Thermodynamics of black M-branes from SCFTs

> Shotaro Shiba (KEK) 2013/08/19 @YITP workshop

Based on a work with Takeshi Morita (KEK): JHEP **1307** (2013) 100 (arXiv:1305.0789 [hep-th]).

Thermodynamics of blackholes

- > Analogy of 2nd law
- 2nd law: Entropy in isolated system always increases.
- Area of horizon always increases (in classical level).
- Bekenstein-Hawking entropy: $S = \frac{A}{4G_N}$
- > Analogy of 1st law
- Ist law: dE = TdS
- Energy: mass of blackhole (ADM mass)
- Temperature: Hawking temperature (It is derived from the spectrum of Hawking radiation.)

Black branes in supergravity

- Gravity solution in 10d N=IIA/IIB SUGRA
- Metric (in string frame) $i = 1, \dots, p$

$$ds^{2} = \alpha' \left(\frac{U^{\frac{7-p}{2}}}{\sqrt{a_{p}\lambda}} (-fdt^{2} + dx^{i}dx^{i}) + \sqrt{a_{p}\lambda} \left(U^{-\frac{7-p}{2}} \frac{dU^{2}}{f} + U^{\frac{p-3}{2}} d\Omega_{(8-p)}^{2} \right) \right)$$
$$f(U) = 1 - \left(\frac{U_{0}}{U} \right)^{7-p}, \qquad a_{p} = 2^{7-2p} \pi^{\frac{9-3p}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{7-p}{2} \right)$$
$$\bullet \text{ Dilaton } e^{\phi} = \frac{1}{N} (2\pi)^{2-p} (a_{p})^{\frac{3-p}{4}} \left(\frac{U}{\lambda^{1/(3-p)}} \right)^{-\frac{(3-p)(7-p)}{4}}$$

SUGRA description for superstring theory is valid for small curvature and small string coupling:

$$N^{-\frac{2}{7-p}} \ll (T\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3-p}})^{\frac{3-p}{5-p}} \ll 1$$

Free energy of black brane

Black Dp-branes in superstring theory

(Radius of horizon)

$$F \sim N^2 T^{\frac{2(7-p)}{5-p}} \lambda^{-\frac{3-p}{5-p}} V_p \qquad |\phi| \sim T^{\frac{2}{5-p}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{5-p}}$$

- > We can similarly discuss the gravity solutions of IId SUGRA (low-energy limit of M-theory). $\beta = 1/T$
- Black M2-brane (on $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$) [ABJM '08]

$$F \sim N^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{k} T^{3} V_{2} \qquad |\phi| \sim \frac{r_{0}}{k^{\frac{1}{2}} l_{p}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sim \frac{N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{k^{\frac{1}{4}} \beta^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

 $|\phi| \sim \frac{r_0}{l_n^3} \sim \frac{N}{\beta^2}$

Exotic N-dependence!

Black M5-brane

 $F \sim N^3 T^6 V_5$

Can a field theory reproduce it?

- Just as the DI-D5-P system successfully reproduced the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy...
- > **Dp-branes** (Nontrivial dependence on T and λ)
- (p+I)-dim maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills
- > M2-branes (Nontrivial dependence on N)
- ABJM theory (3d Chern-Simons-matter theory)
- Free energy at T=0 is obtained via localization technique.

[Marino '10]

- > M5-branes (Nontrivial dependence on N)
- 6d SCFT, but the details are not known.

Black D-branes: Review of Smilga-Wiseman method

0

Effective theory on Dp-branes

Maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory

$$\begin{split} S_{\mathrm{D}p} &= \frac{N}{\lambda} \int d\tau d^p x \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \Phi^I)^2 + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^\mu D_\mu \Psi \right] \\ \bar{\lambda} &= g_{YM}^2 N \\ \text{(Euclidean time with period } \beta) &- \frac{1}{4} [\Phi^I, \Phi^J]^2 - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^I [\Phi^I, \Psi] \end{split}$$

Classical vacua are gauge equivalent to configurations:

$$A_{\mu,ab} = a_{\mu,a}\delta_{ab}, \quad \Phi^I_{ab} = \phi^I_a\delta_{ab}, \quad \Psi_{ab} = 0$$

- We assume only the scalar moduli play relevant roles.
- These moduli correspond to the positions of Dp-branes.

Smilga-Wiseman method (I) [Wiseman '13]

> We assume the dominant configuration satisfies

 $\beta |\phi_a - \phi_b| \gg 1 \qquad \qquad |\phi_a - \phi_b| := \sqrt{\sum_I (\phi_a^I - \phi_b^I)^2}$

- All the Dp-branes are separated by large distances.
- All the off-diagonal components have large mass.
- I-loop effective action can be calculated as the quadratic fluctuations around classical vacua.
- Contribution from each field (scalar, gauge field, fermion, FP ghost) is of the form

$$\sum_{n} \sum_{a < b} \operatorname{Tr}' \left[\ln \left(-\partial^{i} \partial_{i} + \left(\frac{2\pi n}{\beta} \right)^{2} + \left| \phi_{a} - \phi_{b} \right|^{2} + \dots \right) \right]$$

Smilga-Wiseman method (2)

Classical and I-loop effective actions

- Condition I: Higher derivative terms are suppressed. $|(\partial \phi)^2/\phi^4| \ll 1$
- Condition 2: Temperature dependent terms are suppressed. (They are proportional to $\exp(-\beta |\phi_a - \phi_b|)$.) $\beta |\phi_a - \phi_b| \gg 1$

Smilga-Wiseman method (3)

> Assumption I: moduli and their difference

$$\phi_a^I \sim \phi_a^I - \phi_b^I \sim \phi$$

- It means that all the branes are uniformly distributed, roughly speaking. (All distances are of the same order.)
- > Assumption 2: derivative and temperature

$$\partial \phi_a^I \sim \partial (\phi_a^I - \phi_b^I) \sim \frac{1}{\beta} \phi$$

• The dependence on temperature in this discussion is determined only here. The meaning seems unclear.

Smilga-Wiseman method (4)

> Assumption 3: classical and 1-loop are balanced.

$$S_{\mathrm{D}p}^{\mathrm{classical}} \sim S_{\mathrm{D}p,T=0}^{\mathrm{one-loop}} \sim S_{\mathrm{D}p}$$

- It does not mean the higher loop contributions can be neglected.
- According to SUGRA analysis, it may mean that all loop contributions are of the same order.
- It seems reasonable, since we here consider the strongcoupling region in the dual field theory.

Reproduction of SUGRA results

> Estimation $\int d\tau \sim \beta$, $\sum_a \sim N$ and $\sum_{a < b} \sim N^2$.

$$S_{\mathrm{D}p}^{\mathrm{classical}} \sim \int d^p x \frac{N^2}{\beta \lambda} \phi^2 , \quad S_{\mathrm{D}p,T=0}^{\mathrm{one-loop}} \sim \int d^p x \frac{N^2}{\beta^3 \phi^{3-p}}$$

Results

$$\phi \sim T^{\frac{2}{5-p}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{5-p}}$$

$$F_{Dp} \sim S_{Dp} / \beta \sim N^2 T^{\frac{2(7-p)}{5-p}} \lambda^{-\frac{3-p}{5-p}} V_p$$

- Wiseman discussed only p<3 cases, but this discussion can be done for all Dp-brane cases. $\beta\phi \gg 1$
- It is because the temperature condition is equivalent to the small curvature condition, interestingly.

Black M2-brane: ABJM theory

0

Effective theory on M2-branes

> ABJM theory (dual to M-theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7 / Z_k$)

$$S_{\text{ABJM}} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d\tau d^2 x \left(\text{Tr} \left[(D_\mu \Phi_A^\dagger) (D^\mu \Phi^A) + i \Psi^{\dagger A} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \Psi_A \right] + \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}}^{(1)} - \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}}^{(2)} - V_B - V_F \right),$$

where

 $A, B, C, D = 1, \dots, 4$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{CS}}^{(i)} &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \operatorname{Tr} \left[A_{\mu}^{(i)} \partial_{\nu} A_{\rho}^{(i)} + \frac{2}{3} A_{\mu}^{(i)} A_{\nu}^{(i)} A_{\rho}^{(i)} \right], \\ V_B &= \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Phi_A^{\dagger} \Phi^A \Phi_B^{\dagger} \Phi^B \Phi_C^{\dagger} \Phi^C + \Phi^A \Phi_A^{\dagger} \Phi^B \Phi_B^{\dagger} \Phi^C \Phi_C^{\dagger} + 4 \Phi^A \Phi_B^{\dagger} \Phi^C \Phi_A^{\dagger} \Phi^B \Phi_C^{\dagger} \right] \\ &- 6 \Phi^A \Phi_B^{\dagger} \Phi^B \Phi_A^{\dagger} \Phi^C \Phi_C^{\dagger} \right], \\ V_F &= i \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Phi_A^{\dagger} \Phi^A \Psi^{\dagger B} \Psi_B - \Phi^A \Phi_A^{\dagger} \Psi_B \Psi^{\dagger B} - 2 \Phi_A^{\dagger} \Phi^B \Psi^{\dagger A} \Psi_B + 2 \Phi^A \Phi_B^{\dagger} \Psi_A \Psi^{\dagger B} \right] \\ &- \epsilon^{ABCD} \Phi_A^{\dagger} \Psi_B \Phi_C^{\dagger} \Psi_D + \epsilon_{ABCD} \Phi^A \Psi^{\dagger B} \Phi^C \Psi^{\dagger D} \right], \end{split}$$

Effective action

- > Classical moduli: $\Phi_{ab}^A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_a^A + i\phi_a^{A+4})\delta_{ab}, \quad \Psi_{ab} = 0$
- > Dominant configuration: $\beta | \phi_a \phi_b |^2 \gg 1$ (The exponent is changed, since mass dims of scalars are changed.)

Classical action

$$S_{\rm ABJM}^{\rm classical} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d\tau d^2 x \sum_{a} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} \phi_a^I \partial_{\mu} \phi_a^I \right)$$

► I-loop effective action at T=0 $\int starts \text{ from } (\partial \phi)^4$ $S_{ABJM,T=0}^{\text{one-loop}} \sim -\int d\tau d^2x \sum_{a < b} \left(\frac{\{\partial(\phi_a - \phi_b)\partial(\phi_a - \phi_b)\}^2}{|\phi_a - \phi_b|^6} \right) + \dots$

[Baek, Hyun, Jang, Yi '08]

Reproduction of SUGRA results

> Conditions: They are almost the same, but changed. (since, again, the scalars have different mass dims.) e.g. $\beta \phi^2 \gg 1$

> Assumptions: They remain the same.

 $\succ \text{Estimation:} \quad S_{\text{ABJM}}^{\text{classical}} \sim S_{\text{ABJM},T=0}^{\text{one-loop}} \sim S_{\text{ABJM}}$ $S_{\text{ABJM}}^{\text{classical}} \sim \int d^2 x \frac{kN}{\beta} \phi^2 \,, \quad S_{\text{ABJM},T=0}^{\text{one-loop}} \sim \int d^2 x \frac{N^2}{\beta^3 \phi^2}$

Results

$$F_{\text{ABJM}} \sim S_{\text{ABJM}} / \beta \sim N^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{k} T^3 V_2 \qquad \phi \sim \frac{N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{k^{\frac{1}{4}} \beta^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Black M5-brane: 6d SCFT with some assumptions

0

Effective theory on M5-branes

- > 6d N=(2,0) SCFT
- The details have been not known yet.
- >Assumptions (to apply Smilga-Wiseman method)
- Kinetic term of scalar fields is $\sim \partial \Phi^I_{ab} \partial \Phi^I_{ba}$ The NxN matrices representing the collective motion of M5-branes in the transverse directions.

(There is no evidence to deny the scalars with matrix rep.)

Classical vacua have scalar moduli φ¹_a
 The diagonal components of matrices of scalar fields.
 This breaks the original gauge symmetry into U(1)^N.

Effective theory on M5-branes

- Besides, I-loop effective potential for the scalar moduli should start from (∂φ)⁴ for a small ∂φ and a long distance |φ_a φ_b|.
- It seems a natural assumption: due to supersymmetry, the quadratic terms should not receive quantum corrections.
- The contribution of each field in 1-loop effective action comes from quadratic fluctuation and should be of the form

$$\sum_{n} \sum_{a < b} \operatorname{Tr}' \left[\ln \left(-\partial^{i} \partial_{i} + \left(\frac{2\pi n}{\beta} \right)^{2} + \left| \phi_{a} - \phi_{b} \right|^{\mathsf{I}} + \ldots \right) \right]$$

Does it mean the three-scalar interactions on M5-branes...?



Effective action

- > Dominant configuration: $\beta \sqrt{|\phi_a \phi_b|} \gg 1$
- Classical action

$$S_{\rm M5}^{\rm classical} \sim \int d\tau d^5 x \sum_a \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi^I_a \partial_\mu \phi^I_a\right)$$

I-loop effective action at T=0

$$S_{\mathrm{M5},T=0}^{\mathrm{one-loop}} \sim -\int d\tau d^5 x \sum_{a$$

- Again, it starts from $(\partial \phi)^4$ terms due to supersymmetry.
- The exponent in the denominator can be determined by dimensional analysis.

Reproduction of SUGRA results

- Conditions and assumptions
- We impose them in a similar manner. e.g. $\beta\sqrt{\phi}\gg 1$

► Estimation: $S_{M5}^{classical} \sim S_{M5,T=0}^{one-loop}$ $S_{M5}^{classical} \sim \int d^5 x \frac{N}{\beta} \phi^2$, $S_{M5,T=0}^{one-loop} \sim \int d^5 x \frac{N^2 \phi}{\beta^3}$ ► Results

$$F_{\rm M5} \sim S_{\rm M5}/\beta \sim N^3 T^6 V_5 \qquad \phi \sim \frac{N}{\beta^2}$$

Conclusion and discussions

0

- All the results agree with SUGRA predictions through AdS/CFT correspondence.
- Nontrivial dependences on T and λ for D-branes and on N for M-branes are perfectly reproduced.
- Then we can believe Smilga-Wiseman method captures the dynamics of branes.
- The assumptions in this method should be justified in the future works. Especially...
- Relation of temperature and derivative: $\partial \phi \sim T \phi$
- Higher loop contributions
- Interaction terms in M5-brane theory

Comments on O(N²) d.o.f.s

- In D-brane theory, the free energy should be O(N²) both at weak and strong couplings.
- According to Smilga-Wiseman method, the dynamics of N classical moduli dominates at strong coupling region.
- The 't Hooft limit seems to ensure $O(N^2)$ free energy.
- > In M-brane theory, 't Hooft limit cannot be taken.
- Smilga-Wiseman method can be applied to M-brane systems, and reproduce the exotic N-dependences.
- This may mean that M-theory dynamics is not special, but the 't Hooft limit in superstrings is special.