Jet-driven supernovae in the multi-messenger era

Philipp Mösta

GRAPPA / University of Amsterdam p.moesta@uva.nl

YITP, Oct 1, 2019



Core-collapse supernovae neutrinos turbulence

(Binary) black holes accretion disks EM counterparts

Magnetic fields in high-energy astro

Binary neutron stars

gravitational waves EM counterparts sGRBs

Extreme core-collapse

hyperenergetic superluminous lGRBs

New era of transient science

- Current (PTF, DeCAM, ASAS-SN) and upcoming wide-field time domain astronomy (ZTF, LSST, ...) -> wealth of data
- adv LIGO / gravitational waves detected
- Computational tools at dawn of new exascale era



Image: PTF/ZTF/COO



Image: LSST

New era of transient science

- Current (PTF, DeCAM, ASAS-SN) and upcoming wide-field time domain astronomy (ZTF, LSST, ...) -> wealth of data
- adv LIGO / gravitational waves detected
- Computational tools at dawn of new exascale era

Transformative years ahead for our understanding of these events







Image: LSST

Astrophysics of core-collapse supernovae



M82/Chandra/NASA Galaxy evolution/feedback



Birth sites of black holes / neutron stars

Heavy element nucleosynthesis



Observing core-collapse supernovae

- EM waves (optical/UV/X/Gamma): secondary information, late-time probes of engine

Red Supergiant Betelgeuse D ~200 pc HST

Central Engine





Observing core-collapse supernovae

- Neutrinos

- EM waves (optical/UV/X/Gamma): secondary information, late-time probes of engine Red Supergiant Betelgeuse D ~200 pc HST

Central Engine





Observing core-collapse supernovae

- Gravitational waves
- Neutrinos
- EM waves (optical/UV/X/Gamma): secondary information, late-time probes of engine

Red Supergiant Betelgeuse D ~200 pc HST

Central Engine 800 million km



Nuclear equation of state stiffens at nuclear density

Inner core (~0.5 M_{\odot}) -> protoneutron star + shockwave





Nuclear equation of state stiffens at nuclear density

Inner core (~0.5 M_{\odot}) -> protoneutron star + shockwave

Outer core accretes onto shock & protoneutron star with O(1) M_{\odot} /s

Shock stalls at ~ 100 km





Nuclear equation of state stiffens at nuclear density

Inner core (~0.5 M_{\odot}) -> protoneutron star + shockwave

Core-collapse supernova problem: How to revive the shockwave?









accretion

Theory incomplete!

shock





3D Volume Visualization of

Entropy

Roberts+16

Extreme Supernovae and GRBs



- 11 long GRB core-collapse supernova associations.
- All GRB-SNe are stripped envelope, show outflows v~0.1c
- But not all stripped-envelope supernovae come with GRBs
- Trace low metallicity environments
- Some SLSNe share same characteristics

The engine(s) driving these transients Superluminous Hyperenergetic SNe lGRBs

Engine?





Engine?

Observations

Engine?

20

Observations

Engine?

21

Observations

Engine?

Establish mapping progenitor -> engine -> observations



Nuclear equation of state stiffens at nuclear density

Inner core (~0.5 M_{\odot}) -> protoneutron star + shockwave



Engine formation?

Protomagnetar powered explosions



Rapid Rotation + B-field amplification

Results in ms-period proto-magnetar

2D: Energetic bipolar explosions Energy in rotation up to 10⁵² erg



MHD-supernova / magnetorotational supernova: outflows driven by protomagnetar



Collapsar: Compact object (likely black hole) + accretion disk -> outflows driven by disk wind

MHD-supernova / magnetorotational supernova: outflows driven by protomagnetar

Collapsar: Compact object (likely black hole) + accretion disk -> outflows driven by disk wind

Two different engines with different signatures!

MHD-supernova / magnetorotational supernova: outflows driven by protomagnetar

Collapsar: Compact object (likely black hole) + accretion disk -> outflows driven by disk wind

Two different engines with different signatures!

Could be realized in same progenitor system but at different times

Magneto-Hydrodynamics

Gas/plasma dynamics

Magneto-Hydrodynamics

General Relativity



Gravity

Dynamical gravity / Numerical Relativity



- 12 first-order hyperbolic *evolution* equations
- 4 elliptic *constraint* equations

 $8\pi G_{T^{\mu\nu}}$

 $G^{\mu\nu}$

• 4 coordinate gauge degrees of freedom: α , β^i







All four forces!



All four forces!

Additional Complication: Core-Collapse Supernovae are 3D

- rotation
- fluid and MHD instabilities, multi-D structure, spatial scales

Need 21st century tools:

- cutting edge numerical algorithms
- sophisticated open-source software infrastructure
- peta/exa scale computers



http://einsteintoolkit.org

How do we form magnetars?

One proposed channel: MRI + dynamo

 M_{C}

Mo

Mi

MRI Basics

- Weak field instability
- Requires negative angular velocity gradient
- Can build up magnetic field exponentially fast
- Extensively researched in accretion disks: ability to modulate angular momentum transport and grow large scale field

What's the situation in core-collapse?

Stability criterion:

$$-8\Omega^2 < \omega_{\rm BV}^2 + r\frac{d\Omega^2}{dr} < 0$$

[Balbus&Hawley 91,98, Akiyama+03, Obergaulinger+09]



Global 3D MHD turbulence simulations

- 10 billion grid points (Millenium simulation used 10 billion particles)
- 130 thousand cores on Blue Waters
- 2 weeks wall time
- 60 million compute hours
- 10000 more expensive than any previous simulations

Do MRI and dynamo build up dynamically relevant global field?







3D magnetic field structure





PM+ 15 Nature





PM+15 Nature

R-process nucleosynthesis in magnetar-driven explosions

3D explosions dynamics very different!

PM+ 14



identical to 2D

45

What's going on here?

PM, Richers+ 14

 $4a\sqrt{\pi\rho}$

 $4\pi a B_z$

 $\approx 1 \mathrm{ms}$

 $\approx 5 \mathrm{km}$

46

 $H(B_{tor})$

with Sherwood Richers (Caltech)



 $au_{\mathrm{fgm}} pprox \cdot$

 $\lambda_{
m fgm}$

- m=1 spiral instability
- consistent with MHD kink instability; should hold independent of initial B-field strength

3D Volume Visualization of

t = -3.00 ms



Implications for long Gamma-Ray Bursts

dual-lobe 'slow' explosion



Continued accretion -> Black hole engine possible!

Neutron-rich nucleosynthesis in supernovae Creating the heaviest elements

Jet-driven explosions proposed as site for rprocess

- Low electron fraction
- Medium entropy
- Low density
- High

temperature



Sneden+ 08

Making the heaviest elements



PM+ 18 Halevi, **PM**+ 18



Winteler+12

Nobuya's talk yesterday!



Nishimura+15



B = 10^{13} G full 3D **B** = 10^{12} G octant **B** = 10^{12} G full 3D

PM+ 18

R-process nucleosynthesis in supernovae



 $B = 10^{13} G$

See Goni's talk on Thursday morning!



Halevi, PM 18







R-process nucleosynthesis in supernovae

 $B = 10^{12} G / octant$



 $B = 10^{12} G full 3D$



R-process nucleosynthesis in supernovae



Observations:

new transients classes and subclasses
need detailed predictions to constrain engines

Simulations initial 3D simulations open up diverse outcomes magnetic fields crucial component for signatures

Need mapping: progenitor -> engine -> observations

State of the art now:

Detailed simulations full physics 0.1-1s ~10000km

engine formation/dynamics gravitational waves nucleosynthesis

State of the art now:

Detailed simulations full physics 0.1-1s ~10000km

State of the art now:

Detailed simulations full physics 0.1-1s ~10000km

Current frontier:

 engine model from full-physics simulations
 simplified simulations with engine model to shock breakout

explosion geometry explosion energy nucleosynthesis basic engine model

State of the art now:

Detailed simulations full physics 0.1-1s ~10000km

Current frontier:

 Engine model from full-physics simulations
 Simplified simulations with engine model to shock breakout



Full star

State of the art now:

Detailed simulations full physics 0.1-1s ~10000km

Current frontier:

 Engine model from full-physics simulations
 Simplified simulations with engine model to shock breakout

Next five years:

full-scale simulations full physics shock breakout

detailed light curves detailed spectra connect observations and engines map progenitor params

Summary

R-process from jet-driven supernovae in explosion phase only for strong seed magnetization

Need to look at late time behavior when neutrino luminosity has gone down

Follow evolution to remnant formation / shock breakout

Mapping: progenitor -> engine -> observations

Discussion points

What is going to help us disentangle r-process different channels?

Does it make sense to focus on better theoretical modeling right now?

Mapping: progenitor -> engine -> observations