Intersecting Solitons, Amoeba and Tropical Geometry

Norisuke Sakai (Tokyo Woman's Christian University), In collaboration with Toshiaki Fujimori, Muneto Nitta, Kazutoshi Ohta, and Masahito Yamazaki arXiv:0805.1194(hep-th), 2008.7.28-8.1, "量子場理論と弦理論の発展" workshop at YITP

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Vortices and Instantons	3
3	Webs of Vortex Sheets on $(C^*)^2$	5
4	Instantons inside Non-Abelian Vortex Webs	9
5	Conclusion	11

1 Introduction

Solitons in Yang-Mills-Higgs theory in the Higgs phase (with 8 SUSY) Elementary solitons: Vortex and Domain wall (Kink) Vortices and Domain walls preserve 1/2 of SUSY : 1/2 BPS solitons **Composite solitons** in the **Higgs phase** : 1/4 BPS solitons Webs of domain walls, Magnetic monopoles with vortices, **Instantons** inside a **Vortex** (**Web of Vortices**) (Scherk-Schwarz twisted) **dimensional reduction** : Web of Vortices \rightarrow all other 1/4 BPS composite solitons Web of Vortices is most important among composite BPS solitons Our purpose:

Study configurations of instantons and vortex sheets (webs of vortices) In 8 SUSY $U(N_{\rm C})$ gauge theory with $N_{\rm F} = N_{\rm C}$ Higgs scalars On $\mathbb{R}_t \times ({\rm C}^*)^2 \sim \mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times T^2$ (5 dimensions) \rightarrow Dim. reduction By using Moduli Matrix formalism

Use **amoeba** and **tropical geometry** to describe Webs of vortices

Results

- 1. Vortex sheets: zeros of a polynomial in the Moduli matrix Instanton positions: common zeros with another polynomial
- 2. Mathematical language of **amoeba** and **tropical geometry** are useful to visualize the web of vortices and to evaluate physical quantities.
- 3. **Moduli matrix** approach plays a crucial role to describe web of vortices.

2 Vortices and Instantons

SUSY $U(N_{\rm C})$ Gauge Theory with $N_{\rm F}$ Higgs fields

Higgs fields H as an $N_{
m C} imes N_{
m F}$ matrix, $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$\mathcal{L} = ext{Tr} \left[-rac{1}{2g^2} F_{\mu
u} F^{\mu
u} + \mathcal{D}_\mu H (\mathcal{D}^\mu H)^\dagger - rac{g^2}{4} (HH^\dagger - c \mathbb{1}_{N_ ext{C}})^2
ight]$$

Gauge coupling g for $U(N_{\rm C})$, Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) parameter cCoordinates of $({\rm C}^*)^2$: $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2), z_1 \equiv x_1 + iy_1, z_2 \equiv x_2 + iy_2$ Higgs Phase : Walls, Vortices are the only elementary solitons **Instantons**, **monopoles**, **junctions** are **composite** solitons Energy **lower bound** of static field configurations

$$E \;\geq\; -rac{1}{g^2}\int {
m Tr}\,(F\wedge F) - c\int {
m Tr}\,F\wedge\omega = rac{8\pi^2}{g^2}I + 2\pi c\,V$$

 $\omega \equiv \frac{i}{2}(dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2)$: the Kähler form on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ Total instanton charge I, Instanton charge density \mathcal{I}

$$I \equiv \int {\cal I} ~\equiv~ - {1 \over 8 \pi^2} \int {
m Tr} \left(F \wedge F
ight) ~=~ \int c h_2$$

Vortex charge \boldsymbol{V} , Vortex charge density $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$

Lower bound is saturated if the **BPS** equations are satisfied

$$F_{ar{z}_1ar{z}_2}=0, ~~~ \mathcal{D}_{ar{z}_i}H=0, ~~-2i(F_{z_1ar{z}_1}\!+\!F_{z_2ar{z}_2})=rac{g^2}{2}(HH^\dagger\!-\!c1_{N_{
m C}})$$

BPS equations contain at least instantons and intersecting vortex sheets solutions to BPS eqs. preserve 1/4 of SUSY $\rightarrow 1/4$ BPS states

Solution of BPS equations

 $F_{\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2} = 0$: integrability condition for $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}_i} W_{\bar{z}_i} = -iS^{-1}\partial_{\bar{z}_i}S$ Solution of the first 2 equations: $H = S^{-1}H_0$ with $\partial_{\bar{z}_i}H_0 = 0$ $N_{\rm C} \times N_{\rm F}$ matrix H_0 should be holomorphic : Moduli Matrix

Remaining BPS eq.(Master eq.): $\Omega \equiv SS^{\dagger}, \Omega_0 \equiv \frac{1}{c}H_0H_0^{\dagger}$

$$\partial_{ar{z}_1}(\Omega\partial_{z_1}\Omega^{-1})+\partial_{ar{z}_2}(\Omega\partial_{z_2}\Omega^{-1})=-rac{g^2c}{4}\left(1_{N_{
m C}}-\Omega_0\Omega^{-1}
ight)$$

We consider $N_{\rm C} = N_{\rm F} = N$ case

Meissner effect in the **Higgs phase** (Higgs VEV):

Magnetic flux can penetrate superconducting (Higgs) phase as Vortices (Partial) restoration of gauge symmetry at the core of vortex Vortex sheet in $z_1, z_2 \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ can be defined by det $H_0(z_1, z_2) = 0$

3 Webs of Vortex Sheets on $(C^*)^2$

Web of Vortices on $(\mathbf{C}^*)^2 \simeq \mathbf{R}^2 \times T^2$: $y_i \sim y_i + 2\pi R_i, i = 1, 2$

$$P(u_1,u_2) \;\; \equiv \;\; \det H_0 \;\; = \sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_{n_1,n_2} \, u_1^{n_1} u_2^{n_2}, \;\;\; u_i \equiv e^{rac{z_i}{R_i}}$$



Figure 1: An example of amoeba; $P(u_1, u_2) = a_{0,0} + a_{1,0}u_1 + a_{2,0}u_1^2 + a_{3,0}u_1^3 + a_{0,1}u_2 + a_{1,1}u_1u_2 + a_{2,1}u_1^2u_2 + a_{3,1}u_1^3u_2 + a_{0,2}u_2^2 + a_{1,2}u_1u_2^2 + a_{2,2}u_1^2u_2^2$.

Newton polytope $\Delta(P) \subset \mathrm{R}^2$ of a Laurent polynomial $P(u_1, u_2)$ $\Delta(P) = \mathrm{conv.} \ \mathrm{hull} \ \Big\{ (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \Big| \ a_{n_1, n_2} \neq 0 \Big\}$

 a_{n_1,n_2} : moduli parameters for the webs of vortices Amoeba of P: a projection of generic webs of vortices on x_1, x_2

$$\mathcal{A}_P = \left\{ ig(R_1 \log |u_1|, \ R_2 \log |u_2|ig) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ ig| \ P(u_1, u_2) = 0
ight\}$$

Tenticles: asymptotic regions extending to infinity

Normals to the Newton polytope: semi-infinite cylinders of vortices **Internal lattice points** of Newton polytope: holes (**vortex loops**) **Relation with Tropical Geometry**



Figure 2: An example of the amoeba and corresponding tropical variety.

Amoeba is **smooth** even in the **thin wall** limit $l = 1/g\sqrt{c} \to 0$ **Tropical limit**: $R_1 = R_2 = R \to 0$ with fixed $r_{n_1,n_2} \equiv R \log |a_{n_1,n_2}|$ Amoeba degenerates into a set of lines ("spines"), called "tropical variety" **Skeleton (spine)** of amoeba in $R \to 0$: position of domain walls



Figure 3: Intersection of one tropical variety and its shift and Newton polytope $\Delta(P)$ (below). Number of intersection points is given by $2\text{Area}(\Delta(P))$.

Intersection charge density becomes complex Monge-Ampère measure

$$I_{ ext{intersection}} = \int_X \mathcal{I} rac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dd_c \log |P| \wedge dd_c \log |P| \ = \ rac{1}{4\pi} \int_X dd_c \log |P|$$

Regularization : $P \rightarrow P_1, P_2$ associated with the same Newton polytope

$$rac{1}{8\pi^2}\int dd_c \log |P_1|\wedge dd_c \log |P_2| \;=\; rac{1}{2} \#(X_1\cdot X_2) = {
m Area}(\Delta)$$

4 Instantons inside Non-Abelian Vortex Webs

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b(u_1, u_2) \\ 0 & P(u_1, u_2) \end{pmatrix}$$
 $P(u_1, u_2) = \sum a_{n_1, n_2} u_1^{n_1} u_2^{n_2}, \quad b(u_1, u_2) = \sum b_{n_1, n_2} u_1^{n_1} u_2^{n_2}$
Vortex sheets are localized at $P(u_1, u_2) = 0$

Instanton number: computed from Ω with the correct boundary conditions

$$\Omega \equiv \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1+|b|^2 & b\overline{P} \ & P\overline{b} & rac{\Omega_*-|P|^2}{1+|b|^2}+|P|^2 \end{array}
ight)$$

$$ar{\partial}_{ar{z}_1}(\Omega_*\partial_{z_1}\Omega_*^{-1})+ar{\partial}_{ar{z}_2}(\Omega_*\partial_{z_2}\Omega_*^{-1})=-rac{g^2c}{4}(1-|P|^2\Omega_*^{-1})$$

($\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ becomes a solution of the master equation if \boldsymbol{b} is a constant)

$$egin{aligned} I &= rac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \left(dd_c \log |P| \wedge dd_c \log(1+|b|^2) - dd_c \log |P| \wedge dd_c \log |P|
ight) \ &= I_{ ext{instanton}} - I_{ ext{intersection}} \ I_{ ext{instanton}} &= rac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^2} dd_c \log |P| \wedge dd_c \log(1+|b|^2) = rac{1}{4\pi} \int_X dd_c \log(1+|b|^2) \ X : ext{ zero locus of } P ext{ corresponding to the vortex sheets} \ & ext{instanton number} ext{ is given by the degree of the map } b|_X : X o \mathbb{C}P^1 \ & ext{Distribution of topological charge:} \end{aligned}$$

Small instanton limit: $b_{n_1,n_2} \to \infty$ with fixed $b_{n_1,n_2}/b_{\tilde{n}_1,\tilde{n}_2}$ $dd_c \log(1+|b|^2) \to dd_c \log |b|^2$: delta function on $b(u_1, u_2) = 0$ Instantons are localized at **common zeros** of $b(u_1, u_2)$ and $P(u_1, u_2)$

5 Conclusion

- 1. Vortex sheets: zeros of a polynomial in the Moduli matrix Instanton positions: common zeros with another polynomial
- 2. Mathematical language of **amoeba** and **tropical geometry** are useful to visualize the web of vortices and to evaluate physical quantities.
- 3. **Moduli matrix** approach plays a crucial role to describe web of vortices.