

# U(n) Dyadic Tamm-Dancoff Equation based on a Matrix-Valued Generator Coordinate

Centro de Física Teórica, Universidade de Coimbra 3000-Coimbra, Portugal  
 Department of Applied Science, Graduate School of Science,  
 Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan  
 Seiya NISHIYAMA, Hiroyuki MORITA and Hiromasa OHNISHI  
 E-mail: nishiyama@fteor6.fis.uc.pt, nishiyama@cc.kochi-u.ac.jp

The Tamm-Dancoff (TD) method is a standard procedure of solving the Schrödinger equation of fermion many-body systems. It, however, meets a serious difficulty when an instability occurs in the symmetry adapted ground state of the independent particle approximation (IPA) and the stable IPA ground state becomes of broken symmetry. If one uses the stable but broken symmetry IPA ground state as the starting approximation, approximate TD wave functions also become of broken symmetry. However, if we start from a symmetry adapted but unstable wave function, the convergence of the TD expansion becomes bad. To eliminate such a dilemma, in [1] we have provided a symmetry projected  $U(n)$  TD equation suitable for description of a strong collective correlation

$$\sum_{\rho'} \sum_{(b_{1j_1}) < \dots < (b_{\rho'j_{\rho'}})} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}_{a_1 i_1 \dots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}} \mathcal{D}^{\rho'*}_{b_{1j_1} \dots b_{\rho'j_{\rho'}}} \left\{ H_{KK}^I(p^*, p) - E_{\omega}^I S_{KK}^I(p^*, p) \right\} \mathcal{C}_{K\omega, b_{1j_1} \dots b_{\rho'j_{\rho'}}}^I = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} H_{KK}^I(g, g) &= \langle \phi_m | U^{\dagger}(g) H | \Phi_{KK}^I(g) \rangle = \int D_{KK}^{I*}(s) H(g, sg) ds = H_{KK}^I(p^*, p) |\Phi_{00}(g)|^2, \\ S_{KK}^I(g, g) &= \langle \phi_m | U^{\dagger}(g) | \Phi_{KK}^I(g) \rangle = \int D_{KK}^{I*}(s) S(g, sg) ds = S_{KK}^I(p^*, p) |\Phi_{00}(g)|^2, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

where the  $\rho$ th-order covariant differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_{a_1 i_1 \dots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\rho}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_{a_1 i_1 \dots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\rho} \equiv \mathcal{A}(e_{a_1 i_1} \dots e_{a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}) + \mathcal{A}(e_{a_2 i_2} \dots e_{a_{\rho} i_{\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a_1 i_1}^{\dagger}}) + \dots + \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a_1 i_1}^{\dagger}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\dagger}}\right). \quad (3)$$

The coset variable  $p'$  in the  $g'$  frame is related to the coset variable  $p$  in the  $g$  frame as

$$p' = p + q(1 + e^* q)^{-1}, \quad q \equiv (\bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C})^{-1} \overset{\circ}{p} (Cw)^{-1}, \quad e \equiv -p^{\text{T}}(1 + p^* p^{\text{T}})^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

whose transformation rule causes the non-Euclidian properties of the coset variables because the coset variables (the geminals) are quantities defined on the non-commutative  $U(n)$  group, which belong to the Grassmann manifold  $U(n)/(U(m) \times U(n-m))$ . Equation (4) makes a crucial role to deduct group theoretically a new dyadic TD equation which is expressed in a higher order differential equation with respect to the geminal particle-hole coset variables  $p_{ia}$  [2,3], as is seen in the above formula (3).

We expand the state  $|IK\omega\rangle$  as,

$$|IK\omega\rangle = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{(b_{1j_1}) < \dots < (b_{\rho j_{\rho}})} \Gamma_{K\omega, b_{1j_1} \dots b_{\rho j_{\rho}}}^I |\Phi_{b_{1j_1} \dots b_{\rho j_{\rho}}}^{\rho}(\tilde{g})\rangle, \quad (5)$$

which is just the dyadic TD expansion of the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian  $H$ . The  $|\Phi_{b_{1j_1} \dots b_{\rho j_{\rho}}}^{\rho}(\tilde{g})\rangle$  is the TD basis with  $\rho$  particle-hole pairs in a physical fermion space. We make an approximation to the projected  $U(n)$  TD expansion of (5) up to the first order and determine simultaneously both the expansion coefficients and the coset variable  $p$  in Eq. (1).

This work was supported by the Portuguese Project PRAXIS XXI. S.N. was supported by the Portuguese program PRAXIS XXI/BCC/4270/94 organized by Prof. J. da Providência.

## References

- [1] S. Nishiyama, H. Morita and H. Ohnishi, to appear in J. Phys. **A** Math. Gen. (2004).
- [2] H. Fukutome, Prog. Theor. Phys. **60** (1978) 1624; **65** (1981) 809.
- [3] S. Nishiyama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E8** (1999) 461.