# Shape Derivatives of Entanglement Entropy and the Light Ray OPE

Adam Levine

Berkeley Center for Theoretical Physics

June 11, 2019

Based upon recent work:

Leichenauer, AL and Shahbazi-Moghaddam, arXiv:1802.02584. And forthcoming work: Balakrishnan, Chandrasekaran, Faulkner, AL and Shahbazi-Moghaddam, arXiv:1906.xx. Lots of recent progress has been made by connecting constraints from causality, quantum information theory and chaos to energy conditions.







# Averaged Null Energy Condition

Non-local bound on stress energy

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dv \, \langle \, {\mathcal{T}}_{vv}(u=0,v,y) 
angle \geq 0$$

# Averaged Null Energy Condition

Non-local bound on stress energy

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dv \, \langle \, {\mathcal{T}}_{vv}(u=0,v,y) 
angle \geq 0$$



u = x - t and v = x + t

Proved using a multitude of techniques. See [Faulkner et al. (2016)], [Hartman et al. (2016)]

# A Local Quantum Energy Condition

Can we say anything about local energy density?

# A Local Quantum Energy Condition

Can we say anything about local energy density?

The Quantum Null Energy Condition (QNEC)

$$\langle T_{vv}(y_0) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \frac{\delta S(\mathcal{R}(\lambda))}{\delta V(y_0)} \Big|_{V(y;\lambda)} \right)$$

$$S(\mathcal{R}(\lambda)) = -\operatorname{Tr}[
ho_{\mathcal{R}}\log 
ho_{\mathcal{R}}], \ 
ho_{\mathcal{R}} = \operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}\ket{\psi}ig\langle\psi
ight|$$

- Proof uses causality as well as methods from quantum information, quantum chaos...
- Connects energy and entanglement

Conjectured: [Bousso et al. (2015)]. Proofs: [Bousso et al. (2015)], [Koeller and Leichenauer (2015)], [Balakrishnan, Faulkner, Khandker and Wang (2017)]

# A Local Quantum Energy Condition

$$\left| \langle T_{vv}(y) \rangle \geq \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \frac{\delta S(\mathcal{R}(\lambda))}{\delta V(y)} \Big|_{V(y;\lambda)} \right) \right|$$

 $S(\mathcal{R}(\lambda)) = -\operatorname{Tr}[
ho_{\mathcal{R}}\log 
ho_{\mathcal{R}}], \ 
ho_{\mathcal{R}} = \operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}\ket{\psi}ra{\psi}$ 



#### Second Variations of the Entanglement Entropy



Let's look at second variations of the entanglement entropy with respect to the entangling surface position.

#### Second Variations of the Entanglement Entropy



Let's look at second variations of the entanglement entropy with respect to the entangling surface position.

$$rac{\delta^2 {\cal S}}{\delta X^\mu(y) \delta X^
u(y')} k^\mu k^
u = S^{\prime\prime}_{
u
u}(y) \delta^{d-2}(y-y') + {
m off}{
m -diagonal}$$

• S" stands for the "diagonal" variation of the entropy.

## Second Variations of the Entanglement Entropy

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

Let's look at second variations of the entanglement entropy with respect to the entangling surface position.

$$rac{\delta^2 S}{\delta X^\mu(y) \delta X^
u(y')} k^\mu k^
u = S^{\prime\prime}_{
u
u}(y) \delta^{d-2}(y-y') + ext{off-diagonal}$$

- S" stands for the "diagonal" variation of the entropy.
- Strong sub-additivity S(A) − S(AB) ≤ S(AC) − S(ABC) implies that the off-diagonal second variations are non-positive.

## The Diagonal QNEC



By making  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}V(y;\lambda) = \theta(\epsilon - |y - y_0|)$  and taking  $\epsilon \to 0$  can make the QNEC become

$$T_{
u
u}(y_0) \geq rac{1}{2\pi} rac{d}{d\lambda} \left( rac{\delta S}{\delta V(y_0)} 
ight) \Longrightarrow T_{
u
u} \geq rac{1}{2\pi} S_{
u
u}''$$

## The Diagonal QNEC



By making  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}V(y;\lambda) = \theta(\epsilon - |y - y_0|)$  and taking  $\epsilon \to 0$  can make the QNEC become

$$T_{vv}(y_0) \geq rac{1}{2\pi} rac{d}{d\lambda} \left( rac{\delta S}{\delta V(y_0)} 
ight) \Longrightarrow T_{vv} \geq rac{1}{2\pi} S_{vv}''$$

This is the "diagonal QNEC"

## Saturation of the Diagonal QNEC

$$S_{
m vv}^{\prime\prime}=2\pi\left\langle T_{
m vv}
ight
angle$$

- New strong evidence that this equality holds for all QFTs with an *interacting* UV fixed point.
- N.B. *interactions are key*; Not saturated in free fields! [Bousso et al. (2015)]
- $\implies$  The full (integrated) QNEC is a result of strong sub-additivity and *is not always saturated*.

#### Saturation of the Diagonal QNEC

$$S_{
u
u}^{\prime\prime}=2\pi\left\langle T_{
u
u}
ight
angle$$

- New strong evidence that this equality holds for all QFTs with an *interacting* UV fixed point.
- N.B. *interactions are key*; Not saturated in free fields! [Bousso et al. (2015)]

⇒ The full (integrated) QNEC is a result of strong sub-additivity and *is not always saturated*.

$$\mathsf{SSA} \implies \langle T_{\mathsf{vv}}(\mathsf{y}_0) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \frac{\delta S}{\delta V(\mathsf{y}_0)} \right)$$

Goal: Explicitly compute  $\frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta V(y) \delta V(y')}$  in a special class of states.

$$|\psi\rangle = |\Omega\rangle + i\lambda O_{\mathcal{R}} |\Omega\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$$

Goal: Explicitly compute  $\frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta V(y) \delta V(y')}$  in a special class of states.

$$|\psi\rangle = |\Omega\rangle + i\lambda O_{\mathcal{R}} |\Omega\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$$

₩

$$\rho_{\mathcal{R}} = \sigma_{\mathcal{R}} + \lambda \delta \rho + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)^2, \ \delta \rho \sim \sigma_{\mathcal{R}} O_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ for a flat } V(y) = 0 \text{ profile}$$

$$\frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta V(y) \delta V(y')} = \frac{\delta^2 \Delta S}{\delta V(y) \delta V(y')}$$

For such states, just expand  $\Delta S(\rho) = -Tr[\rho \log \rho] + Tr[\sigma \log \sigma]$ using BCH...

$$egin{aligned} \Delta S(
ho) &\sim - \langle \log \sigma_{\mathcal{R}} 
angle_{\psi} + \langle \log \sigma_{\mathcal{R}} 
angle_{\textit{vac}} \ &+ \lambda^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds rac{\langle O_{\mathcal{R}} e^{isK^{ ext{vac}}} O_{\mathcal{R}} 
angle_{\textit{vac}}}{\sinh^2((s - i\epsilon)/2)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \end{aligned}$$

[Faulkner, 1412.5648] where

What about for  $V \neq 0$ ? Need this case for entropy variations...

What about for  $V \neq 0$ ? Need this case for entropy variations...

$$egin{aligned} \Delta S[V(y)] &\sim - \left\langle \log \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}[V(y)] 
ight
angle_{\psi} + \left\langle \log \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}[V(y)] 
ight
angle_{vac} \ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds rac{\left\langle O_{\mathcal{R}} e^{isK^{ ext{vac}}[V(y)]} O_{\mathcal{R}} 
ight
angle}{\sinh^2((s-i\epsilon)/2)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \end{aligned}$$

where now

$$K^{\mathsf{vac}}[V(y)] = -\log \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}[V(y)] + \log \sigma_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}[V(y)]$$

#### Detour into Vacuum Modular Hamiltonians



Simple form for vacuum "modular Hamiltonian"  $-\log \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}[V(y)]$ 

$$- \langle \log \sigma_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle_{\psi} [V(y)] + \langle \log \sigma_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle_{vac} [V(y)] := \langle \Delta H_{\mathcal{R}}^{vac} \rangle_{\psi}$$
$$= 2\pi \int d^{d-2}y \int_{V(y)}^{\infty} dv (v - V(y)) \langle T_{vv} (u = 0, v, y) \rangle_{\psi}$$

$$\frac{\delta^2 \left\langle \Delta H_{\mathcal{R}}^{vac} \right\rangle}{\delta V(y) \delta V(y')} = \left\langle T_{vv}(y) \right\rangle \delta(y - y')$$

#### Detour into Vacuum Modular Hamiltonians



$$-\log \sigma_{\mathcal{R}} + \log \sigma_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}} = K_{vac}[V(y)]$$
$$= 2\pi \int d^{d-2}y \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv(v - V(y)) \langle T_{vv}(u = 0, v, y) \rangle_{\psi}$$

Again using BCH, two derivatives of the vacuum modular flow give:

$$\frac{\delta^2}{\delta V(y)\delta V(y')}e^{isK_{vac}[V(y)]} = (e^s - 1)^2 \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y)\hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y')e^{isK_{vac}[V(y)]}$$

Returning to our formula...

$$\Delta S[V(y)] \sim \langle \Delta H_{\mathcal{R}}^{vac} \rangle + \lambda^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \frac{\langle O_{\mathcal{R}} e^{i s \mathcal{K}^{vac}[V(y)]} O_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle}{\sinh^2((s - i\epsilon)/2)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$$

Returning to our formula...

$$\Delta S[V(y)] \sim \langle \Delta H_{\mathcal{R}}^{vac} \rangle + \lambda^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \frac{\langle O_{\mathcal{R}} e^{isK^{vac}[V(y)]} O_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle}{\sinh^2((s - i\epsilon)/2)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$$

Take two derivatives

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\delta^2 \Delta S}{\delta V(y) \delta V(y')} \sim \langle T_{vv} \rangle_{\psi} \, \delta(y - y') \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds e^{s} \, \langle O_{\mathcal{R}} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{+}(y) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{+}(y') e^{i s \mathcal{K}^{vac}[V(y)]} O_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \end{split}$$

Returning to our formula...

$$\Delta S[V(y)] \sim \langle \Delta H_{\mathcal{R}}^{vac} 
angle + \lambda^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds rac{\langle O_{\mathcal{R}} e^{isK^{vac}[V(y)]} O_{\mathcal{R}} 
angle}{\sinh^2((s-i\epsilon)/2)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$$

Take two derivatives

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\delta^2 \Delta S}{\delta V(y) \delta V(y')} \sim \langle T_{vv} \rangle_{\psi} \, \delta(y - y') \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds e^s \, \langle O_{\mathcal{R}} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y') e^{i s \mathcal{K}^{\text{vac}}[V(y)]} O_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \end{split}$$

Are there any delta functions in y - y' in the last term??



$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \; e^{s} \left< O_{\mathcal{R}} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{+}(y) \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{+}(y') e^{i s \mathcal{K}^{\mathsf{vac}}[V]} O_{\mathcal{R}} 
ight>$$

Take  $y \rightarrow y'$ : OPE?

## OPE of Averaged Null Energy Operators

[Hofman & Maldacena, 2008] considered this OPE. Recent work [Kologlu, Kravchuk, Simmons-Duffin, & Zhiboedov 2019].

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y)\hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y')\sim \sum_i rac{c_i\mathbb{O}'_{++}(y')}{|y-y'|^{2(d-2)- au_i(J=3)}}+(y ext{-descendants})$$

[Hofman & Maldacena, 2008] considered this OPE. Recent work [Kologlu, Kravchuk, Simmons-Duffin, & Zhiboedov 2019].

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y)\hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y')\sim \sum_i rac{c_i\mathbb{O}^i_{++}(y')}{|y-y'|^{2(d-2)- au_i(J=3)}}+(y ext{-descendants})$$

•  $\mathbb{O}_{++}^{i}$  should be thought of as the integral of a non-local spin-3 operator (e.g.  $\int dv \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \frac{\partial \phi(v) \partial \phi(v+s)}{(s+i\epsilon)^{2}}$ )

[Hofman & Maldacena, 2008] considered this OPE. Recent work [Kologlu, Kravchuk, Simmons-Duffin, & Zhiboedov 2019].

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y)\hat{\mathcal{E}}_+(y')\sim \sum_i rac{c_i\mathbb{O}^i_{++}(y')}{|y-y'|^{2(d-2)- au_i(J=3)}}+(y ext{-descendants})$$

- $\mathbb{O}_{++}^{i}$  should be thought of as the integral of a non-local spin-3 operator (e.g.  $\int dv \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \frac{\partial \phi(v) \partial \phi(v+s)}{(s+i\epsilon)^{2}}$ )
- For free theories, this OPE contains a delta function!
- For non-free theories (i.e. with a "twist gap"), delta function  $\rightarrow$  integrable power law divergence

- Argument for general states (displacement operator OPE on the twist defect)
- Argument from algebraic QFT