



Calorimetric Electron Telescope (CALET) on the International Space Station

Shoji Torii for the CALET Collaboration Weseda University





素粒子物理学の進展





CALET Collaboration Team



PI Japan, Co-PI Italy, Co-PI US

O. Adriani²⁵, Y. Akaike², K. Asano⁷, Y. Asaoka^{9,31}, M.G. Bagliesi²⁹, E. Berti²⁵, G. Bigongiari²⁹, W.R. Binns³², S. Bonechi²⁹, M. Bongi²⁵, P. Brogi²⁹, A. Bruno¹⁵, J.H. Buckley³², N. Cannady¹³, G. Castellini²⁵, C. Checchia²⁶, M.L. Cherry¹³, G. Collazuol²⁶, V. Di Felice²⁸, K. Ebisawa⁸, H. Fuke⁸, T.G. Guzik¹³, T. Hams³, N. Hasebe³¹, K. Hibino¹⁰, M. Ichimura⁴, K. Ioka³⁴, W. Ishizaki⁷, M.H. Israel³², K. Kasahara³¹, J. Kataoka³¹, R. Kataoka¹⁷, Y. Katayose³³, C. Kato²³, Y.Kawakubo¹, N. Kawanaka³⁰, K. Kohri¹², H.S. Krawczynski³², J.F. Krizmanic², T. Lomtadze²⁷, P. Maestro²⁹, P.S. Marrocchesi²⁹, A.M. Messineo²⁷, J.W. Mitchell¹⁵, S. Miyake⁵, A.A. Moiseev³, K. Mori^{9,31}, M. Mori²¹, N. Mori²⁵, H.M. Motz³¹, K. Munakata²³, H. Murakami³¹, S. Nakahira²⁰, J. Nishimura⁸, G.A De Nolfo¹⁵, S. Okuno¹⁰, J.F. Ormes²⁵, S. Ozawa³¹, L. Pacini²⁵, F. Palma²⁸, V. Pal'shin¹, P. Papini²⁵, A.V. Penacchioni²⁹, B.F. Rauch³², S.B. Ricciarini²⁵, K. Sakai³, T. Sakamoto¹, M. Sasaki³, Y. Shimizu¹⁰, A. Shiomi¹⁸, R. Sparvoli²⁸, P. Spillantini²⁵, F. Stolzi²⁹, S. Sugita¹, J.E. Suh²⁹, A. Sulaj²⁹, I. Takahashi¹¹, M. Takayanagi⁸, M. Takita⁷, T. Tamura¹⁰, N. Tateyama¹⁰, T. Terasawa⁷, H. Tomida⁸, S. Torii³¹, Y. Tunesada¹⁹, Y. Uchihori¹⁶, S. Ueno⁸, E. Vannuccini²⁵, J.P. Wefel¹³, K. Yamaoka¹⁴, S. Yanagita⁶, A. Yoshida¹, and K. Yoshida²

- 1) Aoyama Gakuin University, Japan
- 2) CRESST/NASA/GSFC and Universities Space Research Association, USA
- 3) CRESST/NASA/GSFC and University of Maryland, USA
- 4) Hirosaki University, Japan
- 5) Ibaraki National College of Technology, Japan
- 6) Ibaraki University, Japan
- 7) ICRR, University of Tokyo, Japan
- 8) ISAS/JAXA Japan
- 9) JAXA, Japan
- 10) Kanagawa University, Japan
- 11) Kavli IPMU, University of Tokyo, Japan
- 12) KEK, Japan
- 13) Louisiana State University, USA
- 14) Nagoya University, Japan
- 15) NASA/GSFC, USA
- 16) National Inst. of Radiological Sciences, Japan
- 17) National Institute of Polar Research, Japan

- 18) Nihon University, Japan
- 19) Osaka City University, Japan
- 20) RIKEN, Japan
- 21) Ritsumeikan University, Japan
- 22) Shibaura Institute of Technology, Japan
- 23) Shinshu University, Japan
- 24) University of Denver, USA
- 25) University of Florence, IFAC (CNR) and INFN, Italy
- 26) University of Padova and INFN, Italy
- 27) University of Pisa and INFN, Italy
- 28) University of Rome Tor Vergata and INFN, Italy
- 29) University of Siena and INFN, Italy
- 30) University of Tokyo, Japan
- 31) Waseda University, Japan
- 32) Washington University-St. Louis, USA
- 33) Yokohama National University, Japan
- 34) Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Japan



CALET Collaboration Team



PI Japan, Co-PI Italy, Co-PI US

O. Adriani²⁵, Y. Akaike², K. Asano⁷, Y. Asaoka^{9,31}, M.G. Bagliesi²⁹, E. Berti²⁵, G. Bigongiari²⁹, W.R. Binns³², S. Bonechi²⁹, M. Bongi²⁵, P. Brogi²⁹, A. Bruno¹⁵, J.H. Buckley³², N. Cannady¹³, G. Castellini²⁵, C. Checchia²⁶, M.L. Cherry¹³, G. Collazuol²⁶, V. Di Felice²⁸, K. Ebisawa⁸, H. Fuke⁸, T.G. Guzik¹³, T. Hams³, N. Hasebe³¹, K. Hibino¹⁰, M. Ichimura⁴, K. Ioka³⁴, W. Ishizaki⁷, M.H. Israel³², K. Kasahara³¹, J. Kataoka³¹, R. Kataoka¹⁷, Y. Katayose³³, C. Kato²³, Y.Kawakubo¹, N. Kawanaka³⁰, K. Kohri¹², H.S. Krawczynski³², J.F. Krizmanic², T. Lomtadze²⁷, P. Maestro²⁹, P.S. Marrocchesi²⁹, A.M. Messineo²⁷, J.W. Mitchell¹⁵, S. Miyake⁵, A.A. Moiseev³, K. Mori^{9,31}, M. Mori²¹, N. Mori²⁵, H.M. Motz³¹, K. Munakata²³, H. Murakami³¹, S. Nakahira²⁰, J. Nishimura⁸, G.A De Nolfo¹⁵, S. Okuno¹⁰, J.F. Ormes²⁵, S. Ozawa³¹, L. Pacini²⁵, F. Palma²⁸, V. Pal'shin¹, P. Papini²⁵, A.V. Penacchioni²⁹, B.F. Rauch³², S.B. Ricciarini²⁵, K. Sakai³, T. Sakamoto¹, M. Sasaki³, Y. Shimizu¹⁰, A. Shiomi¹⁸, R. Sparvoli²⁸, P. Spillantini²⁵, F. Stolzi²⁹, S. Sugita¹, J.E. Suh²⁹, A. Sulaj²⁹, I. Takahashi¹¹, M. Takayanagi⁸, M. Takita⁷, T. Tamura¹⁰, N. Tateyama¹⁰, T. Terasawa⁷, H. Tomida⁸, S. Torii³¹, Y. Tunesada¹⁹, Y. Uchihori¹⁶, S. Ueno⁸, E. Vannuccini²⁵, J.P. Wefel¹³, K. Yamaoka¹⁴, S. Yanagita⁶, A. Yoshida¹, and K. Yoshida²²





Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Calibration
- 3. Operations
- 4. Results
 - 1. Electrons
 - 2. Hadrons
 - 3. Gamma-Rays
 - 4. Space Weather
 - 5. Gamma-ray Burst
 - + GW events

O.Adriani et al. (CALET Collaboration), ApJL 829 (2016) L20.

- Y.Asaoka, Y.Akaike, Y.Komiya, R.Miyata, S.Torii et al. (CALET Collaboration), Astropart. Phys. 91 (2017) 1. Y.Asaoka, S.Ozawa, S.Torii et al. (CALET Collaboration), Astropart. Phys. 100 (2018) 29.
 - O.Adriani et al. (CALET Collaboration), Phys.Rev.Lett. 119 (2017) 181101.
 - O.Adriani et al. (CALET Collaboration), Phys.Rev.Lett. 120 (2018) 261102.
- R.Kataoka et al., JGR, 10.1002/2016GL068930 (2016).

5. Summary

ISS as Cosmic Ray Observatory



AMS Launch May 16, 2011



CALET Launch August 19, 2015

位子物理学の進展

基研研



ISS-CREAM Launch August 14, 2017



ISS as Cosmic Ray Observatory



AMS Launch May 16, 2011

Magnet Spectrometer

- Various PID
- Anti-particles
- $E \le TeV$

Calorimeter

- Carbon target
- Hadrons
- Including TeV region



ISS-CREAM Launch August 14, 2017



Calorimeter

- Fully active
- Electrons
- Including TeV region

立子物理学の進展



CALET Launch August 19, 2015

基研研





Overview of CALET Observations

- Direct cosmic ray observations in space at the highest energy region by combining:
 - ✓ A large-size detector
 - Long-term observation onboard the ISS (5 years or more is expected)
- Electron observation in 1 GeV 20 TeV will be achieved with high energy resolution due to optimization for electron detection
- Search for Dark Matter and Nearby Sources
- Observation of cosmic-ray nuclei will be performed in energy region from 10 GeV to 1 PeV
- Unravelling the CR acceleration and propagation mechanism
- Detection of transient phenomena is expected in space by long-term stable observations
 EM radiation from GW sources, Gamma-ray burst, Solar flare, etc.



Scientific Objectives	Observation Targets	Energy Range
CR Origin and Acceleration	Electron spectrum pFe individual spectra Ultra Heavy Ions (26 <z≤40) Gamma-rays (Diffuse + Point sources)</z≤40) 	1GeV - 20 TeV 10 GeV - 1000 TeV > 600 MeV/n 1 GeV - 1 TeV
Galactic CR Propagation	B/C and sub-Fe/Fe ratios	Up to some TeV/n
Nearby CR Sources	Electron spectrum	100 GeV - 20 TeV
Dark Matter	Signatures in electron/gamma-ray spectra	100 GeV - 20 TeV
Solar Physics	Electron flux (1GeV-10GeV)	< 10 GeV
Gamma-ray Transients	Gamma-rays and X-rays	7 keV - 20 MeV

Respond to the unresolved questions from the results found by recent observations



8











Measurements of Cosmic-Ray Nuclei Spectra with CALET





CALET Payload







Launched on Aug. 19th, 2015 by the Japanese H2-B rocket

Emplaced on JEM-EF port #9 on Aug. 25th, 2015 (JEM-EF: Japanese Experiment Module-Exposed Facility)

JEM/Port #9



- Mass: 612.8 kg
- JEM Standard Payload Size: 1850mm(L) × 800mm(W) × 1000mm(H)
- Power Consumption: 507 W (max)
- Telemetry:

Medium 600 kbps (6.5GB/day) / Low 50 kbps

CALET Instrument



Plastic Scintillator + PMT		+ PMT + 64anode PMT	+ APD/PD or PMT (X1)	CALORIMETER	
(CHD		TASC	CHD-FEC CHD-FEC MC-FEC IMC-FEC	
				ASC-FEC TASC TASC TASC TASC TASC TASC TASC TAS	
		CHD (Charge Detector)	IMC (Imaging Calorimeter)	TASC (Total Absorption Calorimeter)	
	Measure	CHD (Charge Detector) Charge (Z=1-40)	IMC (Imaging Calorimeter) Tracking , Particle ID	TASC (Total Absorption Calorimeter) Energy, e/p Separation	
	Measure Geometry (Material)	CHD (Charge Detector) Charge (Z=1-40) Plastic Scintillator 14 paddles x 2 layers (X,Y): 28 paddles Paddle Size: 32 x 10 x 450 mm ³	IMC (Imaging Calorimeter) Tracking , Particle ID 448 Scifi x 16 layers (X,Y) : 7168 Scifi 7 W layers (3X ₀): 0.2X ₀ x 5 + 1X ₀ x2 Scifi size : 1 x 1 x 448 mm ³	TASC (Total Absorption Calorimeter) Energy, e/p Separation 16 PWO logs x 12 layers (x,y): 192 logs log size: 19 x 20 x 326 mm ³ Total Thickness : 27 X ₀ , ~1.2 λ ₁	
	Measure Geometry (Material) Readout	CHD (Charge Detector) Charge (Z=1-40) Plastic Scintillator 14 paddles x 2 layers (X,Y): 28 paddles Paddle Size: 32 x 10 x 450 mm ³ PMT+CSA	IMC (Imaging Calorimeter) Tracking , Particle ID 448 Scifi x 16 layers (X,Y) : 7168 Scifi 7 W layers (3X ₀): 0.2X ₀ x 5 + 1X ₀ x2 Scifi size : 1 x 1 x 448 mm ³ 64-anode PMT+ ASIC	TASC(Total Absorption Calorimeter)Energy, e/p Separation16 PWO logs x 12 layers (x,y): 192 logs log size: 19 x 20 x 326 mm³ Total Thickness : 27 X ₀ , ~1.2 λ ₁ APD/PD+CSA PMT+CSA (for Trigger)@top layer	



CALET Capability





CALET has a Field-Of-View of 45° from its position at Port No.9. (A small part of the FOV is covered by thin structural material.

CALET located at the Port No.9 at the Japanese Experiment Module

ISS simplified model

CALET FOV

Data Downlink to Waseda COC via TDRS

Examples of Observed Events

Proton, ΔE=2.89 TeV

Event Display: Electron Candidate (>100 GeV)

Electron, E=3.05 TeV

22000 [Ju]

18000

16000

14000 12000

10000 8000

6000

4000

2000

High Energy Trigger (962 days)

ve Time (6.98×10⁷sec)

160701

Dead Time (Fraction 15.0%)

Observation with High Energy Trigger (>10GeV)

Y.Asaoka, S.Ozawa, S.Torii et al. (CALET Collaboration), Astropart. Phys. 100 (2018) 29.

Observation by High Energy Trigger for 962 days : Oct. 13, 2015 – May 31, 2018 \Box The exposure, SQT, has reached to ~84.0 m² sr day for electron observations by continuous and stable operations.

 \Box Total number of triggered events is ~630 million with a live time fraction of 84.0 %.

Accumulated observation time (live, dead)

Accumulated triggered event number

160101

Energy Reconstruction for Electromagnetic Showers

Simulation: Comparison of deposit energy in TASC(ΔE) with incident energy (E_o)

- Period: 2015/10/13 2017/06/30
- Daily averaged temperatures and solar beta angle are plotted as a function of time.

While rather large temperature variations are observed for exposed sensors (GPSR, ASC, HXM), the variations are much smaller for sensors attached to the calorimeter (IMC, TASC, MDC) due to the Active Thermal Control System (ATCS). The temperature variation has clear correlation with solar beta angle.

The temperature variations inside the detector are kept within a few degrees by using the ATCS.

ISS Radiation Environment

South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA)

Trigger/Count Rate @ 2017/08/29

HE trigger was not affected by SAA thanks to high energy threshold (>10 GeV). (Energies of the trapped particles are too low to make a trigger for the observations.)

 \Rightarrow Observation is continuously carried out even at SAA!

Position and Temperature Calibration and Long-term Stability

Energy Measurement Using TASC in Range up to 10⁶ MIPs

10

10-1

1

PD-Low

10⁴

10²

10

103

Front Crean

10⁵

Energy Deposit [GeV]

Y.Asaoka, Y.Akaike, Y.Komiya, R.Miyata, S.Torii et al., Astropart. Phys. 91 (2017) 1.

TASC Energy Deposit Distribution of All Triggered-Events by Observation for 962 days

All-Electron (e^++e^-)

O.Adriani et al. (CALET collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 181101 O.Adriani et al. (CALET collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 261102

CALET is an instrument optimized for all-electron spectrum measurements.

 \Rightarrow CALET is best suited for observation of possible fine structures in the all-electron spectrum up to the trans-TeV region.

Event Selection

Analyzed Flight Data:

- 627 days (October 13, 2015 to June 30, 2017)
- 55% of full CALET acceptance (Acceptance A+B; 570cm²sr)
- 1. Offline Trigger
- 2. Acceptance Cut
- 3. Single Charge Selection
- 4. Track Quality Cut
- 5. Shower Development Consistency
- 6. Electron Identification
 - 1. Simple two parameter cut
 - 2. Multivariate Analysis using Boosted Decision Trees (BDT)

Event Selection

Analyzed Flight Data:

- 627 days (October 13, 2015 to June 30, 2017)
- 55% of full CALET acceptance (Acceptance A+B; 570cm²sr)
- 1. Offline Trigger
- 2. Acceptance Cut
- 3. Single Charge Selection
- 4. Track Quality Cut

Pre-selection:

 Select events with successful reconstructions

(A+B)

- Rejecting heavier particles
- Equivalent sample between flight and MC data
- 5. Shower Development Consistency
- 6. Electron Identification
 - 1. Simple two parameter cut
 - 2. Multivariate Analysis using Boosted Decision Trees (BDT)

Electron Identification

Simple Two Parameter Cut

F_E: Energy fraction of the bottom layer sum to the whole energy deposit sum in TASC
R_E: Lateral spread of energy deposit in TASC-X1

Separation Parameter K is defined as follows:

 $K = \log_{10}(F_E) + 0.5 R_E (/cm)$

Boosted Decision Trees

In addition to the two parameters making up K, TASC and IMC shower profile fits are used as discriminating variables.

Electron Efficiency and Proton Rejection

- Constant and high efficiency is the key point in our analysis.
- Simple two parameter (BDT) cut is used in the energy region E<475GeV (E>475GeV) while the small difference in resultant spectrum between two methods are taken into account in the systematic uncertainty.
- Contamination is ~5% up to 1TeV, and ~10 % in the 1—4.8 TeV region.

All-Electron Spectrum Measured with CALET from 10 GeV to 3 TeV

CALET: PRL 119 (2017) 181101, 3 November 2017

基研研究会 素粒子物理学の進展

All-Electron Spectrum Comparison w/ DAMPE

and other space based experiments

All-Electron Spectrum Comparison w/ DAMPE

33

All-Electron Spectrum Comparison w/ DAMPE

and other space based experiments

Extending the Analysis to Full Acceptance

Analyzed Flight Data:

- 780 days (October 13, 2015 to November 30, 2017)
- Full CALET acceptance at the high energy region (Acceptance A+B+C+D; 1040cm²sr).
 In the low energy region fully contained events are used (A+B; 550cm²sr)

Systematic Uncertainties

(other than energy scale uncertainty)

Stability of resultant flux are analyzed by scanning parameter space

Normalization:

- Live time
- Radiation environment
- Long-term stability
- Quality cuts
- Energy dependent:
 - 2 independent tracking
 - charge ID
 - electron ID (K-Cut vs BDT)
 - BDT stability (vs efficiency & training)
 - MC model (EPICS vs Geant4)

Flux Ratio vs Efficiency for BDT @ 1TeV

Extended Measurement by CALET

Approximately doubled statistics above 500GeV by using full acceptance of CALET

Extended Measurement by CALET

Approximately doubled statistics above 500GeV by using full acceptance of CALET

Comparison with DAMPE's result

Comparison with DAMPE's result

40

Extended Measurement by CALET

Approximately doubled statistics above 500GeV by using full acceptance of CALET

2018/8/6

10³

Energy [GeV]

Hadrons & Gamma-Rays

To be published in ApJS

Charge Identification of Nuclei with CHD and IMC

Single element selection for p, He and light nuclei is achieved by CHD+IMC charge analysis

Non-linear response to Z² is corrected both in CHD and IMC using a model.

*) Plots are truncated to clearly present the separation.

A clear separation between p, He, \sim Z=8, can be seen from CHD+IMC data analysis.

Preliminary Flux of Primary Components

Flux measurement:

Preliminary Ultra Heavy Nuclei Measurements for 26 < Z < 40

- CALET measures the relative abundances of ultra heavy nuclei through ₄₀Zr
- Trigger for ultra heavy nuclei:
- signals of only CHD, IMC1+2 and IMC3+4 are required
 - an expanded geometrical acceptance (4000 cm²sr)
- · Energy threshold depends on the geomagnetic cutoff rigidity

Onboard trigger for UH events

Data analysis

- □ Event Selection: Vertical cutoff rigidity > 4GV & Zenith Angle < 60 degrees
- □ Contamination from neighboring charge are determined by multiple-Gaussian function

Charge distribution

CALET γ-ray Sky (>1GeV)

Instrument characterized using EPICS simulations

- Effective area ~400 cm² above 2 GeV
- Angular resolution < 2° above 1 GeV (< 0.2° above 10 GeV)
- Energy resolution ~12% at 1 GeV (~5% at 10 GeV)
 Simulated IRFs consistent with 2 years of flight data
 Consistency in signal-dominated regions with Fermi-LAT
 Residual background in low-signal regions

Geminga:432 Vela:138 Crab:150 All: 45740 (As of 180131)

基研研究会素粒子物理学の進展

Reported to ATEL by AGILE, Fermi, DAMPE in GeV

CALET observations of CTA 102 in the months 2015/10 through 2017/04.

Comparing this to the Fermi-LAT flux above 1 GeV for the same time period, it is clear that the enhancements are correlated with flares that are also reported by the Fermi-LAT collaboration

Data :

- First two years of LE-γ run data (2015/11 – 2017/10)
- Reduced threshold of ~1 GeV
- Active at low geomagnetic latitudes

Data Analysis :

- Region: galactic latitude || < 80°
- Project events onto galactic latitude
- EM Track: consistent
- CC Track: excess at higher latitudes
 - Charged particles
 - Unaccounted-for ISS structure
 - Point sources

GW Events & GRB

O.Adriani et al. (CALET Collaboration), ApJL 829 (2016) L20.

Electromagnetic Emission from Gravitational Wave Events ?

CALET/CAL is watching for ~1/6 of the whole sky!

2

CALET Upper Limits on X-ray and Gamma-ray Counterpart of GW 151226

Astrophysical Journal Letters 829:L20(5pp), 2016 September 20

The CGBM covered 32.5% and 49.1% of the GW 151226 sky localization probability in the 7 keV - 1 MeV and 40 keV - 20 MeV bands respectively. We place a 90% upper limit of 2×10^{-7} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the 1 - 100 GeV band where CAL reaches 15% of the integrated LIGO probability (~1.1 sr). The CGBM 7 σ upper limits are 1.0×10^{-6} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ (7-500 keV) and 1.8×10^{-6} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ (50-1000 keV) for one second exposure. Those upper limits correspond to the luminosity of 3-5 $\times 10^{49}$ erg s⁻¹ which is significantly lower than typical short GRBs.

CGBM light curve at the moment of the GW151226 event

Upper limit for gamma-ray burst monitors and Calorimeter

HXM: 7-500 keV

SGM: 50-1000 keV

Figure 2. The sky maps of the 7 σ upper limit for HXM (left) and SGM (right). The assumed spectrum for estimating the upper limit is a typical BATSE S-GRBs (see text for details). The energy bands are 7-500 keV for HXM and 50-1000 keV for SGM. The GW 151226 probability map is shown in green contours. The shadow of ISS is shown in black hatches.

Figure 3. The sky map of the 90% upper limit for CAL in the 1-100 GeV band. A power-law model with a photon index of -2 is used to calculate the upper limit. The GW 151226 probability map is shown in green contours.

Figure 1. The CGBM light curves in 0.125 s time resolution for the high-gain data (left) and the low-gain data (right). The time is offset from the LIGO trigger time of GW 151226. The dashed-lines correspond to the 5 σ level from the mean count rate using the data of ±10 s.

90 % CL Upper Limits for GW Counterpart Search

No event survived. Backgrounds are negligible.

[M.Mori, E1.17-0022-18]

- For GW151226 CALET-CAL observation constrains 15% of LIGO localization map by 90% upper limit flux of 9.3x10-8erg cm-2sec-1(1-10GeV)
- For GW170104, GW170608, GW170814 no constrain on any portion of LIGO probability

CALET Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (CGBM)

Hard X-ray Monitor (HXM)

Energy range covered by CGBM

Characteristics of HXM & SGM

*)LaBr₃(Ce) used for the first time in GRB observations

	HXM (x2)	SGM
Detector (Crystal)	LaBr ₃ (Ce)*	BGO
Number of detector	2	1
Diameter [mm]	61	102
Thickness [mm]	12.7	76
Energy range [keV]	7-1000	100-20000
Energy resolution@662 keV	~3%	~15%
Field of view	~3 sr	~2π sr

On-board CGBM trigger response:

- □ Store the CGB event data
- Make lower the energy threshold of Calorimeter to 1 GeV
- Capture two optical images by ASC

 Observed ~35 confirmed GRB's/yr (since Oct. 2015), issued GCN circulars with light curves.

> Observation efficiency ~58% because of HV-off periods.

• Mostly long GRB's (~20% are short GRB's).

 4 GRB's simultaneously observed by nearby experiment MAXI on ISS, in 1 year of overlapping operation.

- Given the relatively small effective area, CGBM can observe bright GRB's.
 - Sensitivity: ~10⁻⁸ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ (1 keV 1 MeV) for 50 s long bursts.

- CALET took part in the EM follow-up of GW triggers during LVC runs 01+02 (4+7 months between 2015 and 2017).
 - CGBM can deliver fine-time-resolution light curves, spectral analysis possible if accurate source localization available.
- 9 (out of 14) LVC "triggers of interest" happened when CGBM active (HV on) and with probability map for GW source location overlapping significantly with CGBM field-of-view.
- In all cases, **no statistically significant background excess seen in CGBM** light curves around the GW trigger times.

素粒子物理学の進展

- Multimessenger observation of binary neutron star merger: GW 170817, GRB 170817A, optical transient SSS17a [ApJL, 848:L12, 2017].
- The source location (given from optical transient) is out of view for HXM but inside the field-of-view of SGM (though covered by ISS structure).
- No statistically significant signal seen in SGM, 7σ upper limit on emission intensity calculated by using Fermi/GBM best-fit parameters (cutoff power-law) and assuming no shielding by ISS structure:

 $UL = 5.5 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (10 - 1000 keV)

- Shielding by ISS structure should be taken into account with detailed ISS modeling.
- This estimated upper limit is of the same order of the Fermi/GBM measured peak flux: $7.3\cdot10^{-7}~erg~cm^{-2}~s^{-1}$.

CALET-CAL Observation in 10-100GeV 90 % CL upper limit No events survived. Backgrounds are negligible.

Summary and Future Prospects

- □ CALET was successfully launched on Aug. 19, 2015, and the detector is being very stable for observation since Oct. 13, 2015.
- □ As of May 31, 2018, total observation time is 962 days with live time fraction to total time close to 84%. Nearly 630 million events are collected with high energy (>10 GeV) trigger.
- □ Accurate calibrations have been performed with non--interacting p & He events + linearity in the energy measurements established up to 10⁶ MIP.
- All electron spectrum has been extended in statistics and in the energy range from 11 GeV to 4.8TeV.
- Preliminary analysis of nuclei and gamma-rays have successfully been carried out and spectra are obtained in the energy range:
- proton: 50 GeV ~ 100 TeV, helium: 10 GeV/n ~ 20 TeV/n, C-Fe: 300 GeV ~ 100 TeV.
- B/C ratio: 20 GeV/n ~ 1 TeV/n
- □ Preliminary analysis of UH cosmic rays up to Z=40 was achieved.
- □ CALET's CGBM detected nearly 60 GRBs (~20 % short GRB among them) per year in the energy range of 7keV-20 MeV. Follow-up observations of the GW events were carried out .
- □ The so far excellent performance of CALET and the outstanding quality of the data suggest that a 5-year observation period is likely to provide a wealth of new interesting results.