

Muon Electric Dipole Moment as a Probe of Flavor-Diagonal CP Violation

Yoshihiro Shigekami (TDLI)

with Yuichiro Nakai (TDLI & SPA, SJTU) Ryosuke Sato (Osaka U.)

Based on PLB831(2022)137194 [2204.03183]

基研研究会素粒子物理学の進展 2022 (8月29日 ~ 9月2日)

• Muon anomalous magnetic moment (muon g-2)



Muon g-2 collab., <u>PRL126(2021)141801</u>

• The same diagrams will have contributions to CP violating processes → electric dipole moment (EDM)

$$\mathcal{H} = -\mu \frac{\mathbf{S}}{|\mathbf{S}|} \cdot \mathbf{B} - d \frac{\mathbf{S}}{|\mathbf{S}|} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

$$\overset{\mathbf{N}}{\longrightarrow} \text{Relativistic}$$

$$\overset{\mathbf{Time reversal: } \mathbf{S} \to -\mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{B} \to -\mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{E} \to +\mathbf{E}}{\text{Parity: } \mathbf{S} \to +\mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{B} \to +\mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{E} \to -\mathbf{E}}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -a_{\mu} \frac{e}{4m_{\mu}} (\bar{\mu}\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\mu) F_{\alpha\beta} - d_{\mu} \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\mu}\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_{5}\mu) F_{\alpha\beta}$$
• EDM (d_{μ}): same type of operator as g -2

if model has CP violating source, EDM is predicted!

• Lepton EDMs constrain models with CPV

• Experimental status of EDMs

electron Severe! muon $d_e < 1.1 \times 10^{-29} e \,\mathrm{cm} \, (90\% \,\mathrm{C.L.}) \quad d_\mu < 1.8 \times 10^{-19} e \,\mathrm{cm} \, (95\% \,\mathrm{C.L.})$ ACME collab., Nature 562 (2018) 355 Muon (g-2) collab., PRD80(2009)052008

Indirect bounds

- Minimal flavor violation $\frac{\text{NPB292(1987)93, PRL65(1990)2939,}}{\text{PLB500(2001)161, NPB645(2002)155, JHEP08(2014)019}}$ $|d_{\mu}| = \left|\frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{e}}d_{e}\right| < 2.3 \times 10^{-27} e \text{ cm} \rightarrow \text{Severe constraint for MFV models}$

- EDM of heavy atoms PRL128(2022)131803, arXiv:2207.01679 $|d_{\mu}| < 1.7 \times 10^{-20} e \, \mathrm{cm} \qquad \rightarrow \text{One order smaller than direct bound}$

• Future prospects

	$ d_{\mu} [e \mathrm{cm}]$	Ref.	
Fermilab $(g-2)$ exp.	10^{-21}	[1]	 [1] EPJ Web Conf. 118 (2016) 01005 [2] PTEP2019(2019)5, 053C02
J-PARC	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-21})$	[2]	[3] <u>2102.08838 [hep-ex]</u>
\mathbf{PSI}	6×10^{-23}	[3-5]	[4] <u>2201.06561 [hep-ex]</u> [5] PoS NuFact2021 (2022) 136
J-PARC (dedicated exp.)	10^{-24}	[6]	[6] <u>PRL93(2004)052001</u>

Muon EDM is also important obs. for NP search!

Note: similar contributions to muon g-2 and EDM predict

$$|d_{\mu}| \sim \frac{e}{2m_{\mu}} \Delta a_{\mu} \sim 2.34 \times 10^{-22} \, e \, \mathrm{cm}$$

- What kind of models can approach muon EDM?
 - flavor off-diagonal CPV

PRD82(2010)093015, PRD94(2016)055019, JHEP06(2019)142, JHEP01(2022)092

✓ No muon mass suppression, large d_{μ} will be predicted

It may suffer from severe LFV constraints...

- ✓ muon EDM is not unique probe of the model
- flavor diagonal CPV (free from LFV!)
 - ✓ d_{μ} will be small, may not have enough sensitivity...

> There is interesting discussion on *g*-2 and EDM of muon

e.g.) PRD98(2018)113002

This is our main focus!

Note: still, no simple model without ad hoc assumptions

• We give a complete benchmark model for muon EDM

muon specific two Higgs doublet model (2HDM)

- > One of the solutions of the muon g-2 anomaly
- CP violation \rightarrow scalar potential

$$V_{\Phi} \supset -m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \text{h.c.}$$
 complex parameters

Relative phase is physical

Abe, Sato, Yagyu, <u>JHEP07(2017)012</u>

• This model can suppress electron EDM, but give contributions to muon EDM

 \rightarrow enough size of muon EDM!

$$|d_{\mu}| \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-23}) \, e \, \mathrm{cm}$$

• Muon specific 2HDM Ref: Abe, Sato, Yagyu, JHEP07(2017)012



• Yukawa couplings:

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = -\bar{q}_L \widetilde{\Phi}_2 Y_u u_R - \bar{q}_L \Phi_2 Y_d d_R - \sum_{E=e,\tau} y_E \bar{\ell}_L^E \Phi_2 E_R - y_\mu \bar{\ell}_L^\mu \Phi_1 \mu_R + \text{h.c.}$$

Note: $\bar{\ell}_L^e \Phi_2 \tau_R$, $\bar{\ell}_L^{\tau} \Phi_2 e_R$ is allowed, but can take zero by field rotations

 $V_{\Phi} = m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \left[m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.} \right] + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \lambda_3 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) + \lambda_4 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) + \left[\frac{\lambda_5}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right]$

 $\checkmark m_{12}^2, \lambda_5$ can be complex, relative phase is physical one

• Potential analysis is 2HDM with CP violation

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{minimization conditions:} \quad \langle \Phi_{\alpha}^{0} \rangle = v_{\alpha}, \tan \beta = v_{2}/v_{1}, v^{2} = v_{1}^{2} + v_{2}^{2} \\ \lambda_{345} \equiv \lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda_{5} \end{array}$ $\operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5} = \frac{2}{s_{\beta}c_{\beta}} \frac{\operatorname{Im}m_{12}^{2}}{v^{2}} \qquad \lambda_{345} \equiv \lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda_{5} \\ m_{11}^{2} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1}v^{2}c_{\beta}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{345}v^{2}s_{\beta}^{2} + \operatorname{Re}m_{12}^{2}t_{\beta} \\ m_{22}^{2} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2}v^{2}s_{\beta}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{345}v^{2}c_{\beta}^{2} + \operatorname{Re}m_{12}^{2}t_{\beta} \\ m_{22}^{2} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2}v^{2}s_{\beta}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{345}v^{2}c_{\beta}^{2} + \operatorname{Re}m_{12}^{2}t_{\beta} \end{array}$ In this model, we can take large tan \$\beta\$ (\$\sim\$ O(1000)!)

Y. Shigekami (TDLI)

• Mass matrix for neutral scalar (1 NG mode)

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{h}} & \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}} & \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}} & \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{A}} & 0 \\ \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{h}} & M^{2} + \delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{H}} & \delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{A}} & 0 \\ \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{A}} & \delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{A}} & M^{2} + \delta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad M^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{s_{\beta}c_{\beta}} \operatorname{Rem}_{12}^{2}$$

$$\delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{A}}, \delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{A}} \propto \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5}$$

$$M^{2} + \delta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{A}}, \delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{A}} \propto \operatorname{Im}\lambda_{5}$$

$$For later convenience, we define$$

$$R^{T}\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{2}R = \operatorname{diag}(m_{h}^{2}, m_{H_{1}}^{2}, m_{H_{2}}^{2}, 0)$$

$$SM \operatorname{Higgs mass}$$

$$M^{2} \equiv m_{H_{2}}^{2} - m_{H_{1}}^{2}$$

• (Physical) Charged Higgs mass

$$m_{H^{\pm}}^2 = M^2 - \frac{v^2}{2}(\lambda_4 + \text{Re}\lambda_5) \equiv m_{H_1}^2 + \Delta m_{\pm}^2$$

1

• Higgs quartic couplings → related to theoretical conditions.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{parameterize } M^2 \equiv m_H^2 + s_\theta^2 \Delta m_H^2 - 2 \frac{\delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}}}{t_\beta} - v^2 \frac{X}{t_\beta^2} \\ \lambda_1 v^2 \simeq m_h^2 + X v^2 \\ \lambda_2 v^2 \simeq m_h^2 \\ \lambda_3 v^2 \simeq m_h^2 - 2 s_\theta^2 \Delta m_H^2 + 2 \Delta m_{\pm}^2 - \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}} t_\beta \\ \lambda_4 v^2 \simeq \Delta m_H^2 - 2 \Delta m_{\pm}^2 \\ \text{Re} \lambda_5 v^2 \simeq -c_{2\theta} \Delta m_H^2 \\ \text{Im} \lambda_5 v^2 \simeq s_{2\theta} \Delta m_H^2 \end{array}$$
Rewritten Reverse of the model of the model

 θ : related to CP violating parameter $\theta = 0 \rightarrow CP$ conserving limit

$$m_H^2, \, \Delta m_H^2, \, \Delta m_{\pm}^2, \, t_{\beta}, \, \theta, \, X, \, \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}}$$

• Muon physics \rightarrow dominated by heavy neutral scalars, $H_{1,2}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{g-2} \\ \Delta a_{\mu} \simeq \frac{m_{\mu}^{4}}{8\pi^{2}v^{2}} \frac{\Delta m_{H}^{2}}{m_{H}^{4}} t_{\beta}^{2} c_{2\theta} \log\left(\frac{m_{H}^{2}}{m_{\mu}^{2}}\right) \quad d_{\mu} \simeq -\frac{e \, m_{\mu}^{3}}{32\pi^{2}v^{2}} \frac{\Delta m_{H}^{2}}{m_{H}^{4}} t_{\beta}^{2} s_{2\theta} \log\left(\frac{m_{H}^{2}}{m_{\mu}^{2}}\right) \end{array}$$

- Both enhance large tan β and Δm_{H}^{2}
- But different dependence of θ $\begin{cases} \theta \to 0 \text{ (or } \pi/2): \Delta a_{\mu} \searrow, d_{\mu} \searrow \text{ (CP conserving limit)} \\ \theta \to \pi/4: \qquad \Delta a_{\mu} \searrow, d_{\mu} \swarrow \text{ (maximal CP violation)} \end{cases}$

 $\theta \rightarrow \pi/8$ ($s_{\theta} \rightarrow 0.35$) will be important both for Δa_{μ} and d_{μ}

• Parameter scan strategy – we have 7 parameters

 $m_{H}^{2}, \ \Delta m_{H}^{2}, \ \Delta m_{\pm}^{2}, \ t_{\beta}, \ \theta, X, \ \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}}$ We can optimize these: $\begin{cases} -\frac{m_{h}^{2}}{v^{2}} < X \lesssim 10 \\ |\delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}}| \lesssim 10 \times \frac{v^{2}}{t_{\beta}} \end{cases}$

Sub-dominant for Δa_{μ} , we can fix it as $\Delta m_{\pm}^2 = \Delta m_H^2/2 \Rightarrow \lambda_4 \simeq 0$

Higher cutoff Λ_{cutoff} can be obtained!

satisfy all perturbative unitarity and vacuum stability conditions at this scale

- We focus on $m_H \ge 650$ GeV to avoid LHC constraint Abe, Sato, Yagyu, JHEP07(2017)012
- Find parameter space for $(s_{\theta}, \Delta m_{H})$ and $(s_{\theta}, \tan\beta)$ planes we assume $\Lambda_{\text{cutoff}} \ge 10$ TeV is viable parameter space

Y. Shigekami (TDLI)

Results: $tan\beta = 3500$

Note: $\Delta m_H \equiv \sqrt{m_{H_2}^2 - m_{H_1}^2}$



Results: $\Delta m_H = 300 \text{ GeV}$

Note: $\Delta m_H \equiv \sqrt{m_{H_2}^2 - m_{H_1}^2}$



Interesting parameter space: $s_{\theta} \sim 0.35$, tan $\beta \sim 3700$

Y. Shigekami (TDLI)

Muon Electric Dipole Moment as a Probe of Flavor-Diagonal CP Violation

Conclusion and discussion

- We focus on flavor-diagonal CP violation of the muon one benchmark: <u>muon specific 2HDM</u>
- We find viable parameter space for Δa_{μ} with large d_{μ}

$$\Delta m_H \sim 320 \,\text{GeV}, \ t_\beta \sim 3700, \ s_\theta \sim 0.35 \text{ for } m_H = 650 \,\text{GeV}$$

 $\to m_{H_2} - m_{H_1} \sim 74 \,\text{GeV}$

- LHC can also explore our viable parameter space: $\Delta a_{\mu}, |d_{\mu}| \propto rac{1}{m_{\pi\pi}^4}$
- Δa_{μ} will be small (due to Lattice HVP), S. Borsanyi, et. al., <u>Nature 593 (2021) 51</u> and more heavy m_{H} is allowed: $m_{H} \simeq 760 \,\mathrm{GeV}$

we can choose $s_{\theta} \sim 0.7$ to suppress Δa_{μ} which enhances $d_{\mu}!$

The muon EDM may have important key for the NP!

Y. Shigekami (TDLI)

Muon Electric Dipole Moment as a Probe of Flavor-Diagonal CP Violation

Back up

$$V_{\Phi} = m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \left[m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.} \right] + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \lambda_3 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) + \lambda_4 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) + \left[\frac{\lambda_5}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

• Mass matrix elements of neutral scalars: $\delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{h}} \equiv \lambda_1 v^2 c_{\beta}^4 + \lambda_2 v^2 s_{\beta}^4 + 2\lambda_{345} v^2 s_{\beta}^2 c_{\beta}^2, \qquad \langle \Phi_{\alpha}^0 \rangle = v_{\alpha}, \tan \beta = v_2/v_1, v^2 = v_1^2 + v_2^2$ $\delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}} \equiv -(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{345}) v^2 s_{\beta} c_{\beta}^3 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_{345}) v^2 s_{\beta}^3 c_{\beta}, \qquad \lambda_{345} \equiv \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \operatorname{Re}\lambda_5$ $\delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{H}} \equiv (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - 2\lambda_{345}) v^2 s_{\beta}^2 c_{\beta}^2, \qquad \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{A}} \equiv -\operatorname{Im}\lambda_5 v^2 s_{\beta} c_{\beta}, \qquad \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{A}} \equiv -\operatorname{Im}\lambda_5 v^2 s_{\beta} c_{\beta}, \qquad \delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{A}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (-c_{\beta}^2 + s_{\beta}^2) \operatorname{Im}\lambda_5 v^2, \qquad \Rightarrow 0 \text{ for CP conserving models}$

 $\delta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{A}} \equiv -{
m Re}\lambda_5 v^2$. \rightarrow CP-odd scalar mass for CP conserving models

$$V_{\Phi} = m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \left[m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.} \right] + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \lambda_3 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) + \lambda_4 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) + \left[\frac{\lambda_5}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

• We consider $M^2 > \delta \sim v^2$

mass matrix is approximately diagonalized:

 $R^T \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^2 R = \operatorname{diag}(m_h^2, m_{H_1}^2, m_{H_2}^2, 0) \rightarrow \operatorname{diagonalizing\ matrix}: R \equiv R_2 R_3$

$$R_{2} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}}/M^{2} & \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{A}}/M^{2} & 0\\ -\delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}}/M^{2} & 1 & 0 & 0\\ -\delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{A}}/M^{2} & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, R_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & c_{\theta} & s_{\theta} & 0\\ 0 & -s_{\theta} & c_{\theta} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Mass eigenvalues:
$$\begin{cases} m_{h}^{2} = \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{h}} + \mathcal{O}(1/M^{2}), \\ m_{H_{1}}^{2} = M^{2} + \delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{H}}c_{\theta}^{2} - 2\delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{A}}s_{\theta}c_{\theta} + \delta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{A}}s_{\theta}^{2} + \mathcal{O}(1/M^{2}), \\ m_{H_{2}}^{2} = M^{2} + \delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{H}}s_{\theta}^{2} + 2\delta_{\tilde{H}\tilde{A}}s_{\theta}c_{\theta} + \delta_{\tilde{A}\tilde{A}}c_{\theta}^{2} + \mathcal{O}(1/M^{2}). \end{cases}$$

Y. Shigekami (TDLI)

Muon Electric Dipole Moment as a Probe of Flavor-Diagonal CP Violation

$$V_{\Phi} = m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \left[m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.} \right] + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \lambda_3 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) + \lambda_4 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) + \left[\frac{\lambda_5}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

• Expressions for λ_i in $M^2 > \delta$ and large $\tan\beta$ limit $\lambda_1 v^2 \simeq m_h^2 + (m_H^2 + s_\theta^2 \Delta m_H^2 - M^2) t_\beta^2 - 2\delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}} t_\beta$, $\lambda_2 v^2 \simeq m_h^2 + (m_H^2 + s_\theta^2 \Delta m_H^2 - M^2) t_\beta^{-2} + 2\delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}} t_\beta^{-1}$, $\lambda_3 v^2 \simeq m_h^2 - m_H^2 - s_\theta^2 \Delta m_H^2 - M^2 + 2m_{H^{\pm}}^2 + \delta_{\tilde{h}\tilde{H}} \left(t_\beta^{-1} - t_\beta \right)$, $\lambda_4 v^2 \simeq M^2 + m_H^2 + c_\theta^2 \Delta m_H^2 - 2m_{H^{\pm}}^2$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_5 v^2 \simeq M^2 - m_H^2 - c_\theta^2 \Delta m_H^2$, $\operatorname{Im}\lambda_4 v^2 \simeq M^2 - m_H^2 - \Delta m_H^2$

 $\mathrm{Im}\lambda_5 v^2 \simeq -\frac{s_{2 heta}}{c_\beta^2 - s_\beta^2} \Delta m_H^2$. M^2 is chosen so that λ_1 becomes O(1) in large tan β limit

Y. Shigekami (TDLI)

$$V_{\Phi} = m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \left[m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.} \right] + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \lambda_3 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) + \lambda_4 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) + \left[\frac{\lambda_5}{2} \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

Theoretical conditions

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{Vacuum stability} & \lambda_1 > 0 \,, & \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} + \lambda_3 > 0 \,, \\ & \lambda_2 > 0 \,, & \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - |\lambda_5| > 0 \,. \end{array} \\ \hline \text{Perturbative unitarity} & \left| \frac{3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \pm \sqrt{9(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 + 4(2\lambda_3 + \lambda_4)^2}}{2} \right| < 8\pi \,, & \left| \lambda_3 + 2\lambda_4 \pm |\lambda_5| \right| < 8\pi \,, \\ & \left| \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \pm \sqrt{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 + 4\lambda_4^2}}{2} \right| < 8\pi \,, & \left| \lambda_3 \pm \lambda_4 \right| < 8\pi \,, \\ & \left| \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \pm \sqrt{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 + 4|\lambda_5|^2}}{2} \right| < 8\pi \,, & \left| \lambda_3 \pm |\lambda_5| \right| < 8\pi \,. \end{array}$$

Y. Shigekami (TDLI)

Muon Electric Dipole Moment as a Probe of Flavor-Diagonal CP Violation

• 1-loop RGEs for dimensionless couplings $\mu \frac{d}{d\mu}c = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\beta_c$

 \rightarrow relevant couplings: g_i , λ_i , y_t , y_u $\beta_{q_1} \simeq +7g_1^3, \qquad \beta_{q_2} \simeq -3g_2^3, \qquad \beta_{q_3} \simeq -7g_3^3,$ $\beta_{\lambda_1} \simeq +\frac{3}{4}g_1^4 + \frac{3}{2}g_1^2g_2^2 + \frac{9}{4}g_2^4 - 3g_1^2\lambda_1 - 9g_2^2\lambda_1 + 12\lambda_1^2 + 4\lambda_3^2 + 4\lambda_3\lambda_4 + 2\lambda_4^2 + 2|\lambda_5|^2 + 4\lambda_1y_\mu^2 - 4y_\mu^4,$ $\beta_{\lambda_2} \simeq +\frac{3}{4}g_1^4 + \frac{3}{2}g_1^2g_2^2 + \frac{9}{4}g_2^4 - 3g_1^2\lambda_2 - 9g_2^2\lambda_2 + 12\lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2 + 4\lambda_3\lambda_4 + 2\lambda_4^2 + 2|\lambda_5|^2 + 12\lambda_2y_t^2 - 12y_t^4,$ $\beta_{\lambda_3} \simeq +\frac{3}{4}g_1^4 - \frac{3}{2}g_1^2g_2^2 + \frac{9}{4}g_2^4 + (2y_\mu^2 + 6y_t^2 - 3g_1^2 - 9g_2^2 + 6\lambda_1 + 6\lambda_2 + 4\lambda_3)\lambda_3 + 2\lambda_1\lambda_4 + 2\lambda_2\lambda_4 + 2\lambda_4^2 + 2|\lambda_5|^2,$ $\beta_{\lambda_4} \simeq +3g_1^2 g_2^2 + 8|\lambda_5|^2 + (2y_u^2 + 6y_t^2 - 3g_1^2 - 9g_2^2 + 2\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + 8\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_4)\lambda_4 \,,$ $\beta_{\lambda_5} \simeq + (2y_{\mu}^2 + 6y_t^2 - 3g_1^2 - 9g_2^2 + 2\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + 8\lambda_3 + 12\lambda_4)\lambda_5 \,,$ $\beta_{y_t} \simeq +\frac{9}{2}y_t^3 + \left(-\frac{17}{12}g_1^2 - \frac{9}{4}g_2^2 - 8g_3^2\right)y_t \,, \qquad \beta_{y_\mu} \simeq +\frac{5}{2}y_\mu^3 - \frac{3}{4}\left(5g_1^2 + 3g_2^2\right)y_\mu \,.$ • We have large y_{μ} : $y_{\mu} = \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\mu}}{v}\sqrt{1+t_{\beta^2}} \simeq 0.6 \times \left(\frac{t_{\beta}}{1000}\right)$

Y. Shigekami (TDLI)

1-loop integrals for Δa_{μ} and d_{μ}

• Neutral scalar contribution

$$\Delta a_{\mu}: I_{S}(r) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{x^{2}(2-x)}{rx^{2}-x+1} \quad \text{(CP-even)}$$
$$I_{P}(r) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{-x^{3}}{rx^{2}-x+1} \quad \text{(CP-odd)}$$

$$d_{\mu}: f_0(r) \equiv \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^2}{rx^2 - x + 1}$$

Charged scalar contribution

$$\Delta a_{\mu}: \quad I_C(r) \equiv \int_0^1 dx \frac{-x(1-x)}{rx+1-r}$$

2-loop contribution to d_{μ}

• Dominant one: Barr-Zee type diagram with muon loop

T-parameter Peskin and Takeuchi, PRL65(1990)964; PRD46(1992)381

•
$$ho$$
-parameter: $ho=rac{m_W^2}{m_Z^2\cos heta_W^2}$ (= 1 in the SM)

- NP contributions deviate it from 1: $\Delta \rho = \alpha T$ experimental status (PDG): $|T| \lesssim 0.2$
- In 2HDM, mass differences among $H_{1,2}$ and H^+ are crucial

Grimus, Lavoura, Ogreid, Osland, J.Phys.G35(2008)075001; NPB801(2008)81

• In our model, Δm_H is enough small to satisfy e.g.) $m_H = 650 \text{ GeV}$ with $\Delta m_H = 320 \text{ GeV}$ and $s_{\theta} = 0.35$ $\rightarrow T = -0.03$

$$h \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$
 decay

• Yukawa interaction in mass basis for scalars:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\text{int}} &= -\sum_{f \neq \mu} \frac{m_{f}}{v} \left[\left(R_{1i} + \frac{R_{2i}}{t_{\beta}} \right) \bar{f}f + is_{f} \frac{R_{3i}}{t_{\beta}} \bar{f}\gamma_{5}f \right] \phi_{i} - \frac{m_{\mu}}{v} \left[\left(R_{1i} - R_{2i}t_{\beta} \right) \bar{\mu}\mu - iR_{3i}t_{\beta}\bar{\mu}\gamma_{5}\mu \right] \phi_{i} \\ &+ \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{vt_{\beta}} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \bar{u}^{a} \left(m_{d^{a}}P_{R} - m_{u^{a}}P_{L} \right) d^{a}H^{+} \left[\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} t_{\beta}m_{\mu}\bar{\nu}_{\mu}P_{R}\mu - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{vt_{\beta}} \sum_{\ell \neq \mu} m_{\ell}\bar{\nu}_{\ell}P_{R}\ell \right] H^{+} + \text{h.c.} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

• Large tan limit, $h-\mu-\mu$ coupling may be large

current experimental status (95% C.L.):

 $0.61 < |\kappa_{\mu}| < 1.44$ (CMS)

$$|\kappa_{\mu}| < 1.47$$
 (ATLAS)

ATLAS collab., <u>PLB812(2021)135980</u> CMS collab., <u>JHEP01(2021)148</u>

Muon Electric Dipole Moment as a Probe of Flavor-Diagonal CP Violation

Lattice results on HVP

• Result: $a_{\mu}^{\text{LO-HVP}} = 707.5(2.3)(5.0) \times 10^{-10}$



Lattice results on HVP

• Result: $a_{\mu}^{\text{LO-HVP}} = 707.5(2.3)(5.0) \times 10^{-10}$



Figure from talk of L. Lellouch (Wits ICPP iThemba Labs seminar)