Higher-form symmetry and eigenstate thermalization hypothesis

Osamu Fukushima

Kyoto University

Aug. 8, 2023

場の理論と弦理論, 基礎物理学研究所

Based on arXiv: 2305.04984

[O.F., Ryusuke Hamazaki]

Introduction

- **Thermalization (Relaxation to thermal equilibrium):**

  Transition from atypical states to typical states

  $\langle\psi|\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle \neq \mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{O}\,\rho_{\mathrm{thermal}})$ $\langle\psi|\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle = \mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{O}\,\rho_{\mathrm{thermal}})$

➡ Universal property in (quantum/classical) many-body systems

However, it is quite nontrivial:

- what kind of systems and which initial states relax to equilibrium,
- what statistical ensembles are realized, if the system thermalizes.

Thermalization process highly depends on datailed data in general.

Introduction

Motivation in this talk

Nontrivial thermalization process in quantum field theories

- How does higher-form symmetry affect thermalization?

  Consequence for real-time evolution

- What kind of observables distinguish nontrivial thermal equilibriums in the presence of non-local conserved quantities(*)?

  It is well-known that local conserved quatities leads to nontrivial thermal ensembles.

Introduction

Thermalization in isloated quantum systems:

Quantum systems without diffusion exhibit unitary time evolutions.

$\underset{?}{\Longrightarrow}$ Non-thermal states remain non-thermal after time evolution?

- A way to understand this point: consider expectation values of operators

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \overline{\langle \psi(t)|\mathcal{O}|\psi(t)\rangle} = \mathrm{tr}(\,\mathcal{O}\ \rho_{\mathrm{thermal}}\,)$$

Time average

Statistical average
at thermal equilibrium

Sufficient condition:

(strong) Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis (ETH)
[Deutsch, Phys. Rev. A 43(1991); Srednicki, Phys. Rev. E 50(1994)…]

⇐ *All eigenstates are thermal.*

Introduction

Our work

- If a $(d+1)$-dimensional QFT with a p-form symmetry satisfies some reasonable conditions(*), we showed:
  $(d$-$p)$-dimensional observable detects the ETH-violation.

  ➡ The system does not necessarily relax to the standard canonical ensemble.

- We numerically demonstrated the argument above in the case of (2+1)-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory

  In particular, the resulting thermal equilibrium is described by a Generalized Gibbs ensemble(GGE) taking account of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 1-form symmetry.

Note: The (2+1)-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory enjoys the electric $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 1-form symmetry, and satisfies the conditions(*).

Contents

Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis

In general, the followings depend on the detail of the system and initial states:
①Does every initial state relax to some stationary state?

$$\delta\mathcal{O}^2 := \overline{\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle^2} - \left(\overline{\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle}\right)^2 \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ t \to \infty$$

②If so, is the long-time average described by a thermal ensemble?

$$\overline{\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle} \simeq \langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_{\mathrm{eq}}$$



① ⇐ non-diagonal ETH
② ⇐ diagonal ETH

* We use the terminology "ETH", referring to diagonal ETH in this talk.

Once you assume the ETH, thermalization occurs regardless of the initial conditions…

Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis

Let $|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha\,|E_\alpha\rangle$ ($H|E_\alpha\rangle = E_\alpha|E_\alpha\rangle$: energy eigenstates),
(No degeneracy for the Hamiltonian is assumed.)

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}\rangle} = \sum_\alpha |c_\alpha|^2 \langle E_\alpha|\mathcal{O}|E_\alpha\rangle$$

(Diagonal) ETH

$$\boxed{\langle E_\alpha|\mathcal{O}|E_\alpha\rangle(E) \simeq \mathrm{tr}(\,\mathcal{O}\,\rho_{\text{micro canonical}}(E))}$$



[Deutsch, 1805.01616]

e.g.) Hard core boson:

blue: integrable ⇨ ETH ✗

red: non-integrable ⇨ ETH ✓

Higher-form symmetry

Topological operator

- p-form symmetry is characterized by

  a codimension-$(p+1)$ (unitary) symmetry operator.

  In $(d+1)$-dimensional QFTs,

$$\langle U_\alpha(C)W(\tilde{C})\ldots\rangle = e^{i\alpha q}\langle W(\tilde{C})\ldots\rangle$$

Symmetry operator: $(d-p)$-dimensional

Charged operator: p-dimensional



Correlation functions are invariant under the continuous deformation of C.

- Higher-form symmetry has a G group structure (G: abelian group)

$$U_\alpha(C)U_\beta(C) = U_{\alpha+\beta}(C)$$

Higher-form symmetry

Action on Hilbert space

- We consider actions of $U_\alpha(C)$ and $W(\tilde{C})$ on the Hilbert space (space-like symmetry [Goranta-Lam-Seiberg-Shao, 2201.10589]):



$$U_\alpha^\dagger(C_x)W(C_y)U_\alpha(C_x) = e^{iq\alpha}W(C_y) \qquad C_x, C_y \subset \mathcal{M}\text{: space manifold}$$

Topological nature of U_α $\Rightarrow$ $[H, U_\alpha] = 0$

ETH breaking by higher-form symmetry

Setup

We consider a $(d+1)$-dimensional QFT on a spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M}\times\mathbb{R}$.
The Hamiltonian H is non-degenerate.
The system exhibits a p-form symmetry with the symmetry operator $U_\alpha(C)$.

Main claim

The operator $U_\alpha(\gamma)$ or $U_\alpha(\bar{\gamma})$ (or both) breaks the ETH if some reasonable assumptions(*) are satisfied.
$\gamma, \bar{\gamma}$: $(d-p)$-d manifold with boundary, s.t. $\gamma \cup \bar{\gamma} = \tilde{C} \subset \mathcal{M}$

e.g.) $\mathcal{M} = T^2$



The result implies that the operator $U(\gamma)/U(\bar{\gamma})$ may not relax to the standard canonical ensemble.

ETH breaking by higher-form symmetry

Main claim

The operator $U_\alpha(\gamma)$ or $U_\alpha(\bar{\gamma})$ (or both) breaks the ETH if some reasonable assumptions(*) are satisfied. $(\gamma \cup \bar{\gamma} = \tilde{C} \subset \mathcal{M})$

Assumptions:

i) The operator $U_\alpha(\tilde{C})$ can be decomposed as $U_\alpha(\tilde{C}) = U_\alpha(\gamma)U_\alpha(\bar{\gamma})$.

ii) There exist energy eigenstates $|E_n\rangle, |E_m\rangle$, with $E_n, E_m \in [E, \delta E]$, s.t. $\langle E_n|U_\alpha(\tilde{C})|E_n\rangle \neq \langle E_m|U_\alpha(\tilde{C})|E_m\rangle$.

iii) The microcanonical average $\langle U_\alpha(\tilde{C})\rangle_{\mathrm{mc}}^{\delta E} \neq 0$.



ETH breaking by higher-form symmetry

Comments

- The result is especially nontrivial for $p \geq 1$, since the ETH-violation is not due to the smallness of "bath."



$p = 1$ $\quad$ $p = 0$

- Generalization is possible:
  $A(g)U_\alpha(\gamma)$ or $A(g)^\dagger U_\alpha(\bar{\gamma})$ violates the ETH.

  $A(g)$: operator defined on a region $g(\subset \mathcal{M}\ )$ with $g \cap \bar{\gamma} = \phi$

  ➡ Many ETH-violatin operators for a fixed γ.

ETH breaking in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory

Model (2+1)-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory

The space manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is 2-torus T^2

With $\mathbb{Z}_2$ electric 1-form symmetry

Hamiltonian [Fradkin-Susskind, Phys. Rev. D, 17(1978)]

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = -\sum_{p\in \text{plaquette}} \lambda_p B_p - \sum_{b\in\text{link}} \lambda_b \sigma_b^x$$

$$B_p := \prod_{b\in\text{plaquette } p} \sigma_b^z$$

Physical Hilbert space

Gauss law constraint:

$$Q_v := \prod_{\substack{b:\ \text{spatial link} \\ b\ni v}} \sigma_b^x = 1$$

Constraint on physical Hilbert space



ETH breaking in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory

- Wilson line ⇦ Charged object under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 1-form symmetry

$$W(C) \coloneqq \prod_{b\in C} \sigma_b^z \qquad \Rightarrow W(C)^2 = 1$$

- Symmetry operator ('t Hooft operator)

$$U(C^*) \coloneqq \prod_{b^*\in C^*} \sigma_{b^*}^x \qquad \Rightarrow U(C^*)^2 = 1$$





ETH breaking in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory

<u>Symmetry operator can have endpoints</u>

$$U(\overline{\gamma_x}) \coloneqq \prod_{b^* \in \overline{\gamma_x}} \sigma_{b^*}^x$$

$\overline{\gamma_x}$: open curve

$\gamma_x \cup \overline{\gamma_x} = C_x$: x-cycle



Numrtical calculation for 5×3 lattice



$U(\gamma)$ (local operator) $U(\bar{\gamma})$ (non-local operator)

The ETH for the 1-dimensional operator is broken.

ETH breaking in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory

Other operators



$U(\gamma)$ (local operator)



$U(\bar{\gamma})$ (non-local operator)



Plaquette operator B_p



Double Wilson lines $W(C_x^1)W(C_x^2)$

Generalized Gibbs ensemble for higher-form symmetry

- What is Generalized Gibbs ensemble(GGE)?

GGE is originally introduced for integrable spin chain.

[Pozsgay, 1304.5374; Ilievski et al., 1507.02993;…]

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\mathrm{GGE}} = \mathrm{tr}\, \mathcal{O}\, \rho(\beta, \{\lambda_i\})$$

$$\rho(\beta, \{\lambda_i\}) \coloneqq e^{-\beta H - \sum_i \lambda_i Q_i} / Z(\beta, \{\lambda_i\}),$$
$$Z(\beta, \{\lambda_i\}) \coloneqq \mathrm{tr}\, e^{-\beta H - \sum_i \lambda_i Q_i}$$

Q_i: (quasi-)local conserved quantity
λ_i: "chemical potential"

For integrable systems, GGE is realized as a thermal equilibrium.

Generalized Gibbs ensemble for higher-form symmetry

- GGE for $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\mathrm{GGE}} = \mathrm{tr}\, \mathcal{O}\, \rho(\beta, \{\lambda_i\})_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

$$\rho(\beta, \{\lambda_i\})_{\mathbb{Z}_2} := e^{-\beta H_{\mathbb{Z}_2} - \lambda_1 P_x - \lambda_2 P_x H_{\mathbb{Z}_2}} / Z(\beta, \{\lambda_i\})_{\mathbb{Z}_2},$$
$$Z(\beta, \{\lambda_i\})_{\mathbb{Z}_2} := \mathrm{tr}\, e^{-\beta H_{\mathbb{Z}_2} - \lambda_1 P_x - \lambda_2 P_x H_{\mathbb{Z}_2}}$$

$P_x = \frac{1 - U(C_x)}{2}$: projection to the sector $U(C_x) = 1$

To specify $\langle P_x H_{\mathbb{Z}_2} P_x \rangle$, $\langle (1 - P_x) H_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (1 - P_x) \rangle$, and $\langle P_x \rangle$ for a given state, three chemical potentials are needed.

Generalized Gibbs ensemble for higher-form symmetry

- Numerical analysis of time-evolution

The thermal ensemble for $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory is given by GGE.



Initial state: eigenstate of $U(\gamma_x)$ / $U(\overline{\gamma_x})$ $= 1$,with $E \in [-5.0, -3.0]$.

Summary and outlook

- Higher-form symmetry affects thermalization.
- Systems with a discrete symmetry also relax to the GGE.

In the case of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory

- The ETH for dipole-exciting operator $\underbrace{U(\bar{\gamma})}_{\text{1-dimensional}}$ is broken.
- Thermal equilibrium is given by the GGE taking account of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 1-form symmtry rather than the canonical ensemble.

Outlook

- Effect on entanglement spectrum
- Implication to finite-temperature phase transition
- Demonstration for other QFTs

  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ gauge theory, U(1) gauge theory, SU(N) gauge theory…

  superconducter, super fluid…

Etc…