仁荷大學校

Excited Ω_c's as Possible exotic states

Hyun-Chul Kim

Department of Physics, Inha University
Incheon, Korea

NFQCD@YITP 15 June, 2018, Kyoto, Japan

LHCb Findings: New five Omega_cs



Five Ω_cs were announced by LHCb Coll.

LHCb Collaboration, PRL 118 (2017) 182001

Belle Findings: Four Omega_cs confirmed



Four Ω_cs were confirmed by Belle Coll.

Belle Collaboration, PRD 97 (2018) 051102

LHCb Findings: New five Omega_cs

The Widths are rather small, even if we consider the fact that heavy baryons have smaller widths than light ones.



Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Γ (MeV)	Yield	N_σ
$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	$3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$4.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$	$1300 \pm 100 \pm 80$	20.4
$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$ $< 1.2\,\mathrm{MeV}, 95\%$ CL	$970 \pm 60 \pm 20$	20.4
$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	$1740 \pm 100 \pm 50$	23.9
$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	$3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$8.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.8$	$2000 \pm 140 \pm 130$	21.1
$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	$3119.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$1.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4$ $< 2.6\,\mathrm{MeV}, 95\%$ CL	$480 \pm 70 \pm 30$	10.4
$\Omega_c(3188)^0$	$3188 \pm 5 \pm 13$	$60 \pm 15 \pm 11$	$1670 \pm 450 \pm 360$	
$\Omega_c(3066)^0_{\mathrm{fd}}$			$700 \pm 40 \pm 140$	
$\Omega_c(3090)^0_{\mathrm{fd}}$			$220 \pm 60 \pm 90$	
$\Omega_c(3119)^0_{\mathrm{fd}}$			$190 \pm 70 \pm 20$	

LHCb Collaboration, 2017



Ω_c Excited State	3000	3050	3066	3090	3119	3188
Yield	37.7 ± 11.0	28.2 ± 7.7	81.7 ± 13.9	86.6 ± 17.4	3.6 ± 6.9	135.2 ± 43.0
Significance	3.9σ	4.6σ	7.2σ	5.7σ	0.4σ	2.4σ
LHCb Mass	$3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$	$3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1$	$3065.5 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3$	$3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5$	$3119 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9$	$3188 \pm 5 \pm 13$
Belle Mass (with fixed Γ)	$3000.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.2$	$3050.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	$3064.9 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2$	$3089.3 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.2$	-	$3199 \pm 9 \pm 4$

Belle Collaboration, PRD 97 (2018) 051102

Baryon in pion mean fields

- A baryon can be viewed as a state of Nc quarks bound by mesonic **mean fields** (E. Witten, NPB, 1979 & 1983).

→ Its mass is proportional to *Nc*, while its width is of order *O(1)*.
Mesons are weakly interacting (Quantum fluctuations are suppressed by 1/Nc: *O(1/Nc)*.

Meson mean-field approach (Chiral Quark-Soliton Model)

- Baryons as a state of Nc quarks bound by mesonic mean fields.

$$S_{\mathrm{eff}} = -N_c \mathrm{Tr}\ln\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ + iMU^{\gamma_5} + i\hat{m}\right)$$

- Key point: Hedgehog Ansatz

$$\pi^a(\boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{cases} n^a F(r),\ n^a = x^a/r, & a = 1,\,2,\,3 \\ 0, & a = 4,\,5,\,6,\,7,\,8. \end{cases}$$



hedgehog

→ It breaks spontaneously $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{flavor}} \otimes \mathrm{O}(3)_{\mathrm{space}} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{isospin+space}}$

Light baryons in pion mean fields



$$\langle J_B J_B^\dagger \rangle_0 \sim e^{-N_c E_{\rm val} T}$$

Presence of Nc quarks will polarize the vacuum or create mean fields.



Nc valence quarks → Vacuum polarization or meson mean fields

self-consistent way

Light baryons in pion mean fields



$\sim e^{-N_c E_{\rm val} T}$

$$E_{\rm cl} = N_c E_{\rm val} + E_{\rm sea}$$



$$\sim e^{-E_{\rm sea} T}$$

Classical Nucleon mass is described by the Nc valence quark energy and sea-quark energy.

~ Solving Eq. of motion

$$\begin{tikzcd} \frac{\delta E_{cl}}{\delta U} = 0 \arrow[r] & M_{\rm cl} \arrow[r] & P(r) \arrow[l, bend right] \end{tikzcd}$$

P(r): Soliton profile function
or Soliton field

Light baryons in pion mean fields



- u,d quark levels are classified by the grand spin K^P.
- s quark levels are classified by the grand spin J^P.

Grand spin: $\boldsymbol{K} = \boldsymbol{J} + \boldsymbol{T}$

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{S}$$

Lowest-lying light baryons

$$\boldsymbol{K} = \boldsymbol{J} + \boldsymbol{T} = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad T_8 = \frac{N_c}{2\sqrt{3}}$$

Right hypercharge

$$Y' = \frac{N_c}{3}$$

- Nc quark gives the baryon number in the XQSM.



Ground state

HChK et al. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. Vol.95, (1995)

Success of the XQSM in the light baryon sector

- Connection to QCD via the instanton vacuum (natural scale)

$\rho \approx 600\,\mathrm{MeV}$

- The mass splittings of the lowest-lying hyperons
- **All different types of baryon form factors**
- Parton distribution amplitudes (u-d asymmetry, transversity)
- Quasi-parton distribution amplitudes
- GPDs

↓

Heavy baryon as a baryon with Nc-1 light valence quarks

Singly heavy baryons in SU(3)

- In the heavy quark mass limit, a heavy quark spin is conserved, so light-quark spin is also conserved.
- In this limit, a heavy quark can be considered as **a color static source**.
- Dynamics is governed by light quarks.

$$\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \boxed{\overline{\mathbf{3}}} \oplus \boxed{\mathbf{6}}$$



$[\overline{3}] = D(0,1)$, $J = 1/2$

$[6] = D(2,0)$, $J = 1/2$

$[6] = D(2,0)$, $J = 3/2$

Heavy baryons

- Valence quarks are bound by the meson mean fields.
- Light quarks govern a heavy-light quark system.
- Heavy quarks can be simply viewed as **static color sources.**

$$\boldsymbol{K} = \boldsymbol{J} + \boldsymbol{T} = 0, \quad T_8 = \frac{N_c - 1}{2\sqrt{3}}$$ Ground-state heavy baryons

Right hypercharge $$Y' = \frac{N_c - 1}{3}$$

A heavy quark: Static color source to make a heavy baryon color singlet.

D. Diakonov, arXiv:1003.2157 [hep-ph].

Gh.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **94**, 071501(R) (2016)

Heavy baryons



$K^P = 0^+$

Dirac Sea

Heavy quark
as a color static source

Heavy baryons



Heavy quark
as a color static source

Nc-1 light quarks govern a singly heavy baryon.

Heavy baryons

Nc-1 quarks represent heavy-baryon spectra.

$$Y' = \frac{N_c - 1}{3}$$

Grand spin: $K = 0 \rightarrow T = J$

- The lowest rotationally excited states $\mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3} = \overline{\mathbf{3}} + \mathbf{6}$

- T=0 for a anti-triplet: J=0 for it. Combining a charm quark with spin 1/2, we have one anti-triplet.
- T=1 for a sextet: J=1. We have two sextets with a charm quark. (1/2, 3/2).



$[\bar{3}] = D(0,1)$, $J = 1/2$

$[6] = D(2,0)$, $J = 1/2$

$[6] = D(2,0)$, $J = 3/2$

SU(3) symmetry breaking

- The collective Hamiltonian for SU(3) symmetry breaking

$$H_{\rm br} = \alpha D^{(8)}_{88} + \beta Y + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} D^{(8)}_{8i} J_i$$

In the light-quark sector, we have already fixed these dynamical parameters as

G. S. Yang, HChK, PTP, 128, 397 (2012).

SU(3) symmetry breaking

- The collective Hamiltonian for SU(3) symmetry breaking

$$H_{\rm br} = \alpha D^{(8)}_{88} + \beta Y + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} D^{(8)}_{8i} J_i$$

In the light-quark sector, we have already fixed these dynamical parameters as

$$\alpha = -\frac{2m_s}{3}\sigma - \beta Y' = -(255.03 \pm 5.82)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

$$\beta = -\frac{m_s K_2}{I_2} = -(140.04 \pm 3.20)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{2m_s K_1}{I_1} + 2\beta = -(101.08 \pm 2.33)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

$$\alpha \to \bar{\alpha} = \frac{N_c - 1}{N_c}\alpha$$

G. S. Yang, HChK, PTP, 128, 397 (2012).

Hyperfine mass splittings (only new parameter)



[6]=$D(2,0)$,
$J = 1/2$



[6]=$D(2,0)$,
$J = 3/2$

$$\frac{\varkappa}{m_c} = (68.1 \pm 1.1)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$
$$\frac{\varkappa}{m_b} = (20.3 \pm 1.0)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

Remind you that all the parameters are the same as in the light baryon sector except for the hyperfine interaction.

Gh.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **94**, 071501(R) (2016)

Hyperfine mass splittings (only new parameter)



$[6]=D(2,0)$,
$J = 1/2$

$[6]=D(2,0)$,
$J = 3/2$

Hyperfine splitting between different spin states

$$H_{LQ} = \frac{2}{3}\frac{\kappa}{m_Q\, M_{\rm sol}}\mathbf{S}_{\rm L}\cdot\mathbf{S}_Q = \frac{2}{3}\frac{\varkappa}{m_Q}\mathbf{S}_{\rm L}\cdot\mathbf{S}_Q$$

$$\frac{\varkappa}{m_c} = (68.1 \pm 1.1)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$
$$\frac{\varkappa}{m_b} = (20.3 \pm 1.0)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

Remind you that all the parameters are the same as in the light baryon sector except for the hyperfine interaction.

Gh.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **94**, 071501(R) (2016)

Hyperfine mass splittings (only new parameter)



$[6]=D(2,0)$,
$J = 1/2$



$[6]=D(2,0)$,
$J = 3/2$

Hyperfine splitting between different spin states

$$H_{LQ} = \frac{2}{3}\frac{\kappa}{m_Q\,M_{\rm sol}}\mathbf{S}_{\rm L}\cdot\mathbf{S}_Q = \frac{2}{3}\boxed{\frac{\varkappa}{m_Q}}\mathbf{S}_{\rm L}\cdot\mathbf{S}_Q$$

The ratio can be determined by the center values of the sextet masses

$$\frac{\varkappa}{m_c} = (68.1 \pm 1.1)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

$$\frac{\varkappa}{m_b} = (20.3 \pm 1.0)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

Remind you that all the parameters are the same as in the light baryon sector except for the hyperfine interaction.

Gh.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **94**, 071501(R) (2016)

Results for the charmed baryon masses

$\mathcal{R}_J^Q$	B_c	Mass	Experiment [17]	Deviation ξ_c
$\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1/2}^c$	Λ_c	2272.5 ± 2.3	2286.5 ± 0.1	-0.006
	Ξ_c	2476.3 ± 1.2	2469.4 ± 0.3	0.003
$\mathbf{6}_{1/2}^c$	Σ_c	2445.3 ± 2.5	2453.5 ± 0.1	-0.003
	Ξ_c'	2580.5 ± 1.6	2576.8 ± 2.1	0.001
	Ω_c	2715.7 ± 4.5	2695.2 ± 1.7	0.008
$\mathbf{6}_{3/2}^c$	Σ_c^*	2513.4 ± 2.3	2518.1 ± 0.8	-0.002
	Ξ_c^*	2648.6 ± 1.3	2645.9 ± 0.4	0.001
	Ω_c^*	2783.8 ± 4.5	2765.9 ± 2.0	0.006

The results are in remarkable agreement with the experimental data.

$$\xi_c = (M_{\text{th}}^{B_c} - M_{\text{exp}}^{B_c})/M_{\text{exp}}^{B_c}$$

Gh.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **94**, 071501(R) (2016)

Results for the bottom baryon masses

$\mathcal{R}_J^Q$	B_b	Mass	Experiment [17]	Deviation ξ_b
$\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1/2}^b$	Λ_b	5599.3 ± 2.4	5619.5 ± 0.2	-0.004
	Ξ_b	5803.1 ± 1.2	5793.1 ± 0.7	0.002
$\mathbf{6}_{1/2}^b$	Σ_b	5804.3 ± 2.4	5813.4 ± 1.3	-0.002
	Ξ_b'	5939.5 ± 1.5	5935.0 ± 0.05	0.001
	Ω_b	6074.7 ± 4.5	6048.0 ± 1.9	0.004
$\mathbf{6}_{3/2}^b$	Σ_b^*	5824.6 ± 2.3	5833.6 ± 1.3	-0.002
	Ξ_b^*	5959.8 ± 1.2	5955.3 ± 0.1	0.001
	Ω_b^*	6095.0 ± 4.4	$-$	$-$

$$\xi_b = (M_{\mathrm{th}}^{B_b} - M_{\mathrm{exp}}^{B_b})/M_{\mathrm{exp}}^{B_b}$$

Gh.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **94**, 071501(R) (2016)

Results for the bottom baryon masses

$\mathcal{R}_J^Q$	B_b	Mass	Experiment [17]	Deviation ξ_b
$\overline{\mathbf{3}}^b_{1/2}$	Λ_b	5599.3 ± 2.4	5619.5 ± 0.2	-0.004
	Ξ_b	5803.1 ± 1.2	5793.1 ± 0.7	0.002
$\mathbf{6}^b_{1/2}$	Σ_b	5804.3 ± 2.4	5813.4 ± 1.3	-0.002
	Ξ'_b	5939.5 ± 1.5	5935.0 ± 0.05	0.001
	Ω_b	6074.7 ± 4.5	6048.0 ± 1.9	0.004
$\mathbf{6}^b_{3/2}$	Σ^*_b	5824.6 ± 2.3	5833.6 ± 1.3	-0.002
	Ξ^*_b	5959.8 ± 1.2	5955.3 ± 0.1	0.001
	Ω^*_b	6095.0 ± 4.4	–	–

Prediction from the present work

$$\xi_b = (M^{B_b}_{\mathrm{th}} - M^{B_b}_{\mathrm{exp}})/M^{B_b}_{\mathrm{exp}}$$

Gh.S. Yang, HChK, M. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **94**, 071501(R) (2016)

Excited anti-triplets and sextets



$K^P = 0^+$

$K^P = 1^-$

Dirac Sea

Heavy quark as a color static source

$\boldsymbol{K = J + T \neq 0}$

$J = |T - K|, \cdots |T + K|$

HChK, M. V. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **96**, 014009 (2017)

Excited anti-triplets and sextets

Grand spin: $K^p = 1^-$

- Quantization of excited baryons yield **two** anti-triplet and FIVE sextets.



$$\boldsymbol{K = J + T = 1}$$

$$J = |T - K|, \cdots |T + K|$$

- **T=0** for an anti-triplet: J=1 for it. Combining a charm quark with spin 1/2, we have two anti-triplets (1/2) and (3/2).
- **T=1** for a sextet: J=0,1,2 for 6. We have five sextets with a charm quark (1/2), (1/2, 3/2), and (3/2, 5/2)!

HChK, M. V. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **96**, 014009 (2017)

Hyperfine splittings for excited anti-triplets

- Candidates for excited anti-triplets



$J^p = 1/2^-$



$J^p = 3/2^-$

$$\boxed{\frac{\kappa'}{m_c} \approx 30\,\mathrm{MeV}}$$

HChK, M. V. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **96**, 014009 (2017)

Hyperfine splittings for excited sextets



$$\Delta_1 = \frac{a_1}{I_1} + \frac{3}{20}\delta$$

$$\boxed{\Delta_2 = 2\Delta_1}$$

The robust relation from mean-field approach

- The mean-field approach (XQSM) predicts **five excited sextet states.**
- The splitting between J=1 and J=2 is twice as large as that between J=0 and J=1.($\Delta_2 = 2\Delta_1$)

HChK, M. V. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, PRD **96**, 014009 (2017)

Scenario I

Assertion: Five Ω_c^* 's belong to excited sextets.

J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3050	16	61
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3066		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090	17	47
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	3119		

Scenario I

Assertion: Five Ω_c^* 's belong to excited sextets.

J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3050	16	61
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3066		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090	17	47
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	3119		

$$\frac{\kappa'}{m_c} = 30\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

The HF splittings are very much **deviated** from what we have determined from the excited anti-triplet.

Scenario I

Assertion: Five Ω_c^*'s belong to excited sextets.

J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3050	16	61
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3066		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090	17	47
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	3119		

$$\Delta_2 = 2\Delta_1$$

This relation is badly broken.

$$\frac{\kappa'}{m_c} = 30\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

The HF splittings are very much **deviated** from what we have determined from the excited anti-triplet.

Scenario II

Assertion: **Three** Ω_c^*'s belong to excited sextets, whereas **two** Ω_c^*'s with smaller widths are the members of the anti-15plet.

J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3066	24	82
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	*3222*	input	input
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	*3262*	24	164

What about other two Ω_c^* s?

- We assume that Omega(3050) and Omega(3119) belong to the **third** rotational excitation of the ground states: They will be then **pentaquarks**!

Scenario II

Assertion: **Three** Ω_c^*'s belong to excited sextets, whereas **two** Ω_c^*'s with smaller widths are the members of the anti-15plet.



J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3066	24	82
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	*3222*	input	input
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	*3262*	24	164

$\kappa'/m_c \approx 30\,\mathrm{MeV}$

What about other two Ω_c^* s?

- We assume that Omega(3050) and Omega(3119) belong to the **third** rotational excitation of the ground states: They will be then **pentaquarks**!

Scenario II

Assertion: **Three** Ω_c^*'s belong to excited sextets, whereas **two** Ω_c^*'s with smaller widths are the members of the anti-15plet.

J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3066	24	82
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	*3222*	input	input
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	*3262*	24	164

$\Delta_2 = 2\Delta_1$

This relation is satisfied.

$\kappa'/m_c \approx 30\,\mathrm{MeV}$

What about other two Ω_c^* s?

- We assume that Omega(3050) and Omega(3119) belong to the **third** rotational excitation of the ground states: They will be then **pentaquarks**!

Scenario II

Assertion: **Three** Ω_c^*'s belong to excited sextets, whereas **two** Ω_c^*'s with smaller widths are the members of the anti-15plet.

J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3066	24	82
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	*3222*	input	input
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	*3262*	24	164

$\Delta_2 = 2\Delta_1$

This relation is satisfied.

Bump structure above 3.2 GeV in the data

$\kappa'/m_c \approx 30\,\mathrm{MeV}$

What about other two Ω_c^* s?

- We assume that Omega(3050) and Omega(3119) belong to the **third** rotational excitation of the ground states: They will be then **pentaquarks**!

Anti-15plet

- In the heavy-quark sector, we have yet the third representation, i.e. the anti-15plet.



For the anti-15plet

$T = 1 \rightarrow J = 1$ Combined with a charm quark: $1 \otimes \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{3}{2} \in \overline{\mathbf{15}}$

In the limit of infinitely heavy quark mass, 1/2 & 3/2 are degenerate, which will be lifted by a hyperfine interaction.

$\Omega_c(3050)1/2^+ \quad \Omega_c(3119)3/2^+$: $M_{\Omega_c(3/2^+)} - M_{\Omega_c(1/2^+)} \simeq 69\,\mathrm{MeV}\,!$

$\frac{\kappa}{m_c} = (68.1 \pm 1.1)\,\mathrm{MeV}$ in **excellent agreement** with the ground-state value!

Anti-15plet

- Exotic anti-15plet naturally arises from the XQSM.



$J = 1/2$

$$\frac{\kappa}{m_c} = (68.1 \pm 1.1)\,\mathrm{MeV}$$

$J = 3/2$

- All parameters were fixed in the light baryon sector except for the hyperfine interaction.
- Considering almost all theoretical uncertainties, we get the following: $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega_c} = (3140 - 3370)\,\mathrm{MeV}$

Interpretation of the LHCb data

In the present picture





Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Γ (MeV)
$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	$3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$4.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$
$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$ <1.2 MeV, 95% C.L.
$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$
$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	$3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$8.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.8$
$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	$3119.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$1.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4$



J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3066	24	82
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	*3222*	input	input
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	*3262*	24	164

Interpretation of the LHCb data

In the present picture



$\in \mathbf{6}'$

Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Γ (MeV)
$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	$3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$4.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$
$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$ <1.2 MeV, 95% C.L.
$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$
$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	$3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$8.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.8$
$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	$3119.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$1.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4$

J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3066	24	82
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	*3222*	input	input
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	*3262*	24	164

Interpretation of the LHCb data

In the present picture



$\frac{1}{2}^-$ $\frac{1}{2}^-$ $\frac{3}{2}^-$ $\frac{3}{2}^-$ $\frac{5}{2}^-$ $\in \mathbf{6'}$

$\frac{1}{2}^+$ $\frac{3}{2}^+$ $\in \overline{\mathbf{15}}$

Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Γ (MeV)
$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	$3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$4.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3$
$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$ <1.2 MeV, 95% C.L.
$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$
$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	$3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$8.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.8$
$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	$3119.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$1.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4$

J	S^P	M [MeV]	κ'/m_c [MeV]	Δ_J [MeV]
0	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3000	–	–
1	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	3066	24	82
	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	3090		
2	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	*3222*	input	input
	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	*3262*	24	164

$\Omega_c(3050)$ & $\Omega_c(3119)$

How can one falsify the present idea?



PRL **118,** 182001 (2017) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS week ending 5 MAY 2017

Observation of Five New Narrow Ω_c^0 States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$

R. Aaij *et al.*[*]
(LHCb Collaboration)
(Received 14 March 2017; published 2 May 2017)

- Anti-15plet consists of **three** Omega_c's (Isovector baryons).
- The same peaks with the same strength can be found not only in the $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ channel but also in $\Xi_c^+ K^0$ and $\Xi_c^0 K^-$.



$\Omega_c(3050)$ & $\Omega_c(3119)$

LHCb Findings: New five Omega_cs

	Y	T	$S^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$	$S^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$
B_c	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	2685	2754
Σ_c	$\frac{2}{3}$	1	2808	2877
Λ_c	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	2806	2875
Ξ_c	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	2928	2997
$\Xi_c^{3/2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	2931	3000
Ω_c	$-\frac{4}{3}$	1	3050	3119



Bc baryons will decay weakly, if they exist.
So, they should be stable.

D. Diakonov, arXiv:1003.2157 [hep-ph].

Decay widths of Ω_cs

- Collective operator for the strong vertices in SU(3) symmetric case

$$\mathcal{O}_\varphi = \frac{3}{M_1 + M_2} \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left[G_0 D^{(8)}_{\varphi\, i} - G_1\, d_{ibc} D^{(8)}_{\varphi\, b} \hat{S}_c - G_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} D^{(8)}_{\varphi\, 8} \hat{S}_i \right] p_i$$

- Decay widths

$$\Gamma_{B_1 \to B_2 + \varphi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \overline{\langle B_2 \left| \mathcal{O}_\varphi \right| B_1 \rangle}^2 \frac{M_2}{M_1} p$$

$$G_0 = -\frac{M + M'}{6 f_\varphi} a_1$$

$$G_{1,2} = \frac{M + M'}{6 f_\varphi} a_{2,3}$$

a_1	a_2	a_3
-3.509 ± 0.011	3.437 ± 0.028	0.604 ± 0.030

G. Yang and HChK, PRC **92**, 035206 (2015)

No additional free parameter!

$$f_\pi = 93\,\mathrm{MeV}, \quad f_K = 1.2 f_\pi$$

- These parameters a_i have been determined by the data on hyperon semileptonic decays.

HChK, Polyakov, Praszalowicz, Yang, PRD, D96, 094021 (2017).

Decay widths of Ω_cs

- Decay widths of the **charm** baryon sextet

No additional free parameter!

#	decay	this work	exp.
1	$\Sigma_c^{++}(\mathbf{6}_1,1/2) \to \Lambda_c^+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0,1/2)+\pi^+$	1.93	$1.89^{+0.09}_{-0.18}$
2	$\Sigma_c^{+}(\mathbf{6}_1,1/2) \to \Lambda_c^+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0,1/2)+\pi^0$	2.24	< 4.6
3	$\Sigma_c^{0}(\mathbf{6}_1,1/2) \to \Lambda_c^+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0,1/2)+\pi^-$	1.90	$1.83^{+0.11}_{-0.19}$
4	$\Sigma_c^{++}(\mathbf{6}_1,3/2) \to \Lambda_c^+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0,1/2)+\pi^+$	14.47	$14.78^{+0.30}_{-0.19}$
5	$\Sigma_c^{+}(\mathbf{6}_1,3/2) \to \Lambda_c^+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0,1/2)+\pi^0$	15.02	< 17
6	$\Sigma_c^{0}(\mathbf{6}_1,3/2) \to \Lambda_c^+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0,1/2)+\pi^-$	14.49	$15.3^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$
7	$\Xi_c^{+}(\mathbf{6}_1,3/2) \to \Xi_c(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0,1/2)+\pi$	2.35	2.14 ± 0.19
8	$\Xi_c^{0}(\mathbf{6}_1,3/2) \to \Xi_c(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0,1/2)+\pi$	2.53	2.35 ± 0.22

Experimental data are taken from the PDG Book.

HChK, Polyakov, Praszalowicz, Yang, PRD, D96, 094021 (2017).

Decay widths of Ω_cs

- Decay widths of the **bottom** baryon sextet

No additional free parameter!

#	decay	this work	exp.
1	$\Sigma_b^+(\mathbf{6}_1, 1/2) \to \Lambda_b^0(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0, 1/2) + \pi^+$	6.12	$9.7^{+4.0}_{-3.0}$
2	$\Sigma_b^-(\mathbf{6}_1, 1/2) \to \Lambda_b^0(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0, 1/2) + \pi^-$	6.12	$4.9^{+3.3}_{-2.4}$
3	$\Xi_b'(\mathbf{6}_1, 1/2) \to \Xi_c(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0, 1/2) + \pi$	0.07	< 0.08
4	$\Sigma_b^+(\mathbf{6}_1, 3/2) \to \Lambda_b^0(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0, 1/2) + \pi^+$	10.96	11.5 ± 2.8
5	$\Sigma_b^-(\mathbf{6}_1, 3/2) \to \Lambda_c^0(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0, 1/2) + \pi^-$	11.77	7.5 ± 2.3
6	$\Xi_b^0(\mathbf{6}_1, 3/2) \to \Xi_b(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0, 1/2) + \pi$	0.80	0.90 ± 0.18
7	$\Xi_b^-(\mathbf{6}_1, 3/2) \to \Xi_b(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0, 1/2) + \pi$	1.28	1.65 ± 0.33

Experimental data are taken from the PDG Book.

HChK, Polyakov, Praszalowicz, Yang, PRD, D96, 094021 (2017).

Decay widths of Ω_cs

- Decay widths of the **charm** baryon antidecapentaplet

No additional free parameter!

#	decay	this work	exp.
	$\Omega_c(\overline{\mathbf{15}}_1, 1/2) \to \Xi_c(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0, 1/2) + K$	0.339	$-$
	$\Omega_c(\overline{\mathbf{15}}_1, 1/2) \to \Omega_c(\mathbf{6}_1, 1/2) + \pi$	0.097	$-$
	$\Omega_c(\overline{\mathbf{15}}_1, 1/2) \to \Omega_c(\mathbf{6}_1, 3/2) + \pi$	0.045	$-$
9	total	0.48	$0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$

Experimental data are taken from the LHCb measurement.

- Note that the widths of Ω_c's are rather small!

HChK, Polyakov, Praszalowicz, Yang, PRD, D96, 094021 (2017).

Decay widths of Ω_cs

- Decay widths of the **charm** baryon antidecapentaplet

No additional free parameter!

#	decay	this work	exp.
	$\Omega_c(\overline{\mathbf{15}}_1, 3/2) \to \Xi_c(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_0, 1/2) + K$	0.848	–
	$\Omega_c(\overline{\mathbf{15}}_1, 3/2) \to \Xi_c(\mathbf{6}_1, 1/2) + K$	0.009	–
	$\Omega_c(\overline{\mathbf{15}}_1, 3/2) \to \Omega_c(\mathbf{6}_1, 1/2) + \pi$	0.169	–
	$\Omega_c(\overline{\mathbf{15}}_1, 3/2) \to \Omega_c(\mathbf{6}_1, 3/2) + \pi$	0.096	–
10	total	1.12	$1.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4$

Experimental data are taken from the LHCb measurement.

HChK, Polyakov, Praszalowicz, Yang, PRD, D96, 094021 (2017).

Summary

- We have aimed in this talk at how to interpret the newly found five Ω_c's by the LHCb within a mean-filed approach (Witten).

- The meson mean fields describe well both the lowest-lying singly heavy baryons and the excited anti-triplet.

- We have predicted **Five** excited sextet and **Two** members in the anti-15plet.

Suggestions to the LHCb & Belle Collaborations

- Can you perform the PWAs to determine the quantum numbers of Ω_c's?

- Can you scan channels $\Xi_c^+ K^0$ and $\Xi_c^0 K^-$ in the range of the invariant masses between 3050 MeV and 3119 MeV to find isovector Ω_c's?

- I am grateful to M.V. Polyakov, M. Praszalowicz, and Gh.-S. Yang for collaboration over decades.

Though this be madness,
yet there is method in it.

Hamlet Act 2, Scene 2

Thank you very much!