

# Solving Mass-deformed Holography Perturbatively

Nakwoo Kim

Kyung Hee University, SEOUL KOREA

Strings and Fields 2019, YITP Kyoto

*Based on 1902.00418 (NK)*

*1904.02038, 1904.05344 (NK, Se-Jin Kim)*

# Introduction

- This talk will be about a recent progress on supergravity computation, to be compared with large- $N$  result of supersymmetric localization for gauge theory, related via AdS/CFT.
- We will address examples in various dimensions: from  $D = 3$  to  $D = 5$  mass-deformed CFTs.
- It is about relations between multi-variable integrals (CFT) and solutions of non-linear ODEs (AdS) through holography.

# Plan

- ① AdS4 : Mass deformation of ABJM to  $\mathcal{N} = 2$
- ② AdS5 : Mass deformation of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM to  $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$  and  $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$
- ③ AdS6 : Mass deformation of Brandhuber-Oz theory

# Holography of ABJM with mass deformation

## Localization: the case of $S^3$

- For concreteness and simplicity let us first deal with the path integral for ABJM model.
- To recall, it is the theory on M2-branes and dual to M-theory in  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  background.
- Chern-Simons-matter theory with gauge group  $U(N) \times U(N)$ , CS levels  $(k, -k)$  and quartic superpotential for 4 bi-fundamental chiral multiplets.
- $k \neq 1$  leads to orbifolding:  $S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k$  and susy from  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  to  $\mathcal{N} = 6$ .
- $S^3$  localization for partition function and Wilson loops developed by [Kapustin, Willett, Yaakov](#) (2009) and later generalized to less susy or different backgrounds such as squashed sphere [Jafferis](#) (2010) [Hama, Hosomichi, Lee](#) (2011), general  $U(1)$  fibration over Riemann surface etc [Closset, Kim, Willett](#) (2017).

# ABJM partition function

- $Z$  as a function of  $N_1, N_2, k$  and an **ordinary** integral over eigenvalues.

$$Z_{ABJM} = \frac{1}{N_1! N_2!} \int \prod_i^{N_1} \frac{d\mu_i}{2\pi} \prod_j^{N_2} \frac{d\nu_j}{2\pi} e^{\frac{ki}{4\pi} (\sum \mu_i^2 - \sum \nu_j^2)} \frac{\prod_{i < j} (2 \sinh(\mu_i - \mu_j))^2 \prod_{i < j} (2 \sinh(\nu_i - \nu_j))^2}{\prod_{i,j} (2 \cosh(\mu_i - \nu_j)/2)^2}$$

- Drukker, Marino, Putrov** (2010,2011): The integral at hand is related to Lens space matrix model whose exact solution is already known: Shown that  $F \equiv -\log |Z| \sim k^{1/2} N^{3/2}$ . (free energy)
- For more general cases (e.g. with less susy), one can employ the matrix model technique developed by **Herzog, Klebanov, Pufu, Tesileanu** (2010) and others including **Martelli, Sparks, Cheon, Kim, Kim, Jafferis, Klebanov, Pufu, Safdi** (2011)

# F-maximization

- More precisely, the action depends on the R-charge assignments of chiral multiplets which then affects the free energy.
- The correct free energy is obtained via F-maximization, as a function of R-charge  $\Delta$ .
- For ABJM,  $F = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi N^{3/2}}{3} \sqrt{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4}$  with constraint  $\sum \Delta_i = 2$ .
- **Question:** Can we extend the correspondence for general  $\Delta$ ?
- This involves **non-conformal** holography, since there are  $\Delta$ -dependent mass terms in field theory action.

# Sugra dual of ABJM on $S^3$

- Euclidean action with 3 complex scalars and BPS equations obtained from  $D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 8$  sugra. [Freedman, Pufu \(2013\)](#)

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi G_4} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[ -\frac{1}{2}R + \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \frac{\partial_\mu z^\alpha \partial^\mu \bar{z}^\alpha}{(1 - z^\alpha \bar{z}^\alpha)^2} + \frac{1}{L^2} \left( 3 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \frac{2}{1 - z^\alpha \bar{z}^\alpha} \right) \right]$$

- Metric in conformal gauge  $ds^2 = e^{2A}(dr^2/r^2 + ds^2(S^3))$

$$r(1 + \bar{z}^1 \bar{z}^2 \bar{z}^3) z^{\alpha'} = (\pm 1 - rA')(1 - z^\alpha \bar{z}^\alpha) \left( z^\alpha + \frac{\bar{z}^1 \bar{z}^2 \bar{z}^3}{\bar{z}^\alpha} \right),$$

$$r(1 + z^1 z^2 z^3) \bar{z}^{\alpha'} = (\mp 1 - rA')(1 - z^\alpha \bar{z}^\alpha) \left( \bar{z}^\alpha + \frac{z^1 z^2 z^3}{z^\alpha} \right),$$

$$-1 = -r^2(A')^2 + e^{2A} \frac{(1+z^1 z^2 z^3)(1+\bar{z}^1 \bar{z}^2 \bar{z}^3)}{\prod_{\beta=1}^3 (1-z^\beta \bar{z}^\beta)}.$$



# Exact solutions and holographic free energy

- Scalars  $z^\alpha(r) = c_\alpha f(r)$ ,  $\tilde{z}^\alpha(r) = -\frac{c_1 c_2 c_3}{c_\alpha} f(r)$ , with  $f(r) = \frac{1-r^2}{1+c_1 c_2 c_3 r^2}$
- Metric  $e^{2A} = \frac{4r^2(1+c_1 c_2 c_3)(1+c_1 c_2 c_3 r^4)}{(1-r^2)^2(1+c_1 c_2 c_3 r^2)^2}$
- 3 integration constants, eventually related to  $\Delta_j$ .
- To evaluate holographic free energy, one evaluates on-shell action, add Gibbons-Hawking and counterterms, and through Legendre transformation w.r.t. UV asymptotics coefficients: the result matches with the field theory.
- **Freedman and Pufu** just presented the solution. Is there a general method to tackle similar problems?
- **Idea: Treat  $c_\alpha$  as small parameters and solve perturbatively!**

## Solving Perturbatively

- Introduce an expansion parameter  $\epsilon$  and write

$$z^\alpha(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k z_k^\alpha(r), \quad \tilde{z}^\alpha(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \tilde{z}_k^\alpha(r),$$

$$e^{2A(r)} = \frac{4r^2}{(1-r^2)^2} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k a_k(r) \right).$$

- Then at leading order,  $a_1$  should be zero (due to regularity) and

$$z_1^{\alpha'} + \frac{2r}{1-r^2} z_1^\alpha = 0, \quad \tilde{z}_1^{\alpha'} + \frac{2}{r(1-r^2)} \tilde{z}_1^\alpha = 0.$$

- $z_1^\alpha = c(1-r^2)$ ,  $\tilde{z}_1^\alpha = \tilde{c}(1-r^{-2})$ . We want regular solutions, so should set  $\tilde{c} = 0$ .
- Continuing this, one can construct the solutions found by Freedman and Pufu.

# Holography of Mass-deformed $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

## Mass-deformed $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM with (susy-compatible) mass terms for adjoint chiral multiplets are called  $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$  or  $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$  theories.
- For  $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$  localization formula is available and the large- $N$  limit gives [Pestun \(2007\)](#), [Buchel, Russo, Zarembo \(2013\)](#)

$$\frac{d^3 F_{S^4}}{d(ma)^3} = -2N^2 \frac{ma(m^2 a^2 + 3)}{(m^2 a^2 + 1)^2}$$

- The supergravity dual of  $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$  on  $S^4$  was constructed by [Bobev, Elvang, Freedman, Pufu \(2013\)](#) considering a subsector of  $\mathcal{N} = 8, D = 5$  gauged supergravity.

BPS system for sugra dual of  $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$  SYM

- Action

$$L = \frac{1}{16\pi G_5} \left[ -R + \frac{\partial_\mu \eta \partial^\mu \eta}{\eta^2} + \frac{4\partial_\mu z \partial^\mu \tilde{z}}{(1 - z\tilde{z})^2} + V \right]$$

$$V = -\frac{4}{L^2} \left( \frac{1}{\eta^4} + 2\eta^2 \frac{1 + z\tilde{z}}{1 - z\tilde{z}} + \frac{\eta^8}{4} \frac{(z - \tilde{z})^2}{(1 - z\tilde{z})^2} \right)$$

- BPS equations

$$z' = \frac{3\eta'(z\tilde{z} - 1) [2(z + \tilde{z}) + \eta^6(z - \tilde{z})]}{2\eta [\eta^6(\tilde{z}^2 - 1) + \tilde{z}^2 + 1]}$$

$$\tilde{z}' = \frac{3\eta'(z\tilde{z} - 1) [2(z + \tilde{z}) - \eta^6(z - \tilde{z})]}{2\eta [\eta^6(z^2 - 1) + z^2 + 1]}$$

$$(\eta')^2 = \frac{[\eta^6(z^2 - 1) + z^2 + 1] [\eta^6(\tilde{z}^2 - 1) + \tilde{z}^2 + 1]}{9\eta^2(z\tilde{z} - 1)^2}$$

## UV asymptotics

- Unlike Mass-deformed ABJM, exact solutions are not available.
- One may resort to numerical solutions.
- Holographic renormalization requires UV expansion. Metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates  $ds^2 = d\rho^2/\rho^2 + e^{2f(\rho)} ds_{S^4}^2$  (BPS solutions, but not regular for general  $\mu, \nu$ )

$$e^{2f} = \frac{1}{4\rho^2} + \frac{1}{6}(\mu^2 - 3) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 \log^2 \rho)$$

$$\eta = 1 + \rho^2 \left[ -\frac{2\mu^2}{3} \log \rho + \frac{\mu(\mu + \nu)}{3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 \log^2 \rho)$$

$$(z + \bar{z})/2 = \rho^2(-2\mu \log \rho + \nu) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 \log^2 \rho)$$

$$(z - \bar{z})/2 = \mp \mu \rho \mp \rho^3 \left[ -\frac{4}{3} \mu(\mu^2 - 3) \log z + \frac{1}{3} (2\nu(\mu^2 - 3) + \mu(4\mu^2 - 3)) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\rho^5 \log^2 \rho)$$

# Holographic renormalization

- Following usual procedure of considering bulk action, Gibbons-Hawking term, and adding counterterms, one obtains that the scheme-independent part is

$$\frac{d^3 F}{d\mu^3} = -N^2 v''(\mu)$$

- Here the UV expansion of BPS equations do NOT fix a relation between  $\mu, v$ . It is determined as one imposes **regularity** at IR ( $r = 0$ ).
- The numerical results suggest  $v(\mu) = -2\mu - \mu \log(1 - \mu^2)$ , which is consistent with localization formula. [Bobev et al. \(2013\)](#)

## Applying perturbative approach

- We expand scalar/warp factor functions

$$z(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k z_k(r), \quad \tilde{z}(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \tilde{z}_k(r),$$

$$\eta(r) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \eta_k(r),$$

$$e^{A(r)} = \frac{2r}{1-r^2} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \epsilon^k a_k(r) \right).$$

- At leading order we have a coupled 1st order ODE of  $z_1, \tilde{z}_1$  which can be easily solved to give

$$z_1 = \frac{c_1(1-r^2)^2}{r^3} + \frac{c_2(1-r^2)}{r^3} \left[ 2r - (1-r^2) \log\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right) \right],$$

$$\tilde{z}_1 = \frac{c_1(1-r^2)^2}{r} - \frac{c_2(1-r^2)}{r} \left[ 2r + (1-r^2) \log\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right) \right].$$





- Imposing regularity at IR ( $r = 0$ ), we set  $c_1 = 0$ .  $\epsilon = \mu$  if  $c_2 = -1/8$ .





## Higher orders

- Going to higher orders is in principle straightforward, but it involves integration of complicated functions involving log, polylog etc.
- At 3rd order we checked indeed the coefficient of  $\mu^3$  is 1, but couldn't do the integration explicitly.
- We instead solved the ODEs at each order by series expansion, at  $r = 0$ . (IR regular)

## Plots from series expansion solutions



## Plots continued



$\mathcal{N} = 1^*$  results

- The supergravity side BPS equations are constructed in [Bobev, Elvang, Kol, Olson, Pufu \(2016\)](#) and they calculated a few coefficients in the series expansion of sphere free energy using numerical solutions.
- We applied our perturbative prescription and fixed the leading nontrivial order coefficients exactly. [NK, Se-Jin Kim \(2019\)](#)

$$F_{S^4}/N^2 = A_1(\mu_1^4 + \mu_2^4 + \mu_3^4) + A_2(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2)^2 \\ + B_1(\mu_1^6 + \mu_2^6 + \mu_3^6) + B_2(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2)^3 + B_3\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^8).$$

$$A_1 = (105 - 16\pi^4)/4200 \approx -0.346082$$

$$A_2 = (8\pi^4 - 315)/4200 \approx 0.110541$$

# Holography of mass deformed Brandhuber-Oz theory

## Mass deformation of an $AdS_6$ example

- Although YM theory is not renormalizable in  $D = 5$ , string theory implies there do exist superconformal field theories.
- massive IIA theory allows  $AdS_6$  solution as D4-D8-O8 system. Can be described using  $D = 6, F(4)$  gauged supergravity.
- Dual theory has  $USp(2N)$  gauged group with  $N_f$  matter hypermultiplets in fundamental rep, and one in antisymmetric tensor rep.  $N^{5/2}$  dof scaling matched using localization formula [Brandhuber, Oz \(1999\)](#) [Jafferis, Pufu \(2012\)](#).

$$F = -\frac{9\sqrt{2}\pi N^{5/2}}{5\sqrt{8 - N_f}}$$

- Can be uplifted to IIB solutions as well. [Hong, Liu, Mayerson \(2018\)](#) etc.

Sugra action for mass-deformed  $AdS_6/CFT_5$ 

- One can consider adding mass to matter in fundamental rep. Action and BPS equations found by [Gutperle, Kaidi, Raj \(2018\)](#)

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi G_6} \int d^6x \sqrt{g} \left( -\frac{1}{4} R + \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma + \frac{1}{4} G_{ij}(\phi) \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial^\mu \phi^j + V(\sigma, \phi^i) \right)$$

$$G_{ij} = \text{diag}(\cosh^2 \phi^1 \cosh^2 \phi^2 \cosh^2 \phi^3, \cosh^2 \phi^2 \cosh^2 \phi^3, \cosh^2 \phi^3, 1).$$

$$V(\sigma, \phi^i) = -g^2 e^{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{8} m e^{-6\sigma} \left[ -32g e^{4\sigma} \cosh \phi^0 \cosh \phi^1 \cosh \phi^2 \cosh \phi^3 + 8m \cosh^2 \phi^0 \right. \\ \left. + m \sinh^2 \phi^0 \left( -6 + 8 \cosh^2 \phi^1 \cosh^2 \phi^2 \cosh(2\phi^3) + \cosh(2(\phi^1 - \phi^2)) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \cosh(2(\phi^1 + \phi^2)) + 2 \cosh(2\phi^1) + 2 \cosh(2\phi^2) \right) \right] \quad (1)$$

## UV expansion

- UV expansion of BPS equations for  $\sigma, \phi^0, \phi^3$  subsystem contain three constants:  $\alpha, \beta, f_k$ .
- IR regularity restricts to a one-parameter family of solutions.
- Metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates:  $ds^2 = d\rho^2/\rho^2 + e^{2f(\rho)} ds_{S^5}^2$

$$f = -\log \rho + f_k - \left( \frac{1}{4} e^{-2f_k} + \frac{1}{16} \alpha^2 \right) \rho^2 + O(\rho^4),$$

$$\sigma = \frac{3}{8} \alpha^2 \rho^2 + \frac{1}{4} e^{f_k} \alpha \beta \rho^3 + O(\rho^4),$$

$$\phi^0 = \alpha \rho - \left( \frac{5}{4} \alpha e^{-2f_k} + \frac{23}{48} \alpha^3 \right) \rho^3 + O(\rho^4),$$

$$\phi^3 = e^{-f_k} \alpha \rho^2 + \beta \rho^3 + O(\rho^4).$$

- Holographic renormalization gives [Gutperle, Kaidi, Raj \(2018\)](#)

$$\frac{dF}{d\alpha} = \frac{\pi^2}{8G_6} \beta e^{4f_k} \left( 4 - \alpha \frac{df_k}{d\alpha} \right).$$



## Using perturbative approach

- In terms of conformal metric,  $e^{2A}(dr^2/r^2 + ds^2(S^5))$  we find that at all orders the solutions are given as polynomials of  $r$  ( $0 \leq r \leq 1$ )
- Although we don't see a simple pattern from the solutions and sum the series, it turns out  $e^{f_k(\alpha)} = 1/2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\alpha) = & -4\alpha - \frac{\alpha^3}{2} + \frac{\alpha^5}{32} - \frac{\alpha^7}{256} + \frac{5\alpha^9}{8192} - \frac{7\alpha^{11}}{65536} + \frac{21\alpha^{13}}{1048576} - \frac{33\alpha^{15}}{8388608} + \frac{429\alpha^{17}}{536870912} \\ & - \frac{715\alpha^{19}}{4294967296} + \frac{2431\alpha^{21}}{68719476736} - \frac{4199\alpha^{23}}{549755813888} + \frac{29393\alpha^{25}}{17592186044416} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

- It is the same as  $\beta(\alpha) = -4\alpha\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2/4}$  !
- So in this example, although we could not find exact solutions of BPS equations, we can exactly compute holographic free energy.

## Comparison with field theory

- Free energy:  $F(\alpha) - F(0) = \frac{\pi^2}{3G_6} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \right)^{3/2} \right]$
- Field theory gives:  

$$F(\mu) = \frac{\pi}{135} \left( (N_f - 1) |\mu|^5 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{8 - N_f}} (9 + 2\mu^2)^{5/2} \right) N^{5/2}$$
- Since the mass term is subleading in  $1/N$ , we expect they should match only at leading order in  $\mu \sim \alpha$ .
- We find  $\mu/\alpha = \frac{3\sqrt{30}}{20} \approx 0.821584$  and agrees reasonably well with the numerical analysis of Gutperle et al.

# Discussions

- Perturbative prescription of AdS/CFT on supergravity side works well, when the worldvolume is curved (sphere or hyperbolic space)
- Can apply to a number of other examples of AdS/CFT
  - mABJM (dual of  $SU(3) \times U(1)$  symmetric point in  $D = 4$  supergravity): obtained when one integrates out a chiral multiplet in ABJM.  $F = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} N^{3/2} \sqrt{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3}$ , proven holographically when there is a specific relation between UV parameters. [Bobev, Min, Pilch, Rosso \(2018\)](#) [NK, S-J Kim \(2019\)](#)
  - Janus, Black Holes etc. (future work)