## A Wilson Line Perspective on Schwarzian Correlators

Andreas Blommaert

Ghent University

09 07 2018

Based on:

1806.07765 (A. Blommaert, T.G. Mertens, H. Verschelde)

A Wilson Line Perspective on Schwarzian Correlators

#### Outline

#### Introduction Motivation Approach

Gauge Theory Holography BF theory

Gravity JT gravity Holography

Conclusion

Speculative Outlook

The Schwarzian theory is defined on the thermal circle by the following action:

$$S[f] = -C \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{\beta} f, \tau \right\}.$$
 (1)

A reasonable question to ask is: why would you care about Schwarzian correlators?

.

The Schwarzian theory is defined on the thermal circle by the following action:

$$S[f] = -C \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{\beta} f, \tau \right\}.$$
 (1)

A reasonable question to ask is: why would you care about Schwarzian correlators? Well, because the Schwarzian is the boundary dual of a one-sided JT gravity black hole, and because JT gravity is a nice toy model for 2d quantum gravity. This is because we can explicitly calculate the path integral over metrics in JT, with a finite result.

The Schwarzian theory is defined on the thermal circle by the following action:

$$S[f] = -C \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{\beta} f, \tau \right\}.$$
 (1)

A reasonable question to ask is: why would you care about Schwarzian correlators? Well, because the Schwarzian is the boundary dual of a one-sided JT gravity black hole, and because JT gravity is a nice toy model for 2d quantum gravity. This is because we can explicitly calculate the path integral over metrics in JT, with a finite result. Unfortunately the spectrum is continuous and as such arguably JT gravity is not a genuine theory of quantum gravity.

The Schwarzian theory is defined on the thermal circle by the following action:

$$S[f] = -C \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{\beta} f, \tau \right\}.$$
 (1)

A reasonable question to ask is: why would you care about Schwarzian correlators? Well, because the Schwarzian is the boundary dual of a one-sided JT gravity black hole, and because JT gravity is a nice toy model for 2d quantum gravity. This is because we can explicitly calculate the path integral over metrics in JT, with a finite result. Unfortunately the spectrum is continuous and as such arguably JT gravity is not a genuine theory of quantum gravity. It does capture the universal low-energy behavior of 2d quantum gravity though, similar to the role played by Liouville in 3d gravity.

The Schwarzian theory is defined on the thermal circle by the following action:

$$S[f] = -C \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{\beta} f, \tau \right\}.$$
 (1)

A reasonable question to ask is: why would you care about Schwarzian correlators? Well, because the Schwarzian is the boundary dual of a one-sided JT gravity black hole, and because JT gravity is a nice toy model for **2d quantum gravity**. This is because we can explicitly calculate the path integral over metrics in JT, with a finite result. Unfortunately the spectrum is continuous and as such arguably JT gravity is not a genuine theory of quantum gravity. It does capture the universal low-energy behavior of 2d quantum gravity though, similar to the role played by Liouville in 3d gravity. Two examples of possible microscopic realizations of 2d quantum gravity are the SYK model and the spin-glass systems (Berkooz), which indeed both reduce to the Schwarzian in the IR.

Schwarzian correlators *have* been calculated before by several groups. The first example e.g. used dimensional reduction of Liouville theory. Note: more precisely using the dimensional reduction of Liouville between ZZ vacuum branes.

Schwarzian correlators *have* been calculated before by several groups. The first example e.g. used dimensional reduction of Liouville theory. Note: more precisely using the dimensional reduction of Liouville between ZZ vacuum branes. A good question at this point would be: what is then the purpose of this paper?

Schwarzian correlators *have* been calculated before by several groups. The first example e.g. used dimensional reduction of Liouville theory. Note: more precisely using the dimensional reduction of Liouville between ZZ vacuum branes. A good question at this point would be: what is then the purpose of this paper? Well, the goal is to identify the bulk operators in JT gravity that are dual to operators in the Schwarzian, providing the latter with a geometric interpretation. The summary of the relevant theories for gravity in 3d / 2d is in the following picture. The focus here is on the bottom track.



Schwarzian correlators *have* been calculated before by several groups. The first example e.g. used dimensional reduction of Liouville theory. Note: more precisely using the dimensional reduction of Liouville between ZZ vacuum branes. A good question at this point would be: what is then the purpose of this paper? Well, the goal is to identify the bulk operators in JT gravity that are dual to operators in the Schwarzian, providing the latter with a geometric interpretation. The summary of the relevant theories for gravity in 3d / 2d is in the following picture. The focus here is on the bottom track.



We will derive the dual of Schwarzian operators directly from the path integral, and then as a consistency check calculate the relevant bulk amplitudes, and match these with the Schwarzian ones.

Note that everything we will do here is **exact in the Newton constant** G.

Note that everything we will do here is **exact in the Newton constant** *G*. Now, unlike others before us, we will tackle this problem from a gauge theoretic point of view, by **embedding** the above square of gravitational theories in various **topological** SL(2, R) gauge theories.

Note that everything we will do here is **exact in the Newton constant** *G*. Now, unlike others before us, we will tackle this problem from a gauge theoretic point of view, by **embedding** the above square of gravitational theories in various **topological** SL(2, R) gauge theories.

For example, it is well known that  $3d \Lambda < 0$  gravity is just SL(2, R) CS with some constraints on the connection stemming from constraining the asymptotic metric. Similarly is has since long been understood that Liouville theory is just SL(2, R) WZW with related constraints on the currents.

Note that everything we will do here is **exact in the Newton constant** *G*. Now, unlike others before us, we will tackle this problem from a gauge theoretic point of view, by **embedding** the above square of gravitational theories in various **topological** SL(2, R) gauge theories.

For example, it is well known that 3d  $\Lambda < 0$  gravity is just SL(2, *R*) CS with some constraints on the connection stemming from constraining the asymptotic metric. Similarly is has since long been understood that Liouville theory is just SL(2, *R*) WZW with related constraints on the currents.

Now, as we will point out, a similar story holds in 2d gravity and it is this that we will explore. In particular we will identify the JT gravity spectrum of states and operators as a subsector of the spectrum of SL(2, R) BF, which is the dimensional reduction of SL(2, R) CS.

The plan of attack is to first illuminate the bulk dual of boundary operators in gauge theories for generic **compact Lie groups**. Then we will extend the construction to noncompact groups and **pinpoint how precisely JT gravity is embedded in SL**(2, R)**BF**.

The plan of attack is to first illuminate the bulk dual of boundary operators in gauge theories for generic **compact Lie groups**. Then we will extend the construction to noncompact groups and **pinpoint how precisely JT gravity is embedded in SL**(2, R)**BF**.

The summary of the relevant gauge theories is in the following picture.



The plan of attack is to first illuminate the bulk dual of boundary operators in gauge theories for generic **compact Lie groups**. Then we will extend the construction to noncompact groups and **pinpoint how precisely JT gravity is embedded in SL**(2, R) **BF**.

The summary of the relevant gauge theories is in the following picture.



The focus here is again on the bottom track. Note: BF is just the topological  $e \rightarrow 0$  of 2d YM, destroying the Hamiltonian contribution of YM scaling with the area

The gauge theory perspective on gravity is particularly useful since we all know the bulk operator content of CS theory. The gauge theory perspective on gravity is particularly useful since **we all know the bulk operator content of CS theory**. In particular these are **Wilson lines** in knotted configurations representing topological observables, think Jones polynomial; as well as boundary-anchored Wilson lines representing dynamical observables, think for example the boundary-anchored Wilson line networks in 3d CS which compute conformal blocks or n-point functions in the boundary 2d CFT.

The gauge theory perspective on gravity is particularly useful since **we all know the bulk operator content of CS theory**. In particular these are **Wilson lines** in knotted configurations representing topological observables, think Jones polynomial; as well as boundary-anchored Wilson lines representing dynamical observables, think for example the boundary-anchored Wilson line networks in 3d CS which compute conformal blocks or n-point functions in the boundary 2d CFT.

Now, the operator content of BF is just the dimensional reduction of the CS operator content.

The gauge theory perspective on gravity is particularly useful since we all know the bulk operator content of CS theory. In particular these are Wilson lines in knotted configurations representing topological observables, think Jones polynomial; as well as boundary-anchored Wilson lines representing dynamical observables, think for example the boundary-anchored Wilson line networks in 3d CS which compute conformal blocks or n-point functions in the boundary 2d CFT.

Now, the operator content of BF is just the dimensional reduction of the CS operator content.

In this talk I choose to focus on **boundary-anchored Wilson lines, describing boundary dynamics**. Note: knots are discussed in one of our appendices. The gauge theory perspective on gravity is particularly useful since we all know the bulk operator content of CS theory. In particular these are Wilson lines in knotted configurations representing topological observables, think Jones polynomial; as well as boundary-anchored Wilson lines representing dynamical observables, think for example the boundary-anchored Wilson line networks in 3d CS which compute conformal blocks or n-point functions in the boundary 2d CFT.

Now, the operator content of BF is just the dimensional reduction of the CS operator content.

In this talk I choose to focus on **boundary-anchored Wilson lines, describing boundary dynamics**. Note: knots are discussed in one of our appendices.

In what follows I will first derive the precise boundary dual of a boundary-anchored Wilson line. Afterwards I will calculate bulk amplitudes directly and match these to the boundary amplitudes explicitly, confirming the mapping. Note: the bulk calculations an sich are also new material.

The starting point is BF theory on disk with the following action:

$$S \sim \int \operatorname{Tr}(\chi F) + \int_{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}(\chi A).$$
 (2)

The boundary conditions are chosen as  $\chi \sim A$ .

The starting point is BF theory on disk with the following action:

$$S \sim \int \operatorname{Tr}(\chi F) + \int_{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}(\chi A).$$
 (2)

The boundary conditions are chosen as  $\chi \sim A$ . Performing the path integral over  $\chi$  forces F = 0 and hence renders A flat:  $A = g^{-1}dg$ .

The starting point is BF theory on disk with the following action:

$$S \sim \int \operatorname{Tr}(\chi F) + \int_{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}(\chi A).$$
 (2)

The boundary conditions are chosen as  $\chi \sim A$ . Performing the path integral over  $\chi$  forces F = 0 and hence renders A flat:  $A = g^{-1}dg$ . The action reduces as such to a particle-on-a-group on the thermal circle:

$$S \sim \int_{\partial} d\tau \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} \partial_{\tau} g \right)^2.$$
 (3)

We choose  $g_{\tau\tau} = 1$ .

The starting point is BF theory on disk with the following action:

$$S \sim \int \operatorname{Tr}(\chi F) + \int_{\partial} \operatorname{Tr}(\chi A).$$
 (2)

The boundary conditions are chosen as  $\chi \sim A$ . Performing the path integral over  $\chi$  forces F = 0 and hence renders A flat:  $A = g^{-1}dg$ . The action reduces as such to a particle-on-a-group on the thermal circle:

$$S \sim \int_{\partial} d\tau \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} \partial_{\tau} g \right)^2.$$
 (3)

We choose  $g_{\tau\tau} = 1$ . Again, correlators of particle-on-a-group have been calculated before, using dimensional reduction of WZW. Note: more precisely using the dimensional reduction of WZW between vacuum branes. We will discuss the bulk dual of these correlators.

#### Considered therefore BA Wilson lines.

Considered therefore BA Wilson lines. A closed Wilson line in an irrep R evaluated on a connection A is just a character  $\chi_R(\mathcal{P}e^{i\int A})$ .

Considered therefore BA Wilson lines. A closed Wilson line in an irrep R evaluated on a connection A is just a character  $\chi_R(\mathcal{P}e^{i\int A})$ . Writing out the character using its definition:

$$\chi_R(VW) = \operatorname{Tr}(R(V) \cdot R(W)), \tag{4}$$

identifies an **open Wilson line as a representation matrix element**, with the endpoints of the line each associated with a state  $|R, m\rangle$  in the group Hilbert space:

$$\mathcal{W}_{R,mn}(A,\tau_i,\tau_f) = R_{mn}\left(\mathcal{P}e^{i\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f}A}\right) = \langle R,n|\mathcal{P}e^{i\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f}A}|R,m\rangle.$$
 (5)

Considered therefore BA Wilson lines. A closed Wilson line in an irrep R evaluated on a connection A is just a character  $\chi_R(\mathcal{P}e^{i\int A})$ . Writing out the character using its definition:

$$\chi_R(VW) = \operatorname{Tr}(R(V) \cdot R(W)), \tag{4}$$

identifies an **open Wilson line as a representation matrix element**, with the endpoints of the line each associated with a state  $|R, m\rangle$  in the group Hilbert space:

$$\mathcal{W}_{R,mn}(A,\tau_i,\tau_f) = R_{mn}\left(\mathcal{P}e^{i\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f}A}\right) = \langle R,n|\mathcal{P}e^{i\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f}A}|R,m\rangle.$$
 (5)

Insertions of these operators in the BF path integral do not affect the  $\chi$ -integral and hence A is still flat. As such the Wilson line becomes:

$$\mathcal{W}_{R,mn}(g,\tau_i,\tau_f) = R_{mn}\left(g(\tau_f)g^{-1}(\tau_i)\right). \tag{6}$$

Considered therefore BA Wilson lines. A closed Wilson line in an irrep R evaluated on a connection A is just a character  $\chi_R(\mathcal{P}e^{i\int A})$ . Writing out the character using its definition:

$$\chi_R(VW) = \operatorname{Tr}(R(V) \cdot R(W)), \qquad (4)$$

identifies an **open Wilson line as a representation matrix element**, with the endpoints of the line each associated with a state  $|R, m\rangle$  in the group Hilbert space:

$$\mathcal{W}_{R,mn}(A,\tau_i,\tau_f) = R_{mn}\left(\mathcal{P}e^{i\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f}A}\right) = \langle R,n|\mathcal{P}e^{i\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f}A}|R,m\rangle.$$
 (5)

Insertions of these operators in the BF path integral do not affect the  $\chi$ -integral and hence A is still flat. As such the Wilson line becomes:

$$\mathcal{W}_{R,mn}(g,\tau_i,\tau_f) = R_{mn}\left(g(\tau_f)g^{-1}(\tau_i)\right). \tag{6}$$

Proof uses path ordering. These are precisely the bilocal operators calculated in particle-on-a-group from WZW. This proves that **BA Wilson lines are dual to boundary bilocals.** 

I will now show how to calculate bulk amplitudes, to substantiate this statement.

I will now show how to calculate bulk amplitudes, to substantiate this statement. The first step to solving BF theory is identifying its Hilbert space. **The state spectrum of BF** is by construction identical to that of 2d YM: there is a orthonormal 'position' and 'momentum' basis for the Hilbert space consisting of group elements  $|g\rangle$  and 'irrep matrix elements'  $|R, mn\rangle$ , and the associated ON wavefunctions are the overlap  $\langle g|R, mn\rangle$ .

I will now show how to calculate bulk amplitudes, to substantiate this statement. The first step to solving BF theory is identifying its Hilbert space. **The state spectrum of BF** is by construction identical to that of 2d YM: there is a orthonormal 'position' and 'momentum' basis for the Hilbert space consisting of group elements  $|g\rangle$  and 'irrep matrix elements'  $|R, mn\rangle$ , and the associated ON wavefunctions are the overlap  $\langle g|R, mn\rangle$ . In terms of particle on group this is just the **Peter-Weyl theorem** that any square integrable function on *G* decomposes into irrep matrix elements  $R_{mn}(g)$ .

I will now show how to calculate bulk amplitudes, to substantiate this statement. The first step to solving BF theory is identifying its Hilbert space. The state spectrum of BF is by construction identical to that of 2d YM: there is a orthonormal 'position' and 'momentum' basis for the Hilbert space consisting of group elements  $|g\rangle$  and 'irrep matrix elements'  $|R, mn\rangle$ , and the associated ON wavefunctions are the overlap  $\langle g|R, mn \rangle$ . In terms of particle on group this is just the **Peter-Weyl theorem** that any square integrable function on G decomposes into irrep matrix elements  $R_{mn}(g)$ . The boundary supported Hamiltonian is just the quadratic Casimir of the group and diagonalized by the irrep states.
## BF theory

I will now show how to calculate bulk amplitudes, to substantiate this statement. The first step to solving BF theory is identifying its Hilbert space. The state spectrum of BF is by construction identical to that of 2d YM: there is a orthonormal 'position' and 'momentum' basis for the Hilbert space consisting of group elements  $|g\rangle$  and 'irrep matrix elements'  $|R, mn\rangle$ , and the associated ON wavefunctions are the overlap  $\langle g|R, mn \rangle$ . In terms of particle on group this is just the **Peter-Weyl theorem** that any square integrable function on G decomposes into irrep matrix elements  $R_{mn}(g)$ . The boundary supported Hamiltonian is just the quadratic Casimir of the group and diagonalized by the irrep states.

The relative **normalization** of the wavefunctions is fixed by the defining properties of irrep matrices:  $R_{mn}(1) = \langle R, n | R, m \rangle = \delta_{mn}$ , and we obtain:

$$\langle g|R,mn\rangle = \sqrt{\dim R}R_{mn}(g).$$
 (7)

Disk amplitudes can now be calculated by **evolving intervals** (associated with states) **through** each patch of a given **disk** as shown here:



The theory is topological and hence the result is the same for any open interval slicing.

Disk amplitudes can now be calculated by **evolving intervals** (associated with states) **through** each patch of a given **disk** as shown here:



The theory is topological and hence the result is the same for any open interval slicing.

The **boundary states**  $|g\rangle$  and  $|h\rangle$  or initial and final states denoted in these figures correspond to possible local holonomy defects or punctures. These stem from Wilson lines in CS: see one of our appendices. As shown by the path integral arguments above though, we are led to consider disks with *only* BA Wilson lines and *no* punctures. In the above pictures this corresponds to both an initial and a final **vacuum state**  $|0\rangle$ .

Note: this corresponds to considering the vacuum Kac-Moody coadjoint orbit (or WZW between vacuum branes) in 3d / 2d.

Disk amplitudes can now be calculated by **evolving intervals** (associated with states) **through** each patch of a given **disk** as shown here:



The theory is topological and hence the result is the same for any open interval slicing.

The **boundary states**  $|g\rangle$  and  $|h\rangle$  or initial and final states denoted in these figures correspond to possible local holonomy defects or punctures. These stem from Wilson lines in CS: see one of our appendices. As shown by the path integral arguments above though, we are led to consider disks with *only* BA Wilson lines and *no* punctures. In the above pictures this corresponds to both an initial and a final **vacuum state**  $|0\rangle$ .

Note: this corresponds to considering the vacuum Kac-Moody coadjoint orbit (or WZW between vacuum branes) in 3d / 2d.

Using this evolution picture, together with the here shown defining property of the CG coefficients or 3j symbols of a generic compact Lie group

$$\int dg \langle g | R_1 1, m_1 n_1 \rangle R_{mn}(g) \langle R_2, m_2 n_2 | g \rangle \sim \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R & R_2 \\ m_1 & m & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R & R_2 \\ n_1 & n & n_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

one obtains a set of diagrammatic rules for calculating the relevant bulk amplitudes.

0

Using this evolution picture, together with the here shown defining property of the CG coefficients or 3j symbols of a generic compact Lie group

$$\int dg \langle g | R_1 1, m_1 n_1 \rangle R_{mn}(g) \langle R_2, m_2 n_2 | g \rangle \sim \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R & R_2 \\ m_1 & m & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R & R_2 \\ n_1 & n & n_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

one obtains a set of diagrammatic rules for calculating the relevant bulk amplitudes.

Let me spell these out quickly without further ado.

0

Using this evolution picture, together with the here shown defining property of the CG coefficients or 3j symbols of a generic compact Lie group

$$\int dg \langle g | R_1 1, m_1 n_1 \rangle R_{mn}(g) \langle R_2, m_2 n_2 | g \rangle \sim \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R & R_2 \\ m_1 & m & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R & R_2 \\ n_1 & n & n_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

one obtains a set of diagrammatic rules for calculating the relevant bulk amplitudes.

Let me spell these out quickly without further ado.BA Wilson lines divide a generic disk into tinier patches or regions, each with the topology of a disk.

0

Each of these region i is weighed by a suitable **Hamiltonian propagation factor** from propagation along the boundary, and is labeled by an irrep  $R_i$  that is to be summed over. Each of these region i is weighed by a suitable **Hamiltonian propagation factor** from propagation along the boundary, and is labeled by an irrep  $R_i$  that is to be summed over. Next, each Wilson line crossing with the boundary contributes a 3j symbol. Each of these region i is weighed by a suitable **Hamiltonian propagation factor** from propagation along the boundary, and is labeled by an irrep  $R_i$  that is to be summed over. Next, each Wilson line crossing with the boundary contributes a 3j symbol. Finally, a Wilson line crossing in the bulk as shown below contributes a 6j-symbol. See for example Witten on 2d YM.



Such a crossing appears for example in the calculation of an **OTOC in the boundary** (Thomas).

Each of these region i is weighed by a suitable **Hamiltonian propagation factor** from propagation along the boundary, and is labeled by an irrep  $R_i$  that is to be summed over. Next, each Wilson line crossing with the boundary contributes a 3j symbol. Finally, a Wilson line crossing in the bulk as shown below contributes a 6j-symbol. See for example Witten on 2d YM.



Such a crossing appears for example in the calculation of an **OTOC in the boundary** (Thomas). Now, the resulting **amplitudes precisely match** those of the dual bilocals in particle-on-a-group, confirming the proposed operator mapping.

This concludes my discussion of gauge theories and from hereon we shall focus on **gravity**. There are two open questions.

This concludes my discussion of gauge theories and from hereon we shall focus on gravity. There are two open questions. **1. Does** the above story survives for non-compact groups and in particular does it survive for SL(2, R)? Note:SL(2, R) YM and SL(2, R) BF were to our knowledge not investigated previously.

This concludes my discussion of gauge theories and from hereon we shall focus on gravity. There are two open questions. **1. Does** the above story survives for non-compact groups and in particular does it survive for SL(2, R)? Note:SL(2, R) YM and SL(2, R) BF were to our knowledge not investigated previously. And **2.** How are the states and operators of JT gravity embedded in the states and operators of SL(2, R) BF?

This concludes my discussion of gauge theories and from hereon we shall focus on gravity. There are two open questions. 1. Does the above story survives for non-compact groups and in particular does it survive for SL(2, R)? Note: SL(2, R) YM and SL(2, R) BF were to our knowledge not investigated previously. And 2. How are the states and operators of JT gravity embedded in the states and operators of SL(2, R) BF? To 1 the answer is short: yes it does. To the doubters, in the particular example of SL(2, R) we have explicit checks on this, namely by matching the group theoretic bulk JT calculations with the Schwarzian boundary amplitudes.

This concludes my discussion of gauge theories and from hereon we shall focus on gravity. There are two open questions. 1. Does the above story survives for non-compact groups and in particular does it survive for SL(2, R)? Note:SL(2, R) YM and SL(2, R) BF were to our knowledge not investigated previously. And 2. How are the states and operators of JT gravity embedded in the states and operators of SL(2, R) BF? To 1 the answer is short: yes it does. To the doubters, in the particular example of SL(2, R) we have explicit checks on this, namely by matching the group theoretic bulk JT calculations with the Schwarzian boundary amplitudes. The answer to 2 has been addressed in detail in the 3d / 2d literature. In particular it is well established how precisely Liouville (gravity) is embedded in SL(2, R) WZW, and this shows us how to proceed in 2d / 1d. Note: we could address this directly in 2d / 1d, but I find it instructive / fun at this point to link with 3d / 2d.

#### States

To be more explicit, one goes from SL(2, R) WZW to Liouville basically by **constraining** a single component of **the two SL(2, R)** currents as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}_1^- = \sqrt{\mu} \quad , \quad \mathcal{J}_2^+ = \sqrt{\mu}. \tag{10}$$

Remember that there is a  $SL(2, R) \times SL(2, R)$  Kac-Moody algebra. This was for example discussed in detail in a paper by Dijkgraaf and Verlinde<sup>2</sup>.

#### States

To be more explicit, one goes from SL(2, R) WZW to Liouville basically by **constraining** a single component of **the two SL(2, R)** currents as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}_1^- = \sqrt{\mu} \quad , \quad \mathcal{J}_2^+ = \sqrt{\mu}. \tag{10}$$

Remember that there is a  $SL(2, R) \times SL(2, R)$  Kac-Moody algebra. This was for example discussed in detail in a paper by Dijkgraaf and Verlinde<sup>2</sup>.

Now, upon dimensional reduction only the zero grade sector survives. For SL(2, R) BF in particular on recovers states in the diagonal  $SL(2, R) \times SL(2, R)$  Hilbert space i.e. SL(2, R) irrep matrix elements.

#### States

To be more explicit, one goes from SL(2, R) WZW to Liouville basically by **constraining** a single component of **the two SL(2, R)** currents as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}_1^- = \sqrt{\mu} \quad , \quad \mathcal{J}_2^+ = \sqrt{\mu}. \tag{10}$$

Remember that there is a  $SL(2, R) \times SL(2, R)$  Kac-Moody algebra. This was for example discussed in detail in a paper by Dijkgraaf and Verlinde<sup>2</sup>.

Now, upon dimensional reduction only the zero grade sector survives. For SL(2, R) BF in particular on recovers states in the diagonal  $SL(2, R) \times SL(2, R)$  Hilbert space i.e. SL(2, R) irrep matrix elements.

Using the above constraint one sees that the JT gravity states are obtained by diagonalizing the generator  $\mathcal{J}^-$  in the first copy of SL(2, R) and the generator  $\mathcal{J}^+$  in the second copy and projecting both on the eigenvalue  $\sqrt{\mu}$ .

$$R^{j}_{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu}}(g) = \langle j, \mathcal{J}^{-} = \sqrt{\mu} | g | j, \mathcal{J}^{+} = \sqrt{\mu} \rangle.$$
(11)

Note: they are not irrep matrices in a technical sense; the latter are expectation values between states in one and the same ONB.

$$R^{j}_{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu}}(g) = \langle j, \mathcal{J}^{-} = \sqrt{\mu} | g | j, \mathcal{J}^{+} = \sqrt{\mu} \rangle.$$
(11)

Note: they are not irrep matrices in a technical sense; the latter are expectation values between states in one and the same ONB. From the SL(2, *R*) BF Hilbertspace, *only* the **continuous series** irreps  $j = -\frac{1}{2} + ik$  survive as normalizable solutions.

$$R^{j}_{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu}}(g) = \langle j, \mathcal{J}^{-} = \sqrt{\mu} | g | j, \mathcal{J}^{+} = \sqrt{\mu} \rangle.$$
(11)

Note: they are not irrep matrices in a technical sense; the latter are expectation values between states in one and the same ONB. From the SL(2, R) BF Hilbertspace, *only* the **continuous series irreps**  $j = -\frac{1}{2} + ik$  **survive as normalizable solutions**. In particular these matrix elements turn out to be Bessel Kfunctions, and the normalized wavefunctions are as follows:

$$\langle g|k 
angle = \sqrt{k \sinh 2\pi k} R^k_{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu}}(g).$$
 (12)

Note here that the **normalization prefactor is pivotal** for what follows. The normalization of (11) was checked in detail (see one of the appendices): the normalization is fixed because we consider two ONB in (11). Crucially this is not the square root of the SL(2, R) Plancherel measure obtained when working with genuine irrep matrices.

$$R^{j}_{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu}}(g) = \langle j, \mathcal{J}^{-} = \sqrt{\mu} | g | j, \mathcal{J}^{+} = \sqrt{\mu} \rangle.$$
(11)

Note: they are not irrep matrices in a technical sense; the latter are expectation values between states in one and the same ONB. From the SL(2, R) BF Hilbertspace, *only* the **continuous series irreps**  $j = -\frac{1}{2} + ik$  **survive as normalizable solutions**. In particular these matrix elements turn out to be Bessel Kfunctions, and the normalized wavefunctions are as follows:

$$\langle g|k 
angle = \sqrt{k \sinh 2\pi k} R^k_{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu}}(g).$$
 (12)

Note here that the **normalization prefactor is pivotal** for what follows. The normalization of (11) was checked in detail (see one of the appendices): the normalization is fixed because we consider two ONB in (11). Crucially this is not the square root of the SL(2, R) Plancherel measure obtained when working with genuine irrep matrices. Next up is the operator spectrum.

### Operators

Remember that the interesting not-knot related observables in SL(2, R) BF are **BA** SL(2, R) **Wilson lines**. Only a subset of these survive as operators in JT gravity. There are two ways to understand precisely which ones.

### Operators

Remember that the interesting not-knot related observables in SL(2, R) BF are **BA** SL(2, R) **Wilson lines**. Only a subset of these survive as operators in JT gravity. There are two ways to understand precisely which ones.

First, resorting again to the interval-evolution-calculations as in the previous section, it becomes clear that factors like this

$$\int dg \langle g | k_1 \rangle R^j_{mn}(g) \langle k_2 | g \rangle \sim \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & R^j & k_1 \\ \sqrt{\mu} & m & \sqrt{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & R^j & k_1 \\ \sqrt{\mu} & n & \sqrt{\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

will appear. Technical comment: invariance of the measure can be used to switch bases to obtain a product of three genuine irrep matrices on the LHS.

### Operators

Remember that the interesting not-knot related observables in SL(2, R) BF are **BA** SL(2, R) **Wilson lines**. Only a subset of these survive as operators in JT gravity. There are two ways to understand precisely which ones.

First, resorting again to the interval-evolution-calculations as in the previous section, it becomes clear that factors like this

$$\int dg \langle g | k_1 \rangle R^j_{mn}(g) \langle k_2 | g \rangle \sim \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & R^j & k_1 \\ \sqrt{\mu} & m & \sqrt{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & R^j & k_1 \\ \sqrt{\mu} & n & \sqrt{\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

will appear. Technical comment: invariance of the measure can be used to switch bases to obtain a product of three genuine irrep matrices on the LHS.

Now crucially it turns out that the integral on the LHS is only well-defined for discrete series irreps  $j = \ell$ . Moreover it has support only on m = n = 0. This severely limits the **set of Wilson line observables in JT gravity** to the discrete 'primaries':

$$\mathcal{W}(g) = R_{00}^{\ell}(g). \tag{14}$$

This severely limits the **set of Wilson line observables in JT gravity** to the discrete 'primaries':

$$\mathcal{W}(g) = R_{00}^{\ell}(g). \tag{14}$$

Explicitly evaluating the relevant matrix element in the mixed parabolic basis we obtain the following:

$$\mathcal{W}(\phi) = e^{2\ell\phi},\tag{15}$$

which only depends on one of the three coordinates of the SL(2, R) group manifold. This should ring a bell for those of you familiar with Liouville. Note: these are the zero mode of the well known array of Liouville operators, confirming that we have constraints the SL(2, R) operator spectrum correctly. This direct dimensional reduction of the 3d / 2d operator spectrum is the second way to obtain the operator spectrum of JT gravity.

### Amplitudes

Now that we understand the details of the embedding of gravity in SL(2, R), all the pieces are in place to **calculate bulk JT gravity amplitudes** using the 'evolution of intervals', as we did for gauge theories earlier.

### Amplitudes

Now that we understand the details of the embedding of gravity in SL(2, R), all the pieces are in place to **calculate bulk JT gravity amplitudes** using the 'evolution of intervals', as we did for gauge theories earlier. Notice first though crucially that in the mixed parabolic basis, the SL(2, R) group element that corresponds with the **absence of a local holonomy defect** is obtained by taking  $\phi \rightarrow \infty$  and not g = 1 for all **initial and final states**. In effect this takes the ket to the same eigenstate of  $\mathcal{J}^-$  as the bra (see an appendix), such that

$$\lim_{\phi \to \infty} R^{k}_{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu}}(\phi) = \left\langle k, \mathcal{J}^{-} = \sqrt{\mu} \right| k, \mathcal{J}^{-} = \sqrt{\mu} \right\rangle \sim 1, \qquad (16)$$

showing that this corresponds with inserting a trivial defect g = 1 between states in the same basis. Note: this corresponds again to considering the vacuum Virasoro coadjoint orbit, or Liouville between ZZ vacuum branes one dimension up (Thomas).

$$Z = \int dkk \sinh 2\pi k \exp\{-\beta k^2\}, \qquad (17)$$

which *precisely* reproduces the **Schwarzian DOS from this group theoretic perspective**. Note that this is a nontrivial result and a good check on our methods.

$$Z = \int dkk \sinh 2\pi k \exp\{-\beta k^2\}, \qquad (17)$$

which *precisely* reproduces the **Schwarzian DOS from this group theoretic perspective**. Note that this is a nontrivial result and a good check on our methods. Also, for Wilson lines touching the boundary one obtains again a specific SL(2, R) 3*j* symbol which is directly identified with a factor in the known Schwarzian amplitudes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 & \ell & k_2 \\ \sqrt{\mu} & 0 & \sqrt{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \left( \frac{\Gamma(\ell \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{\Gamma(2\ell)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (18)

$$Z = \int dkk \sinh 2\pi k \exp\{-\beta k^2\}, \qquad (17)$$

which *precisely* reproduces the **Schwarzian DOS from this group theoretic perspective**. Note that this is a nontrivial result and a good check on our methods. Also, for Wilson lines touching the boundary one obtains again a specific SL(2, R) 3*j* symbol which is directly identified with a factor in the known Schwarzian amplitudes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 & \ell & k_2 \\ \sqrt{\mu} & 0 & \sqrt{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \left( \frac{\Gamma(\ell \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{\Gamma(2\ell)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (18)

Crossing Wilson lines come with a 6j symbol of SL(2, R), and are associated on the boundary with OTOC (as is geometrically obvious).

$$Z = \int dkk \sinh 2\pi k \exp\{-\beta k^2\}, \qquad (17)$$

which *precisely* reproduces the **Schwarzian DOS from this group theoretic perspective**. Note that this is a nontrivial result and a good check on our methods. Also, for Wilson lines touching the boundary one obtains again a specific SL(2, R) 3*j* symbol which is directly identified with a factor in the known Schwarzian amplitudes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 & \ell & k_2 \\ \sqrt{\mu} & 0 & \sqrt{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\frac{\Gamma(\ell \pm ik_1 \pm ik_2)}{\Gamma(2\ell)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (18)

Crossing Wilson lines come with a 6j symbol of SL(2, R), and are associated on the boundary with OTOC (as is geometrically obvious). Note: this shows that **Wilson line crossings are isomorphic to shock-wave interactions in classical gravity**.

## Holography

Finally let me mention briefly a direct proof that Wilson lines in JT gravity compute bilocals in the Schwarzian.

## Holography

Finally let me mention briefly a direct **proof that Wilson lines in JT gravity compute bilocals in the Schwarzian**. The boundary value of the SL(2, *R*) BF gauge connection is identical to the SL(2, *R*) current in the boundary by the holographic dictionary. Path integrating out  $\chi$  as per usual in the SL(2, *R*) BF with Wilson lines inserted one obtains bilocals amplitudes in particle-on-the SL(2, *R*) group manifold.
# Holography

Finally let me mention briefly a direct **proof that Wilson lines in JT gravity compute bilocals in the Schwarzian**. The boundary value of the SL(2, *R*) BF gauge connection is identical to the SL(2, *R*) current in the boundary by the holographic dictionary. Path integrating out  $\chi$  as per usual in the SL(2, *R*) BF with Wilson lines inserted one obtains bilocals amplitudes in particle-on-the SL(2, *R*) group manifold. In gravity the **components of the SL**(2, *R*) **current are constrained** as before e.g. as  $\mathcal{J}^- = \sqrt{\mu}$ . This constrains the connection *A* or likewise the group elements *g* appearing in the solutions  $A = g^{-1}dg$ .

# Holography

Finally let me mention briefly a direct proof that Wilson lines in JT gravity compute bilocals in the Schwarzian. The boundary value of the SL(2, R) BF gauge connection is identical to the SL(2, R) current in the boundary by the holographic dictionary. Path integrating out  $\chi$  as per usual in the SL(2, R) BF with Wilson lines inserted one obtains bilocals amplitudes in particle-on-the SL(2, R) group manifold. In gravity the components of the **SL**(2, *R*) current are constrained as before e.g. as  $\mathcal{J}^- = \sqrt{\mu}$ . This constrains the connection A or likewise the group elements gappearing in the solutions  $A = g^{-1} dg$ . These constraints turn the action into the Schwarzian action and the bilocals precisely into Schwarzian bilocals:

$$W_{\ell}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \left(\frac{f_1' f_2'}{(f_1 - f_2)^2}\right)^{\ell}.$$
 (19)

Note: this formula can also be obtained as the dim. reduction of formula for Wilson lines in 3d gravity by Fitzpatrick et al.

# Conclusion

## So... What's new?

# Conclusion

#### So... What's new?

We have calculated BA Wilson lines in gauge theories.

### So... What's new?

We have calculated BA Wilson lines in gauge theories.

It was shown that BA Wilson lines in JT are dual to bilocal operators in the Schwarzian. This provides the Schwarzian correlators with a geometric interpretation in the bulk. Note that generic real time dynamics of JT gravity can be studied using the resulting formulas (Thomas).

We found a group theoretic explanation for the Schwarzian DOS.

### So... What's new?

We have calculated BA Wilson lines in gauge theories.

It was shown that BA Wilson lines in JT are dual to bilocal operators in the Schwarzian. This provides the Schwarzian correlators with a geometric interpretation in the bulk. Note that generic real time dynamics of JT gravity can be studied using the resulting formulas (Thomas).

## We found a group theoretic explanation for the Schwarzian DOS.

Finally, by turning on a bulk Hamiltonian, our calculations present the solution of 2d YM for noncompact groups. In particular, matching bulk SL(2, R) BF amplitudes with Schwarzian amplitudes can be considered a proof of this, at least for the group SL(2, R). See out April paper for more on this link with 2d YM.

# Speculative Outlook

Where to go from here? Four applications / extensions.

1. Consider JT gravity on a euclidean cone (two boundaries), this describes a two-sides JT BH, and is the dimensional reduction of Liouville (without any branes). There is a Schwarzian on each boundary but they are maximally entangled (spectrum is diagonal). Note: the calculation is identical on a cylinder as on a cone.

1. Consider JT gravity on a euclidean cone (two boundaries), this describes a two-sides JT BH, and is the dimensional reduction of Liouville (without any branes). There is a Schwarzian on each boundary but they are maximally entangled (spectrum is diagonal). Note: the calculation is identical on a cylinder as on a cone. Inserting a 'coherent state' of Wilson lines stretching between the two boundaries adds an interaction term to the action, coupling the two boundary theories, but alternatively its expectation value can just be evaluated explicitly.

1. Consider JT gravity on a euclidean cone (two boundaries), this describes a two-sides JT BH, and is the dimensional reduction of Liouville (without any branes). There is a Schwarzian on each boundary but they are maximally entangled (spectrum is diagonal). Note: the calculation is identical on a cylinder as on a cone. Inserting a 'coherent state' of Wilson lines stretching between the two boundaries adds an interaction term to the action, coupling the two boundary theories, but alternatively its expectation value can just be evaluated explicitly. As recently shown my Maldacena this coupling **opens up a wormhole**: the Lorentzian geometry jumps from a two-sided JT BH to an eternal wormhole, precisely by inserting a 'coherent state' of Wilson lines. We are in principle able to calculate correlators in this geometry. What can the Wilson line perspective teach us on the wormhole?

1. Consider JT gravity on a euclidean cone (two boundaries), this describes a two-sides JT BH, and is the dimensional reduction of Liouville (without any branes). There is a Schwarzian on each boundary but they are maximally entangled (spectrum is diagonal). Note: the calculation is identical on a cylinder as on a cone. Inserting a 'coherent state' of Wilson lines stretching between the two boundaries adds an interaction term to the action, coupling the two boundary theories, but alternatively its expectation value can just be evaluated explicitly. As recently shown my Maldacena this coupling **opens up a wormhole**: the Lorentzian geometry jumps from a two-sided JT BH to an eternal wormhole, precisely by inserting a 'coherent state' of Wilson lines. We are in principle able to calculate correlators in this geometry. What can the Wilson line perspective teach us on the wormhole?

2. The **BA** chord diagrams calculating correlators in the spin-glass system (a microscopic model reducing to the Schwarzian in the IR) in a recent paper by Berkooz look strikingly similar to the BA Wilson line diagrams we discussed, that is in the limit where the 'background chords' turn into a smooth background (at least this is what happens if I interpret their paper correctly).

2. The **BA** chord diagrams calculating correlators in the spin-glass system (a microscopic model reducing to the Schwarzian in the IR) in a recent paper by Berkooz look strikingly similar to the BA Wilson line diagrams we discussed, that is in the limit where the 'background chords' turn into a smooth background (at least this is what happens if I interpret their paper correctly). Intuitively I would expect that it should be possible to proof that these chords reduce to Wilson lines in the IR, and that the 'backgrounds chords' transition to a smooth background.

2. The **BA chord diagrams calculating correlators in the spin-glass system** (a microscopic model reducing to the Schwarzian in the IR) in a recent paper by Berkooz look strikingly similar to the BA Wilson line diagrams we discussed, that is in the limit where the 'background chords' turn into a smooth **background** (at least this is what happens if I interpret their paper correctly). Intuitively I would expect that it should be possible to proof that these chords reduce to Wilson lines in the IR, and that the 'backgrounds chords' transition to a smooth background. In the results for the amplitudes this is established, but what I would be interested in is taking some limit of the setup before doing the actual calculation. If this works I think it would substantiate their statement that these open-chord diagrams are the bulk duals to spin-glass systems, and that they represent one possible non-perturbative way to go beyond geometry in 2d quantum gravity.

3. Let me mention that a related group theoretic story can be made for **flat space**  $\Lambda = 0$  **gravity**, with a different group. In particular it is possible again to embed the square of flat gravity theories in a square of topological gauge theories, though I am still working out the specifics. The Wilson line perspective might present some new insight here.

3. Let me mention that a related group theoretic story can be made for **flat space**  $\Lambda = 0$  **gravity**, with a different group. In particular it is possible again to embed the square of flat gravity theories in a square of topological gauge theories, though I am still working out the specifics. The Wilson line perspective might present some new insight here.

4. Finally, it is possible (and we have done this) to calculate **networks of Wilson lines** in the bulk dual to n-point Schwarzian correlators. These are the dimensional reduction of the networks in 3d / 2d calculating conformal blocks in the boundary 2d CFT. Is there some story here?

3. Let me mention that a related group theoretic story can be made for **flat space**  $\Lambda = 0$  **gravity**, with a different group. In particular it is possible again to embed the square of flat gravity theories in a square of topological gauge theories, though I am still working out the specifics. The Wilson line perspective might present some new insight here.

4. Finally, it is possible (and we have done this) to calculate **networks of Wilson lines** in the bulk dual to n-point Schwarzian correlators. These are the dimensional reduction of the networks in 3d / 2d calculating conformal blocks in the boundary 2d CFT. Is there some story here?

Thank You for your attention.