Exact results in the Arcetri model of growing interfaces

Malte Henkel

Groupe de Physique Statistique, Institut Jean Lamour (CNRS UMR 7198) Université de Lorraine Nancy, France

Japan-France Joint Seminar "New Frontiers in Non-equilibrium Physics of Glassy Materials" Kyoto, 11th - 14th of August 2015

MH & X. Durang, J. Stat. Mech. P05022 (2015) [arxiv:1501.07745]

some words on geography/history

Nancy/Lorraine



first mentioned \sim 1050 (castle Nanciacum) 1265-1766 capital of dukedom of Lorraine 1572 foundation of the University

(at Pont-à-Mousson, since 1769 in Nancy)

1749 french translation of Newton's *Principia* VOLTAIRE & MARQUISE DU CHÂTELET 1940s-1950s N. BOURBAKI in Nancy; theory of distributions L. SCHWARTZ





L'art nouveau et l'École de Nancy \sim 1895 - 1910



Overview :

- 0. Physical ageing : a reminder
- 1. Magnets and growing interfaces : analogies
- 2. Interface growth & KPZ universality class
- 3. Interface growth and Arcetri models : heuristics
- 4. First Arcetri model : simple ageing
- 5. Second Arcetri model : several marginally different length scales
- 6. Conclusions

0. Physical ageing : a reminder

known & practically used since prehistoric times (metals, glasses) systematically studied in physics since the 1970s discovery : ageing effects reproducible & universal ! occur in widely different systems (structural glasses, spin glasses, polymers, simple magnets, ...)

Three defining properties of ageing :

- slow relaxation (non-exponential !)
- **0** no time-translation-invariance (TTI)
- dynamical scaling
 <u>without</u> fine-tuning of parameters

Cooperative phenomenon, far from equilibrium



Two-time observables for simple magnetstime-dependent magnetisation = order-parameter = $\phi(t, \mathbf{r})$ two-time correlator $C(t,s) := \langle \phi(t,\mathbf{r})\phi(s,\mathbf{r})\rangle - \langle \phi(t,\mathbf{r})\rangle \langle \phi(s,\mathbf{r})\rangle$ two-time response $R(t,s) := \frac{\delta \langle \phi(t,\mathbf{r})\rangle}{\delta h(s,\mathbf{r})} \bigg|_{h=0} = \langle \phi(t,\mathbf{r})\widetilde{\phi}(s,\mathbf{r})\rangle$

t: observation time, s: waiting time

a) system at equilibrium : fluctuation-dissipation theorem

$$R(t-s) = rac{1}{T} rac{\partial C(t-s)}{\partial s}$$
, T : temperature

b) far from equilibrium : C and R independent !

The fluctuation-dissipation ratio (FDR)

Cugliandolo, Kurchan, Parisi '94

$$X(t,s) := \frac{TR(t,s)}{\partial C(t,s)/\partial s}$$

measures the distance with respect to equilibrium :

$$X_{\rm eq} = X(t-s) = 1$$

For quenches to $T \leq T_c$: $X \neq 1 \implies$ system **never** reaches equilibrium

Scaling regime : $t, s \gg \tau_{\text{micro}}$ and $t - s \gg \tau_{\text{micro}}$

$$C(t,s) = s^{-b} f_C\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) , \quad R(t,s) = s^{-1-a} f_R\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)$$

asymptotics :
$$f_{\mathcal{C}}(y) \sim y^{-\lambda_{\mathcal{C}}/z}, f_{\mathcal{R}}(y) \sim y^{-\lambda_{\mathcal{R}}/z}$$
 for $y \gg 1$

 λ_C : autocorrelation exponent, λ_R : autoresponse exponent, z: dynamical exponent, a, b: ageing exponents

<u>Constat</u> : exponents & scaling functions are <u>universal</u>, i.e. independent of 'fine details'

may use simplified theoretical models to find their values



C(t, s): autocorrelation function, quenched to $T < T_c$ scaling regime : $t, s \gg \tau_{\text{micro}}$ and $t - s \gg \tau_{\text{micro}}$ data collapse evidence for dynamical scale-invariance MH & PLEIMLING 10

Interface growth

deposition (evaporation) of particles on a substrate

 \rightarrow height profile $h(t, \mathbf{r})$ slope profile $\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \nabla h(t, \mathbf{r})$



Questions :

- * average properties of profiles & their fluctuations?
- * what about their relaxational properties?
- * are these also examples of physical ageing ?
- ? does dynamical scaling always exist ?

Common properties of critical and ageing phenomena :

- * collective behaviour,
 - very large number of interacting degrees of freedom
- * algebraic large-distance and/or large-time behaviour
- * described in terms of universal critical exponents
- * very **few** relevant scaling operators
- * justifies use of extremely **simplified mathematical models** with a remarkably rich and complex behaviour
- * yet of experimental significance

Magnets

thermodynamic equilibrium state order parameter $\phi(t, \mathbf{r})$

phase transition, at critical temperature T_c variance :

$$\left< (\phi(t, \mathbf{r}) - \left< \phi(t) \right>)^2 \right> \sim t^{-2eta/(
uz)}$$

relaxation, after quench to $T \leq T_c$ autocorrelator

$$C(t,s) = \langle \phi(t,\mathbf{r})\phi(s,\mathbf{r})\rangle_c$$

Interfaces

growth continues forever height profile $h(t, \mathbf{r})$ same generic behaviour throughout roughness : $w(t)^2 = \langle (h(t, \mathbf{r}) - \overline{h}(t))^2 \rangle \sim t^{2\beta}$

relaxation, from initial substrate : **autocorrelator** $C(t, s) = \langle (h(t, \mathbf{r}) - \overline{h}(t)) (h(s, \mathbf{r}) - \overline{h}(s)) \rangle$

ageing scaling behaviour :

when $t,s
ightarrow\infty$, and y:=t/s>1 fixed, expect

$$C(t,s) = s^{-b} f_C(t/s)$$
 and $f_C(y) \overset{y \to \infty}{\sim} y^{-\lambda_C/z}$

b, β , ν and dynamical exponent z: universal & related to stationary state autocorrelation exponent λ_c : universal & independent of stationary exponents

Magnets

$$\overrightarrow{\text{exponent}} \text{ value } b = \begin{cases} 0 & ; T < T_c \\ 2\beta/\nu z & ; T = T_c \end{cases}$$

Interfaces

exponent value b=-2eta

models :

- (a) gaussian field $\mathcal{H}[\phi] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} (\nabla \phi)^2$ (b) Ising model $\mathcal{H}[\phi] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} [(\nabla \phi)^2 + \tau \phi^2 + \frac{g}{2} \phi^4]$ such that $\tau = 0 \leftrightarrow T = T_c$ dynamical Langevin equation (Ising) : (b) Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) :
 - $\partial_t \phi = -D \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[\phi]}{\delta \phi} + \eta \qquad \partial_t h = \nu \nabla^2 h + \frac{\mu}{2} (\nabla h)^2 + \eta$ $= D \nabla^2 \phi + \tau \phi + g \phi^3 + \eta$ $\eta(t, \mathbf{r}) \text{ is the usual white noise, } \langle \eta(t, \mathbf{r}) \eta(t', \mathbf{r}') \rangle = 2 T \delta(t t') \delta(\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}')$
- phase transition exactly solved $\underline{d=2}$ growth exactly solved $\underline{d=1}$ relaxation exactly solved $\underline{d=1}$ CALABRESE & LE DOUSSAL '11

Question : obtain qualitative understanding by approximate treatment of the non-linearity ?

Ising model : yes, certainly \Rightarrow spherical model!

Berlin & Kac 52 Lewis & Wannier 52

(a) for a lattice model : replace Ising spins $\sigma_i = \pm 1 \mapsto S_i \in \mathbb{R}$, with (mean) spherical constraint $\sum_i \langle S_i^2 \rangle = \mathcal{N}$ (b) for continuum field : replace $\phi^3 \mapsto \phi \langle \phi^2 \rangle$ and spherical constraint $\int d\mathbf{r} \langle \phi^2 \rangle \sim 1$.

Interest : analytically solvable for any *d* and in more general contexts than Ising model, all exponents ... known exactly, non-trivial for 2 < d < 4. Very useful to illustrate general principles in a specific setting. New universality class, distinct from the Ising model $(O(N) \mod W + N \rightarrow \infty)$. Stanley 68

Question : can one find a similar procedure, based on the KPZ equation? Are there new universality class(es) for interface growth? Behaviour different from the rather trivial EW-equation?

deposition (evaporation) of particles on a substrate \rightarrow height profile $h(t, \mathbf{r})$ generic situation : RSOS (restricted solid-on-solid) model KIM & KOSTERLITZ 89



 η is a gaussian white noise with $\langle \eta(t,{\bf r})\eta(t',{\bf r}')\rangle=2\nu\,T\delta(t-t')\delta({\bf r}-{\bf r}')$

Family-Viscek scaling on a spatial lattice of extent L^d : $\overline{h}(t) = L^{-d} \sum_j h_j(t)$ FAMILY & VISCEK 85

$$w^{2}(t;L) = \frac{1}{L^{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{L^{d}} \left\langle \left(h_{j}(t) - \overline{h}(t)\right)^{2} \right\rangle = L^{2\alpha} f\left(tL^{-z}\right) \sim \begin{cases} L^{2\alpha} & ; \text{ if } tL^{-z} \gg 1\\ t^{2\beta} & ; \text{ if } tL^{-z} \ll 1 \end{cases}$$

 β : growth exponent (\geq 0), α : roughness exponent, $\alpha = \beta z$

two-time correlator :

limit
$$L \rightarrow \infty$$

$$C(t,s;\mathbf{r}) = \left\langle \left(h(t,\mathbf{r}) - \left\langle \overline{h}(t) \right\rangle \right) \left(h(s,\mathbf{0}) - \left\langle \overline{h}(s) \right\rangle \right) \right\rangle = s^{-b} F_C\left(\frac{t}{s}, \frac{\mathbf{r}}{s^{1/z}}\right)$$

with ageing exponent : $b = -2\beta$ expect for $y = t/s \gg 1$: $F_C(y, 0) \sim y^{-\lambda_C/z}$ autocorrelation exponent

rigorous bound : $\lambda_C \ge (d + zb)/2$

YEUNG, RAO, DESAI 96; MH & DURANG 15

1D relaxation dynamics, starting from an initially flat interface



confirm expected exponents b = -2/3, $\lambda_C/z = 2/3$

pars pro toto

KALLABIS & KRUG 96; KRECH 97; BUSTINGORRY *et al.* 07-10; CHOU & PLEIMLING 10; D'AQUILA & TÄUBER 11/12; MH, NOH, PLEIMLING 12 ...

3. Interface growth & Arcetri models : heuristics ? KPZ \rightarrow intermediate model \rightarrow EW ? preferentially exactly solvable, and this in d > 1 dimensions Berlin & Kac 52 inspiration : mean spherical model of a ferromagnet Lewis & Wannier 52 obey $\sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{2} = \mathcal{N} = \#$ sites Ising spins $\sigma_i = \pm 1$ spherical constraint $\langle \sum_{i} S_{i}^{2} \rangle = \mathcal{N}$ spherical spins $S_i \in \mathbb{R}$ hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{(i,j)} S_i S_j - \lambda \sum_i S_i^2$ Lagrange multiplier λ exponents **non**-mean-field for 2 < d < 4 and $T_c > 0$ for d > 2 $\partial_t \phi = -D \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}[\phi]}{\delta \phi} + \mathfrak{z}(t)\phi + \eta$ kinetics from Langevin equation time-dependent Lagrange multiplier $\frac{1}{3}(t)$ fixed from spherical constraint all equilibrium and ageing exponents exactly known, for $T < T_c$ and $T = T_c$ Ronca 78, Coniglio & Zannetti 89, Cugliandolo, Kurchan, Parisi 94, Godrèche & Luck '00. Corberi, Lippiello, Fusco, Gonnella & Zannetti 02-14 ...





? let
$$u_n(t) \in \mathbb{R}$$
, & impose a spherical constraint $\left|\sum_n \langle u_n(t)^2 \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \mathcal{N}\right|$?

KPZ equation for height h(t, r): Burger's equation for slope $u(t, r) = \partial_r h(t, r)$: $\partial_t u = \nu \partial_r^2 h + \frac{\mu}{2} (\partial_r h)^2 + \eta$ $\partial_t u = \nu \partial_r^2 u + \mu u \partial_r u + \partial_r \eta$

model AI:
$$\partial_t u = \nu \partial_r^2 u + \mathfrak{z}(t)u + \partial_r \eta, \qquad \int \mathrm{d}r \langle u^2 \rangle \sim 1$$

 $\mathfrak{z}(t) \sim \langle\!\langle \partial_r u \rangle\!\rangle \sim \text{curvature}$

model AII:
$$\partial_t u = \nu \partial_r^2 u + \mathfrak{z}(t) \partial_r u + \partial_r \eta$$
, $\int dr \langle u^2 \rangle \sim 1$
 $\mathfrak{z}(t) \sim \langle \langle u \rangle \rangle \sim \text{slope}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{model} \ \mathbf{A} \textit{III}: \ \boxed{\partial_t h = \nu \partial_r^2 h + \mathfrak{z}(t) \partial_r h + \eta, \qquad \int \mathrm{d}r \left\langle (\partial_r h)^2 \right\rangle \sim 1} \\ \mathfrak{z}(t) \sim \left\langle \! \left\langle \partial_r h \right\rangle \! \right\rangle \sim \mathrm{slope} \end{array}$$

- ? interface rough or smooth ?
- ? long-time properties and ageing behaviour ?
- ? does dynamical scaling resp. simple ageing always hold ?

4. First Arcetri model AI : simple ageing slope $u(t,x) = \partial_x h(t,x)$ obeys Burgers' equation, replace its non-linearity by a mean spherical condition \Longrightarrow

$$\partial_t u_n(t) = \nu \left(u_{n+1}(t) + u_{n-1}(t) - 2u_n(t) \right) + \mathfrak{z}(t) u_n(t) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta_{n+1}(t) - \eta_{n-1}(t) \right) \\ \sum_n \left\langle u_n(t)^2 \right\rangle = N \qquad \langle \eta_n(t) \eta_m(s) \rangle = 2T \nu \delta(t-s) \delta_{n,m}$$

Extension to $d \ge 1$ dimensions : $\mathfrak{z}(t)$ Lagrange multiplierdefine gradient fields $u_a(t, \mathbf{r}) := \nabla_a h(t, \mathbf{r})$, $a = 1, \dots, d$:

$$\partial_t u_a(t, \mathbf{r}) = \nu \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} u_a(t, \mathbf{r}) + \mathfrak{z}(t) u_a(t, \mathbf{r}) + \nabla_a \eta(t, \mathbf{r})$$
$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{a=1}^d \langle u_a(t, \mathbf{r})^2 \rangle = dN^d$$

interface height : $\hat{u}_a(t, \mathbf{q}) = i \sin q_a \hat{h}(t, \mathbf{q})$

; $\mathbf{q}
eq \mathbf{0}$ in Fourier space

exact solution :

 $\omega(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{a=1}^{d} (1 - \cos q_a), \qquad \mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{0}$

$$\widehat{h}(t,\mathbf{q}) = \widehat{h}(0,\mathbf{q})e^{-2t\omega(\mathbf{q})}\sqrt{\frac{1}{g(t)}} + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau \ \widehat{\eta}(\tau,\mathbf{q})\sqrt{\frac{g(\tau)}{g(t)}} e^{-2(t-\tau)\omega(\mathbf{q})}$$

in terms of the auxiliary function $g(t) = \exp\left(-2\int_0^t d\tau \,\mathfrak{z}(\tau)\right)$, which satisfies Volterra equation

$$g(t) = f(t) + 2T \int_0^t d\tau \, g(\tau) f(t-\tau) \ , \ f(t) := d \frac{e^{-4t} I_1(4t)}{4t} \left(e^{-4t} I_0(4t) \right)^{d-1}$$

- * for d = 1, identical to 'spherical spin glass', with $T = 2T_{SG}$: hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} S_i S_j$; J_{ij} random matrix, its eigenvalues distributed according to Wigner's semi-circle law CUGLIANDOLO & DEAN 95 * also related to distribution of first gap of random matrices PERRET & SCHEHR 15/16
- a further auxiliary function : $F_{\mathbf{r}}(t) := \prod_{a=1}^{d} e^{-2t} I_{r_a}(2t)$ $I_n : modified Bessel function for initially uncorrelated heights and initially flat interface$

height autocorrelator :

$$C(t,s) = \langle h(t,\mathbf{r})h(s,\mathbf{r})\rangle_c = \frac{2F_0(t+s)}{\sqrt{g(t)g(s)}} + \frac{2T}{\sqrt{g(t)g(s)}} \int_0^s \mathrm{d}\tau \, g(\tau)F_0(t+s-2\tau)$$

interface width : $w^2(t) = C(t,t) = \frac{2F_0(2t)}{g(t)} + \frac{2T}{g(t)} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau \, g(\tau)F_0(2t-2\tau)$

slope autocorrelator :

 $\begin{aligned} A(t,s) &= \sum_{a=1}^{d} \left\langle u_{a}(t,\mathbf{r}) u_{a}(s,\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle_{c} = \frac{2f((t+s)/2)}{\sqrt{g(t)g(s)}} + \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{d}\tau \, \frac{2Tg(\tau)}{\sqrt{g(t)g(s)}} f((t+s)/2 - \tau) \\ \text{height response} : R(t,s;\mathbf{r}) &= \left. \frac{\delta \left\langle h(t,\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle}{\delta j(s,\mathbf{0})} \right|_{j=0} = \Theta(t-s) \sqrt{\frac{g(s)}{g(t)}} \, F_{\mathbf{r}}(t-s) \\ \text{slope autoresponse} : Q(t,s;\mathbf{0}) &= \Theta(t-s) \sqrt{\frac{g(s)}{g(t)}} \, f((t-s)/2) \end{aligned}$

* correspondence of 1D A/ model with spherical spin glass : spins $S_i \leftrightarrow \text{slopes } u_n$ spin glass autocorrelator $C_{\text{SG}}(t,s) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\langle S_i(t)S_i(s) \rangle} = A(t,s)$ spin glass response $R_{\text{SG}}(t,s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\delta \overline{\langle S_i(t) \rangle}}{\delta h_i(s)} \Big|_{h=0} = 2Q(t,s)$ * kinetics of heights $h_n(t)$ in model A/ driven by phase-ordering of the spherical spin glass $\equiv 3D$ kinetic spherical model **phase transition :** long-range correlated surface growth for $T \leq T_c$

$$\frac{1}{T_c(d)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dt \ e^{-dt} t^{-1} l_1(t) l_0(t)^{d-1} \quad ; \quad T_c(1) = 2, \ T_c(2) = \frac{2\pi}{\pi - 2}$$
Some results : always simple ageing upper critical dimension $d^* = 2$
1. $T = T_c, \ d < 2$:
rough interface, width $w(t) = t^{(2-d)/4} \Longrightarrow \beta = \frac{2-d}{4} > 0$
ageing exponents $a = b = \frac{d}{2} - 1, \ \lambda_R = \lambda_C = \frac{3d}{2} - 1; \ z = 2$
exponents z, β, a, b same as EW, but exponent $\lambda_C = \lambda_R$ different

2.
$$T = T_c$$
, $d > 2$:
smooth interface, width $w(t) = \text{cste.} \implies \beta = 0$
ageing exponents $a = b = \frac{d}{2} - 1$, $\lambda_R = \lambda_C = d$; $z = 2$
same asymptotic exponents as EW, **but scaling functions are distinct**

3.
$$T < T_c$$
:
rough interface, width $w^2(t) = (1 - T/T_c)t \Longrightarrow \beta = \frac{1}{2}$
ageing exponents $a = \frac{d}{2} - 1$, $b = -1$, $\lambda_R = \lambda_C = \frac{d-2}{2}$; $z = \frac{d-2$

2

Illustration : Shape of the height fluctuation-dissipation ratio,

$$X(t,s) := TR(t,s) \left(\frac{\partial C(t,s)}{\partial s}\right)^{-1} = X\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)$$
$$\xrightarrow{t/s \to \infty} X_{\infty} = \begin{cases} d/(d+2) & ; \ 0 < d < 2\\ d/4 & ; \ 2 < d \end{cases}$$



distinct from $X_{\rm EW,\infty} = 1/2$ for all d > 0

green line : $X_{\rm EW}$ for d = 4

 $T = T_c$

 \implies although for d > 2 the non-equilibrium exponent $\lambda_C = \lambda_R = d$ is the same for the Arcetri and EW models, the scaling functions are different

in simple magnets : X_{∞} is an **universal** constant Godrèche & Luck 00 1.8 use universal value of X_{∞} as $X_{\text{Aug}}(y) / X_{\text{EW}}(y) = 9.1$ diagnostic tool, (provided that a = b, 1.2 valid in the Arcetri model at $T = T_c$) 1.010 30

<u>N.B.</u>: for d < 2, the slope FDR $X_{\infty}^{(\text{slope})} = d/(d+2) = X_{\infty}^{\text{SM}}|_{d+2 \text{ dim.}}$, same as X_{∞} in the spherical ferromagnet in d+2 dimensions

Relationship with the critical diffusive bosonic pair-contact process (BPCPD)

Howard & Täuber 97; Houchmandzadeh 02; Paessens & Schütz 04; Baumann, MH, Pleimling, Richert 05

- * each site of a hypercubic lattice is occupied by $n_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ particles
- * single particles hop to a nearest-neighbour site with diffusion rate D
- * on-site reactions, with rates $\Gamma[2A \rightarrow (2+k)A] = \Gamma[2A \rightarrow (2-k)A] = \mu$ k is either 1 or 2
- * control parameter $\alpha := k^2 \mu / D$

 \implies for d>2, particles cluster on a few sites only, if $\alpha > \alpha_{C}$

Figure : 2D section of BPCPD in d = 3; height of columns ~ particle number BAUMANN 07 \implies fluctuations grow with t when $\alpha > \alpha_C$ & are bounded for $\alpha < \alpha_C$



bosonic creation operator $a^{\dagger}(t, \mathbf{r})$, commutator $[a(t, \mathbf{r}), a^{\dagger}(t, \mathbf{r}')] = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$ \implies average particle number is constant !

$$n(t,\mathbf{r}) = \langle a^{\dagger}(t,\mathbf{r})a(t,\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle a(t,\mathbf{r}) \rangle =
ho_0 = \mathrm{cste.}$$

clustering transition at $\alpha = \alpha_C$, caracterised by changes in the variance.

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{C}}(t,s) &:= \left. \left\langle a^{\dagger}(t,\mathbf{r})a(s,\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle - \rho_0^2 \overset{t,s \to \infty}{\simeq} \left\langle n(t,\mathbf{r})n(s,\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle - \rho_0^2 = s^{-b} f_{\mathcal{C}}(t/s) \\ \bar{\mathcal{R}}(t,s) &:= \left. \left. \frac{\delta \left\langle a(t,\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle}{\delta j(s,\mathbf{r})} \right|_{j=0} = s^{1-a} f_{\mathcal{R}}(t/s) \end{split}$$

obey simple ageing for $\alpha \le \alpha_C$. Precisely **at** the clustering transition $\alpha = \alpha_C$, for 2 < d < 4, the scaling functions are **identical** :

BPCPD:
$$b+1 = a = d/2 - 1$$

 $f_{R,BPCPD}(y) = (y-1)^{d-2} = f_{R,Arc}(y)$
 $f_{C,BPCPD}(y) = (y+1)^{-d/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}; \frac{d}{2} + 1; \frac{2}{1+y}\right) = f_{C,Arc}(y)$

N.B.: for d > 4, Arcetri \neq BPCPD \neq EW, although all exponents, up to b, agree.

Summary of results in the $\rm A{\it I}$ model :

Captures at least some qualitative properites of growing interfaces.

- * phenomenology of relaxation analogous to domain growth in simple magnets → dynamical scaling form of simple ageing
- * existence of a critical point $T_c(d) > 0$ for all d > 0 as a magnet
- * at $T = T_c$, rough interface for d < 2, smooth interface for d > 2; upper critical dimension $d^* = 2$
- * at T = T_c, d < 2, the stationary exponents (β, z) are those of EW, but the non-stationary ageing exponents are different explicit example for expectation from field-theory renormalisation group in domain growth of independent exponents λ_{C,R} different from EW and KPZ classes, where λ_C = d for all d < 2 KRECH 97
 * at T = T_c, d > 2, distinct from EW, although all exponents agree
- * for d = 1, equivalent to p = 2 spherical spin glass
- * at T = T_c and 2 < d < 4, same ageing behaviour as at the multicritical point of the bosonic pair-contact process with diffusion (BPCPD)
 * distinct universality class for T < T_c

5. Second Arcetri model All : several length scales

d = 1 only; work in progress

$$\partial_t u = \nu \partial_r^2 u + \mathfrak{z}(t) \partial_r u + \partial_r \eta, \qquad \int \mathrm{d}r \langle u^2 \rangle \sim 1$$

requirement : stationary solution should remain roughly flat

but find
$$\nu u'' + \mathfrak{z}u' = 0 \implies u = u^{(0)} + u^{(1)}e^{-(\mathfrak{z}/\nu)r}$$
 exponential growth ?

N.B. : equation of motion couples even and odd contributions to slope profile

decompose u(t,r) = a(t,r) + b(t,r)

with a(t,r) = a(t,-r) even and b(t,r) = -b(t,-r) odd

gives $\nu a'' + \mathfrak{z}b' = 0$, $\nu b'' + \mathfrak{z}a' = 0 \Longrightarrow$ exponential growth as $r \to \pm \infty$?

u(t,r) = a(t,r) + b(t,r) with a even and b odd

construct pair of equations of motion, with an important modification

$$\partial_t a(t,r) = \nu \partial_r^2 a(t,r) + \mathfrak{z}(t) \partial_r b(t,r) + \partial_r \eta^-(t,r) \partial_t b(t,r) = \nu \partial_r^2 b(t,r) - \mathfrak{z}(t) \partial_r a(t,r) - \partial_r \eta^+(t,r) \langle \sum_r (a(t,r) + b(t,r))^2 \rangle = \mathcal{N}$$

with symmetrised noise $\eta^{\pm}(t,r) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta(t,r) \pm \eta(t,-r) \right)$

These are the defining equations of the model All

gives
$$\nu a'' + \mathfrak{z}b' = 0$$
, $\nu b'' - \mathfrak{z}a' = 0 \Longrightarrow \nu^2 a''' = -\mathfrak{z}^2 a'$, $\nu^2 b''' = -\mathfrak{z}^2 b'$
 \Longrightarrow profiles remain bounded as $r \to \pm \infty$!

analogous procedure for third Arcetri model AIII

initial condition :

interface flat on average, initial slopes uncorrelated, spherical constraint respected

work out spherical constraint : let $Z(t) := \int_0^t d\tau \mathfrak{z}(\tau)$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}k \, \cosh(2\sin kZ(t)) e^{-4\nu\omega(k)t} \\ + \frac{\nu T}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}k \, \sin^2 k \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau \, \cosh(2\sin k(Z(t) - Z(\tau))) e^{-4\nu\omega(k)(t-\tau)} = 1$$

concentrate on case T = 0: dynamics driven by initial fluctuations **much as in phase-ordering kinetics in simple magnets** spherical constraint : $e^{4\nu t} = I_0(\sqrt{(4\nu t)^2 + (2Z(t))^2})$

asymptotic solution for $t \gg 1$: $Z(t) \simeq (\nu t \ln(\pi \nu t))^{1/2}$

slope response

choose units such that u = 1

$$R_{x,y}(t,s) = \left\langle \frac{\partial a(t,x)}{\partial j^+(s,y)} \Big|_{j=0} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial b(t,x)}{\partial j^-(s,y)} \Big|_{j=0} \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \sin k e^{-2\omega(k)(t-s)} \sinh(\sin k(Z(t) - Z(s))) \cos k(x-y)$$

slope correlator

$$C_{x,y}(t,s) = \langle a(t,x)a(s,y) + b(t,x)b(s,y) \rangle$$

= $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} dk \ e^{-2\omega(k)(t+s)} \cosh(\sin k(Z(t) + Z(s))) \cos k(x-y)$

both can be evaluated as sums of modified Bessel functions

analysis of the long-time scaling behaviour, T = 0it turns out that simple ageing is not obeyed ! rather, consider as a scaling variable $\tau := t - s = ys \ln^{-\varsigma} \pi s$

scaling limit $t, s \to \infty$ with y fixed and $\varsigma > 0$ 'logarithmic sub-ageing' use $Z(t) \simeq \sqrt{t \ln \pi t}$ for $t \to \infty$: slope autocorrelator $C(t,s) = C_{0,0}(t,s)$

$$C(t,s) = \frac{I_0 \left(2(t+s)\sqrt{(1+(Z(t)+Z(s))^2/(2(t+s))^2} \right)}{I_0 \left(2(t+s)\sqrt{1+Z^2((t+s)/2)} \right)}$$

\$\approx \exp \left(-\frac{y^2}{32} \ln^{1-2\sigma} \pi s \right)\$

* try simple ageing $\varsigma = 0 : \Longrightarrow$ no data collapse & multiscaling !

- * only find dynamical scaling if $\left| \frac{\varsigma}{\varsigma} = \frac{1}{2} > 0 \right|$
- * same sub-ageing behaviour as in the 2D spherical magnet with conserved order parameter (model B)

Berthier 00

slope autoresponse $R(t,s) = R_{0,0}(t,s)$

$$R(t,s)\simeq \sqrt{rac{2}{\pi}}\,s^{-1}y^{-3/2}\ln^{1+3\varsigma/2}\pi s$$

- * looks very similar to simple ageing
- * but additional logarithmic factor breaks dynamical scale-invariance

spatial equal-time correlator $C_n(t) = C_{n,0}(t,t)$

$$C_n(t) = \frac{I_n \left(4t\sqrt{1+Z^2(t)/4t^2}\right) \cos\left(n \arctan Z(t)/2t\right)}{I_0 \left(4t\sqrt{1+Z^2(t)/4t^2}\right)}$$
$$\simeq \exp\left(-\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{8t}}\right)^2\right) \cos\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{2t/\ln \pi t}}\right)$$

- * find two marginally different length scales
- * simple scaling ansatz leads to multiscaling
- * analogue : spherical magnet at T = 0, conserved order-parameter Coniglio & ZANNETTI 89 but the A// model does not have a macroscopic conservation law !

6. Conclusions

- * long-time dynamics of growing interfaces naturally evolves towards dynamical scaling & ageing
- * phenomenology very similar to ageing phenomena in simple magnets* subtleties in the precise scaling forms
- * exactly solvable model with proven sub-ageing, although the All does not have a macroscopic conservation law !

proving dynamical symmetries can remain a delicate affair!