Gravitational Positivity Bounds on Dark Gauge Bosons

Sota Sato

Kobe University

Katsuki Aoki(YITP), Toshifumi Noumi(UTokyo), Ryo Saito(Yamaguchi U), S.S, Satoshi Shirai(IPMU), Junsei Tokuda(IBS), Masahito Yamazaki(IPMU) arXiv: 2305.10058 [hep-th]

Introduction

- Unitarity of scattering amplitudes impose strong constraints on gravitational theories (Gravitational Positivity Bounds)
- Application of gravitational positivity bounds to gauge boson models
- We find that gravitational positivity bounds put constraints on **gauge coupling** and **gauge boson mass**

Outline

- Formulation of positivity bound
- Application to U(1) gauge boson
 - Bounds on Abelian Higgs model
 - Bounds on Stueckelberg model

Positivity Bound w/o gravity

Effective field Theory (EFT)

• Many phenomenological models are **Effective Field Theories(EFTs)**: Effective descriptions @ low energy scale



- EFT parameters are accessible experimentally (bottom-up viewpoint)
- EFT parameters reflect information of UV theory (top-down viewpoint)

Effective field Theory (EFT)

• Many phenomenological models are **Effective Field Theories(EFTs)**: Effective descriptions @ low energy scale



• EFT parameters reflect information of UV theory (top-down viewpoint)

Analytic structure of scattering amplitude

- Structure of $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering amplitude $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$ followed from general property of the theory is essential for the derivation of positivity bounds
- Consider analytic structure of $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$ in complex s-plane
 - **Causality** $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}(s, t)$ is analytic except for real axis (analyticity) Hepp, '63
 - Unitarity \rightarrow In the forward limit $t \rightarrow 0$, Im $\mathcal{M}(s, 0) > 0$ (optical theorem)



Positivity bound

- Consider low energy expansion of amplitude $\mathcal{M}(s,0) = c_0 + c_1 s + c_2 s^2 + \cdots$
- c_2 is equal to the integral of $\text{Im}\mathcal{M}(s,0) \Rightarrow c_2 > 0$



Improved positivity bound

• If EFT is valid below Λ , integral of Im $\mathcal{M}(s,0)$ is calculable up to Λ^2

$$c_{2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int^{\Lambda^{2}} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s', 0)}{s'^{3}} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s', 0)}{s'^{3}}$$
Calculable
$$\overbrace{-\Lambda^{2}}^{IS} \qquad \overbrace{\Lambda^{2}}^{IS} \qquad \overbrace{Deform \\ contour}^{IS} \qquad \overbrace{=}^{IS} \ \overbrace{I}^{IS} \ \overbrace{=}^{IS} \ \overbrace{=}^{IS} \ \overbrace{=}^{IS} \ \overbrace{=}^{IS} \ \overbrace{I}^{I$$

Improved positivity bound

• If EFT is valid below Λ , integral of Im $\mathcal{M}(s,0)$ is calculable up to Λ^2

$$c_{2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int^{\Lambda^{2}} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s', 0)}{s'^{3}} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s', 0)}{s'^{3}}$$
Calculable
• Bound is more stringent
• Deform
• Dependence on Λ (~ Limits of validity of EFT)

Improved positivity bound Bellazini '16, de Rham+ '17
$$B^{(2)}(\Lambda) := c_2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \int^{\Lambda^2} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s',0)}{s'^3} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\Lambda^2}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s',0)}{s'^3} > 0$$

Gravitational theory as EFT

- Einstein gravity is not UV complete
 → It is EFT = low energy description of quantum gravity
- What is positivity bound on gravitational theory which reflects unitarity of quantum gravity?



Gravitational theory as EFT

- Einstein gravity is not UV complete
 → It is EFT = low energy description of quantum gravity
- What is positivity bound on gravitational theory which reflects unitarity of quantum gravity?



Technical problem with gravity

- Positivity bound w/ gravity: non-trivial consistency condition with quantum gravity (relation with swampland program)
- Technical problem due to massless spin-2 particle i.e. graviton: Divergence in the forward limit

• Additional assumptions on high energy behavior of scattering amplitude to remove the divergence in the forward limit

Assumption(1) Im $\mathcal{M}(s,t) \sim f(t) \left(\frac{\alpha' s}{4}\right)^{2+j(t)}$ for $s > M_*^2$ Assumption(2) $\left|\frac{f'}{f}\right|, \left|\frac{j''}{j'}\right|, |j'| \ll \frac{1}{\Lambda^2}$

Regge behavior at the high energy Cancel out the divergent term

• Positivity bound holds approximately:

Gravitational positivity bound Tokuda, Aoki, Hirano '20 $B^{(2)}(\Lambda) := c_2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \int^{\Lambda^2} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s', 0)}{s^3} \gtrsim 0$

• Additional assumptions on high energy behavior of scattering amplitude to remove the divergence in the forward limit

Assumption(1) Im
$$\mathcal{M}(s,t) \sim f(t) \left(\frac{\alpha' s}{4}\right)^{2+j(t)}$$
 for $s > M_*^2$
$$B^{(2)}(\Lambda) > \frac{1}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \left[\frac{f'}{f} + j' \ln\left(\frac{\alpha' M_*^2}{4}\right) - \frac{j''}{j'}\right]$$

The remaining term

Regge behavior at the high energy Cancel out the divergent term

Gravitational positivity bound Tokuda, Aoki, Hirano '20 $B^{(2)}(\Lambda) := c_2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \int^{\Lambda^2} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s', 0)}{s^3} \gtrsim 0$

• Additional assumptions on high energy behavior of scattering amplitude to remove the divergence in the forward limit

Assumption(1) Im
$$\mathcal{M}(s,t) \sim f(t) \left(\frac{\alpha' s}{4}\right)^{2+j(t)}$$
 for $s > M_*^2$

Regge behavior at the high energy Cancel out the divergent term

The remaining term is small

• Positivity bound holds approximately:

Assumption(2) $\left|\frac{f'}{f}\right|, \left|\frac{j''}{j'}\right|, |j'| \ll \frac{1}{\Lambda^2}$

Gravitational positivity bound Tokuda, Aoki, Hirano '20 $B^{(2)}(\Lambda) := c_2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \int^{\Lambda^2} ds' \frac{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(s', 0)}{s^3} \gtrsim 0$

Structure of $B^{(2)}(\Lambda)$

$$B^{(2)}(\Lambda) = B^{(2)}_{\text{non-grav}}(\Lambda) - \left| B^{(2)}_{\text{grav}}(\Lambda) \right|$$

"non-gravitational part" = no graviton exchange, positive

$$V \longrightarrow \phi$$

"gravitational part"

= graviton exchange diagram, negative



Implication of gravitational positivity bound

Non-gravitational interaction is bounded below by gravitational interaction
 → gravity should be weak!

$$B_{
m non-grav}^{(2)}(\Lambda) > \left| B_{
m grav}^{(2)}(\Lambda) \right|$$

- Positivity bounds gives weak gravity conjecture-like constraints on gravitational EFT Cheung+ '14, Hamada+ '18, Tolley+ '20
- What are implications for various models? useful for phenomenology?

 \rightarrow This work: Positivity bounds on U(1) gauge boson models

Application to U(1) Gauge Boson

- Application to Higgs gauge theory
- Application to Stueckelberg gauge theory

Abelian Higgs model

- Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L}_{AH} = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 + |D_\mu\Phi|^2 \frac{\lambda}{4}(|\Phi|^2 v^2)^2$ $F = \partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu \qquad D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig_\Phi V_\mu$
- Three independent parameters: m_V , m_{Φ} , g_{Φ}

• We consider the simple model: Abelian Higgs + gravity

$$S = S_{AH} + S_{Einstein-Hilbert}$$

Application of positivity

- Calculate scattering of gauge bosons @ 1-loop
- Gauge bosons have Transverse mode & Longitudinal mode



Bounds on Abelian Higgs model

$$TT \qquad \frac{g_{\Phi}^{4}}{4\pi^{2}\Lambda^{4}} - \frac{g_{\Phi}^{2}}{72\pi^{2}M_{Pl}^{2}m_{\Phi}^{2}} > 0 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad m_{\Phi} > \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{3\sqrt{2}g_{\Phi}M_{Pl}}$$
Lower bound on Higgs mass
$$TL \qquad \frac{g_{\Phi}^{4}}{2\pi^{2}\Lambda^{4}} - \frac{g_{\Phi}^{2}}{144\pi^{2}M_{Pl}^{2}m_{V}^{2}} > 0 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad m_{V} > \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{6\sqrt{2}g_{\Phi}M_{Pl}}$$
Lower bound on gauge boson mass
$$LL \qquad \frac{g_{\Phi}^{4}}{\pi^{2}\Lambda^{2}m_{V}^{2}} - \frac{g_{\Phi}^{2}}{72\pi^{2}M_{Pl}^{2}m_{V}^{2}} > 0 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad g_{\Phi} > \frac{\Lambda}{6\sqrt{2}M_{Pl}}$$
Lower bound on gauge coupling
non-gravitational
gravitational

Bounds on Abelian Higgs model

• Bounds from TL scattering are the most stringent



Stueckelberg + Fermion

• Lagrangian:
$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2V^2 + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi - m_F\bar{\psi}\psi$$

 $F = \partial_{\mu}V_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}V_{\mu}$ $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig_FV_{\mu}$

Gauge boson acquires mass by Stueckelberg mechanism



Bounds on Stuckelberg + Fermion

$$TT \quad \frac{g_F^4(2\log\frac{\Lambda^2}{m_F^2}+1)}{4\pi^2\Lambda^4} - \frac{11g_F^2}{360\pi^2M_{Pl}^2m_F^2} > 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad g_F > 0.2 \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_F M_{Pl}\sqrt{\log(\Lambda m_F^{-1})}}$$
Lower bound on gauge coupling
$$TL \quad \frac{4g_F^4m_V^2}{3\pi^2\Lambda^6} - \frac{11g_F^2}{720\pi^2M_{Pl}^2m_F^2} > 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad m_V > 0.1 \frac{\Lambda^3}{g_F m_F M_{Pl}}$$
Lower bound on gauge boson mass
$$LL \quad \frac{g_F^4m_V^4(4\log\frac{\Lambda^2}{m_F^2}+7)}{\pi^2\Lambda^8} - \frac{g_\Phi^2m_V^2}{420\pi^2M_{Pl}^2m_F^4} > 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad m_V > 0.02 \frac{\Lambda^4}{g_F m_F^2 M_{Pl}\sqrt{\log(\Lambda m_F^{-1})}}$$
Lower bound on gauge boson mass
$$m_V > 0.02 \frac{\Lambda^4}{g_F m_F^2 M_{Pl}\sqrt{\log(\Lambda m_F^{-1})}}$$
Lower bound on gauge boson mass
$$M_V > 0.02 \frac{\Lambda^4}{g_F m_F^2 M_{Pl}\sqrt{\log(\Lambda m_F^{-1})}}$$
Lower bound on gauge boson mass
$$M_V > 0.02 \frac{\Lambda^4}{g_F m_F^2 M_{Pl}\sqrt{\log(\Lambda m_F^{-1})}}$$
Lower bound on gauge boson mass
$$M_V > 0.02 \frac{\Lambda^4}{g_F m_F^2 M_{Pl}\sqrt{\log(\Lambda m_F^{-1})}}$$

Comparison with other QG constraints

 Positivity bounds are stronger than other quantum gravity constraints for light vector boson



Implication to $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge boson



Summary

- Gravitational positivity bound: Unitarity of scattering amplitudes impose swampland-like constraints on gravitational theories
- Application to U(1) gauge boson
 - \rightarrow Lower bound on gauge coupling and gauge boson mass
- Gravitational positivity bounds Potentially put stringent constraints on phenomenological models