Production of clusters and pions in heavy-ion collisions

Akira Ono

Department of Physics, Tohoku University

NPCSM 2016: YIPQS long-term and Nishinomiya-Yukawa memorial workshop on "Nuclear Physics, Compact Stars, and Compact Star Mergers 2016", Oct. 17 - Nov. 18, 2016, YITP, Kyoto, Japan

- Clusters $(d, t, {}^{3}\text{He}, \alpha)$ in heavy-ion collisions and in AMD
- Pion production based on AMD calculation (+JAM)
- Recent progress in the comparison of many transport codes

Various densities in heavy-ion collisions

Nuclear EOS (at T = 0)

 $(E/A)(\rho_p,\rho_n) = (E/A)_0(\rho) + S(\rho)\delta^2 + \cdots$

 $\label{eq:rho} \rho = \rho_p + \rho_n, \quad \delta = (\rho_n - \rho_p)/(\rho_n + \rho_p).$

Constrains on $S(\rho)$ at ICNT2013 @FRIB

Horowitz et al., J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 41 (2014) 093001.





132 Sn + 124 Sn, 300 MeV/u, *b* ~ 0



Bao-An Li, PRL88 (2002) 192701.

Pion ratio π^-/π^+ has been proposed as a good probe of

- symmetry energy at high densities
- ρ_n/ρ_p ratio in the high density region

Production/absorption of Δ and π : (N = n or p)

$$\begin{array}{l} N+N \rightarrow N+\Delta \\ N+N \leftarrow N+\Delta \\ \Delta \rightarrow N+\pi \\ \Delta \leftarrow N+\pi \end{array}$$

Simple expectation: $\pi^{-}/\pi^{+} \approx (N/Z)^{2}$

- First-chance $NN \rightarrow N\Delta \rightarrow NN\pi$
- Chemical equilibrium



 132 Sn + 124 Sn, 300 MeV/u, *b* ~ 0



Clustering phenomena in excited states of nuclear systems



Kanada-En'yo, Kimura, Ono, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2012 01A202 (2012)

Interacting and reacting clusters in heavy-ion collisions



Akira Ono (Department of Physics, Tohoku University) Production of clusters and pions in heavy-ion collisions

Transport with clusters (pBUU)

BUU with clusters

Danielewicz and Bertsch, NPA 533 (1991) 712.

Coupled equations for $f_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, $f_p(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, $f_d(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, $f_t(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, $f_h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, are solved by the test particle method.

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial f_n}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\partial U_n}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = I_n^{\text{coll}}[f_n, f_p, f_d, f_t, f_h] \\ &\frac{\partial f_p}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\partial U_p}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = I_p^{\text{coll}}[f_n, f_p, f_d, f_t, f_h] \\ &\frac{\partial f_d}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_d}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\partial U_d}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_d}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = I_d^{\text{coll}}[f_n, f_p, f_d, f_t, f_h] \\ &\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = I_t^{\text{coll}}[f_n, f_p, f_d, f_t, f_h] \\ &\frac{\partial f_h}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_h}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\partial U_h}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_h}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = I_h^{\text{coll}}[f_n, f_p, f_d, f_t, f_h] \end{split}$$



Coupland, Lynch, Tsang, Danielewicz, Zhang, PRC 84 (2011) 054603.

Antisymmetrized Molecular Dynamics (very basic version)

Ono, Horiuchi, Maruyama, Ohnishi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 87 (1992) 1185.

AMD wave function

$$|\Phi(Z)\rangle = \frac{\det}{ij} \Big[\exp\Big\{ -v \Big(\mathbf{r}_j - \frac{\mathbf{Z}_i}{\sqrt{v}} \Big)^2 \Big\} \chi_{\alpha_i}(j) \Big]$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_i = \sqrt{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{D}_i + \frac{i}{2\hbar\sqrt{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{K}_i$$

v: Width parameter = (2.5 fm)⁻²

 χ_{α_i} : Spin-isospin states = $p \uparrow, p \downarrow, n \uparrow, n \downarrow$

Equation of motion for the wave packet centroids Z

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{Z}_i = \{\mathbf{Z}_i, \mathcal{H}\}_{\mathsf{PB}} + (\mathsf{NN \ collisions})$$

$\{\mathbf{Z}_i, \mathcal{H}\}_{PB}$: Motion in the mean field	NN collisions
$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\langle \Phi(Z) H \Phi(Z) \rangle}{\langle \Phi(Z) \Phi(Z) \rangle} + (\text{c.m. correction})$ H: Effective interaction (e.g. Skyrme force)	$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \langle \Psi_f V \Psi_i \rangle ^2 \delta(E_f - E_i)$ • $ V ^2$ or σ_{NN} (in medium)
	Pauli blocking

AMD with usual NN collisions (very basic version)



NN collisions without or with cluster correlations

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_f | V | \Psi_i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i)$$

In the usual way of NN collision, only the two wave packets are changed.

$$\left\{ |\Psi_f\rangle \right\} = \left\{ |\varphi_{k_1}(1)\varphi_{k_2}(2)\Psi(3,4,\ldots)\rangle \right\}$$

(ignoring antisymmetrization for simplicity of presentation.)

Phase space or the density of states for two nucleon system



NN collisions without or with cluster correlations

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | V | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{f} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{f} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{i} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{i} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{i} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{i} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{i} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i \to f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_{i} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{i} - E_{i})$$

$$W_{i$$

Similar to Danielewicz et al., NPA533 (1991) 712.

$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{B}_{1} & \varphi_{1}' \\ \mathbf{N}_{1} & \mathbf{p}_{1}^{(0)} & \varphi_{1}^{+\mathbf{q}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{2} & \varphi_{2} \\ \mathbf{P}_{3} & \varphi_{3} \\ \mathbf{P}_{4} & \varphi_{4} \\ \mathbf{P}_{4} & \varphi_{4}$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{rel}} &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) = p_{\mathsf{rel}} \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}} \\ \mathbf{q} &= \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1^{(0)} = \mathbf{p}_2^{(0)} - \mathbf{p}_2 \\ \varphi_1^{+\mathbf{q}} &= \exp(+i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{N}_1})\varphi_1^{(0)} \\ \varphi_2^{-\mathbf{q}} &= \exp(-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{N}_2})\varphi_2^{(0)} \end{split}$$

$N_1 + B_1 + N_2 + B_2 \rightarrow C_1 + C_2$

- N₁, N₂ : Colliding nucleons
- B₁, B₂ : Spectator nucleons/clusters
- C₁, C₂ : N, (2N), (3N), (4N) (up to α cluster)

Transition probability

$$W(\text{NBNB} \rightarrow \text{CC}) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \text{CC} | V | \text{NBNB} \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i)$$

$$\nu d\sigma \propto |\langle \varphi_1' | \varphi_1^{+\mathbf{q}} \rangle|^2 |\langle \varphi_2' | \varphi_2^{-\mathbf{q}} \rangle|^2 |M|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i) p_{\text{rel}}^2 dp_{\text{rel}} d\Omega$$

 $|M|^2 = |\langle NN|V|NN \rangle|^2$: Matrix elements of NN scattering $\Leftarrow (d\sigma/d\Omega)_{NN}$ in medium (or in free space)

NN collisions with cluster correlations (more explanations)



For each NN collision, cluster formation is considered.

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{N}_1 + \mathsf{B}_1 + \mathsf{N}_2 + \mathsf{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathsf{C}_1 + \mathsf{C}_2 \\ & W_{i \rightarrow f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \mathsf{CC} | V_{NN} | \mathsf{NBNB} \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i) \end{split}$$

Ono, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 420 (2013) 012103 Ikeno, Ono et al., PRC 93 (2016) 044612

- We always have a Slater determinant of nucleon wave packets. A cluster in the final states is represented by placing wave packets at the same phase space point.
- Consequently the processes such as $d + X \rightarrow n + p + X'$ and $d + X \rightarrow d + X'$ are automatically taken into account.

- No parameters have been introduced to adjust individual reactions.
- There are many possibilities to from clusters in the final states.
 Non-orthogonality of the final states should be carefully handled.

Construction of Final States

Clusters (in the final states) are assumed to have $(0s)^N$ configuration.



Final states are not orthogonal: $N_{ij} \equiv \langle \Phi'_i | \Phi'_i \rangle \neq \delta_{ij}$

The probability of cluster formation with one of B's:

$$\hat{P} = \sum_{ij} |\Phi'_i\rangle N_{ij}^{-1} \langle \Phi'_j|, \qquad P = \langle \Phi^{\mathbf{q}} | \hat{P} | \Phi^{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \qquad \neq \sum_i |\langle \Phi'_i | \Phi^{\mathbf{q}} \rangle|^2$$

 $\begin{cases} P \Rightarrow \text{Choose one of the candidates and make a cluster.} \\ 1-P \Rightarrow \text{Don't make a cluster (with any n1).} \end{cases}$

Correlations to bind several clusters



Clusters may form a loosely bound state.

e.g., ⁷Li = $\alpha + t - 2.5$ MeV Need more probability of $|\alpha + t\rangle \rightarrow |^{7}$ Li \rangle



- **Step 1** Clusters (and nucleons) C_i and C_j are *linked*,
 - if C_i is one of the 3 clusters closest to C_j, and (i ↔ j),
 - and if the distance is 1 fm < $|\mathbf{R}_{ij}|$ < 7 fm,
 - and if they are slowly moving away, $\mathbf{P}_{ij}^2/2\mu_{ij} < 10 \text{ MeV}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{ij} > 0$.
- Step 2 Linked clusters (CC) are identified. Following steps are taken only for CC with mass number $6 \le A \le 9$ or $19 \le A \le 23$.
- Step 3 Transition of the internal state of CC by eliminating the (radial component of) internal momentum

 $\mathbf{P}_i \rightarrow 0$ for $i \in CC$ in the c.m. of CC

with some care of the momentum conservation.

Next Energy conservation.

Correlations to bind several clusters



Clusters may form a loosely bound state.

e.g., ${}^{7}\text{Li} = \alpha + t - 2.5 \text{ MeV}$ Need more probability of $|\alpha + t\rangle \rightarrow |{}^{7}\text{Li}\rangle$



Step 4 Search a third particle for E-conservation

- A cluster C_k is selected, depending on the distance and momentum (|R_k| and |P_k|) relative to CC.
- If the selected C_k already belongs to a CC', this whole CC' is treated as the third particle for E-conservation.
- Step 5 Scale the radial component of the relative momentum between CC and C_k for the total energy conservation.

$$\mathbf{P}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{k\parallel} + \mathbf{P}_{k\perp} \rightarrow \beta \mathbf{P}_{k\parallel} + \mathbf{P}_{k\perp}$$



Results for multifragmentation in central collisions





Hudan et al., PRC 67 (2003) 064613. Reisdorf et al., NPA 848 (2010) 366. Hagel et al., PRC 50 (1994) 2017. Data:



132 Sn + 124 Sn, E/A = 300 MeV, $b \sim 0$



Momentum dependence of Skyrme (SLy4) interaction has been corrected for high energy collisions, in a similar way to Gale, Bertsch, Das Gupta, PRC 35 (1987) 1666.

- Pions are measured to probe high-density nuclear matter by the SπRIT experiment.
- Many protons are bound in clusters. In Au+Au at 250 MeV/u (FOPI data), p: 21%, α: 20%, d+t+³He: 40%

The S*π*RIT project: TPC in SAMURAI magnet



Talk by T. Isobe at NuSYM15





Momentum dependence of Skyrme (SLy4) interaction has been corrected for high energy collisions, in a similar way to Gale, Bertsch, Das Gupta, PRC 35 (1987) 1666.







Momentum dependence of Skyrme (SLy4) interaction has been corrected for high energy collisions, in a similar way to Gale, Bertsch, Das Gupta, PRC 35 (1987) 1666.







Momentum dependence of Skyrme (SLy4) interaction has been corrected for high energy collisions, in a similar way to Gale, Bertsch, Das Gupta, PRC 35 (1987) 1666.







Momentum dependence of Skyrme (SLy4) interaction has been corrected for high energy collisions, in a similar way to Gale, Bertsch, Das Gupta, PRC 35 (1987) 1666.



N/Z Spectrum Ratio (AMD with clusters)



Akira Ono (Department of Physics, Tohoku University) Production of clusters and pions in heavy-ion collisions



N/Z Spectrum Ratio (AMD withOUT clusters)



Akira Ono (Department of Physics, Tohoku University) Production of clusters and pions in heavy-ion collisions

^{18/34}

Do clusters exist in high density region?



Equation for a deuteron in uncorrelated medium

$$\begin{bmatrix} e(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{p}) + e(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{p}) \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p})$$

+
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - f(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{p}) - f(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{p}) \end{bmatrix} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} \langle \mathbf{p} | v | \mathbf{p}' \rangle \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p}')$$
$$= E \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p})$$



Momentum (P) dependence of B.E.

Röpke, NPA867 (2011) 66.

- Clusters may exist even in high density matter if they have sufficient momenta so that the effect of Pauli principle is weak.
- However, clusters in high density region will be soon broken by a collisions with other particles.

Does it make sense to consider such short-lived correlations?

Do clusters exist in high density region?



Equation for a deuteron in uncorrelated medium

$$\begin{split} & \left[e(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{p}) + e(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{p}) \right] \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p}) \\ & + \left[1 - f(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{p}) - f(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{p}) \right] \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} \langle \mathbf{p} | v | \mathbf{p}' \rangle \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p}') \\ & = E \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p}) \end{split}$$

Deuteron in medium (at T = 0)



Clusters may exist even in high density matter if they have sufficient momenta so that the effect of Pauli principle is weak.

 However, clusters in high density region will be soon broken by a collisions with other particles.

Does it make sense to consider such short-lived correlations?

Turn off clusters at high density



When the cluster production is turned off at high density $\rho > 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$,

- $M_p \nearrow$ (overproduction)
- $M_{\alpha} \searrow$
- M_d and M_t don't increase.



We need some fine tuning of the cluster production.

Coupled equations for $f_N(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, $f_{\Delta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, $f_{\pi}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$

$$\frac{\partial f_N}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_N}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_N}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\partial h_N[f_N, f_\Delta, f_\pi]}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_N}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = I_N[f_N, f_\Delta, f_\pi]$$

$$\frac{\partial f_\Delta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_\Delta}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\Delta}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\partial h_\Delta[f_N, f_\Delta, f_\pi]}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\Delta}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = I_\Delta[f_N, f_\Delta, f_\pi]$$

$$NN \to NN$$

$$NN \to N\Delta$$

$$\frac{\partial f_\pi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_\pi}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\pi}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \frac{\partial h_\pi[f_N, f_\Delta, f_\pi]}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\pi}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = I_\pi[f_N, f_\Delta, f_\pi]$$

$$\Delta \leftrightarrow N\pi$$

Assumption: Δ and pion productions are rare (in low-energy collisions), so that they can be treated as perturbation.

$$I_N[f_N, f_\Delta, f_\pi] = I_N^{\mathsf{el}}[f_N, 0, 0] + \lambda I'_N[f_N, f_\Delta, f_\pi]$$

$$f_N = f_N^{(0)} + \lambda f_N^{(1)} + \cdots, \qquad f_\Delta = O(\lambda), \quad f_\pi = O(\lambda)$$

Ikeno, Ono, Nara, Ohnishi, PRC 93 (2016) 044612.

AMD + JAM



Send nucleon test particles from AMD to JAM at every 2 fm/*c*, with corrections for the conservation of baryon number and charge. Ikeno, Ono, Nara, Ohnishi, PRC 93 (2016) 044612.



Akira Ono (Department of Physics, Tohoku University) Production of clusters and pions in heavy-ion collisions

JAM: Jet AA Microscopic transport model

Y. Nara, N. Otuka, A. Ohnishi, K. Niita, S. Chiba, PRC61 (2000) 024901.

- Applied to high-energy collisions (1 ~ 158A GeV)
- Hadron-Hadron reactions are based on experimental data and the detailed balance. e.g.,

$$\frac{d\sigma_{NN \to N\Delta}}{dm} = \frac{C_I}{p_i s} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{16\pi} \times \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{m^2 \Gamma(m)}{(m^2 - m_{\Delta}^2)^2 + m^2 \Gamma(m)^2} p_f(m)$$
$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = A \frac{s \Gamma_{\Delta}^2}{(s - m_{\Delta}^2)^2 + s \Gamma_{\Delta}^2}$$

• No mean field (default).

• s-wave pion production $(NN \rightarrow NN\pi)$ is turned off.



The energy violation, due to the mismatch of AMD and JAM, is about 2 MeV/nucleon on average at $t \approx 20$ fm/*c* in collisions at 300 MeV/nucleon. This corresponds to the 10% overestimation of the pion multiplicity.

About potentials for Δ and π

$$N_{\tau_{1}} + N_{\tau_{2}} \longleftrightarrow N_{\tau_{3}} + \Delta_{\tau_{4}} \qquad \Delta_{\tau_{1}} \longleftrightarrow N_{\tau_{3}} + \pi_{\tau_{4}}$$

$$U_{\tau_{1}}^{(N)} + U_{\tau_{2}}^{(N)} \qquad U_{\tau_{3}}^{(N)} + U_{\tau_{4}}^{(\Delta)} \qquad U_{\tau_{1}}^{(\Delta)} + U_{\tau_{3}}^{(D)} + U_{\tau_{4}}^{(D)} + q_{1}U_{C} \qquad + q_{3}U_{C} + q_{4}U_{C}$$

• $U_{\tau}^{(*)}$: Isospin(τ)-dependent potential due to the strong interaction

U_C: Coulomb potential

In JAM, reaction thresholds are the same as in free space. Therefore AMD+JAM assumes

$$U_{\tau_1}^{(N)} + U_{\tau_2}^{(N)} = U_{\tau_3}^{(N)} + U_{\tau_4}^{(\Delta)}, \qquad U_{\tau_1}^{(\Delta)} = U_{\tau_3}^{(N)} + U_{\tau_4}^{(\pi)} \qquad \text{for } \tau_1(+\tau_2) = \tau_3 + \tau_4$$

This is satisfied in case

$$U_{\tau}^{(N,\Delta)} = U_0(\mathbf{r}) + \tau U_{\text{sym}}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad U_{\tau}^{(\pi)} = \tau U_{\text{sym}}(\mathbf{r})$$

c.f. pBUU: Hong and Danielewicz, PRC 90 (2014) 024605.

Our choice

 $V_{asy}(\Delta^{-}) = 3V_{asy}(n)$ $V_{asy}(\Delta^{0}) = V_{asy}(n)$ $V_{asy}(\Delta^{+}) = V_{asy}(p)$ $V_{asy}(\Delta^{++}) = 3V_{asy}(p)$

Another choice

$$V_{asy}(\Delta^{-}) = V_{asy}(n)$$
$$V_{asy}(\Delta^{0}) = \frac{1}{3}V_{asy}(n)$$
$$V_{asy}(\Delta^{+}) = \frac{1}{3}V_{asy}(p)$$
$$V_{asy}(\Delta^{++}) = V_{asy}(p)$$

c.f. Bao-An Li, PRC92 (2015) 034603.

Different choices of Δ potential

- \Rightarrow Different thresholds for Δ production
- \Rightarrow Different π^-/π^+ ratios

Transport code comparison

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 93, 044609 (2016)

Understanding transport simulations of heavy-ion collisions at 100A and 400A MeV: Comparison of heavy-ion transport codes under controlled conditions

Jun Xu,^{1,*} Lie-Wen Chen,^{2,1} ManYee Betty Tsang,^{3,1} Hermann Wolter,^{4,4} Ying-Xun Zhang,^{5,4} Joerg Aichelin,⁶ Maria Coloma,⁷ Dan Cozma,⁸ Pawel Danielewicz,³ Zhao-Qing Feng,⁹ Amaud Le Fèvre.¹⁰ Theodoros Gaitanos,¹¹ Christoph Hartnack,⁶ Kyungil Kim,¹² Youngman Kim,¹² Che-Ming Ko,¹³ Bao-An Li,¹⁴ Qing-Feng Li,¹⁵ Zhu-Xia Li,⁵ Paolo Napolitani,¹⁶ Akira Ono,¹⁷ Massimo Papa,¹⁸ Taiseo Song,¹⁹ Jun Su²⁰ Jun-Long Tian,²¹ Ning Wang,²² Yong-Jia Wang,¹⁵ Janus Weil,¹⁹ Wen-Jie Xie,²³ Feng-Shou Zhang,²⁴ and Guo-Qiang Zhang⁴ J. Xu et al., PRC93 (2016) 044609.



Transport code comparison: Transverse flow

Au + Au, b = 7 fm



Uncertainties in the flow parameter : about 30% (100 MeV), about 13% (400 MeV)

Transport code comparison: NN collisions and Pauli blocking





Box simulations

What is the origin of the divergence of the number of NN collisions?

- Due to the difference of the realized states, and/or
- Due to the difference of the procedure for NN collisions.
- \Rightarrow Let's do Box Simulations.



- Simple initialization (T = 0 Fermi)
- No mean field
- $\sigma_{NN} = 40 \text{ mb}$
- Turn off Pauli blocking

We still had large divergence. (~ 30%)

- ⇒ Many codes fixed an issue of the Bertsch prescription.
- ⇒ Much better agreement! (a few %)
- Next (1) Pauli blocking
- Next (2) with mean field
- Next (3) π and Δ in the box

PHYSICS REPORTS (Review Section of Physics Letters) 160, No. 4 (1988) 189-233. North-Holland, Amsterdam

A GUIDE TO MICROSCOPIC MODELS FOR INTERMEDIATE ENERGY HEAVY ION COLLISIONS

G.F. BERTSCH

Cyclotron Laboratory and Physics Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, U.S.A.

and

S. DAS GUPTA

Physics Department, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2T8

Received September 1987

Bertsch and Das Gupta, Phys. Rep. 160 (1988) 189.

Many codes use the prescription described in this article. However, there are a few points that must be corrected implicitly. 226 G.F. Bertsch and S. Das Gupta, A guide to microscopic models for intermediate energy heavy ion collisions

$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} a e^{bt} dt / \int_{0}^{0} a e^{bt} dt = x_{1}.$$
(B.4)

The value of θ_i is chosen from $\cos \theta_i = 1 - t_i/t_0$; ϕ_i is chosen randomly. Thus for each scattering, the momenta and energy are conserved but not the angular momentum moneonservation has been found to be small.

If isotropic scattering is assumed in the inelastic channel, then θ_i can be chosen from $\cos \theta_i = 1 - 2x$. We have given all the parameters for the calculation. We may give some details of implementation. We have $\sigma_i^*(\sqrt{2}) \leq \sigma_{a_{ain}}^* \leq 35$ mb which corresponds to $b_{a_{ain}} = 1.2$ m. Thus in a given time interval θ_i two particles cannot collide if the distance between them is greater than some preassigned value $d_{a_{ain}} \sim \sqrt{(1.32)^2 + c^2}$. For two particles whose separation is less, we now proceed to check if the particles pass the point of doesat approach and if this distance of thoses targoreach is less than 1.32 fm.

The center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{(E_1 + E_2)^2 - (p_1 + p_2)^2}$. The velocity of the c.m. of the colliding particles is $\beta = (p_1 + p_2)/(E_1 + E_2)$. The momentum of particle 1 in the frame is

$$p = \gamma \left(\frac{p_1 \cdot \beta}{\beta} - \beta E_1 \right) \frac{\beta}{\beta} + \left(p_1 - \frac{p_1 \cdot \beta}{\beta} \frac{\beta}{\beta} \right).$$

The momentum of the second particle is -p. The distance Δr in the c.m. frame is $(\gamma - 1)((r_1 - r_2) \cdot \beta/\beta)\beta/\beta + (r_1 - r_2)$. In the time interval $-\delta t/2$ to $\delta t/2$ the two particles become candidates for collision if

$$\left|\frac{\underline{\Delta r \cdot p}}{p}\right| < \left(\frac{\underline{p}}{\sqrt{p^2 + m_1^2}} + \frac{\underline{p}}{\sqrt{p^2 + m_2^2}}\right) \delta t/2$$

and $b = \sqrt{(\Delta r)^2 - (\Delta r \cdot p/p)^2}$ is less than 1.32 fm. The following sequence of operations is now performed:

 The elastic cross section σ^{*}_{ins}(x³) is computed from eq. (B.1). We generate a random number h. If h < σ^{*}_{ins}(x³)/55, elastic scattering occurs and the angle of scattering chosen according to eq. (B.4). Then we branch to step 5.

If $h > \sigma_{nn}^{e}(\sqrt{s})/55$ go to step 2 which examines the possibility of inelastic scattering.

2. If s < 2.015 both are nucleons ($m_n = 0.938$) but there is not enough energy to produce a Δ . We branch to step 4.

If m_1 and m_2 are both greater than 0.938 (both are Δ 's), there is no possibility of inelastic scattering and we branch to step 4.

We now compute the inelastic cross section $\sigma_{m_{m-a}}^{\mu}(e_{n}, (\theta, \theta, Z))$. If one of the colliding particles is a nucleon and the other one is a Δ , we branch to step 3. Otherwise, we compare h with (σ_{m-a+1}^{μ}) of $\sigma_{m-1}^{\mu}(z)$ for h is greater, then we branch to step 4. If h is less, then Δ production will occur he has to choose the mass m_{s} . Different prescriptions have been used. The simplest one is $m_{s} = 1.077 + 0.07(\sqrt{3} - 2.015)$ for $2.015 < \sqrt{3} < 2.033$, $m_{s} = 1.233$ (hor $\sqrt{3} > 2.033$). (2) cagonal (b) has used

$$x = \int_{M_N+M_\pi}^{M_h} f(M') \, \mathrm{d}M' \Big/ \int_{M_N+M_\pi}^{\sqrt{s}-M_N} f(M') \, \mathrm{d}M'$$

Bertsch prescription (nonrelativistic version)

Two nucleons may collide

- when the relative distance is minimum during a time step, $2|\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}| < \mathbf{v}^2 \Delta t$,
- and when the minimum distance is $\mathbf{r}^2 (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 / \mathbf{v}^2 < \sigma / \pi$,

where \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{v} are the relative coordinate and velocity, respectively, at the current time t.

Then the same pair of two particles can collide more than once, as in the second example.



 By the collision, the momenta are changed from the blue to the red arrows. After the collision, the particles are moving away from each other (r·v>0), so they will not collide again.



 After the collision (red arrows), the particles are again approaching to each other (**r** · **v** < 0) and the distance is |**r**| < √σ/π, so they will collide again at a later time.

Several collisions occur **spuriously** when two nucleons come into the scattering distance.

Box simulations

What is the origin of the divergence of the number of NN collisions?

- Due to the difference of the realized states, and/or
- Due to the difference of the procedure for NN collisions.
- \Rightarrow Let's do Box Simulations.



- Simple initialization (T = 0 Fermi)
- No mean field
- $\sigma_{NN} = 40 \text{ mb}$
- Turn off Pauli blocking

We still had large divergence. (~ 30%)

- ⇒ Many codes fixed an issue of the Bertsch prescription.
- ⇒ Much better agreement! (a few %)
- Next (1) Pauli blocking
- Next (2) with mean field
- Next (3) π and Δ in the box

Summary

- Clusters and pions are produced from the same collisions at several hundred MeV/nucleon.
 - AMD with clusters
 - Combining JAM with AMD to predict pions.
- If the cluster correlation is strong, ...
 - Strong influence on the symmetry-energy observables such as the *n/p* ratio and the π⁻/π⁺ ratio (c.f. Ikeno's talk).
 - The expansion dynamics is simple so that some information at an early time may be directly seen in the final observables.
- Transport code comparison is going on.
 - Very recent progresses in box simulations.
- TODO:
 - Threshold in medium for Δ production
 - Box pion simulation by JAM
 - Condition for cluster correlations in medium