Shinsuke Kawai

SKKU, Suwon

APCTP YongPyong Winter School, February 2010

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ



- AdS/CFT
- AdS/CMT
- The ABJM model
- 2 Non-relativistic mass-deformed ABJM
 - Relativistic mass-deformed ABJM
 - The non-relativistic limit
 - Non-relativistic SUSY
- 3 BPS vortex solutions
 - The BPS equations
 - The exact vortex solutions
 - Examples
 - Preserved supersymmetry

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの



What is string theory?



What is string theory?

The theory of strong interaction

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

What is string theory?

■ The theory of strong interaction

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● のへで

What is string theory?

■ The theory of strong interaction

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● のへで

The theory of everything

What is string theory?

- The theory of strong interaction
- The theory of everything
- AdS/CFT: Logical completion of QFT (incl. QCD, Condensed Matter Systems...)

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

AdS/CFT correspondence

(Some) strongly coupled field theories have gravity duals [Maldacena]

■ Conformal group $SO(d, 2) \leftrightarrow AdS_{d+1}$ (Poincaré $M_{\mu\nu}, P_{\mu}$, Dilatation D, SCG K_{μ}) Poincaré coordinates are

$$ds^2 =
ho^2 \eta_{\mu
u} dx^\mu dx^
u + rac{d
ho^2}{
ho^2}$$

Dilatation is $D: x^{\mu} \mapsto \lambda x^{\mu}, \rho \mapsto \rho/\lambda$

Dictionary: [Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov] [Witten]

$$\langle e^{\int \phi_0 \mathcal{O}} \rangle = Z_{AdS}[\phi_0] \sim e^{-S[\phi_0]}$$

Boundary conditions \leftrightarrow states Variation of boundary conditions \leftrightarrow correlation functions ■ Radial coordinate ↔ energy scale ('holographic renormalization')



A realisation of holographic principle

Canonical example: $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in Type II B string theory $\leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in 4 dim $SO(4,2)_{conf} \times SO(6)_R$: isometries of the spacetime

AdS/CFT

 $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$: 't Hooft coupling 3 versions of AdS/CFT

- weak: large λ
- strong: any finite λ but N → ∞ and g_s = g²_{YM} → 0 (exact in α' but for small g_s only)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへ⊙

```
strongest: any g<sub>s</sub> and N
(α' and g<sub>s</sub>)
```

AdS/CMT

Condensed matter applications of AdS/CFT

Application to QCD relatively successful

- why not Condensed Matter Theory?
 - $\blacksquare \ \mathsf{AdS}/\mathsf{CFT} \ \text{in laboratory}$
 - Strongly correlated systems near criticality in low dimensions
 - Rich examples
 - Good control
 - A new computational tool in CMT

Beyond perturbative field theory and lattice

- A new arena of string theory
 - Typically, non-relativistic $(\Delta \sim (q-q_c)^{
 u z}, \xi \sim (q-q_c)^{u})$
 - $\square D: t \mapsto \lambda^z t, \ x^i \mapsto \lambda x^i$
 - NR AdS/CFT duality: limited technology a new challenge

Examples of condensed matter systems

- Superconductivity
- Superfluidity
- Quantum Hall systems
- AdS/CFT involves large N SYM.
 - The AdS is realised by some other fields CMT is assumed to be a probe on a background geometry
 - Supersymmetry broken by finite T (?)
 - Phenomenological approach be maximally optimistic and use as a computational tool
- Questions
 - Phase transition, solitonic excitation (brane excitations)
 - Especially, vortices play important roles in above examples
 - ABJM: M-theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k \iff CFT$ on M2-branes

Introduction

AdS/CMT

Superfluidity in He



⁴He phase diagram (left) and ³He phase diagram (right)

Source: Low Temperature Laboratory, TKK, Finland

Introduction

AdS/CMT

Vortices in ³He superfluidity



A closer look at the low temperature 3 He phase diagram (left) and two types of vortices in the superfluid B phase (right)

Source: Low Temperature Laboratory, TKK, Finland

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで



Our focus: vortex solutions on the CFT side. Solitonic solutions in ABJM

Abelian vortices (Jackiw-Lee-Weinberg type) and domain walls [Arai, Montonen, Sasaki 2008]

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Non-abelian vortices [Kim, Kim, Kwon, Nakajima 2009] [Auzzi, Kumar 2009]
- Abelian, non-relativistic vortices [Kawai, Sasaki 2009]

Introduction

The ABJM model

The ABJM model

The ABJM model [Aharony, Bergman, Jafferis, Maldacena (2008)]

$$\begin{split} S^{\rm bos}_{\rm ABJM} &= \int d^3 x \Big(\mathcal{L}^{\rm bos}_{\rm kin} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm CS} - V^{\rm bos}_D - V^{\rm bos}_F \Big), \\ \mathcal{L}^{\rm bos}_{\rm kin} &= -\operatorname{Tr} \left[(D_{\mu} Z^{\hat{A}})^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} Z^{\hat{A}}) + (D_{\mu} W_{\hat{A}})^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} W_{\hat{A}}) \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rm CS} &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \operatorname{Tr} \left[A_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\lambda} + \frac{2i}{3} A_{\mu} A_{\nu} A_{\lambda} - \hat{A}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \hat{A}_{\lambda} - \frac{2i}{3} \hat{A}_{\mu} \hat{A}_{\nu} \hat{A}_{\lambda} \right], \\ V^{\rm bos}_D &= \frac{4\pi^2}{k^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left| Z^{\hat{B}} Z^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{B}} Z^{\hat{A}} - Z^{\hat{A}} Z^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{B}} Z^{\hat{B}} - W^{\dagger \hat{B}} W_{\hat{B}} Z^{\hat{A}} + Z^{\hat{A}} W_{\hat{B}} W^{\dagger \hat{B}} \right|^2 \\ &+ \left| W^{\dagger \hat{B}} W_{\hat{B}} W^{\dagger \hat{A}} - W^{\dagger \hat{A}} W_{\hat{B}} W^{\dagger \hat{B}} - Z^{\hat{B}} Z^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{B}} W^{\dagger \hat{A}} + W^{\dagger \hat{A}} Z^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{B}} Z^{\hat{B}} \right|^2 \right], \\ V^{\rm bos}_F &= \frac{16\pi^2}{k^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left| \epsilon_{\hat{A}\hat{c}} \epsilon^{\check{B}\hat{D}} W_{\hat{B}} Z^{\hat{C}} W_{\hat{D}} \right|^2 + \left| \epsilon^{\check{A}\hat{C}} \epsilon_{\hat{B}\hat{D}} Z^{\hat{B}} W_{\hat{C}} Z^{\hat{D}} \right|^2 \right]. \end{split}$$

 $A_{\mu}, \hat{A}_{\mu} \cdots U(N) \times U(N)$ gauge fields, and $Z^{\hat{A}}, W^{\dagger \check{A}}$ $(\hat{A} = 1, 2, \check{A} = 3, 4)$ \cdots complex scalars in $U(N) \times U(N)$ bi-fundamental $(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{\bar{N}})$ rep

Introduction

The ABJM model

Chern-Simons-matter theory on 2+1 dimensions



 $(Z^{\hat{A}}, W^{\dagger\check{A}}) \rightarrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}(Z^{\hat{A}}, W^{\dagger\check{A}})$

M-theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k$ $N, k \to \infty, \lambda = \frac{N}{k}$ fixed ('t Hooft limit): IIA on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$



The ABJM model

We consider: The ABJM model (Chern-Simons-matter theory) ↓ massive deformation Mass-deformed ABJM ↓ non-relativistic limit NR, mass-deformed ABJM ↓ solving BPS eqns BPS vortex solutions

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

The ABJM model



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Relativistic mass-deformed ABJM

Relativistic mass-deformed ABJM

Before taking the NR limit we take massive deformation

[Hosomichi, Lee³, Park 2008], [Gomis, Rodríguez-Gómez, Van Raamsdonk, Verlinde 2008]

- \cdots introducing a scale that is necessary for the solitonic solutions Maximally supersymmetric (N = 6) massive deformation
 - $SO(8)_R \rightarrow SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$

The scalars acquire equal masses

The change of (the bos. part of) the Lanrangian: $\delta \mathcal{L} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[-m^2 Z_{\hat{A}}^{\dagger} Z^{\hat{A}} - m^2 W^{\dagger \check{A}} W_{\check{A}} + \frac{4\pi m}{k} \left((Z^{\hat{A}} Z_{\hat{A}}^{\dagger})^2 - (W^{\dagger \check{A}} W_{\check{A}})^2 - (Z_{\hat{A}}^{\dagger} Z^{\hat{A}})^2 + (W_{\check{A}} W^{\dagger \check{A}})^2 \right) \right]$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The non-relativistic limit

The non-relativistic limit

Now we consider the NR limit [Nakayama, Sakaguchi, Yoshida 2009], [Lee³ 2009]

 Write down the action with c and ħ explicitly
 Decompose: Z^Â = ^ħ/_{√2m} (e^{-imc²t}/_ħ z^Â + e^{imc²t}/_ħ ẑ^{*Â}) etc. (z^Â, ẑ^{*Â} are non-relativistic scalar fields) Keep the particle DoF (z^Â, w^{†Ă}) & drop the antiparticles
 Send c, m → ∞ and look at the leading orders The resulting (bos. part of the) Lagrangian:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\rm ABJM}^{\rm NR, bos} &= \frac{k\hbar c}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} {\rm Tr} \left[A_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\lambda} + \frac{2i}{3} A_{\mu} A_{\nu} A_{\lambda} - \hat{A}_{\mu} \hat{A}_{\nu} \hat{A}_{\lambda} - \frac{2i}{3} \hat{A}_{\mu} \hat{A}_{\nu} \hat{A}_{\lambda} \right] \\ &+ {\rm Tr} \left[\frac{i\hbar}{2} \left(-z_{A}^{\dagger} D_{t} z^{\hat{A}} + D_{t} z^{\hat{A}} \cdot z_{A}^{\dagger} \right) - \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} D_{i} z^{\hat{A}} D_{i} z_{A}^{\dagger} \right. \\ &+ \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left(-w_{\hat{A}} D_{t} w^{\dagger \hat{A}} + D_{t} w^{\dagger \hat{A}} \cdot w_{\hat{A}} \right) - \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} D_{i} w^{\dagger \hat{A}} D_{i} w_{\hat{A}} \\ &+ \frac{\pi \hbar^{2}}{km} \left\{ (z^{\hat{A}} z_{A}^{\dagger})^{2} - (z_{A}^{\dagger} z^{\hat{A}})^{2} - (w^{\dagger \hat{A}} w_{\hat{A}})^{2} + (w_{\hat{A}} w^{\dagger \hat{A}})^{2} \right\} \right]. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The non-relativistic limit

The equations of motion

The scalar part: nonlinear Schrödinger equations

$$\begin{split} i\hbar D_t z^{\hat{\lambda}} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} D_i^2 z^{\hat{\lambda}} - \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{km} (z^{\hat{\beta}} z_{\hat{\beta}}^{\dagger} z^{\hat{\lambda}} - z^{\hat{\lambda}} z_{\hat{\beta}}^{\dagger} z^{\hat{\beta}}), \\ i\hbar D_t w^{\dagger \hat{\lambda}} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} D_i^2 w^{\dagger \hat{\lambda}} + \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{km} (w^{\dagger \hat{B}} w_{\hat{\beta}} w^{\dagger \hat{\lambda}} - w^{\dagger \hat{\lambda}} w_{\hat{\beta}} w^{\dagger \hat{B}}). \end{split}$$

The gauge field part: Gauss-law constraintsThe fermionic part:

$$\begin{split} i\hbar D_t\psi_{-A}+2mc^2\delta^{\hat{A}}_{A}\psi_{-\hat{A}}-i\hbar cD_-\psi_{+A}=0,\\ i\hbar D_t\psi_{+A}+2mc^2\delta^{\hat{A}}_{A}\psi_{+\hat{A}}-i\hbar cD_+\psi_{-A}=0. \end{split}$$

(due to these NR Dirac eqns 1/2 of the fermionic DoF drop)

-Non-relativistic SUSY

Non-relativistic SUSY

Apply the same procedure of NR limit to SUSY trfn rules \rightarrow non-relativistic SUSY (super Schrödinger symmetry) 14 supercharges:

- 10 kinematical SUSY: $(\tilde{\omega}_{+\hat{A}\hat{B}}, \tilde{\omega}_{-\check{A}\check{B}}, \tilde{\omega}_{\pm\hat{A}\check{B}})$
- **2** dynamical SUSY: $(\tilde{\omega}_{-\hat{A}\hat{B}}, \tilde{\omega}_{+\check{A}\check{B}})$
- **2** conformal SUSY: $(\xi_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}, \xi_{\check{A}\check{B}})$

SUSY parameters defined by

$$\begin{split} \omega_{AB} &= \epsilon_{i} \Gamma_{AB}^{i} \ (i = 1, 2, ..., 6), \quad \omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\omega}_{-} + \tilde{\omega}_{+} \\ -i \tilde{\omega}_{-} + i \tilde{\omega}_{+} \end{array} \right), \quad \tilde{\omega}_{\pm}^{AB} &= (\tilde{\omega}_{\pm AB})^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABCD} \tilde{\omega}_{\pm CD} \\ \text{Conformal SUSY} \sim \text{Special conformal charge} \times \text{Dynamical SUSY}, \\ S &= i [\mathcal{K}, Q_{D}] \end{split}$$

Vortex solutions on membranes BPS vortex solutions <u>The BPS equations</u>

The BPS equations

The Hamiltonian density (Noether charge of the time translation)

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|D_iz^{\hat{A}}|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|D_iw^{\dagger\check{A}}|^2 - \frac{\pi\hbar^2}{km}\left\{(z^{\hat{A}}z^{\dagger}_{\hat{A}})^2 - (z^{\dagger}_{\hat{A}}z^{\hat{A}})^2 - (w^{\dagger\check{A}}w_{\hat{A}})^2 + (w_{\hat{A}}w^{\dagger\check{A}})^2\right\}\right].$$

Using $D_{\pm} \equiv D_1 \pm iD_2$ and Bogomol'nyi completion \implies BPS bound:

$$E = \int d^2 x \ \mathcal{H} = \int d^2 x \ \operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left|D_- z^{\hat{A}}\right|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left|D_+ w^{\dagger \check{A}}\right|^2\right] \ge 0$$

saturated by BPS equations

$$D_-z^{\hat{A}}=0, \quad D_+w^{\dagger \check{A}}=0,$$

Recall: $z^{\hat{A}}$ and $w^{\dagger \check{A}}$ are matrix-valued ($N \times N$)

The fuzzy 3-sphere ansatz [Gomis, Rodríguez-Gómez, Van Raamsdonk, Verlinde 2008]

$$z^{\hat{A}}(x) = \psi_z(x)S', w^{\dagger \check{A}}(x) = \psi_w(x)S', A_i(x) = a_i(x)S'S_I^{\dagger}, \hat{A}_i(x) = a_i(x)S_I^{\dagger}S'.$$

Here, ψ_z , ψ_w , $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $(S_1^{\dagger})_{mn} = \sqrt{m-1}\delta_{mn}$, $(S_2^{\dagger})_{mn} = \sqrt{N-m}\delta_{m+1,n}$

$$\begin{split} S^{I} &= S^{J}S_{J}^{\dagger}S^{I} - S^{I}S_{J}^{\dagger}S^{J}, \\ S^{\dagger}_{I} &= S_{I}^{\dagger}S^{J}S_{J}^{\dagger} - S_{J}^{\dagger}S^{J}S_{I}^{\dagger}, \\ \mathrm{Tr}\,S^{I}S_{I}^{\dagger} &= \mathrm{Tr}\,S_{I}^{\dagger}S^{I} = N(N-1) \end{split}$$

Then the BPS eqns \implies the Jackiw-Pi vortex eqns [Jackiw and Pi 1990]

$$(\mathcal{D}_1 - i\mathcal{D}_2)\psi_z(x) = 0,$$
 $(\mathcal{D}_1 + i\mathcal{D}_2)\psi_w(x) = 0$ $(\mathcal{D}_i \equiv \partial_i + ia_i)$

J-P eqns allow exact solutions

BPS vortex solutions

The exact vortex solutions

The exact vortex solutions

Finding solutions: Set $w^{\dagger \check{A}} = 0$ & solve for $z^{\hat{A}}$, A_i and \hat{A}_i (BPS I), or set $z^{\hat{A}} = 0$ & solve for $w^{\dagger \check{A}}$, A_i and \hat{A}_i (BPS II)

The radius of the fuzzy S^3 (in the case of BPS I):

$$R^2 = \frac{2}{NT_{M2}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[Z^{\hat{A}} Z^{\dagger}_{\hat{A}} \right] = \frac{N-1}{T_{M2}} \frac{|\psi_z|^2}{m},$$

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの

 T_{M2} : the tension of an M2-brane

The exact vortex solutions

The BPS I solutions

1 Changing the variables $\psi_z(x) = e^{i\theta(x)}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(x), \quad (\theta, \rho \in \mathbb{R}),$ the BPS eqns become

$$a_i(x) = -\partial_i \theta + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \partial^j \ln \rho.$$

2 Using the Gauss law constraint \implies Liouville equation $\nabla^2 \ln \rho = -\frac{4\pi}{k}\rho$, solved by

$$\rho(x) = \frac{k}{2\pi} \nabla^2 \ln\left(1 + |f(z)|^2\right)$$

(f(z): a holomorphic function of $z = x_1 + ix_2$) **3** θ is fixed by regularity of ψ_z at z = 0



Examples

Examples of BPS I solutions

Choose a profile: $f(z) = \left(\frac{z_0}{z}\right)^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, z_0 : a complex const; yielding

$$\rho(x) = \frac{k}{2\pi} \frac{4n^2}{r_0^2} \frac{\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{2(n-1)}}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{2n}\right]^2}, \qquad \theta = -(n-1)\arg z = -(n-1)\arctan(x_2/x_1)$$

These are non-topological vortices since $|\psi_z|
ightarrow 0$ as $|z|
ightarrow \infty$



Figure: $|\psi_z|^2$ shown for $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$, $\frac{1}{z^2}$ and $\frac{1}{z(z-1)}$, with k = 1

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

BPS vortex solutions

Examples of BPS II solutions

Setting $z^{\hat{A}} = 0$ we find similar solutions for $w^{\dagger \hat{A}}$:

$$egin{array}{rcl} \psi_{\sf w}(x) &=& e^{i heta(x)}
ho^{rac{1}{2}}(x), \
ho(x) &=& -rac{k}{2\pi}
abla^2\ln\left(1+|f(z)|^2
ight), \ heta &=& (n-1)\arctan(x_2/x_1). \end{array}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Preserved supersymmetry

Preserved supersymmetry

Check the SUSY trfns against the BPS eqns (in the BPS-I case)

$$w^{\dagger \check{A}} = 0, \quad D_- z^{\hat{A}} = 0.$$

The fermion transformation rules:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{K}\psi_{+\hat{A}} &= -\omega_{+\hat{A}\hat{B}}z^{\hat{B}}, \qquad \delta_{K}\psi_{-\check{A}} &= +\omega_{-\check{A}\hat{B}}z^{\hat{B}}\\ \delta_{D}\psi_{+\hat{A}} &= \frac{i}{2m}\omega_{-\hat{A}\hat{B}}D_{+}z^{\hat{B}}, \qquad \delta_{D}\psi_{-\check{A}} &= 0,\\ \delta_{S}\psi_{+\hat{A}} &\sim \xi_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}z^{\hat{B}}, \qquad \delta_{S}\psi_{-\check{A}} \sim 0. \end{split}$$

Hence $\delta \psi = 0 \Longrightarrow \omega_{+\hat{A}\hat{B}} = \omega_{-\hat{A}\hat{B}} = \omega_{-\hat{A}\hat{B}} = \xi_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} = 0$ This means that the BPS-I solutions break 5 kinematical, 1 dynamical and 1 conformal SUSYs (i.e. exactly 1/2). BPS vortex solutions

Preserved supersymmetry

The BPS II case is similar. Summarising the results,

Type of	Kinematical				Dynamical		Conformal	
SUSY	$\omega_{+\hat{A}\check{B}}$	$\omega_{+\hat{A}\hat{B}}$	$\omega_{-\check{A}\check{B}}$	$\omega_{-\check{A}\hat{B}}$	$\omega_{-\hat{A}\hat{B}}$	$\omega_{+\check{A}\check{B}}$	$\xi_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}$	ξ _{ĂĎ}
BPS I	\bigcirc	×	\bigcirc	×	×	\bigcirc	×	\bigcirc
BPS II	×	\bigcirc	×	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	×	\bigcirc	×

Table: \bigcirc : preserved, \times : broken

BPS vortex solutions

-Preserved supersymmetry

Summary of our solutions

- We find exact solutions of abelian vortices by solving BPS equations in the non-relativistic ABJM model: Jackiw-Pi combined with fuzzy 3-sphere
- These solutions preserve half of the super Schrödinger symmetry
- Any relevance in real physics?
 more realistic, parity broken models with external fields desirable.

BPS vortex solutions

Preserved supersymmetry

Vortex solutions in AdS

Vortex line

Pure AdS [Dehghani Ghezelbash Mann, 2001]

AdS-Sch [Dehghani Ghezelbash Mann, 2001]

with boundary magnetic field

- Albash Johnson 2009]
- [Montull Pomarol Silva 2009]
- [Maeda Natuume Okamura 2009]

with vanishing magnetic field on the boundary

[Keränen Keski-Vakkuri Nowling Yogendran 2009]

Summary and comments

Unsorted list of problems

- Non-relativistic AdS/CFT exists at all?
- In 2 + 1 dim, spontaneous breaking of continuous symmetry is not possible at finite temperature (Mermin-Wagner).
 However, such a phase transition is found in holographic superconductor. How do we interpret? Large N artefact?

Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition in AdS?