x$ or $z$, and $i$, $j$, and $k$ denote $x$, $y$, or $z$.

The energy equation is written in the form

$$\partial_t S_0 + \frac{1}{x} \partial_x \left[ x \left( S_0 v^x + (\beta^x + v^x) \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} P_{\text{tot}} - \frac{\rho_s h v}{w} \tilde{u}^x u_k \right) \right]$$

$$+ \partial_z \left( S_0 v^z + (\beta^z + v^z) \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} P_{\text{tot}} - \frac{\rho_s h v}{w} \tilde{u}^z u_k \right)$$

$$= \alpha \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} S_{ij} K^{ij} - S_i D^i \alpha,$$  

(2.32)

where $S_0 := \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} p_h$ and $\tilde{u}^{ij} = \gamma^{ij} \tilde{v}_k$. We note that the terms associated with $\tilde{v}_{ij}$ in Eqs. (2.30) and (2.32) are responsible for the angular-momentum transport and viscous heating, respectively.

The method for a solution of these hydrodynamics equations is the same as in Refs. [18,19]: The transport terms are specifically evaluated using a Kurganov-Tadmor scheme [20] with a piecewise parabolic reconstruction for the quantities of cell interfaces. We do not take into account the modification of the characteristic speed by the viscous process.
effect for simplicity because the local transport time scale of fluid elements, \(R/|v|\), is much shorter than the viscous time scale, \(R^2/\nu\), in our choice of the alpha viscosity (here, \(R\) denotes a characteristic length scale of the system). That is, the local characteristic speed of the fluid dynamics would be modified only slightly by the viscous effect.

The evolution equations for \(\tau_{ij}\) are written as

\[
\partial_t (\rho, \tau_{xx}) + \partial_x (\rho, \tau_{xx} v^x) + \partial_z (\rho, \tau_{xz} v^z) = -2\rho_s \left( \tau_{xx} \partial_x v^x + \tau_{xy} \partial_y v^y + \tau_{xz} \partial_z v^z - \tau_{yy} \frac{v^y}{x} \right) - \frac{\zeta \rho_s}{u'} \tau_{xx} - \rho_s \tau_{xx} \frac{v^x}{x},
\]

\(\tau_{ij}\) (2.33)

\[
\partial_t (\rho, \tau_{xy}) + \partial_x (\rho, \tau_{xy} v^x) + \partial_z (\rho, \tau_{xz} v^z) = -\rho_s \left( \tau_{xy} \partial_y v^x + \tau_{yx} \partial_x v^y + \tau_{xz} \partial_z v^z - \tau_{yx} \frac{v^y}{x} \right) - \frac{\zeta \rho_s}{u'} \tau_{xy} - 2\rho_s \tau_{xy} \frac{v^x}{x},
\]

\(\tau_{ij}\) (2.34)

\[
\partial_t (\rho, \tau_{xz}) + \partial_x (\rho, \tau_{xz} v^x) + \partial_z (\rho, \tau_{xz} v^z) = -\rho_s \left( \tau_{xz} \partial_x v^z + \tau_{zx} \partial_x v^z + \tau_{xy} \partial_y v^z + \tau_{xz} \partial_z v^z - \tau_{yz} \frac{v^z}{x} \right) + \frac{\zeta \rho_s}{u'} \tau_{xz} - \rho_s \tau_{xz} \frac{v^z}{x},
\]

\(\tau_{ij}\) (2.35)

\[
\partial_t (\rho, \tau_{yy}) + \partial_x (\rho, \tau_{yy} v^x) + \partial_z (\rho, \tau_{yz} v^z) = -\frac{\zeta \rho_s}{u'} \tau_{yy} - 3\rho_s \tau_{yy} \frac{v^y}{x},
\]

\(\tau_{ij}\) (2.36)

\[
\partial_t (\rho, \tau_{yz}) + \partial_x (\rho, \tau_{yz} v^x) + \partial_z (\rho, \tau_{yz} v^z) = -\rho_s \left( \tau_{yz} \partial_y v^z + \tau_{zy} \partial_y v^z + \tau_{yx} \partial_x v^z + \tau_{yz} \partial_z v^z - \tau_{zx} \frac{v^y}{x} \right) - \frac{\zeta \rho_s}{u'} \tau_{yz} - 2\rho_s \tau_{yz} \frac{v^z}{x},
\]

\(\tau_{ij}\) (2.37)

\[
\partial_t (\rho, \tau_{zz}) + \partial_x (\rho, \tau_{zz} v^x) + \partial_z (\rho, \tau_{zz} v^z) = -2\rho_s \left( \tau_{zz} \partial_x v^z + \tau_{zx} \partial_x v^z + \tau_{zy} \partial_y v^z + \tau_{zz} \partial_z v^z \right) - \frac{\zeta \rho_s}{u'} \tau_{zz} - \rho_s \tau_{zz} \frac{v^z}{x},
\]

\(\tau_{ij}\) (2.38)

For the characteristic speed of these equations, we simply employ \(v^x\) and \(v^z\) for the \(x\) and \(z\)-directions, respectively. From the regularity condition for the tensor quantity, we find the boundary conditions for \(\tau_{ij}\) at the symmetric axis, \(m = 0\), as \(\tau_{xx} = \tau_{yy}, \tau_{xy} \propto m^2, \tau_{xz} \propto m, \text{ and } \tau_{yz} \propto m\).

From Eqs. (2.27) and (2.30), it is immediately found that the baryon rest mass, \(M_s\), and angular momentum, \(J\), are conserved quantities, which are defined by

\[
M_s = 2\pi \int \rho_s x dx dz,
\]

\(M_s\) (2.39)

\[
J = 2\pi \int S_x x^2 dx dz.
\]

\(J\) (2.40)

In numerical simulations, we monitor these quantities and check that they are preserved to be (approximately) constant. Note that these quantities are precisely conserved unless matter is ejected from the outer boundaries, because we solve the conservative forms for the equations of \(\rho_s\) and \(S_y\). We also monitor the kinetic energy and internal energy defined, respectively, by

\[
T_{\text{kin}} = \pi \int \rho_s h u_k v^k dx dz,
\]

\(T_{\text{kin}}\) (2.41)

\[
E_{\text{int}} = 2\pi \int \rho_s e x dx dz.
\]

\(E_{\text{int}}\) (2.42)

These values clearly show how the viscous dissipation proceeds: \(T_{\text{kin}}\) and \(T_{\text{kin}}/E_{\text{int}}\) decrease by converting the kinetic energy to the internal energy.

We also calculate the mass and energy fluxes through a sphere far from the central object and evaluate the total mass and energy for the outflow component. The mass and energy fluxes are defined, respectively, by

\[
F_M = 2\pi \int_{r_{\text{out}}} d(\cos \theta) \rho_s v^r r^2,
\]

\(F_M\) (2.43)

\[
F_E = -2\pi \int_{r_{\text{out}}} d(\cos \theta) T, r^2\alpha \sqrt{\gamma}.
\]

\(F_E\) (2.44)

Then, we calculate the outflowed mass and energy as functions of time by

\[
M_{\text{out}}(t) = \int_0^t F_M dt',
\]

\(M_{\text{out}}\) (2.45)

\[
E_{\text{out}}(t) = \int_0^t F_E dt'.
\]

\(E_{\text{out}}\) (2.46)

Here, the internal energy of the outflow component is much smaller than the kinetic energy if we evaluate the outflow quantity in a far zone. Thus, we define the kinetic energy of the outflow by \(T_{\text{out}} \approx E_{\text{out}} - M_{\text{out}}\).

Before closing Sec. II, we should comment on the method of evaluating the derivative of \(v^i\), which appears in the equations for \(\tau_{ij}\) and does not appear in ideal fluid hydrodynamics. For the numerical results presented in this paper, we evaluate it by simple second-order centered finite differencing. However, \(v^i\) is not always continuous and hence this treatment could introduce a nonconvergent error. We monitor the violation of the Hamiltonian constraint, \(H = 0\), in particular focusing on the following rest-mass-averaged quantity.
III. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

A. Brief summary of the simulation setting

Our method for a solution of Einstein’s equation is the same as that in Ref. [19]: We employ the original version of the Baumgarte-Shapiro-Shibata-Nakamura formulation with a puncture-type gauge [21]. The gravitational field equations are solved in the fourth-order finite differencing scheme. The axial symmetry is imposed using the cartoon method [17–19], as already mentioned. A fourth-order Lagrange interpolation scheme is used for implementing the cartoon scheme.

A differentially rotating neutron star, which is used as an initial condition, is modeled employing a piecewise polytropic equation of state with two pieces,

\[
P_{\text{pwp}} = \begin{cases} 
  \kappa_1 \rho^{\Gamma_1} & \rho \leq \rho_1, \\
  \kappa_2 \rho^{\Gamma_2} & \rho > \rho_1, 
\end{cases}
\]  

where \( \kappa_1 \) and \( \kappa_2 \) are polytropic constants and \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) are polytropic indices, respectively. \( \rho_1 \) is a constant of the nuclear-density order: We here set it to be \( \approx 2.0 \times 10^{14} \text{ g/cm}^3 \). In this work, we choose \( \Gamma_1 = 4/3 \) and \( \Gamma_2 = 11/4 \), respectively.

For constructing initial models, we assume a very simple profile for the angular velocity given by \( \omega = \hat{A}^\phi (\Omega_0 - \Omega) \) where \( \Omega_0 \) is the angular velocity along the rotation axis. As in Ref. [22], we set \( \hat{A} = 0.8R_c \), and then, the angular velocity is approximately given by

\[
\Omega \approx -\frac{\Omega_0 (0.8R_c)^2}{\sigma + (0.8R_c)^2},
\]

where \( R_c \) denotes the equatorial coordinate stellar radius. For the simulation, we pick up a high-mass neutron star with the coordinate axial ratio 0.3 (i.e., the ratio of the polar coordinate radius to \( R_c \) is 0.3). The important quantities for the initial condition are listed in Table I.

We note that the initial angular-velocity profile employed in this paper is qualitatively different from that of the merger remnants of binary neutron stars: For the realistic binary neutron-star merger, the angular velocity near the rotation axis is rather slow, reflecting the fact that the velocity vectors of two neutron stars have the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( M_1 (M_\odot) )</th>
<th>( M_2 (M_\odot) )</th>
<th>( R_1 ) (km)</th>
<th>( R_2 ) (km)</th>
<th>( \rho_{\text{max}} ) (g/cm(^3))</th>
<th>( \Omega_0 ) (rad/s)</th>
<th>( \Omega_\epsilon ) (rad/s)</th>
<th>( J/M^2 )</th>
<th>( \kappa_1 ) (cm(^3)/s(^2) g(^{1/3}))</th>
<th>( \rho_1 ) (g/cm(^3))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>( 1.00 \times 10^{15} )</td>
<td>2.48 \times 10^4</td>
<td>5.25 \times 10^3</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>1.24 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>2.04 \times 10^{14}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 1. Evolution of ERR defined in Eq. (2.47) for the model with \( \alpha_e = 0.01 \). The results with three different grid resolutions are plotted.

\[
\text{ERR} = \frac{1}{M_*} \sqrt{\int \rho_* |H|^2 \, d^3x}, \quad (2.47)
\]

where \( H = \sum_k H_k \) and \( H_k \) denotes individual components in \( H \) like \( 16\pi p_h, K^i_j K_{ij} \), \( (K^k_k)^2 \), and the three-dimensional Ricci scalar. ERR shows the global violation of the Hamiltonian constraint. For ERR \( = 0 \), the constraint is satisfied, while for ERR \( = 1 \), the Hamiltonian constraint is by 100% violated. Figure 1 shows the evolution of ERR for the model with \( \alpha_e = 0.01 \) (see the next section for the details of our models). This figure illustrates that the convergence with respect to the grid resolution is far less than second order. However, the degree of the violation is reasonably small with ERR \( \leq 0.01 \) in our simulation time. This approximately indicates that the Hamiltonian constraint is satisfied within 1% error, and hence, we suppose that the results obtained in this paper would be reliable at least in our present simulation time.

For a long-term simulation, however, the violation is accumulated and eventually it could be so large that we are prohibited to derive a reliable numerical result or the computation crashes. For suppressing the numerical error, we need to implement a better scheme of evaluating this slowly convergent derivative term.
counterdirection at the merger, and hence, shocks that dissipate their kinetic energy are formed [2]. Then, the merger remnant neutron star is weakly differentially rotating and surrounded by a thick torus. Starting from the differentially rotating neutron star employed in this paper, we soon have a (approximately) rigidly rotating neutron star surrounded by a massive torus, as we show below. Such an outcome is similar to the merger remnant. One of the major purposes of this paper is to pay attention to long-term evolution of this type of outcome.

During numerical evolution, we employ a modified version of the piecewise polytropic equation of state in the form

\[ P = P_{\text{wpw}}(\rho) + (\Gamma - 1)\rho[e - e_{\text{wpw}}(\rho)], \tag{3.3} \]

where \( e_{\text{wpw}}(\rho) \) denotes the specific internal energy associated with \( P_{\text{wpw}} \) satisfying \( de_{\text{wpw}} = -P_{\text{wpw}}d\rho^{-1} \) and the adiabatic constant \( \Gamma \) is set to be \( 3/2 \). The second term is added to take into account a shock heating effect. We choose a relatively small value of \( \Gamma \) in this work to mildly incorporate the shock heating effects.

Numerical simulations are performed in cylindrical coordinates \((x,z)\), and a nonuniform grid is used for \( x \) and \( z \). Specifically, we employ the following grid structure (the same profile is chosen for \( z \)):

\[
\Delta x = \begin{cases} 
\Delta x_0 & x \leq x_{\text{in}}, \\
\Delta x_i = f\Delta x_{i-1} & x > x_{\text{in}},
\end{cases} \tag{3.4}
\]

where \( \Delta x_0 \) is the grid spacing in an inner region with \( x_{\text{in}} \approx 1.1R_c \). \( \Delta x_i := x_{i+1} - x_i \) with \( x_i \) being the location of the \( i \)th grid point. At \( i = \text{in} \), \( \Delta x_i = \Delta x_0 \). \( f \) determines the nonuniform degree of the grid spacing and we set it to be 1.01. We change \( \Delta x_0 \) as \( R_c/75 \) (low resolution), \( R_c/30 \) (middle resolution), and \( R_c/25 \) (high resolution) to confirm that the dependence of the numerical results on the grid resolution is weak. We note that \( R_c/125 = 94 \text{ m} \) in our model. The outer boundary is located at \( \approx 230R_c \approx 2600 \text{ km} \) for all the grid resolutions. Unless otherwise stated, we show the results in the high-resolution runs in the following.

When using a nonuniform grid, numerical instability is often induced in a long-term simulation due to the gradual growth of high-frequency noises in the geometric variables, in particular in the extrinsic curvature. To suppress the growth of unstable modes associated with the numerical noises, we incorporate a six-order Kreiss-Oliger-type dissipation term as (see, e.g., Ref. [23]),

\[ Q \to Q + \sigma \frac{\Delta t^6}{720} Q^{(6)}, \tag{3.5} \]

Note that the coefficient in the second term of Eq. (3.5) is written in terms of \( \Delta x_0 \) (not \( \Delta x_i \)) because the accumulation of the high-frequency noise causes the problem only in the inner region. We find that with this prescription, the ERR in Eq. (2.47) can be kept to be \( \lesssim 10^{-2} \) for \( t \lesssim 500 \text{ ms} \) (see Fig. 1).

The viscous coefficient is written in the form of Eq. (2.26). We choose \( \alpha_c = 0.005, 0.01, 0.02, \) and \( 0.03, \zeta \) is set to be \( \approx 3\Omega_c \). The viscous angular-momentum transport time scale is approximately defined by \( R^2/\nu \) [24] and estimated to be

\[
t_{\text{vis}} \approx 14 \text{ ms} (\frac{\alpha_c}{0.01})^{-1} \left(\frac{c_s}{0.2c}\right)^2 \left(\frac{R}{10 \text{ km}}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{\Omega}{5 \times 10^3 \text{ rad/s}}\right), \tag{3.7}
\]

where we assumed Eq. (2.25) for \( \nu \). In the vicinity of the rotation axis (for a small value of \( R \) and a high value of \( c_s \)), the time scale should be initially short. Thus, in \( \sim 10(\alpha_c/0.01)^{-1} \) ms, the angular momentum is expected to be transported outward in the differentially rotating neutron star initially prepared.

### B. Numerical results

First, we summarize the typical viscous evolution process of our differentially rotating neutron-star model, paying attention to the case of \( \alpha_c = 0.01 \).

In the very early stage of its evolution, angular momentum in the central region of the neutron star is efficiently transported outward in \( \sim 10P_0 \) where \( P_0 = 2\pi/\Omega_c \); the rotation period along the rotation axis. As a result, the initially differentially rotated state changes to an approximately rigid rotation state for \( x \lesssim R_c \); see Fig. 2 for the evolution of the profile of the angular velocity \( \Omega \) along the \( x \) axis. In the present model, the angular velocity in the (approximately) rigidly rotating state is only slightly smaller than the Kepler velocity defined by \( \sqrt{M/R_c^3} \) which is \( 9.0 \times 10^3 \text{ rad/s} \) initially. (For larger values of \( \alpha_c \), the relaxed angular velocity is slightly smaller; see the right panel of Fig. 2.) After a nearly rigidly rotating state is achieved, the effect of the angular-momentum transport inside the neutron star becomes weak and its angular velocity decreases only slowly as a result of the outward angular-momentum transport induced by the viscous effect that occurs in the outer region of the neutron star; see the right panel of Fig. 2. Since the angular velocity in the vicinity of the rotation axis is monotonically and steeply reduced in a few ms, we plot only the subsequent evolution in Fig. 2. This figure shows that although the decreased time scale of the angular velocity is quite long, it is

\[ Q^{(6)} = \frac{\partial^6 Q}{\partial x^6} + \frac{\partial^6 Q}{\partial z^6}. \]
certainly reduced in a time scale of $\sim 10^2$ ms. This is due to the presence of the dense envelope and dense torus surrounding the neutron star to which the angular momentum is gradually transported from the main neutron-star body. Thus, the time scale of $\sim 10^2$ ms is determined by the evolution time scale of the torus (see below). Figure 2 also shows that the numerical results for the long-term evolution of the neutron star depend very weakly on the grid resolution irrespective of the values of $\alpha_v$.

Figure 3 displays the evolution of density profiles on the equatorial plane for $\alpha_v = 0.01$ (left) and 0.03 (right). Figure 4 also displays the evolution of density profiles on the $x-z$ plane for $\alpha_v = 0.01$. These figures show that in a short time scale after the onset of the simulations, dense tori with the maximum density $\sim 10^{12}$ g/cm$^3$ are formed around the neutron stars. As Figs. 3 and 4 show, the density of the tori subsequently decreases with time due to a long-term viscous process. Specifically, matter expands outward by the viscous heating and angular-momentum transport (see Fig. 4 and discussion below). These figures indicate that the viscous braking of the neutron-star rotation should continue as long as the dense envelope and torus surrounding it presents [for a time scale of $O(100\, \text{ms})$]. The right panel of Fig. 2 also shows that the spin-down rate of the neutron star depends only weakly on the grid resolution.

Because of the long-term angular-momentum transport, a dense and massive torus surrounding the central neutron star is evolved; see Fig. 4. The neutron-star mass decreases (torus mass increases) gradually with time. Figure 5 displays the rest mass contained in given radii as functions of time for $\alpha_v = 0.01$ (thin curves) and 0.03 (thick curves). The chosen radii are 13, 66, 200, 330, and 660 km (dashed, solid, dotted, dot-dot, and dash-dot curves). Up to $t \sim 100$ ms, the matter is ejected from the central neutron star and constitutes a torus, and for $t \gtrsim 100$ ms, the rest mass of the neutron star is approximately fixed (see the dashed curves labeled by $r = 13$ km). At $t \sim 100$ ms, the neutron-star rest mass, defined by the rest mass for $r \leq R_e$, is reduced to 89% and 85% of the total rest mass for $\alpha_v = 0.01$ and 0.03, respectively.
The torus mass should depend on the initial profile of the angular velocity and the compactness of the neutron star. Since we knew that tori of such high mass and high density are often formed around the massive neutron star in the simulations of binary neutron-star mergers [2,3], in the present work, we chose the initial condition that could form the object similar to the merger remnant of binary neutron stars.

After the formation of the torus, the matter in the torus expands outward. This is found from Fig. 5: Differences between the dashed curves of $r = 13$ km and any other curves decrease with time; e.g., for $\alpha_v = 0.03$, by comparing the curves of $r = 13$ and 66 km, we find that the mass of the inner part of the torus is $\sim 0.1 M_\odot$ at $t = 50$ ms and it decreases to $\sim 0.02 M_\odot$ at $t = 300$ ms.

The matter of the torus on the equatorial plane has nearly Keplerian motion (see the left panel of Fig. 2). Thus, in the outer envelope of the neutron star and in particular in the

![FIG. 4. Evolution of density profiles for the model with $\alpha_v = 0.01$. The upper and middle rows show the early-time profiles in $0 \leq x \leq 100$ km and $0 \leq z \leq 100$ km while the bottom rows show the late-phase profiles in $0 \leq x \leq 300$ km and $0 \leq z \leq 300$ km. Time is shown in the upper region of each plot. A plot for a wider region of the bottom right panel is found in the middle-middle panel of Fig. 7.](image1)

![FIG. 5. The rest mass contained in given radii ($r = 13$, 66, 200, 330, and 660 km) as functions of time for $\alpha_v = 0.01$ (thin curves) and 0.03 (thick curves).](image2)
torus, differential rotation remains, and hence, viscous angular-momentum transport continuously works in the outer part of the system. Consequently, the viscous heating plays an important role even after the neutron star settles to a rigidly rotating state. The time scale for this process should be much longer than the viscous time scale in the differentially rotating neutron star, because the values of $R$ and $\nu^{-1}$ are larger in the outer part than the inner part [see Eq. (3.7) for the definition of the viscous time scale, $t_{visc}$].

Figure 6 plots the evolution of total kinetic energy and the ratio of the total kinetic energy to total internal energy as functions of time. Due to the continuous viscous process, the kinetic energy is dissipated and converted to the internal energy. For $\alpha_r = 0.005-0.03$, the kinetic energy is decreased by $\sim 50\%-70\%$ until $t \approx 300$ ms. Here, the dissipation rate of the kinetic energy is higher for the larger value of $\alpha_r$. The ratio of the kinetic to internal energy decreases in a similar manner to that for the kinetic energy, i.e., the increase rate of the internal energy is much lower than the decrease rate of the kinetic energy. Our interpretation for this is that the increase of the internal energy resulting from the viscous dissipation is consumed by the adiabatic expansion of the torus, as Fig. 4 indicates this fact.

Since no cooling effect except for the adiabatic expansion is taken into account in this study (although we conservatively include the shock-heating effect by choosing a small value of $\Gamma$), the geometrical thickness of the torus is monotonically increased by the viscous heating. We note that in the presence of a rapidly rotating neutron star at the center (in the absence of a black hole that absorbs matter), torus matter cannot efficiently fall onto the neutron star. Thus, unless the torus matter is ejected outwards, it continuously contributes to the viscous heating and resulting increase of its geometrical thickness. In reality, the neutrino emission would come into play for this type of the dense system. The typical neutrino cooling time scale may be longer than the viscous heating time scale of $\sim 100$ ms for the region of the density larger than $\sim 10^{11}$ g/cm$^3$ because neutrinos are optically thick and trapped in the torus [25]. However, after the torus expands and its density is decreased, subsequent expansion may be prohibited by the neutrino cooling. On the other hand, neutrino irradiation may enhance the torus expansion and mass ejection because the torus and outer part of the neutron star are quite hot and can be strong neutrino emitters. Incorporating the neutrino physics is one of the issues planned for our future work.

As a result of the monotonic increase of the geometrical thickness of the torus, a funnel structure is eventually formed (see the bottom panels of Fig. 4). Figure 7 displays snapshots of the density profiles for a wide region of $1000 \times 1000$ km at $t \approx 100, 200$, and $300$ ms for $\alpha_r = 0.005$ (left), $0.01$ (middle), and $0.03$ (right), respectively. Vertically expanding matter is clearly found in this figure. This is very similar to and qualitatively the same as the structure found in MHD simulations in general relativity (e.g., Refs. [26,27]). This agreement is reasonable because in both cases, viscous or MHD shock heating enhances the geometrical thickness, and thus, the rotating matter expands in the vertical direction. This result suggests that viscous hydrodynamics would capture an important part of the MHD effects such as shock heating and subsequent torus evolution at least qualitatively.

Due to the continuous viscous heating in the outer part of the neutron star and surrounding torus, a part of the matter of the torus is outflowed eventually. Figure 8 displays the rest mass of the outflowed and ejected matter, $M_{\text{out}}$ and $M_{\text{eje}}$, and the averaged velocity of the ejecta as functions of time. Here, the outflowed component is estimated from the rest-mass and energy fluxes for a coordinate sphere at $r = 1173$ km, and if the specific energy for a fluid component becomes positive, i.e., $u_t < -1$, we specify it as the ejecta component. The averaged velocity of the ejecta is defined by $\sqrt{2T_{\text{esc}}/M_{\text{esc}}}$ where $T_{\text{esc}}$ is the kinetic energy of ejecta: a fraction of the matter goes away from the neutron star. For $\alpha_r = 0.005$, $0.01$, and $0.03$, the averaged velocity of the ejecta increases with increasing time $t$, as shown in Fig. 8. We note that the curves for $M_{\text{eje}}$ with different grid resolutions, in general, do not agree well with each other (this is in particular the case for small values of $\alpha_r$, for which the ejecta mass is small). Our interpretation for this is that while the outflow is actively driven, there are many fluid components which are marginally unbound with $u_t \approx -1$, and hence, it is not feasible to accurately specify the ejecta components. However, the final values of the ejecta mass and kinetic energy depend weakly on the grid resolutions: These values are determined within a factor of $\sim 2$.

The upper panel of Fig. 8 shows that irrespective of the values of $\alpha_r$, a fraction of the matter goes away from the central region. This is reasonable because geometrical
thickness of the torus surrounding the central neutron star always grows irrespective of $\alpha$ (see Fig. 7). The total amount of the outflowed mass is larger for the larger values of $\alpha$ for a given moment of time, because the viscous heating rate is higher.

The outflow component comes primarily from the matter originally located at the torus, as we already mentioned (see Fig. 5). Figure 8 indicates that the outflowed mass eventually converges to a relaxed value for $\alpha = 0.02$ and 0.03. This is because the mass of the torus surrounding the central neutron star decreases with time as mentioned already. Thus, the final outcome after the evolution of differentially rotating neutron stars is likely to be a rigidly rotating neutron star surrounded by a low-density torus and a widely spread envelope as Fig. 7 indicates.

Figure 8 shows that for $\alpha \geq 0.02$, the total mass of the ejecta is $\gtrsim 10^{-2} M_\odot$. This value is approximately equal to or larger than those in the dynamical mass ejection of binary neutron-star mergers, for which the typical ejecta mass is $10^{-3} - 10^{-2} M_\odot$ [28]. Thus, the long-term viscous
mass ejection from the merger remnant may be the dominant mechanism of the mass ejection (see, e.g., Refs. [29–31] for similar suggestions). On the other hand, for \( \alpha_v = 0.005 \) and 0.01, the ejecta mass is of order \( 10^{-5} \) and \( 10^{-3} \, M_\odot \), respectively: Only a small fraction of the outflow material can be ejecta. This indicates that to get a large value of the ejecta mass by the viscous process, an efficient viscous heating is necessary (in reality, strong MHD turbulence is necessary).

The bottom panel of Fig. 8 shows that the averaged velocity of the ejecta is \( \lesssim 0.1 \, c \) irrespective of the values of \( \alpha_v \). This is smaller than that for the dynamical mass ejection [28] but the result is consistent with other viscous hydrodynamics results (see, e.g., Ref. [29]). As discussed in Sec. I, rotating massive neutron stars surrounded by a massive torus are likely to be canonical outcomes of the binary neutron-star merger. During the binary merger, the matter is dynamically ejected, in particular, at the onset of the merger with the typical averaged velocity \( \sim 0.2 \, c \) [28]. If the remnant massive neutron stars are long lived, they may subsequently eject the matter by the viscous effect. As suggested in this paper, the averaged velocity for it would be less than half of the velocity of the dynamical ejecta. Therefore, the viscous ejecta will never catch up with the dynamical ejecta: The ejecta are composed of two different components. In the binary neutron-star mergers, the dynamical ejecta are likely to have a quasispherical or weakly spheroidal morphology [28]. Thus, the viscous ejecta are likely to be surrounded by the dynamical ejecta.

As described in Refs. [32,33], in the viscous ejecta as well as in the dynamical ejecta, r-process nucleosynthesis is likely to proceed because the ejecta are dense and neutron rich, and then the ejecta emit high-luminosity electromagnetic signals fueled by the radioactive decay of the unstable r-process heavy elements. In the presence of strong viscous wind, there may be two components in the light curve, while in its absence, the dynamical ejecta are the primary sources for the electromagnetic signals [34]. As Kasen and his collaborators illustrate, the shape of the light curve is quite different depending on the presence or the absence of the viscous wind. Our present result indicates that the viscous ejecta would be surrounded by the quasispherical dynamical ejecta. This suggests that the emission from the viscous ejecta could be absorbed by the dynamical ejecta, and then the absorbed energy could be reprocessed and power up the emissivity of the dynamical ejecta.

The electromagnetic signal associated with the decay of unstable r-process elements is one of the most promising electromagnetic counterparts of the binary neutron-star mergers. For the detection of these electromagnetic counterparts, we need a theoretical prediction as accurately as possible. The present study suggests that the light curve of this electromagnetic signal is uncertain due to the uncertainty of the viscous parameter that determines the ejecta mass. This implies that for the prediction of the electromagnetic signals, we have to perform numerical simulations taking into account a wide variety of the possibilities for the viscous parameter. Ultimately, we need to perform a sufficiently high-resolution MHD simulation with no symmetry that can uniquely clarify the evolution of the differentially rotating merger remnants in the first-principle manner.

IV. SUMMARY

Employing a simplified version of the Israel-Stewart formulation for general-relativistic viscous hydrodynamics that can minimally capture the effects of the viscous angular-momentum transport and the viscous heating, we successfully performed axisymmetric numerical-relativity simulations for the evolution of a differentially rotating neutron star, which results in an approximately rigidly rotating neutron star surrounded by a massive torus. The detailed evolution process of this model with a sufficiently high viscous parameter is summarized as follows. First, by the outward angular-momentum transport process, the initially differentially rotation state is forced to be an approximately rigid rotation state in the inner region of the neutron star. At the same time, the torus with substantial mass is formed due to the viscous angular-momentum transport from the neutron star. The time scale for this early evolution is quite short \( \sim 10 \, \text{ms} \) (i.e., the viscous time scale of the differentially rotating neutron star). The outcome in this stage is similar to the merger remnant of binary neutron stars.

Subsequently, the torus mass (including envelope surrounding the torus) increases spending a long time scale \( \sim 100 \, \text{ms} \) and eventually reaches \( \sim 0.3–0.4 \, M_\odot \) in the present model (this mass should depend on the initial choice of the models). After the formation of the system composed of a (approximately) rigidly rotating neutron star and a differentially rotating massive torus, the viscous effect still plays an important role near the outer surface of the neutron star and in the torus. Due to the subsequent long-term viscous heating effect there, the thermal pressure of the torus is increased, and as a result, the geometrical thickness of the torus monotonically increases. Also, the torus gradually expands along the equatorial direction because of the viscous angular-momentum transport. For a sufficiently high viscous parameter, eventually, a strong outflow is driven from the torus. The ejecta mass can reach \( \gtrsim 0.01 \, M_\odot \) for \( \alpha_v \gtrsim 0.02 \) in our model. Therefore, if a viscous process is efficient for the remnant of binary neutron-star mergers, it is natural to expect the ejecta of large mass that is comparable to or larger than the mass of the dynamical component to be ejected during the merger phase. Since its velocity is likely to be smaller than \( 0.1 \, c \), the viscous-driven ejecta are surrounded by the dynamical ejecta for which the typical velocity is \( \sim 0.2 \, c \).

As we discussed in Sec. I, the remnants of binary neutron-star mergers are in general differentially rotating
objects (typically a massive neutron star surrounded by a torus), which would be evolved by MHD turbulence. Thus, in reality, the evolution of the merger remnants should be determined by the MHD processes, and to clarify it, we have to perform a high-resolution nonaxisymmetric MHD simulation in general relativity, for which the resolution has to be higher than the current best one [5]. As we showed in this paper, if the effective viscous parameter, $\alpha_e$, is larger than a critical value, a substantial amount of matter is ejected from the merger remnant. Even for the case that $\alpha_e$ is smaller than the critical value, a large amount of matter could expand to a region far from the central merger remnant. Thus, the picture for the evolution of the merger remnant could be significantly different from that in the absence of the MHD effects. A future high-resolution MHD simulation is awaited for precisely understanding the evolution process of the merger remnant. However, in the near future, such simulations cannot be done because of the restricted computational resources. The second-best strategy for exploring the mass ejection process from the merger remnant is to perform a detailed viscous hydrodynamics simulation systematically changing the viscous parameter in a plausible range.
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Note added.—Recently, a paper by David Radice [35] was submitted. He describes another viscous hydrodynamics formalism that works well. Although he focuses only on the case with a small viscous parameter [in the terminology of alpha viscosity, he focuses only on the cases of $\alpha_e = O(10^{-3})$ or less], we find that his results agree qualitatively with our findings.

APPENDIX: BLACK HOLE AND TORUS: TEST SIMULATION

In this appendix, we show results of a test simulation for the system composed of a black hole and a massive torus following the request by our referee who asked us to demonstrate more evidence that our formalism is capable of performing a long-term viscous hydrodynamics simulation. The purpose of this appendix is to demonstrate that our formalism indeed enables us to perform simulations for strongly self-gravitating systems. A more detailed study for the black hole-torus systems will be presented in a future work.

For this simulation, we prepare an equilibrium state composed of a black hole and a massive torus as the initial condition using the method of Ref. [36]. For this equilibrium state, we employ a nonrotating black hole with the puncture mass $M_{\text{bare}}$ surrounded by a massive torus with the rest mass $2.356M_{\text{bare}}$. The initial black hole mass measured by the area of the black hole horizon is $M_0 = 1.072M_{\text{bare}}$. We note that the initial black hole mass is slightly different from $M_{\text{bare}}$ because of the presence of the massive torus. The torus is modeled by the $\Gamma = 4/3$ polytropic equation of state and during the simulation, we employ $P = \rho e/3$ as the equation of state. Following Ref. [37], we determine the specific angular momentum of the torus by providing the relation of $j = j(\Omega) \propto \Omega^{-1/4}$ where $j$ and $\Omega$ are the specific angular momentum and angular velocity, respectively. Note that for $j \propto \Omega^{-b}$ with $b \rightarrow 1/3$, the velocity profile approaches the Keplerian. With our choice of $b = 1/4$, the velocity profile looks close to the Keplerian (see Fig. 9). The inner and outer edges of the torus are set to be 5 and $100M_{\text{bare}}$ (see the first panel of Fig. 10). In the following, we employ a unit in which $M_{\text{bare}} = 10M_\odot$ to show the density.

In reality, the system with such a massive torus would be unstable to nonaxisymmetric instability like the Papaloizou-Pringle instability [38], even though the angular velocity profile is far from that of the $j = \text{const}$ law. The purpose of this test simulation is to confirm that our viscous hydrodynamics formalism enables us to perform a long-term stable simulation for this self-gravitating system. Hence, disregarding the nonaxisymmetric instability, we perform an axisymmetric simulation.

In this test simulation, we set $\nu = \alpha_e c_s^2/\Omega$, where we choose $\Omega_i = 6^{-3/2}M_{\text{bare}}^{-1}$, $\alpha_e = 0.1$, $\zeta = 3\Omega_i$; We employ a high value of $\alpha_e$ to accelerate the evolution. The setup of the computational domain is as follows: $\Delta x_0 = 0.03M_{\text{bare}}$.

FIG. 9. Profiles of $\Omega$ as a function of the cylindrical radius on the equatorial plane for the torus surrounding the black hole at $t = 0$ and $t \approx 20560M_{\text{bare}}$. The dot-dot line denotes the inclination of $x^{-3/2}$. The angular velocity profiles are only slightly modified during the evolution and they appear to be always close to the Keplerian one.
described in Ref. [36], we analyze the quantities of the mass and spin of the black hole. Using the methods the black hole is determined by in the case that it is surrounded by the matter, the mass of black hole has the same properties as Kerr black holes even apparently estimate the mass of the black hole by summing up the total rest mass of the matter swallowed by the black hole, $M_b$, and the initial black hole mass, $M_0$.

During the viscous hydrodynamics process, angular-momentum transport actively works in the torus, and as a result, a part of the matter of the torus falls into the black hole. Then, the mass and spin of the black hole increase monotonically until the spin parameter reaches a sufficiently high value. After the high-spin state is reached, the evolution speed of the black hole is decelerated because the specific angular momentum at the innermost stable circular orbit around the high-spin black hole becomes lower than the values of most of the torus matter and the infalling of the matter into the black hole is suppressed. In this test simulation, we follow the evolution of the system until the dimensionless spin, $\chi$, is relaxed to be $\approx 0.85$ (see Fig. 11).

For the analysis of this process, we have to determine the mass and spin of the black hole. Using the methods described in Ref. [36], we analyze the quantities of the apparent horizons of the black hole. First, assuming that the black hole has the same properties as Kerr black holes even in the case that it is surrounded by the matter, the mass of the black hole is determined by

$$M_C := \frac{C_e}{4\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{BH} = \frac{2M_{\text{irr}}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \chi^2}},$$

(A1)

where $C_e$ is the equatorial circumferential length of horizons and $M_{\text{irr}}$ is the irreducible mass of the black hole

which is determined from the area of apparent horizons by $\sqrt{A_{\text{AH}}/16\pi}$. We describe the method to determine $\chi$ in the next paragraph. Note that all the geometrical quantities are determined for the apparent horizons. We remark that for Kerr black holes, $M_C = M_{BH}$ is satisfied. We also approximately estimate the mass of the black hole by summing up the total rest mass of the matter swallowed by the black hole, $M_b$, and the initial black hole mass, $M_0$.

$\chi$ is relaxed to be $\approx 0.85$ (see Fig. 11).
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which is determined from the area of apparent horizons by $\sqrt{A_{\text{AH}}/16\pi}$. We describe the method to determine $\chi$ in the next paragraph. Note that all the geometrical quantities are determined for the apparent horizons. We remark that for Kerr black holes, $M_C = M_{BH}$ is satisfied. We also approximately estimate the mass of the black hole by summing up the total rest mass of the matter swallowed by the black hole, $M_b$, and the initial black hole mass, $M_0$.  
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This approximate mass of the black hole is referred to as $M_0 + M_\text{bh}$ in the following. We note that the energy of the matter swallowed by the black hole is smaller than $M_\text{bh}$ because of the presence of the gravitational binding energy, and hence, $M_0 + M_\text{bh}$ slightly overestimates the black hole mass (as shown in Fig. 11).

We determine $\chi$ by two methods. In the first method, we measure $C_p/C_e$ which is a monotonically decreasing function of $\chi$. Here $C_p$ is the meridian circumferential length of horizons. Using the value of $\chi$ determined by this method, we subsequently determine $M_{\text{BH}}$ shown in Eq. (A1). In the second method, we use the relation of $M_C = M_{\text{BH}}$ for determining the value of $\chi$.

Figure 10 displays the evolution of the density profile of the torus. Due to the angular-momentum transport inside the torus, a part of the matter falls into the black hole and another part of the matter expands outwards (second and third panels of Fig. 10). By the long-term viscous heating effect, the inner part of the torus is heated up significantly, in particular, after a high-spin state with $\chi \gtrsim 0.8$ is reached (fourth panel of Fig. 10), and then, it expands to a vertical direction. By this outflow, a part of the torus matter is ejected from the system (fifth panel of Fig. 10). Eventually, a funnel structure is formed along the rotation axis of the black hole (sixth panel of Fig. 10). In this final stage of the evolution, the dimensionless black hole spin is $\approx 0.85$ (see Fig. 11).

Figure 11 plots the evolution of the mass and dimensionless spin of the black hole. We note that for $\chi \ll 0.1$, the accuracy for the determination of $\chi$ is not very good because the values of $C_p/C_e$ and $M_{\text{int}}/(C_e/4\pi)$ are close to unity irrespective of the value of $\chi$. Besides such an early phase of the evolution, it appears that the quantities of the black hole are determined accurately because two independent methods for determining the mass and spin give approximately the same values. In addition, $M_0 + M_\text{bh}$ agrees approximately with the black hole mass determined by two methods. It is also reasonable that $M_0 + M_\text{bh}$ is slightly larger than $M_C$ and $M_{\text{BH}}$.

It is found that by the viscous accretion process, the system eventually relaxes to a system of a rapidly rotating black hole surrounded by a geometrically thick accretion torus. Such an outcome is often found in general-relativistic MHD simulations (e.g., Refs. [26,27]). Our viscous hydrodynamics simulation captures such a feature.
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