Lattice QCD study for relation between quark-confinement and chiral symmetry breaking

Quantum Hadron Physics Laboratory, Nishina Center, RIKEN

Takahiro M. Doi (土居 孝寛)

In collaboration with

• Hideo Suganuma (Kyoto Univ.), Takumi Iritani (RIKEN)

TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D90, 094505 (2014)

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)

Krzysztof Redlich, Chihiro Sasaki (Univ. of Wroclaw)

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki, H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015)

NFQCD2018, Kyoto, YITP, 30, May 2018

Contents

Introduction

- Quark confinement and its order parameter
- Chiral symmetry breaking and Dirac eigenmode
- Deconfinement transition and chiral restoration at finite temperature

Relation btw confinement and chiral symmetry breaking

- Polyakov loop
- Polyakov loop fluctuations
- Wilson loop
- Generalization to chiral fermion on the lattice

Discussion and Summary

Introduction – confinement

Confinement : colored state cannot be observed(quark, gluon, •••)only color-singlet states can be observed(meson, baryon, •••)



An order parameter for quark-confinement: Polyakov loop

L.D. McLerran and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D24, 450 (1981)



Introduction – Chiral Symmetry Breaking

• Chiral symmetry breaking : chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken

$$\begin{array}{c} SU(N)_L \times SU(N)_R \xrightarrow[CSB]{} SU(N)_V \end{array}$$
for example $SU(2)$
• u, d quarks get dynamical mass(constituent mass)
• Pions appear as NG bosons

• Chiral condensate : order parameter for chiral phase transition

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \begin{cases} \neq 0 & \text{(chiral broken phase)} \\ = 0 & \text{(chiral restored phase)} \end{cases}$$

Banks-Casher relation

 $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = -\lim_{m \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} \pi \langle \rho(0) \rangle$

 $\hat{D}|n
angle = i\lambda_n|n
angle$:Dirac eigenvalue equation $ho(\lambda) = rac{1}{V}\sum_n \delta(\lambda - \lambda_n)$:Dirac eigenvalue density

 \hat{D} :Dirac operator

QCD phase transition at finite temperature

F. Karsch, Lect. Notes Phys. 583, 209 (2002)

 $\langle L \rangle, \chi_L$: Polyakov loop and its susceptibility $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle, \chi_m$: chiral condensate and its susceptibility



QCD phase transition at finite temperature

F. Karsch, Lect. Notes Phys. 583, 209 (2002)

 $\langle L \rangle, \chi_L$: Polyakov loop and its susceptibility $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle, \chi_m$: chiral condensate and its susceptibility



Our strategy to study relation between confinement and chiral symmetry breaking : anatomy of Polyakov loop in terms of Dirac mode

Our strategy to study relation between confinement and chiral symmetry breaking : anatomy of Polyakov loop in terms of Dirac mode

Polyakov loop L:

an order parameter of **deconfinement** transition.

Our strategy to study relation between confinement and chiral symmetry breaking : anatomy of Polyakov loop in terms of Dirac mode

Polyakov loop L:

an order parameter of **deconfinement** transition.

Dirac eigenmode $\hat{D}|n\rangle = i\lambda_n|n\rangle$:

low-lying Dirac modes (with small eigenvalue $|\lambda_n| \sim 0$) are essential modes for **chiral symmetry breaking**.

recall Banks-Casher relation: $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = -\lim_{m \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} \pi \langle \rho(0) \rangle$

Our strategy to study relation between confinement and chiral symmetry breaking : anatomy of Polyakov loop in terms of Dirac mode

Polyakov loop L:

an order parameter of **deconfinement** transition.

Dirac eigenmode $\hat{D}|n\rangle = i\lambda_n|n\rangle$:

low-lying Dirac modes (with small eigenvalue $|\lambda_n| \sim 0$) are essential modes for **chiral symmetry breaking**.

recall Banks-Casher relation: $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = -\lim_{m \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} \pi \langle \rho(0) \rangle$

What we want to show:

If the contribution to the Polyakov loop from the low-lying Dirac modes is very small, the important modes for chiral symmetry breaking are not important for confinement.

Polyakov loop with Dirac-infrared(IR) cut

S. Gongyo, T. Iritani, H. Suganuma, PRD86, 034510 (2012) T. Iritani and H. Suganuma, PTEP 2014, 033B03 (2014)



Analytical relation between Polyakov loop and Dirac modes with twisted boundary condition

C. Gattringer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 032003.

$$L = \frac{1}{8V} \left(2\sum_{\lambda} \lambda^{N_4} - (1+i)\sum_{\lambda_+} \lambda^{N_4}_+ - (1-i)\sum_{\lambda_-} \lambda^{N_4}_- \right)$$

twisted boundary condition:

$$\begin{split} U_4(\mathbf{x}, N_4) &\to \pm i U_4(\mathbf{x}, N_4), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} & \lambda \quad : \text{Eigenvalue of } D(x|y) \\ D(x, y) &\to D_{\pm}(x, y) & \lambda_{\pm} : \text{Eigenvalue of } D_{\pm}(x|y) \\ D(x|y) &= (4+m)\delta_{x,y} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu=\pm 1}^{\pm 4} [1 \mp \gamma_{\mu}] U_{\mu}(x) \delta_{x+\mu,y} \quad : \text{Wilson Dirac operator} \end{split}$$

The twisted boundary condition is not the periodic boundary condition.

However,

the temporal periodic boundary condition is physically important for the imaginary-time formalism at finite temperature.

(The b.c. for link-variables is p.b.c., but the b.c. for Dirac operator is twisted b.c.)

Our study

The relation btw quark-confinement and chiral symmetry breaking in QCD is investigated by deriving analytical formulae connecting the order parameters for confinement and Dirac eigenmodes with proper (anti)periodic boundary condition for temporal direction.

• Polyakov loop L

TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D90, 094505 (2014)

Polyakov loop fluctuations

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki, H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015)

• Wilson loop

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)

Our study

The relation btw quark-confinement and chiral symmetry breaking in QCD is investigated by deriving analytical formulae connecting the order parameters for confinement and Dirac eigenmodes with proper (anti)periodic boundary condition for temporal direction.

• Polyakov loop L

TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D90, 094505 (2014)

Polyakov loop fluctuations

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki, H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015)

• Wilson loop

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)

TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D 90, 094505 (2014).H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2016, 013B06 (2016).

$$\begin{split} L &= -\frac{(2ai)^{N_4-1}}{12V} \sum_n \lambda_n^{N_4-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle \quad \text{ on temporally odd number lattice: } N_4 \text{ is odd} \\ & \cdot \text{Polyakov loop : } L \\ & \cdot \text{ link variable operator : } \langle s | \hat{U}_\mu | s' \rangle = U_\mu(s) \delta_{s+\hat{\mu},s'} \\ & \text{ with anti p.b.c. for time direction: } \langle N_4, \mathbf{x} | \hat{U}_4 | 1, \mathbf{x} \rangle = -U_4(N_4, \mathbf{x}) \\ & \cdot \text{ Dirac eigenmode : } \hat{D} | n \rangle = i\lambda_n | n \rangle \\ & \text{Dirac operator : } \hat{D} = \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{\mu} \gamma_\mu (\hat{U}_\mu - \hat{U}_{-\mu}) \sum_n |n\rangle \langle n| = 1 \\ & \cdot \text{ The Dirac-matrix element of the link variable operator: } \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle \\ & |\langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle| < 1 \end{split}$$



In this study, we use

standard square lattice

• with ordinary periodic boundary condition for gluons,

• with the odd temporal length N_4

(temporally odd-number lattice)



In this study, we use
standard square lattice
with ordinary periodic boundary condition for gluons,
with the odd temporal length N₄ (temporally odd-number lattice)

Note: in the continuum limit of $a \rightarrow 0$, $N_{\tau} \rightarrow \infty$, any number of large N_4 gives the same result. Then, it is no problem to use the odd-number lattice.



In this study, we use

standard square lattice

with ordinary periodic boundary condition for gluons,

• with the odd temporal length N_4

(temporally odd-number lattice)

For the simple notation, we take the lattice unit a=1 hereafter.



 $\langle s|\hat{U}_{\mu}|s'\rangle = U_{\mu}(s)\delta_{s+\hat{\mu},s'}$



Note: any closed loop needs even-number link-variables on the square lattice.

Key point

We consider the functional trace I on the temporally odd-number lattice:

$$I \equiv \text{Tr}_{c,\gamma}(\hat{U}_4 \ \hat{\not} D^{N_4-1}) \qquad (N_4 : \text{odd})$$

Dirac operator : $\hat{\not} D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}(\hat{U}_{\mu} - \hat{U}_{-\mu})$

definition: $\langle s|\hat{U}_{\mu}|s'\rangle = U_{\mu}(s)\delta_{s+\hat{\mu},s'}$ $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{c},\gamma} \equiv \Sigma_{s} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{c}} \operatorname{tr}_{\gamma}$

site & color & spinor

We consider the functional trace I on the temporally odd-number lattice:

 $\hat{U}_4 \,\hat{\not}^{N_4-1}$ is expressed as a sum of products of N_4 link-variable operators because the Dirac operator $\hat{\not}$ includes one link-variable operator in each direction $\hat{\mu}$.

We consider the functional trace I on the temporally odd-number lattice:

 $\hat{U}_4 \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{N_4-1}$ is expressed as a sum of products of N_4 link-variable operators because the Dirac operator $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ includes one link-variable operator in each direction $\hat{\mu}$.

$$I\equiv{
m Tr}_{{
m c},\gamma}(\hat{U}_4\,\hat{
onumber D}^{N_4-1})~$$
 includes many trajectories on the square lattice.

$$N_{4} = 3 \text{ case}$$

$$I = 10 \text{ length of trajectories:}$$

$$N_{4} = 3 \text{ odd}$$

$$M_{4} = 3 \text{ odd}$$

$$M_{4} = 3 \text{ odd}$$

$$\hat{U}_{4}\hat{U}_{-1}\hat{U}_{4}$$

$$\hat{U}_{4}\hat{U}_{-1}$$

$$\hat{U}_{4}\hat{U}_{-1}$$

$$\hat{U}_{4}\hat{U}_{-1}$$

We consider the functional trace I on the temporally odd-number lattice:

$$I \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{c,\gamma}(\hat{U}_{4} \ \hat{p}^{N_{4}-1}) \quad (N_{4} : \operatorname{odd}) \qquad \underset{\langle s|\hat{U}_{\mu}|s'\rangle = U_{\mu}(s)\delta_{s+\hat{\mu},s'}}{\operatorname{Tr}_{c,\gamma} \equiv \sum_{s} \operatorname{tr}_{c} \operatorname{tr}_{\gamma}}$$

Dirac operator : $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}(\hat{U}_{\mu} - \hat{U}_{-\mu}) \qquad \operatorname{Tr}_{c,\gamma} \equiv \sum_{s} \operatorname{tr}_{c} \operatorname{tr}_{\gamma}$
site & color & spinor
 $\hat{U}_{4} \ \hat{p}^{N_{4}-1}$ is expressed as a sum of products of N_{4} link-variable operators
because the Dirac operator \hat{p} includes one link-variable operator in each direction $\hat{\mu}$.
 $I \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{c,\gamma}(\hat{U}_{4} \ \hat{p}^{N_{4}-1})$ includes many trajectories on the square lattice.
 $N_{4} = 3 \operatorname{case}$
length of trajectories: $\underline{N_{4} = 3}_{odd}$
 $M_{4} = 3 \operatorname{case}$ Note: any closed loop needs even-number
link-variables on the square lattice.

 $I \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{c},\gamma}(\hat{U}_4 \, \hat{D}^{N_4 - 1}) \quad (N_4 : \mathrm{odd})$

In this functional trace $I \equiv \text{Tr}_{c,\gamma}(\hat{U}_4 \ \hat{D}^{N_4-1})$, it is impossible to form a closed loop on the square lattice, because the length of the trajectories, N_4 , is odd.





On the one hand,

$$I = \frac{12V}{2^{N_4 - 1}}L \qquad \dots \textcircled{1}$$

On the other hand, take the Dirac modes as the basis for functional trace

$$\begin{split} I &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{c},\gamma}(\hat{U}_{4} \ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{N_{4}-1}) & \text{Dirac eigenmode} \\ &= \sum_{n} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} \ \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{N_{4}-1} | n \rangle & \\ &= i^{N_{4}-1} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{N_{4}-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle & \cdots 2 & \\ & & \sum_{n} |n\rangle \langle n| = 1 \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

An analytical relation between Polyakov loop and Dirac mode on temporally odd-number lattice TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D 90, 094505 (2014).

TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D 90, 094505 (2014). H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2016, 013B06 (2016).

$$L = -\frac{(2ai)^{N_4-1}}{12V} \sum_{n} \lambda_n^{N_4-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle \quad \text{ on temporally odd number lattice: } N_4 \text{ is odd}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{odd}}{\text{notation:}} \quad \stackrel{\text{odd}}{\text{notation:}} \quad \stackrel{\text{odd}}{\text{notation:}}$$

The relation also can be obtained on the even lattice. (See our paper \uparrow)

An analytical relation between Polyakov loop and Dirac mode on temporally odd-number lattice TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D 90, 094505 (2014).

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2016, 013B06 (2016).

$$\begin{split} L &= -\frac{(2ai)^{N_4-1}}{12V} \sum_n \lambda_n^{N_4-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle \quad \text{ on temporally odd number lattice: } N_4 \text{ is odd} \\ & \cdot \text{ Polyakov loop : } L \\ & \cdot \text{ link variable operator : } \langle s | \hat{U}_\mu | s' \rangle = U_\mu(s) \delta_{s+\hat{\mu},s'} \\ & \text{ with anti p.b.c. for time direction: } \langle N_4, \mathbf{x} | \hat{U}_4 | 1, \mathbf{x} \rangle = -U_4(N_4, \mathbf{x}) \\ & \cdot \text{ Dirac eigenmode : } \hat{\mathcal{P}} | n \rangle = i \lambda_n | n \rangle \\ & \text{ Dirac operator : } \hat{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{\mu} \gamma_\mu(\hat{U}_\mu - \hat{U}_{-\mu}) \quad \sum_n |n\rangle \langle n| = 1 \end{split}$$

D

•Low-lying Dirac-modes $(|\lambda_n| \sim 0)$ are important for CSB (Banks-Casher relation)

 Low-lying Dirac-modes have little contribution to the Polyakov loop because of damping factor: $\lambda_n^{N_4-1}$

Contribution from the important modes of chiral symmetry breaking is negligible to the Polyakov loop, namely an order parameter of the deconfinement.

The important modes of chiral symmetry breaking are not important for confinement.





 $\langle L \rangle = 0$ is due to the symmetric distribution of positive/negative value of $(n|\hat{U}_4|n), \lambda_n^{N_4-1}(n|\hat{U}_4|n)$

Low-lying Dirac modes have little contribution to Polyakov loop.



We mainly investigate the real Polyakov-loop vacuum, where the Polyakov loop is real, so only real part is different from it in confined phase.

 λ_n V.S. $(n|\hat{U}_4|n)$, $\lambda_n^{N_4-1}(n|\hat{U}_4|n)$



In low-lying Dirac modes region, $\operatorname{Re}(n|\hat{U}_4|n)$ has a large value, but contribution of low-lying (IR) Dirac modes to Polyakov loop is very small because of dumping factor $\lambda_n^{N_4-1}$

Our study

The relation btw quark-confinement and chiral symmetry breaking in QCD is investigated by deriving analytical formulae connecting the order parameters for confinement and Dirac eigenmodes with proper (anti)periodic boundary condition for temporal direction.

• Polyakov loop L

TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D90, 094505 (2014)

Polyakov loop fluctuations

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki, H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015)

• Wilson loop

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)

Polyakov loop fluctuations

• Polyakov loop:
$$L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_{s} \operatorname{tr}_c \{ \prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4}) \}$$

Z3 rotated Polyakov loop:

 $\tilde{L} = L \mathrm{e}^{2\pi k i/3}$

longitudinal Polyakov loop:

Transverse Polyakov loop:

$$L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$$
$$L_T \equiv \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{L})$$

Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}],$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

T : temperature

 $N_\sigma, \,\, N_ au$: spatial and temporal lattice size

P.M. Lo, B. Friman, O. Kaczmarek, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D88, 014506 (2013); Phys. Rev. D88, 074502 (2013)

Scattered plot of the Polyakov loops



Polyakov loop fluctuations

• Polyakov loop:
$$L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_s \operatorname{tr}_c \{\prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4})\}$$

- •Z3 rotated Polyakov loop:
- $\tilde{L} = L e^{2\pi k i/3}$
- longitudinal Polyakov loop:
- $L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$
- Transverse Polyakov loop:
- $L_T \equiv \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}],$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

T: temperature

 N_{σ}, N_{τ} : spatial and temporal lattice size

P.M. Lo, B. Friman, O. Kaczmarek, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D88, 014506 (2013); Phys. Rev. D88, 074502 (2013)

Scattered plot of the Polyakov loops


• Polyakov loop:
$$L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_{s} \operatorname{tr}_c \{\prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4})\}$$

- •Z3 rotated Polyakov loop:
- $\tilde{L} = L e^{2\pi k i/3}$
- longitudinal Polyakov loop:
- $L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$
- Transverse Polyakov loop:
- $L_T \equiv \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}],$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

T: temperature

 N_{σ}, N_{τ} : spatial and temporal lattice size

P.M. Lo, B. Friman, O. Kaczmarek, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D88, 014506 (2013); Phys. Rev. D88, 074502 (2013)

Scattered plot of the Polyakov loops



• Polyakov loop:
$$L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_s \operatorname{tr}_c \{\prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4})\}$$

- -Z3 rotated Polyakov loop: $\tilde{L} = L e^{2\pi k i/3}$
- longitudinal Polyakov loop:

$$L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$$

- Transverse Polyakov loop:
- $L_T \equiv \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}]$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

T : temperature

 $N_\sigma, \; N_ au$: spatial and temporal lattice size

P.M. Lo, B. Friman, O. Kaczmarek, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D88, 014506 (2013); Phys. Rev. D88, 074502 (2013)

In particular, R_A is a sensitive probe for deconfinement transition



%nf=0: quenched level nf=2+1: (2+1)flavor full QCD (near physical point)

-Polyakov loop:
$$L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_s \operatorname{tr}_c \{\prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4})\}$$

- -Z3 rotated Polyakov loop: $\tilde{L} = L e^{2\pi k i/3}$
- longitudinal Polyakov loop:

$$L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$$

- Transverse Polyakov loop:
- $L_T \equiv \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}]$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

T : temperature

 $N_\sigma, \; N_ au$: spatial and temporal lattice size

P.M. Lo, B. Friman, O. Kaczmarek, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D88, 014506 (2013); Phys. Rev. D88, 074502 (2013)



 R_A is a good probe for deconfinement transition even if considering light dynamical quarks. And, another advantage of considering R_A is that the ambiguity of the multiplicative renormalization of the Polyakov loop can be avoided.

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015).

In particular, the ratio R_A can be represented using Dirac modes:

$$R_{A} = \frac{\left\langle \left| \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right|^{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \left| \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right| \right\rangle^{2}}{\left\langle \left(\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{2\pi k i/3} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right) \right)^{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{2\pi k i/3} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right) \right\rangle^{2}} \right\rangle$$

Note 1: The ratio R_A is a good "order parameter" for deconfinement transition.

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015).

In particular, the ratio R_A can be represented using Dirac modes:

$$R_{A} = \frac{\left\langle \left| \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right|^{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \left| \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right| \right\rangle^{2}}{\left\langle \left(\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{2\pi k i/3} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right) \right)^{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{2\pi k i/3} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right) \right\rangle^{2}} \right\rangle$$

Note 1: The ratio R_A is a good "order parameter" for deconfinement transition.

Note 2: Since the damping factor $\lambda_n^{N_\tau-1}$ is very small with small $|\lambda_n| \simeq 0$, low-lying Dirac modes (with small $|\lambda_n| \simeq 0$) are not important for R_A , while these modes are important modes for chiral symmetry breaking.

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015).

In particular, the ratio R_A can be represented using Dirac modes:

$$R_{A} = \frac{\left\langle \left| \sum_{n} \overline{\lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1}} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right|^{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \left| \sum_{n} \overline{\lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1}} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right| \right\rangle^{2}}{\left\langle \left(\sum_{n} \overline{\lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1}} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{2\pi k i/3} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right) \right)^{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{n} \overline{\lambda_{n}^{N_{\tau}-1}} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{2\pi k i/3} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4} | n \rangle \right) \right\rangle^{2}} \right\rangle$$

Note 1: The ratio R_A is a good "order parameter" for deconfinement transition.

Note 2: Since the damping factor $\lambda_n^{N_\tau-1}$ is very small with small $|\lambda_n| \simeq 0$, low-lying Dirac modes (with small $|\lambda_n| \simeq 0$) are not important for R_A , while these modes are important modes for chiral symmetry breaking.



Thus, the essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking in QCD are not important to quantify the Polyakov loop fluctuations, which are sensitive observables to confinement properties in QCD.

Our study

The relation btw quark-confinement and chiral symmetry breaking in QCD is investigated by deriving analytical formulae connecting the order parameters for confinement and Dirac eigenmodes with proper (anti)periodic boundary condition for temporal direction.

• Polyakov loop L

TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D90, 094505 (2014)

Polyakov loop fluctuations

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki, H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015)

• Wilson loop

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)

Interquark potential from the Wilson loop

$$V(R) = -\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \ln \langle W(R,T) \rangle$$

Cornell potential

$$V_{\rm C}(R) = -A/R + \underline{\sigma R} + C$$

String tension $\,\sigma\,$

$$\sigma = -\lim_{R,T\to\infty} \frac{1}{RT} \ln\langle W \rangle$$

Dirac spectrum representation of string tension

$$\sigma = -\lim_{R,T\to\infty} \frac{1}{RT} \ln \left| \left\langle \sum_{n} (2a\lambda_n)^T \langle n | \hat{S} | n \rangle \right\rangle \right|$$







Contents

Introduction

- Quark confinement and its order parameter
- Chiral symmetry breaking and Dirac eigenmode
- Deconfinement transition and chiral restoration at finite temperature

Relation btw confinement and chiral symmetry breaking

- Polyakov loop
- Polyakov loop fluctuations
- Wilson loop

Generalization to chiral fermion on the lattice

Discussion and Summary

Fermion-doubling problem and chiral symmetry on the lattice

Naive Dirac operator

(So far)

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} (\hat{U}_{\mu} - \hat{U}_{-\mu})$$

- include fermion doubler
- exact chiral symmetry

Wilson-Dirac operator

(Example to avoid the fermion-doubling problem)

$$D_{\rm W} = D_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu} - \frac{ar}{2}D_{\mu}D_{\mu}$$

- free from fermion-doubling problem
- explicit breaking of chiral symmetry

e.g.) Nielsen-Ninomiya (1981)

Overlap-Dirac operator H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B417, 141 (1998)

- free from fermion-doubling problem
- exact chiral symmetry on the lattice

Overlap-Dirac operator

Overlap-Dirac operator H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B417, 141 (1998) $D_{\rm ov} = 1 + \frac{D_{\rm W}(-M_0)}{\sqrt{D_{\rm W}(-M_0)^\dagger D_{\rm W}(-M_0)}} \quad \text{(lattice unit)}$

• Wilson-Dirac operator with negative mass ($-M_0$):

$$D_{\rm W}(-M_0) = D_{\mu}\gamma_{\mu} - \frac{ar}{2}D_{\mu}D_{\mu} - M_0$$

• The overlap-Dirac operator satisfies the Ginsparg-Wilson relation:

$$\{\gamma_5, D\} = D\gamma_5 D$$

P.H. Ginsparg and K.G. Wilson, PRD25, 2649 (1995)H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B427, 353 (1998)

⇒ the overlap-Dirac operator solves the fermion doubling problem with the exact chiral symmetry on the lattice.

• The overlap-Dirac operator is non-local operator.

- ⇒ It is very difficult to directly derive the analytical relation between the Polyakov loop and the overlap-Dirac modes.
- ⇒ However, we can discuss the relation between the Polyakov loop and the overlap-Dirac modes. (next page~)

Strategy

We discuss the relation btw the Polyakov loop and overlap-Dirac modes by showing the following facts:

The low-lying overlap-Dirac modes are essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking.
 The low-lying eigenmodes of overlap-Dirac and Wilson-Dirac operators correspond.
 The low-lying Wilson-Dirac modes have negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop.



Essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking

Negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop

The low-lying overlap-Dirac modes are essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking.
 The low-lying eigenmodes of Wilson-Dirac and overlap-Dirac operators correspond.
 The low-lying Wilson-Dirac modes have negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop.

1 The low-lying overlap-Dirac modes are essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking.

The chiral condensate in terms the overlap-Dirac eigenvalues:

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \sim \sum_n \frac{1}{\Lambda_n^{\rm ov} + m}$$

The low-lying overlap-Dirac modes $(|\Lambda_n^{\rm ov}| \sim 0)$ have the dominant contribution to the chiral condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$.

(Recall the Banks-Casher relation)

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = -\lim_{m \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} \pi \langle \rho(0) \rangle$$



The low-lying overlap-Dirac modes are essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking.
 The low-lying eigenmodes of Wilson-Dirac and overlap-Dirac operators correspond.
 The low-lying Wilson-Dirac modes have negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop.

(2) The low-lying eigenmodes of Wilson-Dirac and overlap-Dirac operators correspond.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\rm ov}|n\rangle &= \Lambda_n^{\rm ov}|n\rangle \\ D_{\rm W}|n\rangle &= \Lambda_n^{\rm W}|n\rangle \end{aligned} \qquad \left(\Lambda_n^{\rm ov} = 1 + \frac{\Lambda_n^{\rm W}}{|\Lambda_n^{\rm W}|} + \mathcal{O}(a) \right) \quad D_{\rm ov} = 1 + \frac{D_{\rm W}(-M_0)}{\sqrt{D_{\rm W}(-M_0)^{\dagger}D_{\rm W}(-M_0)}} \end{aligned}$$



The low-lying overlap-Dirac modes are essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking.
 The low-lying eigenmodes of Wilson-Dirac and overlap-Dirac operators correspond.
 The low-lying Wilson-Dirac modes have negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop.

(2) The low-lying eigenmodes of Wilson-Dirac and overlap-Dirac operators correspond.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\rm ov}|n\rangle &= \Lambda_n^{\rm ov}|n\rangle \\ D_{\rm W}|n\rangle &= \Lambda_n^{\rm W}|n\rangle \end{aligned} \qquad \left(\Lambda_n^{\rm ov} = 1 + \frac{\Lambda_n^{\rm W}}{|\Lambda_n^{\rm W}|} + \mathcal{O}(a) \right) \quad D_{\rm ov} = 1 + \frac{D_{\rm W}(-M_0)}{\sqrt{D_{\rm W}(-M_0)^{\dagger}D_{\rm W}(-M_0)}} \end{aligned}$$



The low-lying overlap-Dirac modes are essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking.
 The low-lying eigenmodes of Wilson-Dirac and overlap-Dirac operators correspond.
 The low-lying Wilson-Dirac modes have negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop.

3 The low-lying Wilson-Dirac modes have negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop.

The analytical relation btw the Polyakov loop and the Wilson-Dirac modes

$$L = \frac{2a^{N}}{3V} \sum_{n} (\Lambda_{n}^{W})^{N} \langle n | \hat{U}_{4}^{N+1} | n \rangle \qquad (N_{\tau} = 2N+1) \qquad D_{W} | n \rangle = \Lambda_{n}^{W} | n \rangle$$
Due to the damping factor $(\Lambda_{n}^{W})^{N}$, the low-lying Wilson-Dirac modes (with $|\Lambda_{n}^{W}| \sim 0$) have negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop.

The low-lying overlap-Dirac modes are essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking.
 The low-lying eigenmodes of Wilson-Dirac and overlap-Dirac operators correspond.
 The low-lying Wilson-Dirac modes have negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop.



Essential modes for chiral symmetry breaking

Negligible contribution to the Polyakov loop

Therefore, the presence or absence of the low-lying overlap-Dirac modes is not related to the confinement properties such as the Polyakov loop while the chiral condensate is sensitive to the density of the low-lying modes.

Discussion

What we showed:

The important modes for chiral symmetry breaking are not important for confinement.



The deconfinement transition and chiral restoration can happen at different parameters, such as temperature and quark-chemical potential. Therefore, for example, there might be new phases in QCD, where quarks are confined and chiral symmetry is restored.





quark chemical potential $\,\mu$

Summary

- Dirac-spectrum representations of several order parameters for quark-confinement are analytically derived in the lattice QCD.
 - Polyakov loop
 - Polyakov loop fluctuations
 - Wilson loop
- It is both analytically and numerically confirmed that Low-lying Dirac modes have negligible contribution to those order parameters.
- The same result can be obtained within the overlap-fermion formalism, which solves the fermion-doubling problem with the exact chiral symmetry on the lattice.
- As a result, a new phase is expected to appear in QCD, for example, where quarks are confined and chiral symmetry is restored.

Appendix

Numerical analysis



- $R_{
 m chiral}$ is strongly reduced by removing the low-lying Dirac modes.
- $R_{
 m conf}$ is almost unchanged.

It is also numerically confirmed that low-lying Dirac modes are important for chiral symmetry breaking and not important for quark confinement.

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)



$$W(R,T) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \left[\hat{U}_{1}^{R} \hat{U}_{-4}^{T} \hat{U}_{-1}^{R} \hat{U}_{4}^{T} \right]$$

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)

$$\begin{split} W(R,T) &\equiv \mathrm{Tr}_c \left[\hat{U}_1^R \hat{U}_{-4}^T \hat{U}_{-1}^R \hat{U}_4^T \right] \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}_c \left[\hat{S} \hat{U}_4^T \right] \\ \end{split}$$
 (Staple operator: $\hat{S} \equiv \hat{U}_1^R \hat{U}_{-4}^T \hat{U}_{-1}^R$)



H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)

T

$$W(R,T) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \left[\hat{U}_{1}^{R} \hat{U}_{-4}^{T} \hat{U}_{-1}^{R} \hat{U}_{4}^{T} \right]$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \left[\hat{S} \hat{U}_{4}^{T} \right]$$
(Staple operator: $\hat{S} \equiv \hat{U}_{1}^{R} \hat{U}_{-4}^{T} \hat{U}_{-1}^{R}$)
$$J \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{c,\gamma} \hat{S} \hat{D}^{T} \qquad \left(\hat{p} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} (\hat{U}_{\mu} - \hat{U}_{-\mu}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{4}{(2a)^{T}} \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \hat{S} \hat{U}_{4}^{T} \qquad \text{(Consider even } T \text{)}$$

$$= \frac{4}{(2a)^{T}} W$$

$$(1, 2, 3)$$

H. Suganuma, TMD, T. Iritani, PTEP 2016, 013B06 (2016)

$$W(R,T) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \left[\hat{U}_{1}^{R} \hat{U}_{-4}^{T} \hat{U}_{-1}^{R} \hat{U}_{4}^{T} \right]$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \left[\hat{S} \hat{U}_{4}^{T} \right]$$
(Staple operator: $\hat{S} \equiv \hat{U}_{1}^{R} \hat{U}_{-4}^{T} \hat{U}_{-1}^{R}$)
$$J \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{c,\gamma} \hat{S} \hat{D}^{T} \qquad \left(\hat{p} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} (\hat{U}_{\mu} - \hat{U}_{-\mu}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{4}{(2a)^{T}} \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \hat{S} \hat{U}_{4}^{T} \qquad (\text{Consider even } T)$$

$$= \frac{4}{(2a)^{T}} W$$

$$W(R,T) = \frac{(-)^{\frac{T}{2}} (2a)^{T}}{4} \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{T} \langle n | \hat{S} | n \rangle$$
Analytical relation btw
Wilson loop and Dirac modes

n

Outlook

Investigate the origin of the positive/negative symmetry.
 Is it related to the center symmetry?

Study the other quantities which are important for confinement.
 In particular, the nucleon scalar density is interesting because it is quark bilinear.

• Find a new phase where quarks are confined but the chiral symmetry is restored.

Introduction – Lattice QCD



Partition function:
$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}ar{q}\mathcal{D}Q\mathcal{D}U\mathrm{e}^{-S_{\mathrm{G}}[U]+ar{q}K[U]q}$$

Observable:

$$\mathcal{O}(U)\rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}U e^{-S_{G}[U]} \det K[U] \mathcal{O}(U)$$
$$\simeq \frac{1}{N_{\text{conf}}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\text{conf}}} \mathcal{O}(\{U\}_{n})$$

 $N_{
m conf}$: Configuration number

 $\{U\}_n:$ Gauge configurations generated by Monte Carlo simulation

Representatives for the QCD vacuum

P.M. Lo, B. Friman, O. Kaczmarek, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D88, 014506 (2013); Phys. Rev. D88, 074502 (2013)

Ans. 1: Avoiding ambiguities of the Polyakov loop renormalization

$$L^{\text{ren}} = Z(g^2) L^{\text{bare}}, \quad L^{\text{bare}} \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_s \operatorname{tr}_c \{\prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4})\}$$

 $Z(g^2)$: renormalization function for the Polyakov loop, which is still unknown

Avoid the ambiguity of renormalization function by considering the ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015).

$$L = -\frac{(2ai)^{N_{\tau}-1}}{12V} \sum_{n} \lambda_n^{N_{\tau}-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle$$

Definition of the Polyakov loop fluctuations

• Polyakov loop:
$$L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_s \operatorname{tr}_c \{ \prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4}) \}$$

- -Z3 rotated Polyakov loop: $\tilde{L} = Le^{2\pi ki/3}$
- longitudinal Polyakov loop: $L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$
- Transverse Polyakov loop: $L_T \equiv \text{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}]$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

Λ-dependent Polyakov loop fluctuations

Infrared cutoff of the Dirac eigenvalue: Λ

Λ-dependent (IR-cut) Z3 rotated Polyakov loop:

$$\tilde{L}_{\Lambda} = -\frac{(2ai)^{N_{\tau}-1}}{12V} \sum_{|\lambda_n| > \Lambda} \lambda_n^{N_{\tau}-1} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi ki/3} \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle$$

A-dependent Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$\begin{split} (\chi)_{\Lambda} &= \frac{1}{T^3} \frac{N_{\sigma}^3}{N_{\tau}^3} [\langle Y_{\Lambda}^2 \rangle - \langle Y_{\Lambda} \rangle^2], \\ Y &\equiv |L|, \ L_L, \ L_T \end{split}$$

Λ-dependent ratios of susceptibilities:

$$(R_A)_{\Lambda} = \frac{(\chi_A)_{\Lambda}}{(\chi_L)_{\Lambda}}$$

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015).

Definition of the Polyakov loop fluctuations

Polyakov loop:
$$L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_{s} \operatorname{tr}_c \{\prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4})\}$$

- -Z3 rotated Polyakov loop: $\tilde{L} = L e^{2\pi k i/3}$
- longitudinal Polyakov loop: $L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$
- Transverse Polyakov loop: $L_T \equiv \text{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}]$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

Dirac spectrum representation of the Polyakov loop

$$L = -\frac{(2ai)^{N_{\tau}-1}}{12V} \sum_{n} \lambda_n^{N_{\tau}-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle$$

Polyakov loop : \hat{L} Dirac eigenmode : $\hat{D}|n\rangle = i\lambda_n|n\rangle$ link variable operator : $\langle s|\hat{U}_{\mu}|s'\rangle = U_{\mu}(s)\delta_{s+\hat{\mu},s'}$ $\langle N_{\tau}, \mathbf{x}|\hat{U}_4|1, \mathbf{x}\rangle = -U_4(N_{\tau}, \mathbf{x})$

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015).

Definition of the Polyakov loop fluctuations

Polyakov loop:
$$L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_{s} \operatorname{tr}_c \{ \prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4}) \}$$

- -Z3 rotated Polyakov loop: $\tilde{L} = L e^{2\pi k i/3}$
- longitudinal Polyakov loop: $L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$
- Transverse Polyakov loop: $L_T \equiv \text{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}]$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

Dirac spectrum representation of the Polyakov loop

$$L = -\frac{(2ai)^{N_{\tau}-1}}{12V} \sum_{n} \lambda_n^{N_{\tau}-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle$$

Polyakov loop : LDirac eigenmode : $\hat{D}|n\rangle = i\lambda_n|n\rangle$ link variable operator : $\langle s|\hat{U}_{\mu}|s'\rangle = U_{\mu}(s)\delta_{s+\hat{\mu},s'}$ $\langle N_{\tau}, \mathbf{x}|\hat{U}_4|1, \mathbf{x}\rangle = -U_4(N_{\tau}, \mathbf{x})$

combine

Dirac spectrum representation of the Polyakov loop fluctuations

For example,

$$L_L = -\frac{(2ai)^{N_\tau - 1}}{12V} \sum_n \lambda_n^{N_\tau - 1} \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{2\pi ki/3} \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle\right)$$

and...

P.M. Lo, B. Friman, O. Kaczmarek, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D88, 014506 (2013); Phys. Rev. D88, 074502 (2013)

• Polyakov loop: $L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_s \operatorname{tr}_c \{ \prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4}) \}$

-Z3 rotated Polyakov loop: $\tilde{L} = L e^{2\pi k i/3}$

- longitudinal Polyakov loop: $L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$ • Transverse Polyakov loop: $L_T \equiv \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}]$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

An example for the Polyakov loops

C. Gattringer et al., Phys.Lett. B697 (2011) 85



T : temperature $N_{\sigma}, \ \ N_{\tau} : {\rm spatial \ and \ temporal \ lattice \ size}$

P.M. Lo, B. Friman, O. Kaczmarek, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D88, 014506 (2013); Phys. Rev. D88, 074502 (2013)

• Polyakov loop: $L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_s \operatorname{tr}_c \{ \prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4}) \}$

-Z3 rotated Polyakov loop: $\tilde{L} = L e^{2\pi k i/3}$

- longitudinal Polyakov loop: $L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$ • Transverse Polyakov loop: $L_T \equiv \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}]$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

An example for the Polyakov loops

C. Gattringer et al., Phys.Lett. B697 (2011) 85



T : temperature $N_\sigma, \; N_ au$: spatial and temporal lattice size

P.M. Lo, B. Friman, O. Kaczmarek, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D88, 014506 (2013); Phys. Rev. D88, 074502 (2013)

• Polyakov loop: $L \equiv \frac{1}{N_c V} \sum_s \operatorname{tr}_c \{ \prod_{i=0}^{N_\tau - 1} U_4(s + i\hat{4}) \}$

-Z3 rotated Polyakov loop: $\tilde{L} = L e^{2\pi k i/3}$

- longitudinal Polyakov loop: $L_L \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{L})$ • Transverse Polyakov loop: $L_T \equiv \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{L})$
- Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$T^{3}\chi_{A} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle |L|^{2} \rangle - \langle |L| \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{L} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{L})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{L} \rangle^{2}],$$

$$T^{3}\chi_{T} = \frac{N_{\sigma}^{3}}{N_{\tau}^{3}} [\langle (L_{T})^{2} \rangle - \langle L_{T} \rangle^{2}]$$

Ratios of Polyakov loop susceptibilities:

$$R_A \equiv \frac{\chi_A}{\chi_L}, \quad R_T \equiv \frac{\chi_T}{\chi_L}$$

An example for the Polyakov loops

C. Gattringer et al., Phys.Lett. B697 (2011) 85



T : temperature $N_{\sigma}, \ \ N_{\tau} : {\rm spatial \ and \ temporal \ lattice \ size}$

Introduction of the Infrared cutoff for Dirac modes

TMD, K. Redlich, C. Sasaki and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D92, 094004 (2015).

Define Λ -dependent (IR-cut) susceptibilities:

$$(\chi)_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{T^3} \frac{N_{\sigma}^3}{N_{\tau}^3} [\langle Y_{\Lambda}^2 \rangle - \langle Y_{\Lambda} \rangle^2], \quad Y \equiv |L|, \ L_L, \ L_T$$

where, for example, $(L_L)_{\Lambda} = C_{\tau} \sum_{|\lambda_n| > \Lambda} \lambda_n^{N_{\tau} - 1} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{2\pi k i/3} (n | \hat{U}_4 | n) \right)$

Define Λ -dependent (IR-cut) ratio of susceptibilities:

$$(R_A)_{\Lambda} = \frac{(\chi_A)_{\Lambda}}{(\chi_L)_{\Lambda}}$$

Define Λ -dependent (IR-cut) chiral condensate:

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{\Lambda} = -\frac{1}{V}\sum_{|\lambda_n|\geq\Lambda}\frac{2m}{\lambda_n^2+m^2}$$

Define the ratios, which indicate the influence of removing the low-lying Dirac modes:

$$R_{\rm conf} = \frac{(R_A)_{\Lambda}}{R_A}, \qquad R_{\rm chiral} = \frac{\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{\Lambda}}{\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle}$$
Numerical analysis for each Dirac-mode contribution to the Polyakov loop

Numerical analysis of this relation is important.

Ш

New Modified Kogut-Susskind Formalism on Temporally Odd Number Lattice

 $\frac{N_1, N_2, N_3: \mathsf{even}}{N_4: \mathsf{odd}} \leftarrow \text{``temporally odd-number lattice''}$

 $M(s) \equiv \gamma_1^{s_1} \gamma_2^{s_2} \gamma_3^{s_3} \gamma_4^{s_1 + s_2 + s_3}$

$$\Longrightarrow M^{\dagger}(s)\gamma_{\mu}M(s\pm\hat{\mu})=\eta_{\mu}(s)\gamma_{4}$$

We use Dirac representation(γ_4 is diagonalized)

$$\Rightarrow M^{\dagger} \not D \text{ is spin diagonalized} \\ \stackrel{}{\Rightarrow} M^{\dagger} \not D M \equiv \sum_{\mu} M^{\dagger}(s) \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu} M(s + \hat{\mu}) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta \cdot D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \cdot D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\eta \cdot D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\eta \cdot D \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{where } (\eta \cdot D)^{ij}_{ss'} = (\eta_{\mu} D_{\mu})^{ij}_{ss'} = \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \eta_{\mu}(s) \left[U_{\mu}(s)^{ij} \delta_{s+\hat{\mu},s'} - U_{-\mu}(s)^{ij} \delta_{s-\hat{\mu},s'} \right] \end{cases}$$

TMD, H. Suganuma, T. Iritani, Phys. Rev. D 90, 094505 (2014).

case of even lattice

$$N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4} : \text{even}$$

$$T(s) \equiv \gamma_{1}^{s_{1}} \gamma_{2}^{s_{2}} \gamma_{3}^{s_{3}} \gamma_{4}^{s_{4}}$$

$$\implies T^{\dagger}(s) \gamma_{\mu} T(s \pm \hat{\mu}) = \eta_{\mu}(s) \mathbf{1}_{\text{spinor}}$$

$$\implies T^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}T = \begin{pmatrix} \eta \cdot D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \cdot D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta \cdot D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta \cdot D \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{staggered phase: } \eta_{\mu}(s)$$

$$\eta_{\mu}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\mu = 1) \\ (-1)^{s_{1}} & (\mu = 2) \\ (-1)^{s_{1}+s_{2}} & (\mu = 3) \\ (-1)^{s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}} & (\mu = 4) \end{cases}$$

Numerical analysis for each Dirac-mode contribution to the Polyakov loop

$$\begin{split} L &= \frac{(2i)^{N_4-1}}{12V} \sum_n \lambda_n^{N_4-1} \langle n | \hat{U}_4 | n \rangle & \cdots \text{(A)} & \text{Dirac eigenmode } |n \rangle \\ Dirac eigenmode &|n \rangle \\ \eta \cdot D |n \rangle &= i\lambda_n |n \rangle \end{split}$$

• periodic boundary condition for link-variables