### Black Holes in Short GRBs, Macronovae & GW150914

### Kunihito IOKA (Center for Gravitational Physics, YITP, Kyoto U.)





### Contents

### • BH in long-lasting short GRBs

- BH v.s. Neutron star
- BH in macronovae
  - BH v.s. R-process radioactivity
- BH in GWI 50914
  - Galactic BHs as high-energy sources
  - Fermi GBM event

### **Black Hole or Neutron Star?**





5



#### **Short GRBs are Not Short** Short GRB Too rapid decline: BAT **NOT** afterglow **BUT** central engine XRT ~100 s >> Extended emission Chandra **t**<sub>vis</sub> ~ **0.1** s 2x Energy ⇒ Not BH **GRB050724** but NS? $10^{5}$ Magnetar? $10^{4}$ 0.110 100 1000 1 Time since trigger (s) Barthelmy+ 05

### **Plateau Emission**





Merger ejecta fall back much later

# Magnetic Field Topological Evolution

Mass fallback Mass ejection  $\Leftrightarrow$  B<sub>D</sub>-increase  $\Leftrightarrow$  B<sub>D</sub>-decrease

## Black Hole Jet



Blandford-Znajek luminosity  $L_{\rm jet} \propto B_{\rm large-scale poloidal}^2$ Topological evolution of B Initial  $B_p \sim 10^{12} G$ (whatever happens) Final  $B_{b} \sim 10^{12} G$ Mass ejection  $\Leftrightarrow B_{p}$ -increase Fallback  $\Leftrightarrow$  B<sub>D</sub>-decrease

## **Black Hole Jet**



### **Black Hole Jet**



### **Black Hole or Neutron Star?**



# Scattering Plateau X-ray



### Contents

### • BH in long-lasting short GRBs

- BH v.s. Neutron star
- BH in macronovae
  - BH v.s. R-process radioactivity
- BH in GWI 509 I 4
  - Galactic BHs as high-energy sources
  - Fermi GBM event



### **Engine-Powered Macronova?**





## Required Ejecta Mass



## **Cosmic Dust?**

Takami, Nozawa & KI 14



 $\kappa_{geometrical} = \frac{\pi r_{dust}^2}{m_{dust}} \sim \frac{\pi \left(N^{1/3} r_A\right)^2}{Nm} \sim 10^6 N^{-\frac{1}{3}} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  $\frac{\int 2\pi r_{dust}}{\kappa \propto \lambda^{-1}}$ 

## Lightcurve of Dust Model



### Macronova Spectrum 10<sup>-3</sup> **Featureless** flux; $F_{\nu}$ (mJy) even without TH13(9day) T<sub>BB</sub>=2000K broad lines $10^{-4}$ $T_{d,C} = 1800K$ $M_{d,C} = 8 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ Not black-body O∝λ-Ι $10^{-5}$ 20 2 5 0.5 10 1 wavelength $(\mu m)$

Takami, Nozawa & KI 14

### Contents

### • BH in long-lasting short GRBs

- BH v.s. Neutron star
- BH in macronovae
  - BH v.s. R-process radioactivity
- BH in GWI 509 I 4
  - Galactic BHs as high-energy sources
  - Fermi GBM event

## We Did It!

### @YITP midnight



### Champagne

### **GW150914**



 $\sim 10^{-3} c^{5}/G$ 30-350Hz bandpass First at LI 6.9+0.5-0.4ms

25

## GW151226



2016/11/08

Kunihito IOKA

## Galactic BHs

70 Gpc<sup>-3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> ÷ 0.01 galaxy Mpc<sup>-3</sup> × 10<sup>10</sup> yr ~ 70000 Merged BHs/galaxy 2016/11/08

Kunihito IOKA

## Galactic BHs

70 Gpc<sup>-3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> ÷ 0.01 galaxy Mpc<sup>-3</sup> × 10<sup>10</sup> yr ~ 70000 Merged BHs/galaxy

## Old Problem

- Eddington 20's
- Hoyle & Lyttleton 39
- Bondi & Hoyle 44
- Bondi 52
- Zel'dovich 64
- Salperter 64
- Lynden-Bell 69
- Shvartsman 71
- Michel 72
- Shapiro 73
- Shakura & Sunyaev 73
- Meszaros 75
- Ipser & Price 77, 82, 83

- Grindlay+ 78
- Carr 79
- McDowell 85
- Campana & Pardi 93
- Heckler & Kolb 96
- Fujita+ 98
- Popov & Prokhorov 98
- Armitage & Natarajan 99
- Agol & Kamionkowski 02
- Chisholm+ 03
- Barkov+ 12
- Motch & Pakull 12
- Fender+ 13

### GWs put a lower limit on #(spinning BHs)





| ${dN\over d\dot{M}}$ | $= N_{\rm BH} \int dm_1  \frac{dp(m_1)}{dm_1} \int dm_2  \frac{dp(m_2)}{dm_2}$ | $\frac{m_1}{2}\int$    | $dv\frac{d\!f(v)}{dv}$  | $\int dn  \frac{ds}{dt}$ | $\frac{\xi(n)}{dn}$ |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
|                      | $	imes h(m_1,m_2,v)\delta\left[\dot{M}(n,m_1,m_2,v)- ight.$                    | $\left\dot{M} ight] ,$ | Agol & Ka<br>KI+ in pre | mionkowsl<br>p.          | ki 12               |
| B                    | <b>H</b> mass: m <sub>1</sub> : Salpeter, m <sub>2</sub> : Flat, 5M            | $I_{\odot}$ < $m_2$    | <m<sub>1&lt;50</m<sub>  | M <sub>o</sub>           |                     |
| V                    | elocity: Maxwell distribution                                                  |                        |                         |                          |                     |
| +                    | GW recoil + ISM sound velocity                                                 | 6                      |                         |                          |                     |
| D                    | ensity: 5 phases of ISM                                                        |                        |                         |                          |                     |

| Phase            | $n_1  [{\rm cm}^{-3}]$ | $n_2 [{ m cm}^{-3}]$ | eta | $\xi_0$   | $c_s \; [\mathrm{km \; s^{-1}}]$ | $H_d$                 |
|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Molecular clouds | $10^{2}$               | $10^{5}$             | 2.8 | $10^{-3}$ | 10                               | 75 pc                 |
| Cold $H_I$       | 10                     | $10^{2}$             | 3.8 | 0.04      | 10                               | $150~{ m pc}$         |
| Warm $H_I$       | 0.3                    | _                    | _   | 0.35      | 10                               | $0.5 \; \mathrm{kpc}$ |
| Warm $H_{II}$    | 0.15                   | _                    | —   | 0.2       | 10                               | $1 \mathrm{~kpc}$     |
| Hot $H_{II}$     | 0.002                  | _                    | —   | 0.4       | 150                              | $3~{ m kpc}$          |



# Max Acceleration Energy

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Total Power**

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

## TeV Gamma-Ray Sky

HESS 1307.4690

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

b (deg)

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

290

280

![](_page_35_Figure_8.jpeg)

270

260

![](_page_35_Figure_9.jpeg)

(deg) unIDs dominate $TeV <math>\gamma$ -ray sky Spatially extended  $R \sim \theta d \sim 3pc \left(\frac{\theta}{0.2^{\circ}}\right) \left(\frac{d}{kpc}\right)$ 

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Tip of Iceberg**

Gravitational waves X-ray binary Cosmic ray? TeV unID?

## Galactic BHs

## Fermi y-ray Burst Monitor

GBM detectors at 150914 09:50:45.797 +1.024s

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Cold neutral disk No MRI, No accretion Accretion only at merger

Perna+15

## **Dead Disk Evaporation**

### **ISM accretion** ⇒ **Hot disk** ⇒ **Evaporation**

 $\dot{M}_{\text{eva}} \sim 2\pi r^2 n_i v_i m_p \sim 1 \times 10^{15} \,\text{g}\,\text{s}^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{10^{12} \,\text{cm}}\right)^{-5/2} \left(\frac{M}{60M_{\odot}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{n}{1 \,\text{cm}^{-3}}\right)^{5/2} \left(\frac{V}{40 \,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}}\right)^{-9/2} \\ \mathbf{t}_{\text{eva}} \sim 10^6 \,\,\text{yr for M}_{\text{dead disk}} \sim 10^{-5} \,\text{M}_{\odot} \qquad \text{KI+ in prep.}$ 

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

Accretion occurred  $\Rightarrow$  Outflow  $\Rightarrow$  Unbound

### Contents

### • BH in long-lasting short GRBs

- BH v.s. Neutron star
- BH in macronovae
  - BH v.s. R-process radioactivity
- BH in GWI 509 I 4
  - Galactic BHs as high-energy sources
  - Fermi GBM event

# Thank

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Relativistic Shock Breakout**

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Shock Acceleration**

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Relativistic Shock Acceleration

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Relativistic Outflow**

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Early & High-Energy

Kyutoku, KI & Shibata 12

49

![](_page_48_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

FIG. 9. The posterior density on the rate of GW150914-like BBH, LVT151012-like BBH, and GW151226-like BBH mergers. The event based rate is the sum of these. The median and 90% credible levels are given in Table II.

50

LIGO OI 16

# **Really r-Process?**

| Yes?                                                           |                               |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Macronova with GRB 060614?                                     | Yang+ 15                      |
| - M <sub>ejecta</sub> ~0.1M <sub>☉</sub> ⇒ BH-NS?              | lint 14                       |
| Macronova with GRB 050709?                                     | JIII' 10                      |
| $- M_{e_{jecta}} \sim 0.05 M_{\odot}$ , Wind signature?        |                               |
| Deep-sea plutonium <sup>244</sup> Pu (t <sub>1/2</sub> ~81Myr) | Hotokezaka+ 15                |
| • r-process in an ultra-faint dwarf galaxy                     | Ji+ 16                        |
| No?                                                            | •                             |
| Required M <sub>ejecta</sub> is too large?                     | Grossmann+ 14                 |
| • Dust emission?                                               | Takami+ 14<br>Kyutoku & KI 16 |
| • r-process cosmic rays are unreasonably v                     | veak?                         |

Kunihito IOKA

## **External Shock Variability**

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Max Mass of Neutron Star

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Condensation Temperature**

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Dust Yield

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

r-process dusts are not formed

### Carbon dusts are possible!

Iron dusts may be formed but at t>10 days

Takami, Nozawa & KI 14

## Density

Photons diffuse out when  $\rho \kappa_r r \sim \frac{c}{v}$ 

$$t_{\text{diff}} \sim \frac{\ell_{\text{mfp}}}{c} \left(\frac{r}{\ell_{\text{mfp}}}\right)^2 \sim \frac{r^2 \rho \kappa}{c} \qquad \ell_{\text{mfp}} = (\rho \kappa)^{-1}$$
$$t_{dyn} \sim \frac{r}{v}$$

v=0.2c

### Density at ~7 days

$$\rho_e \sim \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma} r_e} \frac{c}{v} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}} \left(\frac{\kappa_{\gamma}}{10 \,\mathrm{cm^2 \, g^{-1}}}\right)^{-1}$$

## **Expected Dust Size**

Once T<T<sub>condense</sub>, colliding dusts stick together

$$\tau_{\rm N} \sim \rho_e \kappa_{\rm N} v_{\rm N} t \sim 200 \left(\frac{\kappa_{\gamma}}{10 \ {\rm cm}^2 \ {\rm g}^{-1}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{N}{12}\right)^{-3/2}$$

$$v_{\rm N} = \sqrt{2k_B T/m_N}, \, \kappa_{\rm N} = \pi r_{\rm N}^2/m_{\rm N}, \, r_{\rm N} = 10^{-8} \, {\rm cm}, \, \, m_{\rm N} = N m_p$$

If  $\kappa \sim 0.1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$  without r-process, the density can be large

$$\tau_{\rm N} \sim \rho_e \kappa_{\rm N} v_{\rm N} t \sim 20000 \left( \frac{\kappa_{\gamma}}{0.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{N}{12} \right)^{-3/2}$$

Heavy elements are difficult to form dusts

2016/11/08

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

Kunihito IOKA

Takami, Nozawa & KI 14

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 3. Inferred peculiar velocity as a function of black hole mass. Black points denote low-mass X-ray binaries, and the red point represents the high-mass X-ray binary Cygnus X-1. A larger sample is required to make robust inferences about any potential correlation between black hole (or companion) mass and natal kicks. Miller-Jones 14

59

### Swift/XRT data of GRB 160821B

![](_page_59_Figure_3.jpeg)