



# $AdS_5$ Black Hole Entropy Without SUSY

**Finn Larsen**

University of Michigan and Leinweber Center for Theoretical Physics

Yukawa ITP (Kyoto), May 30, 2019 .

# Microscopics of Black Hole Entropy

- The Bekenstein-Hawking area law for black hole entropy:

$$S = \frac{A}{4G_N} .$$

- In ***favorable cases*** string theory offers a ***statistical*** interpretation of the entropy  $S = \ln \Omega$ : specific constituents, ...
- Precise agreements were found ***in the classical limit*** but also beyond: ***higher derivative*** corrections, ***quantum*** corrections, ...
- These developments are among the ***most prominent successes*** of string theory as a ***theory of quantum gravity***.

# AdS<sub>5</sub> Holography

- The best studied example of holography:  
*String theory on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>* is dual to *N=4 SYM* in  $D = 4$ .
- *Microscopic details* well understood (Quantum Field Theory!)
- The *classical* entropy of black holes in AdS<sub>5</sub> is a *crude target*: just the asymptotic density of states.
- Yet: *no quantitative agreements* have been established in this context.

# Recent Progress?

Several groups claimed *precise agreements* between entropy of *supersymmetric* AdS<sub>5</sub> black holes and the spectrum of N=4 SYM:

- Cabo-Bizet, Cassani, Martelli, and Murthy 1810.11442.
- Choi, Kim, Kim, and Nahmgoong 1810.12067.
- Benini and Milan 1811.04017.

But: they *do not agree with each other* and they are *unclear about relation to previous negative results*.

# This Talk (Draft Plan)

One option:

- Review recent (and not so recent) work *authoritatively*.
- Also *add generalizations* and nuanced insights.
- Bonus: *jokes* about errors and misunderstandings (by others).

Drawbacks:

- *Technicalities* of subject not central to this workshop.
- Disclosure: many *aspects remain confusing to me*.

# Actual Talk

Goals:

- Study  $\text{AdS}_5$  black holes *away from the supersymmetric* limit.
- Connect formal developments in string theory to physical regime *central to this workshop*.
- Simple model for *microscopic description of  $\text{AdS}_5$*  black holes.
- Along the way: *critical review* of some work in the area.

Drawback:

- Legitimate questions about foundations.

FL+ Jun Nian, Yangwenxiao Zeng (work supported by DoE).

# Quantum Numbers

- Geometry:  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  has a (SUSY extension of)  $SO(2, 4) \times SO(6)$  symmetry.
- Fields in  $SO(2, 4)$  representations:  
*conformal weight  $E$ , angular momenta  $J_{a,b}$ .*
- Fields in  $SO(6)$  representations: R-charges  $Q_I$  with  $I = 1, 2, 3$ .
- So asymptotic data of black holes in  $\text{AdS}_5$ :  
*Mass  $M$ , Angular momenta  $J_{a,b}$  and 3  $U(1)$  charges  $Q_I$ .*

# Classical Black Holes

- General solution (Wu 2011) .

**Independent** mass  $M$ , angular momenta  $J_{a,b}$ ,  $U(1)$  charges  $Q_I$ .  
**Not widely known** (and exceptionally complicated).

- BPS mass (“**ground state energy**”):  $M = \sum_I Q_I + g(J_a + J_b)$ .  
Notation: coupling of gauged supergravity is  $g = \ell_5^{-1}$ .
- General BPS **supersymmetric** solution: Gutowski+Reall 2005.
- Feature: quantum numbers  $Q_I, J_a, J_b$  are related by **a nonlinear constraint** so **rotation is mandatory**.
- Another feature: Only 2 SUSY’s preserved  $\frac{1}{16}$  **of maximal**.



# The Constraint on Charges

$$\frac{1}{2}N^2 J_a J_b + Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 = \left( (Q_1 Q_2 + Q_2 Q_3 + Q_1 Q_3) - \frac{1}{2}N^2 (J_a + J_b) \right) \times \left( \frac{1}{2}N^2 + (Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3) \right)$$

- Literature: black holes must have ***no closed timelike curves***

- Better:

$$M - M_{\text{BPS}} = M - \sum_I Q_I - g(J_a + J_b) = (\dots)^2 + (\dots)^2$$

BPS saturation gives  $(\dots)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow$  ***conditions give constraint.***

- But physics origin? null state condition from SUSY algebra??

# The Entropy

$$S = 2\pi \sqrt{Q_1 Q_2 + Q_2 Q_3 + Q_1 Q_3 - \frac{1}{2} N^2 (J_a + J_b)}$$

- $Q_I$  and  $J_{a,b}$  are **integral** charges.
- **Classical charges** are  $\sim N^2$  so the entropy is also  $\sim N^2$ .
- Flat space limit is nontrivial (bizarre) and not instructive.

# Deconfinement

- There are two scales:  $g = \ell_5^{-1}$  and  $G_5$  in the problem.
- They are **related as**  $\frac{\pi}{4G_5}\ell_5^3 = \frac{1}{2}N^2$   
(insert joke and/or cranky comment about practice in literature).
- The classical limit is  $Q_I, J_{a,b}, M \sim N^2 \gg 1$ .
- This is the **deconfinement phase**.
- Physics question: is the **low temperature phase** deconfined?

(Suspense)

# Beyond Supersymmetry

- *Two perturbative paths break supersymmetry.*
- Recall: *extremality* = lowest mass given the conserved charges.
- The obvious path to break extremality: *add energy* (keeping charges fixed).  
Description: *raise the temperature*  $T$  beyond  $T = 0$ .
- An *alternative path*: violate *constraint* by *adjusting charges* while preserving  $M = M_{\text{ext}}$ .
- Description: *“raise” potentials* (for R-charges and angular momentum) from the values required by BPS.

# Path I: Heat Capacity

- Black hole mass above BPS bound

$$M = M_{\text{BPS}} + \frac{1}{2}C_T T^2 .$$

- $C_T$  is the **heat capacity** (divided by temperature) of the black hole. (The region of SYK,....).
- Gravity computations give

$$\frac{C_T}{T} = \frac{8Q^3 + \frac{1}{4}N^4(J_1 + J_2)}{\frac{1}{4}N^4 + \frac{1}{2}N^2(6Q - J_1 - J_2) + 12Q^2}$$

- Physics of this quantity: (essentially) the central charge.  
A measure of the **number of degrees of freedom** in **low energy excitations**.

# Path II: Capacitance

- BPS saturation implies the constraint so it is violated if the ***constraint is not enforced***.
- Then the ***extremal black hole mass exceeds the BPS bound***:

$$M_{\text{ext}} = M_{\text{BPS}} + \frac{1}{2}C_{\varphi}\varphi^2 .$$

- $C_{\varphi}$  is the ***capacitance*** of the black hole. (The potential  $\varphi$  is defined precisely later)
- Gravity computations give

$$C_{\varphi} = \frac{8Q^3 + \frac{1}{4}N^4(J_1 + J_2)}{\frac{1}{4}N^4 + \frac{1}{2}N^2(6Q^2 + J_1 + J_2) + 12Q^2}$$

- ***Key observation***:  $C_{\varphi} = \frac{C_T}{T}$ .
- So: excitations violating the constraint “cost” the same as those violating the extremality bound!

# Upshot: Gravity Computations

- The gold standard of ground states: *supersymmetric*  $\equiv$  BPS.
- Somewhat mysteriously, BPS states must also satisfy a certain constraint.
- Excitations above the ground state “cost” energy  $\frac{C_T}{T}$  that depends on BH parameters.
- Violations of the constraint “cost” energy  $C_\varphi$  that depends on BH parameters.
- These two types of excitations “cost” the same energy *even though they are not obviously related*.

# Effective Field Theory: UV vs. IR

- All low energy (IR) parameters are ultimately due to UV (microscopic) considerations.
- However, the precise relation between UV and IR is inscrutable in most cases.
- Current setting: enough structure that it may be realistic to compute IR parameters from UV.  
Encouragement: IR parameters relative simple functions of UV parameters.
- Moreover: IR theory suggests a symmetry that may have a UV origin.



# A Supersymmetric Index

- The gravity regime corresponds to the strongly coupled regime of the dual gauge theory.
- Main idea for reliable analysis: **protected states**.
- **Preserved** supersymmetry allows construction of the **supersymmetric index**:

$$I = \text{Tr} [ (-)^F e^{-\Phi_I Q_I + \Omega_a J_a + \Omega_b J_b} ]$$

- The grading  $(-)^F$  computes (bosons - fermions) such that certain **protected states** will remain independent of coupling.
- Kinney, Maldacena, Minwalla, Raju (2005):  
**All** versions of the index is order  $\sim 1$  (not  $N^2$ ).  
**Not sensitive to black hole phase** (confined phase).

# Recent Claims

Claim: *protected* versions of partition functions increase as  $\sim N^2$ .

Methodology:

- Localization.
- Enumeration of Free Fields.
- Integrable Systems/localization.

There are similarities and differences between the reported results and several known errors.

# Central Point: Boundary Condition

- Euclidean path integral: *rotation becomes imaginary*.

- Boundary conditions are twisted:

$$(\tau, \phi, \psi) \equiv (\tau + \beta, \phi - i\Omega_a\beta, \psi - i\Omega_a\beta)$$

- The preserved spinor has *anti*periodic boundary conditions.

- SUSY requires *complex potentials*  $\Phi_I, \Omega_{a,b}$

$$\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3 - \Omega_a - \Omega_b = 2\pi i$$

- This was overlooked/not stressed by Kinney et.al.

(but considered in an appendix)

- This point is *technical but important*.

# SUSY Localization

- Upshot: ***exploit SUSY to compute path integral exactly.***
- Strategy: deform integrand (without changing integral).  
Pick deformation so saddle point “approximation” becomes exact.
- Result of SUSY localization:

$$\ln Z = \frac{N^2}{2} \frac{\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3}{\Omega_a \Omega_b}$$

Pro and con of SUSY localization:

- Pro: ***principled and very powerful.***
- Con: dominant saddle typically ***unphysical.***  
So computation is “magic”

# Alternative: Free Field Theory

- The theory: 2 gauge d.o.f. + 6 scalars + fermion superpartners.  
All of them with  $U(N)$  gauge indices.
- Single particle index (just  $U(1)$ ):

$$1 - \frac{\prod_I (1 - e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_I})}{(1 - e^{-\tilde{\Omega}_1})(1 - e^{-\tilde{\Omega}_2})}.$$

- Challenges: **multiple particle states** and  $U(N)$  indices.

# Analysis

Special Korean maneuver:

- **First** assume that the rotation is slow  $\Omega_a \ll \Phi_I$  (“Cardy Limit”)
- Argue (**assume**) that  $U(N)$  gauge indices just give a factor  $N^2$ .
- **Then** sum over multiparticle states
- Apply result for any  $\Omega_a$ .

Result of free field computation:

$$\ln Z = \frac{N^2 \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3}{2 \Omega_a \Omega_b}$$

# A “Miracle”

- Compute the entropy as the ***Legendre transform of the free energy*** (partition function  $\ln Z$  as function of the potentials).
- ***Reality condition*** on the resulting entropy gives the constraint.
- Moreover, the ***real part*** of the Legendre transform ***gives the correct black hole entropy***.
- The justification of these steps is dubious but ***they suggest a free field representation of the strongly coupled limit***.

# Historical Comments

- The *joint* representation of the black hole entropy and the constraint as the free energy

$$F = \frac{N^2 \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3}{2 \Omega_1 \Omega_2}$$

was known since '17 (Hosseini, Hristov, Zaffaroni).

- Recent derivations derive (find) the same answer \*.
- A more general formula for any  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  theory (the “*generalized SUSY Casimir*”)

$$F = \frac{16}{27} (3c - 2a) \frac{\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3}{\Omega_a \Omega_b}$$

- Outlook: the free field representation of the entropy may be justified for some purposes.



# Beyond Supersymmetry

- Assume result for SUSY partition function.
- Apply when constraint

$$\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3 - \Omega_a - \Omega_b = 2\pi i$$

is violated (by a little bit).

- Apply away from extremality  $T \neq 0$  (by a little bit)
- Result: leading order gives ***correct specific heat*** and ***capacitance***

# Protection With No SUSY

- Model: a *family of parameters* where free gas description applies.
- Each is protected by BPS, but “which” BPS varies over parameter space.
- Slow motion on parameter space also protected (at first order away from BPS.)
- Disclosure: work in progress.

# Final Comment

- Leading order away from BPS: nearAdS<sub>2</sub> limit.
- Much recent study (SYK,...) in the IR.
- My agenda: connect IR parameters to UV.